

# A reappraisal of the phylogeny of the Megatheria (Mammalia: Tardigrada), with an emphasis on the relationships of the Thalassocninae, the marine sloths

ELI AMSON<sup>1,2,3\*</sup>, CHRISTIAN DE MUIZON<sup>1</sup> and TIMOTHY J. GAUDIN<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Centre de Recherche sur la Paléobiodiversité et les Paléoenvironnements (CR2P: CNRS, MNHN, UPMC-Paris 06; Sorbonne Universités), Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, CP38, 57 rue Cuvier, 75005 Paris, France

<sup>2</sup>Paläontologisches Institut und Museum, Universität Zürich, Karl Schmid-Strasse 4, CH-8006 Zürich, Switzerland

<sup>3</sup>AG Morphologie und Formengeschichte & Institut für Biologie, Humboldt-Universität, Philippstraße 12/13, Haus 2, D-10115 Berlin, Germany

<sup>4</sup>Department of Biological & Environmental Sciences, University of Tennessee at Chattanooga, 615 McCallie Ave, Chattanooga TN, 37403-2598, USA

Received 3 August 2015; revised 25 February 2016; accepted for publication 18 April 2016

The Thalassocninae is a monogeneric subfamily of five species of Neogene sloths. Until now, *Thalassocnus* has been considered as belonging to the Nothrotheriidae, a family of megatherian ‘ground sloths’ of intermediate body size. However, no previous phylogenetic analysis has questioned such a familial attribution. Here we performed an extensive analysis including the required taxonomic sampling for such an attribution and characters from the whole skeleton. We found that *Thalassocnus* indeed belongs to Megatheria, but is clustered amongst Megatheriidae, the family that includes the large-size *Megatherium*. Moreover, the relationships amongst the five species of *Thalassocnus* are congruent with their respective stratigraphical positions, which allows the recognition of numerous morphoclines that document the adaptation of these sloths to the marine environment.

© 2016 The Linnean Society of London, *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 2017  
doi: 10.1111/zoj.12450

ADDITIONAL KEYWORDS: Megatheria – Megatheriidae – Nothrotheriidae – phylogeny – postcranial skeleton – Tardigrada – *Thalassocnus* – Xenarthra.

## INTRODUCTION

The ‘ground sloth’ *Megatherium americanum* Cuvier, 1796, is an iconic taxon for several reasons, the most obvious being its large body mass (estimated to be around 4000 kg; Fariña, Vizcaíno & Bargo, 1998). However, its study by renowned early authors such as Georges Cuvier (Cuvier, 1804) and Richard Owen (Owen, 1861), as well as its recent extinction [during the Pleistocene–Holocene transition; Pujos *et al.* (2013)], has also contributed to its fame. There is also the fact that *M. americanum* differs so

dramatically in terms of body size and (purported) ecology from its closest extant relatives, the ‘tree sloths’. *Megatherium americanum* is considered to have been a terrestrial browser (more precisely a selective feeder; Bargo & Vizcaíno, 2008) and more agile than extant ‘tree sloths’ (probably less ‘sluggish’; Billet *et al.*, 2013). *Megatherium americanum* is the type species of *Megatherium*, the type genus of the family Megatheriidae. According to Gaudin (2004), this family forms a larger clade, Megatheria, with the family Nothrotheriidae and a few other genera. There are three additional tardigradan families – the Megalonychidae (which forms with the Megatheria the Megatherioidea), the Mylodontidae (included in the Eutardigrada along with the Megatherioidea),

\*Corresponding author. E-mail: eli.amson@edu.mnhn.fr

and the Bradypodidae (which only includes the extant species of *Bradypus*; Gaudin, 2004).

*Thalassocnus* is unique amongst sloths (and more generally amongst xenarthrans) because it has been interpreted as having been adapted to the aquatic realm (Muizon & McDonald, 1995; Amson *et al.*, 2014, 2015a,b,c). Most of the *Thalassocnus* specimens come from the Pisco Formation (Peru), which comprises a rich marine vertebrate fauna (Muizon & DeVries, 1985; Bianucci *et al.*, 2016). A few isolated specimens were also recovered from the Bahía Inglesa Formation (Canto *et al.*, 2008; Pyenson *et al.*, 2014) and from an undescribed locality at a latitude of 30°S on the Chilean coast (S. de los Arcos & F. A. Mourgues, pers. comm.). *Thalassocnus* is comprised of five Neogene species that together form the monogeneric subfamily Thalassocninae (Muizon *et al.*, 2004a). *Thalassocnus* was initially placed amongst the Nothrotheriidae (considered a subfamily at that time) with the understanding that the latter taxon was more closely related to Megalonychidae than to Megatheriidae (Muizon & McDonald, 1995). Although Gaudin (2004) considered the Nothrotheriidae to be more closely related to Megatheriidae than to Megalonychidae, *Thalassocnus* was not included in his study. Phylogenetic analyses including *Thalassocnus* (Muizon & McDonald, 1995; McDonald & Muizon, 2002; Muizon *et al.*, 2003; De Iuliis, Gaudin & Vicars, 2011) did not question its inclusion in the Nothrotheriidae (or Nothrotheriinae), as the ingroups in each of these studies only included terminal taxa pertaining to this clade. It must be noted, however, that decades before the formal description of the first species, *Thalassocnus* material was first attributed to an undescribed megatheriid, possibly a planopsine (one of the two megatheriid subfamilies classically recognized), mainly based on the morphology of the astragalus and femur (Hoffstetter, 1968).

The work of Gaudin (2004) can be regarded as the most comprehensive phylogeny of the Tardigrada published to date. With the addition of mandibular, dental, and other cranial characters to the auditory region traits used in Gaudin (1995), the data matrix of Gaudin (2004) reaches a total of 286 characters. Although it took into consideration the cranial, mandibular, and dental characters of previous analyses (for instance, Engelmann, 1985; Patterson *et al.*, 1992), this synthetic work did not include postcranial characters. Even though De Iuliis (1994) did not perform a cladistic analysis per se, his work focused on the relationships amongst megatheriines, nothrotheriines, and planopsines, and postcranial characters were discussed. Pujos *et al.* (2007) performed an analysis that included 17 postcranial characters and sampled all the tardigradan families, but they

considered their analysis preliminary, and did not include *Thalassocnus*.

As the basis of our investigation, a data matrix was built using postcranial characters, as well as the characters of Gaudin (1995, 2004). The present analysis incorporated dental and osteological characters of the whole skeleton and comprised an appropriate taxonomic sample to test hypotheses regarding the familial attribution of *Thalassocnus* within sloths. Additionally, the present study is the first to include all species of *Thalassocnus* as terminal taxa, which allowed us to test previously hypothesized intra-generic relationships.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

### ABBREVIATIONS

#### *Institutions*

FMNH, Field Museum of Natural History, Chicago, Illinois, USA; LACM, Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County, Los Angeles, California, USA; MCL, Museu de Ciências Naturais da Pontifícia Universidade Católica de Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil; MNHN, Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Paris, France; NHMUK, Natural History Museum, London, UK.

#### *Other*

CI, consistency index; ch., character; Mc, metacarpal; MPT, most parsimonious tree; Mt, metatarsal; OTU, operational taxonomic unit; RI, retention index; SALMA, South American Land Mammal Age.

### DATA MATRIX

A data matrix of 347 osteological characters was generated. The 54 postcranial characters are either newly described or taken (and modified in some cases) from previous analyses (Muizon *et al.*, 2003; Pujos *et al.*, 2007; De Iuliis *et al.*, 2011). A detailed description of these characters with their states can be found below. Seven cranial and mandibular characters of particular relevance regarding the relationships amongst the species of *Thalassocnus* were taken from Muizon *et al.* (2003), and are also described below. The 286 dental, mandibular, and cranial characters (including those of the auditory region) of Gaudin (1995, 2004) were all added to the matrix, without any modification from the initial coding.

All the Megatheria from the analysis of Gaudin (2004), namely *Nothrotherium*, *Nothrotheriops*, *Mionothropus* (referred to as *Nothropus* in Gaudin, 2004), *Pronothrotherium*, *Eremotherium* (the species

*Eremotherium laurillardii* Lund, 1842, was coded), *Megatherium* (the species *M. americanum* was coded), and *Planops* (for the postcranial characters, only *Planops martini* Hoffstetter, 1961, was used), were included as OTUs in the matrix. The closely related *Analcimorphus* and *Hapalops* [two taxa from the Santacrucian SALMA, early Miocene; Scott (1903–1904)], and the megalonychids *Megalonyx* (a well-known Plio-Pleistocene taxon) and *Eucholoeops* (the oldest well-known megalonychid) were also added, as *Thalassocnus*, as a ‘nothrotheriid’, was once considered closely related to megalonychids (Muizon & McDonald, 1995), and *Analcimorphus* and *Hapalops* were allied as successive sister taxa to either Megatheria or Megalonychidae in Gaudin (2004). Each of the species of *Thalassocnus* was coded as a terminal taxon, based on specimens from the Pisco Formation. These are *Thalassocnus antiquus* Muizon *et al.*, 2003 (Aguada de Lomas horizon, *c.* 8 Mya), *Thalassocnus natans* Muizon & McDonald, 1995 (Montemar horizon, *c.* 7 Mya), *Thalassocnus littoralis* McDonald & Muizon, 2002 (SAS horizon, *c.* 6 Mya), *Thalassocnus carolomartini* McDonald & Muizon, 2002 (Sacaco horizon, *c.* 5 Mya), and *Thalassocnus yaucensis* Muizon *et al.*, 2004a (< *c.* 5 Mya, probably early Pliocene). The Santacrucian sloth genera *Schismotherium* and *Pelecycodon*, the sister taxa of all other Megatherioidea [either one, the other, or a clade that comprises both of them, depending on the MPTs of Gaudin (2004)], were included as well. Finally, in order to root the phylogenetic tree, we used a first outgroup comprising three mylodontids for which fairly complete specimens are known [the Santacrucian taxon *Nematherium*, and the well-known Plio-Pleistocene genera from the two main mylodontid subfamilies, *Glossotherium* (Mylodontinae) and *Catonyx* (Scelidotheriinae)]. As a second outgroup, the extant three-toed sloth *Bradypus*, sister group of the Eutardigrada (*sensu* Gaudin, 2004), was used. Although we recognized mylodontids as a first outgroup because we considered it unlikely *a priori* that *Thalassocnus* would ally with them, the presence of a second outgroup allows testing of the monophyly of the ingroup (here the Megatherioidea), and hence the possibility that *Thalassocnus* is more closely related to mylodontids. This brought to 22 the number of terminal taxa in the data matrix (Table 1). The whole character matrix, including the coding of craniomandibular and dental characters of Gaudin (2004) and Muizon *et al.* (2003), is provided in Supporting Information Appendix S1 as a NEXUS file. The correspondence between the numbering system used here and those of Gaudin (1995, 2004) is given in Appendix S2. The source of the coding for each taxon can be found in Table 2, and includes both

information from the literature and direct observations of specimens.

#### DESCRIPTION OF THE CHARACTERS AND THEIR STATES

Refer to Gaudin (1995, 2004) regarding his characters (here numbered 62–347, see Appendix S1). In the following description, and in the case of characters in which the states differ amongst the *Thalassocnus* species, the reader is invited to refer to previous works that describe the anatomy of the forelimb (Amson *et al.*, 2015a), hind limb (Amson *et al.*, 2015b), axial postcranium (Amson *et al.*, 2015c), and skull (McDonald & Muizon, 2002; Muizon *et al.*, 2003, 2004a) within this genus.

#### Forelimb


1. Humerus, ratio of greatest proximodistal length to mediolateral width of distal articular surface ratio: (0) high (greater than 4); (1) intermediate (between 3 and 4); (2) low (lower than 3). Ordered; see Appendix S3 for ratio values.
2. Humerus, brachiocephalic crest: (0) absent or weakly developed (Fig. 1A, B, D); (1) well developed (Fig. 1C). See also Amson *et al.* (2015a: fig. 5).
3. Humerus, medial epicondyle: (0) angular and positioned proximally (Fig. 1A, B); (1) rounded and positioned distally (Fig. 1C, D). [Modified from De Iuliis *et al.* (2011), chs 40, 41.]
4. Humerus, entepicondylar foramen: (0) present (Fig. 1A–C); (1) absent (Fig. 1D). [From Pujos (2002), ch. 20; Pujos *et al.* (2007), ch. 27.]
5. Radius, development of pronator ridge on proximal quarter of diaphysis: (0) absent; (1) weak; (2) intermediate; (3) strong. See also Amson *et al.* (2015a: fig. 13). Ordered.
6. Radius, bicipital tuberosity orientation: (0) projecting mainly posteriorly; (1) projecting mainly medially. [Modified from De Iuliis *et al.* (2011), ch. 44.]
7. Radius, shape of extensor carpi radialis groove in lateral view: (0) strongly asymmetrical anteroposteriorly, not elongated anteroposteriorly and deep proximally; (1) weakly asymmetrical anteroposteriorly, weakly elongated anteroposteriorly and deep proximally (Fig. 2C); (2) symmetrical anteroposteriorly, strongly elongated anteroposteriorly and shallow proximally. Ordered; coded as not applicable if the groove is incipiently developed (Fig. 2A, B, D). See also Amson *et al.* (2015a: fig. 13).
8. Radius, extension of laterodistal process: (0) weak, proximal to level of styloid process (Fig. 2A, B); (1) strong, almost at the level or reaching level of styloid process (Fig. 2C, D).



**Table 2.** Source of coding for postcranial characters

Terminal taxa	Specimens observed	Literature consulted
<i>Bradypus</i>	MNHN.1970-96; MNHN.1996-591; MNHN.1996-590	–
<i>Nematherium</i>	FMNH P13129; FMNH P13131; FMNH P13258; FMNH XPMPU15324	Scott (1903–1904)
<i>Glossotherium</i>	MNHN.F.TAR767; MNHN.F.PAM141, 128	Owen (1842); Lydekker (1894)
<i>Catonyx</i>	MCL 22394; MCL 22396; MCL 22397; MCL 2247; MCL 4265	McDonald (1987)
<i>Hapalops</i>	Batch number MNHN.F.1902-6	Scott (1903–1904)
<i>Megalonyx</i>	–	Leidy (1855); McDonald (1977)
<i>Eucholoeops</i>	–	Scott (1903–1904); De Iuliis <i>et al.</i> (2014)
<i>Planops</i>	NHMUK PV M9217f (photographs); NHMUK PV M9207-92013, 9215b, 9215c, 9215e (casts)	Hoffstetter (1961)
<i>Eremotherium laurillardi</i>	–	Hoffstetter (1952); Gazin (1957); Tito (2008)
<i>Megatherium americanum</i>	MNHN.1871-3 (mounted specimen of the MNHN)	Owen (1858, 1859, 1861)
<i>Mionothropus</i>	LACM 4609/117533	De Iuliis <i>et al.</i> (2011)
<i>Pronothrotherium</i>	–	De Iuliis <i>et al.</i> (2011)
<i>Nothrotherium</i>	MCL 1020	Cartelle & Fonseca (1983)
<i>Nothrotheriops</i>	Various numbered and unnumbered specimens of the LACM collections	Stock (1925)
<i>Thalassocnus</i>	See lists of specimens of Amson <i>et al.</i> (2015a,b,c)	–
<i>Analcimorphus</i>	–	Scott (1903–1904)
<i>Schismotherium</i>	–	Scott (1903–1904)
<i>Peleciodon</i>	–	Scott (1903–1904)

9. Scaphoid, laterodistal corner in dorsal view: (0) not elongated, wedge-shaped (Fig. 3A–C); (1) elongated, quadrangular in outline [see Paula Couto (1974: fig. 1)]. [Modified from De Iuliis *et al.* (2011), ch. 51.]
10. Lunar, general proportions (ratio of mediolateral width to proximodistal length): (0) longer than wide (ratio < 1; Fig. 4A, B); (1) wider than long (ratio > 1; Fig. 4C, D). See Appendix S3 for ratio values.
11. Lunar, distal extension of facet for radius on dorsal side: (0) reaches distal edge of the bone (Fig. 4B, C); (1) reaches only the midlength of the bone (Fig. 4A, D).
12. Lunar, contact with unciform: (0) absent (Fig. 3B); (1) present (Fig. 3A, C).
13. Cuneiform, proximal articular facet: (0) well developed mediolaterally (Fig. 3A, B); (1) reduced laterally (restricted to the mediodorsal corner of the proximal surface) or absent (Fig. 3C).
14. Cuneiform, mediodistal extension in dorsal view: (0) weak (Fig. 3A, C); (1), strong mediodistal process, tapering distally (Fig. 3B). See also Amson *et al.* (2015a: fig. 24). [Modified from De Iuliis *et al.* (2011), ch. 52.]
15. Cuneiform, facet for Mc V: (0) absent, and no fossa *in situ*; (1) present; (2) absent, and fossa *in situ*. See Amson *et al.* (2015a: fig. 24). Ordered.
16. Magnum, contact with Mc II: (0) absent or minute (Fig. 3B); (1) well developed, thanks to the proximolateral process of Mc II that overlaps Mc III proximally (Fig. 3A, C). Coded as not applicable in *Bradypus* because the magnum is fused to the trapezoid.
17. Metacarpals II, III, and IV, facets of contact with adjacent metacarpals: (0) weakly extended distally (the metacarpals are hence widely diverging distally; Fig. 3B); (1) well extended distally (the metacarpals are roughly parallel or only slightly diverging; Fig. 3A, C). Coded as not applicable in *Glossotherium* because of the strong shortening of the metacarpus.
18. Trapezium–Mc I complex reduction, ratio of proximodistal length to dorsopalmar depth: (0) weak, shaft well developed (ratio > 3); (1) intermediate, shaft almost absent (2 < ratio < 3; Fig. 3A); (2) whole complex vestigial (ratio around 1.5 or below; Fig. 3C). Ordered; see Appendix S3 for ratio values. Coded as not applicable when the complex is absent.




**Figure 1.** Anterior view of the distal epiphysis of the right humerus amongst megatherioid sloths. A, *Hapalops longiceps* (from Scott, 1903–1904); B, *Nothrotheriops shastensis*; C, *Thalassocnus littoralis*; D, *Megatherium americanum* (from Owen, 1858). Not to scale.

19. Manus, digit I, number of phalanges: (0) two (proximal surface of ungual trochleated; Fig. 3B); (1) one (Fig. 3A); (2) none (Fig. 3C).
20. Mc II, proximodistal length to dorsopalmar depth ratio: (0) Mc II elongate (ratio  $> 3.7$ ; Fig. 3B); (1) Mc II intermediate ( $3.7 > \text{ratio} > 3$ ; Fig. 3A, C); (2) Mc II stout (ratio  $< 3$ ); see Appendix S3 for ratio values.
21. Manus, digit II, ungual phalanx, shape of cross-section of ungual process: (0) triangular; (1) semicircular (Fig. 9); (2) dorsopalmarly flattened. [Modified from McDonald & Muizon (2002), ch. 28; Muizon *et al.* (2003), ch. 30; De Iuliis *et al.* (2011), ch. 55.]
22. Manus, digit III, proximal and intermediate phalanges: (0) free (Fig. 3B); (1) co-ossified (Fig. 3A, C). [From McDonald & Muizon (2002), ch. 27; Pujos (2002) ch. 24; Pujos *et al.* (2007) ch. 29.]
23. Manus, digit IV, ungual phalanx in dorsal view: (0) rectilinear (Fig. 3B, C); (1) curved medially (Fig. 3A).
24. Manus, digit V, ungual: (0) present; (1) absent (Fig. 3A–C).

#### Hind limb


25. Pelvis, acetabulum, pubic cornu: (0) as elevated as ischiatic cornu, posterior end reaching or close to reaching posterior edge of acetabulum; (1) below level of ischiatic cornu, posterior end reaching half of anteroposterior length of acetabulum. See Amson *et al.* (2015b: fig. 45).
26. Femur, general proportions (ratio of proximodistal length to mediolateral width at mid-shaft): (0) mediolaterally wide (ratio below 5; Fig. 5A, B, D); (1) mediolaterally narrow (ratio over 5; Fig. 5C). See Appendix S3 for ratio values. [Modified from Pujos *et al.* (2007), ch. 33; De Iuliis *et al.* (2011), ch. 58.]
27. Femur, fovea capitis, position on the articular surface: (0) entirely included within it (Fig. 5A, B); (1) partly excluded from it (located posterolaterally; Fig. 5C, D). Coded as not applicable because the fovea itself is absent in *Bradypus* and *Schismotherium* (Scott, 1903–1904).
28. Femur, third trochanter: (0) isolated, close to midshaft (Fig. 5A, B); (1) joins only the greater trochanter (Fig. 5C); (2) joins both the greater trochanter and the lateral condyle (hence the



**Figure 2.** Anterior view of the distal epiphysis of the right radius amongst megatherioid sloths. A, *Hapalops longiceps* (from Scott, 1903–1904); B, *Nothrotheriops shastensis*; C, *Thalassocnus littoralis*; D, *Megatherium americanum*. Not to scale.

entire lateral side of the bone is marked by a crest; Fig. 5D); (3) joins lateral epicondyle only. Coded as not applicable in *Bradypus* because the third trochanter is absent. [Modified from McDonald & Muizon (2002), ch. 29; Pujos (2002), ch. 25; Pujos *et al.* (2007), ch. 31.]

29. Femur, distal articular surfaces: (0) patellar trochlea and both condylar surfaces confluent (Fig. 6A–F); (1) patellar trochlea isolated or only abuts the condylar surfaces; (Fig. 6G); (2) patellar trochlea confluent with the lateral condylar surface only (Fig. 6H). [From McDonald & Muizon (2002), ch. 23; Pujos (2002), ch. 26; Pujos *et al.* (2007), ch. 32.]
30. Femur, deep notch for medial cruciate (posterior) ligament: (0) absent (Fig. 6A, B, G, H); (1) present (Fig. 6C–F).
31. Femur, medial trochlear ridge (ratio of anterior extension of medial trochlear ridge beyond lateral trochlear ridge to lateral one to anteroposterior femoral depth at lateral trochlear ridge): (0) ratio > 0.25 (Fig. 6A, F, G); (1) ratio < 0.25 (Fig. 6B–E). Coded as not applicable in *Megatherium* and *Eremotherium* as there is no trochlear ridge *per se*, the patellar surface being reduced and confluent with the lateral condyle. See Appendix S3 for ratio values except for *Analcimorphus* and *Eucholoopsis* for which the medial trochlear ridge does not protrude at all anteriorly, hence having null ratios.
32. Patella, general shape in anterior view: (0) roughly quadrangular; (1) teardrop shape, owing to distal tapering and well-developed apex.
33. Tibia, proximodistal length compared to that of femur: (0) short (roughly 70–80% of femur or lower); (1) long (roughly 90% of femur). See Appendix S3 for ratio values.
34. Tibia, proximal epiphysis, location of anterior border of lateral facet (in proximal view): (0) posterior to medial facet; (1) level with medial facet.
35. Astragalus, separation of distinct odontoid process: (0) poor, trochlea weakly modified; (1) intermediate, odontoid process well defined only on distal half of proximodistal length of tibial surface; (2) strong, odontoid process well defined along entire proximodistal length of tibial surface. Ordered. [Modified from Pujos (2002), ch. 27; Pujos *et al.* (2007), ch. 35.] Except for that of *Hapalops* and *Nematherium*,




**Figure 3.** Dorsal view of the articulated left manus amongst Megatheria. A, *Thalassocnus carolomartini*; B, *Mionothropus cartellei* (from De Iuliis *et al.*, 2011); C, *Megatherium americanum* (from Owen, 1858). Not to scale. Abbreviations: D., digit; Mc, metacarpal; MCC, metacarpal–carpal complex; ph., phalanx.

the astragali of the Santacrucian sloths were not observed by the authors. Although Toledo, Bargo & Vizcaíno (2015) describe a poorly defined process in those taxa, except for *Analcimorphus* and *Peleciodon*, for which it is apparently more defined, we prefer to leave their states as question marks.

36. Astragalus, angle formed by discoid and odontoid facets in distal view: (0) highly obtuse; (1) roughly at right angles to one another. Ordered. [Modified from Pujos (2002), ch. 29; Pujos *et al.* (2007), ch. 37.] *Megalonyx* and *Bradypus* are coded as not applicable because they lack a distinct odontoid facet.






**Figure 4.** Dorsal view of the left lunar amongst *Nothrotheriops* and *Thalassocnus*. A, *Nothrotheriops shastensis* (LACM 156468); B, *Thalassocnus antiquus* (MUSM 228); C, *Thalassocnus natans* (MNHN.F.SAS734); D, *Thalassocnus carolomartini* (MUSM 1995). Abbreviation: f., facet.

- 37. Astragalus, orientation of navicular process: (0) faces laterodistally, navicular facet visible in fibular view; (1) faces directly distad, navicular facet not visible in fibular view; (2) faces mediodistally, navicular facet not visible in fibular view. Ordered.
- 38. Astragalus, position of process for navicular in distal view: (0) median, at the level of the junction of the odontoid and discoid facets (when these facets are present); (1) medial, at the level of the odontoid process (when this facet is present). [Modified from Pujos (2002) ch. 28; Pujos *et al.* (2007), ch. 36.]
- 39. Astragalus, distance between ectal facet and lateral trochlea in fibular view: (0) long; (1) short. [From De Iuliis (1994).]
- 40. Calcaneum, tuber calcis, distal development of proximal processes: (0) weak (Fig. 7A, B); (1) strong (reaching at least the proximal third of the bone; Fig. 7C, D).
- 41. Calcaneum, sustentacular facet and cuboid surface: (0) separated; (1) widely confluent.
- 42. Calcaneum, oblique crest on plantar side: (0) absent; (1) present.
- 43. Mt I and digit I size: (0) metacarpal and digit strong (Mt I elongate, unguis present); (1) intermediate (Mt I short, unguis present; Fig. 8A); (2) metacarpal and digit weak (Mt I short or absent, unguis absent; Fig. 8B, C).
- 44. Pes, digit III, proximal and intermediate phalanges: (0) free; (1) co-ossified (Fig. 8A–C). [Modified from Pujos *et al.* (2007), ch. 41.]
- 45. Mt IV, ratio of proximodistal length to mediolateral width: (0) Mt IV elongate (ratio around 5); (1) intermediate (ratio between 4 and 5); (2) Mt IV short (ratio lower than 4). Ordered. Coded as not applicable in *Bradypus* because the Mt IV is fused with the tarsus. See Appendix S3 for ratio values.
- 46. Mt IV, facets for cuboid and Mt III: (0) isolated or barely in contact; (1) broadly contiguous. Coded as not applicable in *Bradypus* because distal tarsals and metatarsals are fused.
- 47. Mt V, angle formed by facets for cuboid and Mt IV: (0) roughly right-angled; (1) obtuse (around 120°); (2) almost flat. Ordered. Coded as not applicable in *Bradypus* because the Mt V is vestigial.
- 48. Mt V, orientation of articular facets for the cuboid and Mt IV: (0) medial; (1) mediodorsal. Coded as not applicable in *Bradypus* because the Mt V is vestigial.
- 49. Mt V, lateral process: (0) well developed laterally; (1) weak or absent. Coded as not applicable in *Bradypus* because the Mt V is vestigial.
- 50. Metatarsals, position relative to one another when pes is articulated (and tibial facet of astragalus positioned dorsally): (0) metatarsals arrayed mediolaterally; (1) stacked partly dorsoventrally (Fig. 8B, C); (2) full dorsoventral stacking (Fig. 8A).

*Axial postcranium*

- 51. Number of thoracic vertebrae: (0) 18 or more; (1) fewer than 18. Coded as polymorphic in *Bradypus* (Gaudin, 1999).
- 52. Caudal inclination of spinous process (angle between its cranial edge and a dorsoventral axis) at mid-thoracic region (around eighth thoracic vertebra): (0) weak ( $\alpha \approx 50^\circ$ ); (1) intermediate ( $\alpha \approx 60^\circ$ ); (2) strong ( $\alpha \approx 70^\circ$ ). Ordered. Coded as not applicable in *Bradypus* because of the reduction of the spinous processes.
- 53. Haemal arches, shape of most cranial elements: (0), 'Y-shaped'; (1) 'X-shaped'. Coded as not applicable in *Bradypus* because of the reduction of the caudal vertebrae.
- 54. Rib compactness (for a given section, the ratio of surface occupied by bone to the whole sectional area): (0) below 0.8; (1) between 0.8 and 0.9; (2) above 0.9. Ordered. [Data from Amson *et al.* (2014).]



**Figure 5.** Posterior view of the right femur amongst megatheriid sloths. A, *Hapalops* sp.; B, *Planops martini*; C, *Thalassocnus littoralis*; D, *Megatherium americanum*. Not to scale. Abbreviations: 3rd troch., third trochanter; fov. cap., fovea capitis; great. troch., greater trochanter; lat. cond., lateral condyle; lat. epic., lateral epicondyle; less. troch., lesser trochanter; med. cond., medial condyle; med. epic., medial epicondyle.


*Craniomandibular characters of particular relevance for Thalassocnus*

55. Ratio of maximum visible length of premaxilla to maximum length of skull (including the premaxilla), both in ventral view: (0) low, premaxilla short (ratio < 0.20); (1) intermediate (0.20 < ratio < 0.23); (2) high, premaxilla


elongate (ratio > 0.23). Ordered. See Appendix S3 for ratio values. [Modified from Muizon *et al.* (2003), ch. 4.]

56. Premaxillae, anterior processes widened at their anterior tip: (0) absent; (1) present.

57. Angulation formed by the narial opening in lateral view: (0) lateral narial margin forms either right or




**Figure 6.** Distal view of the right femur amongst Megatheria. A, *Thalassocnus antiquus* (MUSM 228; with interpretative drawing on the right side); B, *Thalassocnus natans* (MNHN.F.SAS734); C, *Thalassocnus littoralis* (MUSM 223); D, *Thalassocnus carolomartini* (MNHN.F.SAO201); E, *Thalassocnus yaucensis* (MUSM 434); F, *Planops martini*, G, *Nothrotheriops shastensis*; H, *Megatherium americanum*. Abbreviations: lat. cond., lateral condyle; lat. epic., lateral epicondyle; lat. troch. ridge, lateral trochlear ridge; LTR, anteroposterior depth at lateral trochlear ridge; med. cruc. lig. notch, notch for medial cruciate ligament; med. cond., medial condyle; med. epic., medial epicondyle; med. troch. ridge, medial trochlear ridge; MTR, anteroposterior depth of medial trochlear ridge anterior to lateral one; pat. troch., patellar trochlea.



**Figure 7.** Plantar view of the left calcaneum amongst Megatheria. A, *Nothrotheriops shastensis*; B, *Planops martini*; C, *Thalassocnus natans*; D, *Megatherium americanum*. Not to scale.

- obtuse angle with dorsal edge of premaxilla; (1) lateral edge of the narial opening forms a smooth, continuous sigmoid curvature with dorsal edge of premaxilla. [Modified from Muizon *et al.* (2003), ch. 7.] Coded as not applicable in *Megalonyx* and *Bradypus* because the premaxilla is very reduced.
58. Attachment of base of jugal to skull: (0) dorsal to second molariform (M2), or more anterior; (1) dorsal to M3. [Modified from Muizon *et al.* (2003), ch. 12.]
59. Posterior margin of pterygoids thickened and expanded mediolaterally: (0) absent; (1) weak; (2) strong. Ordered [Modified from Muizon *et al.* (2003), ch. 16.]
60. Shape of anterior margin of mandibular symphysis in dorsal view: (0) tapered and narrow; (1) transversely expanded and spatulate. [Modified from Muizon *et al.* (2003), ch. 23.]
61. Internal trough of spout of mandible: (0) reaches anterior edge of spout; (1) does not



**Figure 8.** Dorsal view of the articulated left pes amongst Megatheria. A, *Nothrotheriops shastensis*, B, *Thalassocnus natans*; C, *Megatherium americanum*. Not to scale. Abbreviations: D., digit; MEC, mesocuneiform–entocuneiform complex; Mt, metatarsal; ph., phalanx.

reach anterior edge of spout. [Modified from Muizon *et al.* (2003), ch. 24.] Coded as not applicable in *Glossotherium* because there is no trough.

ANALYSIS

We performed a heuristic search using PAUP 4.0b10 (Swofford, 2002; monitoring for the absence of bugs,

which sometimes occur in the apomorphy list of this version; E. Amson pers. observ.), with a random-addition sequence, 1000 replicates, and with equally weighted character states. The branch support values were calculated by manually adding steps to the shortest tree.

#### ILLUSTRATION OF *PLANOPS MARTINI*'S UNGUAL PHALANX

In the original description of *P. martini* Hoffstetter, 1961, the author mentions an ungual phalanx twice without figuring it. The first mention is in the description of the lot that corresponds to the holotype ('trois phalanges dont une unguéale'; [three phalanges, including one ungual]; Hoffstetter, 1961: 61). The second mention of the ungual phalanx, in the description itself, is written in the conditional tense, denoting the hesitation of the author regarding the attribution (Hoffstetter, 1961: 80). There, the author mentions the second digit of the manus. The description states that this phalanx is less compressed than in *Hapalops*, that the dorsal side is transversely rounded, the palmar side flattened, and that the ungual bears a weak proximodistal curvature. Since the publication of Hoffstetter (1961), the ungual phalanx of the second digit of the manus has been described in an additional nothrotheriid, *Mionothropus* (De Iuliis *et al.*, 2011), and in *Thalassocnus* (Amson *et al.*, 2015a). It has already been emphasized that the semicircular cross-section of the ungual process of the second digit of the manus is a distinctive traits of nothrotheriids [McDonald & Muizon (2002), ch. 28; Muizon *et al.* (2003), ch. 30; De Iuliis *et al.* (2011), ch. 55] and of the early species of *Thalassocnus*, *T. antiquus* (the later species of the genus being characterized by a dorsopalmar flattening of this process; Amson *et al.*, 2015a), as this cross-sectional shape is not found in other digits or taxa. As the ungual process of the ungual phalanx of the holotype of *P. martini* features this distinctive cross-sectional shape, and hence strongly resembles those of nothrotheriids and of *T. antiquus*, we can today confirm Hoffstetter's (1961) tentative attribution. Given the systematic importance of this phalanx (see below), an illustration is included herein (Fig. 9).

## RESULTS


The analysis resulted in a single MPT (Fig. 10). The tree has a length of 948 steps. Its CI is 0.47 and RI is 0.62. There are no internal branches with a null length (see table of linkages in Appendix S4). Owing to the pruning of most megalonychids, mylodontids, and outgroups from the matrix of Gaudin (2004), 46

characters coming from the latter matrix became constant in the present analysis; 23 variable characters were parsimony-uninformative (see Appendix S2).

#### INTERSPECIFIC RELATIONSHIPS OF *THALASSOCNUS*


The monophyly of the genus *Thalassocnus* is supported by 51 unambiguous synapomorphies (and up to 83 synapomorphies depending on the optimization: see table of linkages in Appendix S4), amongst which six are postcranial: pubic cornu of acetabulum below the level of the ischiatic cornu and with weak posterior extension [ch. 25 (0⇒1); nonhomoplastic], slender femur [ch. 26 (0⇒1); Fig. 5A], teardrop-shaped patella [ch. 32 (0⇒1); nonhomoplastic], stoutness of the Mt IV [ch. 45 (2⇒0); CI = 2/5, RI = 2/5], mediadorsal orientation of cuboid and Mt IV facets on Mt V [ch. 48 (0⇒1); nonhomoplastic], and the acquisition of an intermediate (> 60°) caudal inclination of the spinous processes of the midthoracic region [ch. 52 (0⇒1); CI = 3/4, RI = 2/3]. Amongst the 45 unambiguous cranial synapomorphies, nine are nonhomoplastic: trough of spout of mandible does not reach anterior edge of spout [ch. 61 (0⇒1)], teeth implanted vertically [ch. 66 (1⇒0)], mandibular condyle convex medially and concave laterally in posterior view [ch. 116 (2⇒3)], nasal width increases anteriorly (ch. 162 (1⇒2)), presence of two lacrimal foramina [ch. 202 (0⇒1)], infraorbital foramen unexposed in ventral view [ch. 218 (1⇒0)], parietal without distinct anteroventral process [ch. 240 (1⇒0)], occipital condyle roughly triangular but extended far medioventrally in posterior view [ch. 253 (1⇒2)], and presence of a glenoid posterior shelf [ch. 342 (0⇒1)] (see Appendix S5 for complete list of apomorphies).

The present analysis confirms the position of the earliest species, *T. antiquus* (c. 8 Mya) as sister group of the other species in the genus. Such a position had already been suggested by Muizon *et al.* (2003) and Amson *et al.* (2015c). Furthermore, the relationships amongst the later species of the genus are also congruent with the stratigraphical position of each species, with *T. natans* (c. 7 Mya) being sister group of the three later species (forming the clade Th.1 in Fig. 10), and *T. littoralis* (c. 6 Mya) being sister group of the two later species (forming the clade Th.2 in Fig. 10), *T. carolomartini* (c. 5 Mya) and *T. yaucensis* (< c. 5 Mya; the two latter species form the clade Th.3 in Fig. 10). These relationships are supported by three (Th.1), seven (Th.2), and one (Th.3) unambiguous synapomorphies, respectively (Appendix S4): Th.1 is defined by a well-developed brachiocephalic crest [ch. 2 (0⇒1); CI = 1/2, RI = 3/4], a lunar that is wider than long [ch. 10 (0⇒1); CI = 1/2, RI = 2/3], and a weakly developed medial trochlear ridge of the femur [ch. 31 (0⇒1);



**Figure 9.** Ungual phalanx of the second manual digit of *Planops martini* (NHMUK PV M9217f, part of the holotype lot; Hoffstetter, 1961). A, dorsal view; B, palmar view; C, lateral view; D, proximal view.

CI = 1/4, RI = 2/5]; Th.2 is defined by the absence of facet a for Mc V on the cuneiform [ch. 15 (0⇒1); CI = 2/3, RI = 1/2], the presence of a deep notch for



**Figure 10.** Phylogeny of megatherioid sloths. The PAUP heuristic search (Swofford, 2002) resulted in a single most parsimonious tree (consistency index = 0.47, retention index = 0.62). The numbers at the nodes are the branch support values. Abbreviations: Moi., Megatherioidea; Myc., Megalonychidae.

the medial cruciate ligament on the femur [ch. 30 (0⇒1); CI = 1/2, RI = 2/3], a Mt IV of intermediate stoutness [ch. 45 (0⇒1); CI = 2/5, RI = 2/5], a long premaxilla [ch. 55 (1⇒2); CI = 1/2, RI = 3/4], lateral edge of narial opening forming a smooth sigmoid curvature in lateral view [ch. 57 (0⇒1); nonhomoplastic], a spatulate mandibular symphysis [ch. 60 (0⇒1); CI = 1/2, RI = 2/3], and a relatively long pre-orbital region [ch. 146 (1⇒0); CI ≈ 0.4, RI ≈ 0.6]; Th.3 is defined by a strong mediolateral process of the cuneiform [ch. 14 (0⇒1); CI = 1/2, RI = 1/2]. Several of the characters supporting clades within *Thalassocnus* involve morphoclines extending from the earliest to the latest species, e.g. the development of pronator ridge of the radius [ch. 5 (0⇒1⇒2⇒3)], the caudal inclination of the spinous processes of the midthoracic region [ch. 52 (1⇒2⇒3)], or bone compactness [ch. 54 (0⇒1⇒2)]. For some of the continuously

variable characters, the rather arbitrary discretization of the states directly conditions the number of synapomorphies recognized for each clade within the genus. We view each of these clades as well supported, and the number of synapomorphies given here as the mere result of one example of character coding.

#### THALASSOCNUS WITHIN THE TARDIGRADA

The present analysis is the first to place *Thalassocnus* (considered as such) amongst megatheriids. A Megatheriidae that includes *Thalassocnus*, is supported by nine unambiguous synapomorphies (and up to 36 depending on the optimization), amongst which four are postcranial: rounded and distally positioned medial epicondyle of the humerus [ch. 3 (0⇒1); CI = 1/2, RI ≈ 0.9; Fig. 1C, D], laterodistal process of radius extending far distally [ch. 8 (0⇒1); nonhomoplastic; Fig. 2C, D], a strongly distinct odontoid process of the astragalus [ch. 35 (1⇒2); CI = 2/5, RI = 2/3], and sustentacular and cuboid facets of the calcaneum widely confluent [ch. 41 (0⇒1); CI = 1/2, RI = 4/5]. The craniodental synapomorphies of the Megatheriidae are: an elongate condyloid process [ch. 112 (2⇒0); CI = 2/5, RI = 2/3], a plane of the condylar articular surface that changes mediolaterally [ch. 121 (0⇒1); CI = 1/3, RI = 2/3], an elongate symphysis [ch. 123 (2⇒3); CI = 2/3, RI ≈ 0.9], moderately developed symphyseal spout [ch. 129 (1⇒2); CI = 2/5, RI = 2/3], and the absence of clear demarcation between symphysis and horizontal ramus [ch. 130 (0⇒1); nonhomoplastic]. Furthermore, the Megatheriinae and *Thalassocnus* are united by 15 unambiguous synapomorphies (and up to 57 depending on the optimization), the postcranial ones are: short humerus [ch. 1 (0⇒1); CI = 1/2, RI ≈ 0.7], fovea capitis only partially included in the femoral head articular surface [ch. 27 (0⇒1); CI = 1/2, RI ≈ 0.8; Fig. 5C, D], anterior border of medial and lateral facets of the proximal tibia at same level [ch. 34 (0⇒1); CI = 1/2, RI ≈ 0.9], right angle between the odontoid and discooid facets of the astragalus in distal view [ch. 36 (0⇒1); CI = 1/3, RI = 1/2], and strong development of the proximal processes of the tuber calcis [ch. 40(0⇒1); CI = 1/2, RI = 0.9; Fig. 7C, D]. For this last character, *Planops*, positioned in our results as the sister taxon to all other included megatheriids, features an interesting condition (Fig. 7B). Because its lateroproximal process extends more distally than that of nonmegatheriid megatherioids (Fig. 7A), it can be viewed as having an intermediate condition when compared to those of other megatheriids (*Thalassocnus* included), in which this process and the medioproximal process are more developed distally (Fig. 7C, D). The megatheriines

and *Thalassocnus* also share ten unambiguous craniodental apomorphies, amongst them: tooththrow horizontal in lateral view [ch. 64 (2⇒0); CI = 1/2, RI = 3/5], tympanic fused dorsally [ch. 265 (0⇒1); CI = 1/3, RI = 3/4], and hemispherical glenoid [ch. 338 (0⇒1); CI = 1/2, RI = 4/5].

#### OTHER RELATIONSHIPS AMONGST MEGATHERIOIDEA

Although not the focus of the present study, some comments can be made regarding the other nodes of the tree produced by our analysis. As in previous phylogenetic analyses (Gaudin, 2004; Pujos *et al.*, 2007; and references therein), the Megatheria, a clade comprising the megatheriids and the nothrotheriids, is recovered. Whereas this clade was supported by only four unambiguous synapomorphies in Gaudin (2004), seven unambiguous synapomorphies are obtained here (and up to 31 depending on the optimization). Only two of these are postcranial synapomorphies. This could suggest that the inclusion of *Thalassocnus* itself in an analysis that comprises both families of Megatheria further substantiates the recognition of this clade, although the modification of the taxonomic sample when compared to the analysis of Gaudin (2004) cannot be ruled out as an alternative cause of the increase of unambiguous synapomorphies for the Megatheria. Concerning their postcranium, the Megatheria are defined by the medially projecting bicipital tuberosity of the radius [ch. 6 (0⇒1)] and the prominent anterior extension of the medial trochlear ridge of the femur [ch. 31 (1⇒0)]. Furthermore, they are unambiguously defined by parallel lateral edges of the mandibular spout [ch. 133 (1⇒0); CI = 1/2, RI = 3/4], a posterior external opening of mandibular canal that opens laterally on the horizontal ramus [ch. 136 (0⇒1); nonhomoplastic], fused vomerine wings, leaving the overlying ethmoid unexposed [ch. 260 (0⇒1); CI = 1/3, RI = 3/5], medial expansion of entotympanic dorsal to floor of basicranium [ch. 292 (1⇒0); CI = 1/4, RI ≈ 0.6], stylomastoid foramen connected to nearby ventral opening of canal for occipital artery by a strong groove [ch. 321 (1⇒3); CI ≈ 0.4, RI ≈ 0.6], and occipital artery completely enclosed within a canal [ch. 331 (1⇒3); CI = 0.3; RI ≈ 0.7].

According to Gaudin (2004), the clade Megatherioidea includes the Megatheriidae, Nothrotheriidae, and a third family, the Megalonychidae (which comprises the extant two-toed sloth *Choloepus*), along with several Santacrucian taxa whose relationships are not entirely resolved, namely *Schismotherium*, *Pelecycodon*, *Hapalops*, and *Analcimorphus*. Our results yield an unambiguous resolution of the relationships amongst these early megatherioids and the three megatherioid families. *Schismotherium* and



*Pelecycodon* form a clade that represents the sister group of all other Megatherioidea, herein called 'clade A'. This clade is not well supported (branch support value of 2), but it is noteworthy that it was also found in one of the MPTs of Gaudin (2004). It is defined by six unambiguous synapomorphies: upper and lower caniniforms (C1 and c1) slightly depressed ventrally relative to the remaining molariforms [ch. 64 (0⇒2); CI = 1/2, RI = 3/5], elongate diastema [ch. 67 (0⇒1); CI = 1/2, RI ≈ 0.8], sphenopalatine foramen situated well anterior and ventral to sphenorbital fissure/optic foramen [ch. 222 (1⇒0); CI = 1/3, RI ≈ 0.7], squamosal with lateral bulge at root of zygoma [ch. 228 (0⇒1); CI = 1/3, RI ≈ 0.7], nuchal crest overhangs occiput posteriorly [ch. 245 (0⇒1); nonhomoplastic], and rugose tympanic external surface [ch. 263 (0⇒1); CI = 1/2, RI = 4/5]. *Hapalops* is positioned here as sister taxon of a clade consisting of *Analcimorphus* and megalonychids, all forming the 'clade B' (Fig. 10). This clade is not well supported either (branch support value of 1), but was also recovered in some of the analyses of Gaudin (2004), depending on the character weighting scheme. The 'clade B' is defined by seven unambiguous synapomorphies: no contact between lunar and unciform [ch. 12 (1⇒0); CI = 1/2, RI = 1/2], median position of astragalar process for navicular in distal view [ch. 38 (1⇒0); nonhomoplastic], 18 or more thoracic vertebrae [ch. 51 (1⇒0); nonhomoplastic], elongate and narrow coronoid process of dentary [ch. 108 (2⇒0); CI = 1/4, RI ≈ 0.6], one posteriorly projecting point on distal portion of descending process of jugal [ch. 215 (1⇒0); CI = 1/4, RI = 1/2], median ridge of occiput extends dorsally onto the roof of the skull [ch. 246 (0⇒1); CI = 1/2, RI = 1/2], and occipital condyles with distinct neck [ch. 254 (0⇒1); CI = 1/3, RI ≈ 0.8]. The Nothrotheriidae are recovered as monophyletic and well supported (branch support value of 9), with 11 unambiguous synapomorphies, amongst them the presence of a contact between the pterygoid and the vomer [ch. 193 (0⇒1); CI = 1/2, RI = 3/4], the vomer bearing an elongate asymmetrical ventral keel and extending posteriorly into nasopharynx [ch. 261 (0⇒1), nonhomoplastic], and a very large exposure of the vomer, which covers the presphenoid and much of the basisphenoid [ch. 262 (0⇒1), nonhomoplastic].

## DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

Until now, the aquatic sloth genus *Thalassocnus*, from the Pacific coast of South America, has always been considered a member of the extinct family Nothrotheriidae. This was supported by several synapomorphies, but its assignment to this family was never tested in an analysis that included the

other megatherian family, the Megatheriidae. Doing so unambiguously indicates that *Thalassocnus* is more closely related to megatheriids than to nothrotheriids. The apomorphies formerly recognized as being shared by *Thalassocnus* and nothrotheriids (De Iuliis *et al.*, 2011) appear rather to be synapomorphies of the more inclusive clade Megatheria. One character that has been used to support the nothrotheriid attribution is worth mentioning as an example – the cross-sectional shape of the unguis process of the unguis phalanx on the second manual digit (McDonald & Muizon, 2002; Muizon *et al.*, 2003; De Iuliis *et al.*, 2011). Whereas nothrotheriids and *Thalassocnus* indeed feature a semicircular process, the definitive attribution of a second unguis phalanx to *P. martini* (see text above and Fig. 9) demonstrates that the semicircular cross-section is in fact a synapomorphy of the Megatheria (with further specializations in megatheriines).

The family Megatheriidae traditionally comprises megatheriines and planopsines [De Iuliis (1994) and references therein; but see Pujos *et al.* (2007)]. The present study advocates the recognition of three megatheriid subfamilies, with the addition of the monogeneric Thalassocninae, a subfamily formally designated by Muizon *et al.* (2004a). Although not formally included in the present phylogenetic analysis, a brief consideration of other megatheriines supports this conclusion. *Megathericulus* is a Friasian and Colloncuran SALMA (Middle Miocene) genus placed in a clade with *Anisodontherium* (Chasicuan SALMA, Late Miocene) that in turn forms the sister group to all other megatheriines (Pujos *et al.*, 2013). The pattern of postcranial synapomorphies resulting from the present analysis is consistent with this arrangement and with the monophyly of both Thalassocninae and Megatheriinae (the latter encompassing those Miocene taxa not included in the present study). For example, the absence of the entepicondylar foramen (humerus, ch. 4) is consistent with the attribution of *Megathericulus* and *Anisodontherium* to Megatheriinae, as the foramen is also missing in *Megatherium* and *Eremotherium* (De Iuliis, Brandoni & Scillato-Yané, 2008), but is present in *Thalassocnus* (Fig. 1). Furthermore, the patellar and both condylar surfaces of the distal femur are confluent in *Megathericulus*, whereas the patellar trochlea of the femur (ch. 29) is reduced and confluent with only the lateral condyle in *Megatherium* and *Eremotherium*, a condition also found in other megatheriines, including the Huayquerian SALMA (Late Miocene) *Pyramiodontherium* (Pujos *et al.*, 2013). The retention of the plesiomorphic condition in *Megathericulus* (as in *Thalassocnus* and *Planops*; Fig. 6) is consistent with its position as a sister taxon to all other megatheriines.

As a corollary to these taxonomic and phylogenetic patterns, the age of divergence between Thalassocninae and Megatheriinae appears to be Friasian (middle Miocene) or earlier. This early divergence date, combined with the monophyly of both subfamilies, supports the retention of the Thalassocninae, despite the modification of its familial attribution.

One of the results of the present analysis is the confirmation of the stratigraphically congruent phylogenetic relationships amongst *Thalassocnus* species (in other words, the earliest species is the sister group of all others and so on; Fig. 10). Additionally, several morphoclines orientated from the earliest to the latest species are recognized, and numerous other characters not included in the matrix (because of their non-applicability to other taxa) can also be viewed as morphoclines of the same nature (Amson *et al.*, 2014, 2015a,b,c). Moreover, the autapomorphies of each species of *Thalassocnus* except the latest (*T. yaucensis*) are parts of such morphoclines. As a consequence, none of the branches leading to each species except the latest one has an unambiguous length (the lengthening of the Mc II can be recognized as an unambiguous autapomorphy of *T. antiquus*, but a long Mc II is most likely the ancestral state of the genus, because it clearly shortens from the early to the late species). Furthermore, *Thalassocnus* is endemic to the central Pacific coast of South America. As a result, the data are completely congruent with the recognition of Thalassocninae as a distinct anagenetic lineage that evolved in this region. The fossil record of *Thalassocnus* is remarkable in terms of the abundance and completeness of specimens recovered and in the fact that all species derive from a clear stratigraphical sequence in this same geographical area. Of course, the fossil record is never exhaustive, a condition required to formally recognize an anagenetic lineage (Darlu & Tassy, 1993). In spite of this, the record of *Thalassocnus*, which spans over roughly 4 Myr (Muizon *et al.*, 2004a; Ehret *et al.*, 2012), fulfils all the conditions to provide a clear indication of what can be hypothesized as having represented an evolutionary lineage. This hypothesis is supported by the numerous morphoclines concerning the gross morphology of the skull, mandible, dentition (Muizon *et al.*, 2004a,b), forelimb (Amson *et al.*, 2015a), hind limb (Amson *et al.*, 2015b), axial postcranium (Amson *et al.*, 2015c), and bone inner microstructure (Amson *et al.*, 2014).

From a functional standpoint, this phylogenetic framework is also consistent with the purported gradual adaptation of *Thalassocnus* to the marine environment, as additional synapomorphies are acquired from the earliest species, *T. antiquus*, to the ‘clade Th.1’ (*T. natans* and later species), then to the ‘clade

Th.2’ (*T. littoralis* and later species), and then the ‘clade Th.3’ (*T. carolomartini* and *T. yaucensis*). The latest species (*T. yaucensis*) features all the apomorphies (when characters are known for this species) involved in this adaptation, such as a grazing dentition (Muizon *et al.*, 2004a,b), shortest metacarpals for powerful digging of subterranean items (most likely rhizomes of seagrasses; Amson *et al.*, 2015a), hind limb features that are the most indicative of a plantigrade posture (likely helpful for bottom-walking; Amson *et al.*, 2015b), and most pachyostotic ribs (helpful for buoyancy and trim control; Amson *et al.*, 2014, 2015c). The Thalassocninae hence document with striking detail the evolution of a mammalian clade that has adapted to the marine environment.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Rodolfo Salas-Gismondi (MUSM), Samuel McLeod and Vanessa Rhue (both LACM), Castor Cartelle (MCL), and Géraldine Veron (MNHN) for allowing one of us (E. A.) to visit the collections under their care. We are grateful to Greg McDonald (National Park Service) for his insightful discussions about tardigradan phylogeny. The three reviewers are acknowledged for the improvement they brought to the initial manuscript. Finally, Pip Brewer (NHMUK) should receive our warmest thanks for having taken the pictures of the ungual phalanx of the holotype of *Planops martini*. E. A. was funded by the CR2P (CNRS, MNHN, UPMC-Paris 06; Sorbonne Universités), the Swiss National Fund grant SNF 31003A\_149605 to M. R. Sánchez-Villagra, and the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation.

#### REFERENCES

- Amson E, Muizon C de, Laurin M, Argot C, Buffrénil V de. 2014.** Gradual adaptation of bone structure to aquatic lifestyle in extinct sloths from Peru. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* **281**: 20140192.
- Amson E, Argot C, McDonald HG, Muizon C de. 2015a.** Osteology and functional morphology of the forelimb of the marine sloth *Thalassocnus* (Mammalia, Tardigrada). *Journal of Mammalian Evolution* **22**: 169–242.
- Amson E, Argot C, McDonald HG, Muizon C de. 2015b.** Osteology and functional morphology of the hind limb of the marine sloth *Thalassocnus* (Mammalia, Tardigrada). *Journal of Mammalian Evolution* **22**: 355–419.
- Amson E, Argot C, McDonald HG, Muizon C de. 2015c.** Osteology and functional morphology of the axial postcranium of the marine sloth *Thalassocnus* (Mammalia, Tardigrada) with paleobiological implications. *Journal of Mammalian Evolution* **22**: 473–518.
- Bargo MS, Vizcaíno SF. 2008.** Paleobiology of Pleistocene ground sloths (Xenarthra, Tardigrada): biomechanics,

- morphogeometry and ecomorphology applied to the masticatory apparatus. *Ameghiniana* **45**: 175–196.
- Bianucci G, Di Celma C, Landini W, Post K, Tinelli C, Muizon C de, Gariboldi K, Malinverno E, Cantalamessa G, Gioncada A, Collareta A, Salas-Gismondi R, Varas-Malca R, Urbina M, Lambert O. 2016.** Distribution of fossil marine vertebrates in Cerro Colorado, the type locality of the giant raptorial sperm whale *Livyatan melvillei* (Miocene, Pisco Formation, Peru). *Journal of Maps* **13**: 543–557.
- Billet G, Germain D, Ruf I, Muizon C de, Hautier L. 2013.** The inner ear of *Megatherium* and the evolution of the vestibular system in sloths. *Journal of Anatomy* **223**: 557–567.
- Canto J, Salas-Gismondi R, Cozzuol MA, Yáñez J. 2008.** The aquatic sloth *Thalassocnus* (Mammalia, Xenarthra) from the late Miocene of North-Central Chile: biogeographic and ecological implications. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* **28**: 918–922.
- Cartelle C, Fonseca JS. 1983.** Contribuição ao melhor conhecimento da pequena preguica terrícola *Nothrotherium maquinense* (Lund) Lydekker, 1889. *Lundiana* **2**: 127–181.
- Cuvier G. 1804.** Sur le *Megatherium*. *Annales du Muséum National D'Histoire Naturelle* **5**: 376–400.
- Darlu P, Tassy P. 1993.** *La reconstruction phylogénétique. Concepts et Méthodes*. Paris: Masson.
- De Iuliis G. 1994.** Relationships of the Megatheriinae, Nothrotheriinae, and Planopsinae: some skeletal characteristics and their importance for phylogeny. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* **14**: 577–591.
- De Iuliis G, Brandoni D, Scillato-Yané GJ. 2008.** New remains of *Megathericulus patagonicus* Ameghino, 1904 (Xenarthra, Megatheriidae): information on primitive features of megatheriines. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* **28**: 181–196.
- De Iuliis G, Gaudin TJ, Vicars MJ. 2011.** A new genus and species of nothrotheriid sloth (Xenarthra, Tardigrada, Nothrotheriidae) from the Late Miocene (Huayquerian) of Peru. *Palaeontology* **54**: 171–205.
- De Iuliis G, Pujos F, Toledo N, Bargo MS, Vizcaino SF. 2014.** *Eucholoeps* Ameghino, 1887 (Xenarthra, Tardigrada, Megalonychidae) from the Santa Cruz Formation, Argentine Patagonia: implications for the systematics of Santacrucian sloths. *Geodiversitas* **36**: 205–255.
- Ehret DJ, Macfadden BJ, Jones DS, DeVries TJ, Foster DA, Salas-Gismondi R. 2012.** Origin of the white shark *Carcharodon* (Lamniformes: Lamnidae) based on recalibration of the Upper Neogene Pisco Formation of Peru. *Palaeontology* **55**: 1139–1153.
- Engelmann GF. 1985.** The phylogeny of the Xenarthra. In: Montgomery GG, ed. *The evolution and ecology of Armadillos, Sloths, and Vermilinguas*. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution Press, 51–64.
- Fariña RA, Vizcaino SF, Bargo MS. 1998.** Body mass estimations in Lujanian (late Pleistocene-early Holocene of South America) mammal megafauna. *Mastozoología Neotropical* **5**: 87–108.
- Gaudin TJ. 1995.** The ear region of edentates and the phylogeny of the Tardigrada (Mammalia, Xenarthra). *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* **15**: 672–705.
- Gaudin TJ. 1999.** The morphology of xenarthrous vertebrae (Mammalia: Xenarthra). *Fieldiana Geology New Series* **41**: 1–38.
- Gaudin TJ. 2004.** Phylogenetic relationships among sloths (Mammalia, Xenarthra, Tardigrada): the craniodental evidence. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* **140**: 255–305.
- Gazin CL. 1957.** Exploration for the remains of giant ground sloths in Panama. *Smithsonian Institution Annual Report* **1956**: 341–354.
- Hoffstetter R. 1952.** Les mammifères pléistocènes de la république de l'Équateur. *Mémoires de la Société Géologique de France* **31**: 375–488.
- Hoffstetter R. 1961.** Description d'un squelette de *Planops* (Gravigrade du Miocène de Patagonie). *Mammalia* **25**: 1–96.
- Hoffstetter R. 1968.** Un gisement de vertébrés tertiaires à Sacaco (Sud-Pérou), témoin néogène d'une migration de faunes australes au long de la côte occidentale sud-américaine. *Comptes Rendus Hebdomadaires des Séances de L'Académie des Sciences. Série D* **267**: 1273–1276.
- Leidy J. 1855.** A memoir on the extinct sloth tribe of North America. *Smithsonian Contributions to Knowledge* **7**: 1–68.
- Lydekker R. 1894.** Contributions to a knowledge of the fossil vertebrates of Argentina. *Anales del Museo de la Plata, Paleontologia Argentina* **3**: 1–103.
- McDonald HG. 1977.** Description of the osteology of the extinct gravigrade edentate *Megalonyx* with observations on its ontogeny, phylogeny, and functional anatomy. Unpublished MSc Thesis, University of Florida.
- McDonald HG. 1987.** A systematic review of the Plio-Pleistocene Scelidotherine Ground Sloth (Mammalia, Xenarthra, Mylodontidae). Unpublished DPhil Thesis, University of Florida.
- McDonald HG, Muizon C de. 2002.** The cranial anatomy of *Thalassocnus* (Xenarthra, Mammalia), a derived nothrothere from the Neogene of the Pisco Formation (Peru). *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* **22**: 349–365.
- Muizon C de, DeVries TJ. 1985.** Geology and paleontology of late Cenozoic marine deposits in the Sacaco area (Peru). *Geologische Rundschau* **74**: 547–563.
- Muizon C de, McDonald HG. 1995.** An aquatic sloth from the Pliocene of Peru. *Nature* **375**: 224–227.
- Muizon C de, McDonald HG, Salas R, Urbina M. 2003.** A new early species of the aquatic sloth *Thalassocnus* (Mammalia, Xenarthra) from the Late Miocene of Peru. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* **23**: 886–894.
- Muizon C de, McDonald HG, Salas R, Urbina M. 2004a.** The youngest species of the aquatic sloth *Thalassocnus* and a reassessment of the relationships of the nothrothere sloths (Mammalia: Xenarthra). *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* **24**: 287–397.
- Muizon C de, McDonald HG, Salas R, Urbina M. 2004b.** The evolution of feeding adaptations of the aquatic sloth *Thalassocnus*. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* **24**: 398–410.

- Owen R. 1842.** *Description of the skeleton of an extinct gigantic sloth, Mylodon robustus, Owen, with observations on the osteology, natural affinities, and probable habits of the megatherioid quadrupeds in general.* London: Royal College of Surgeons of England, 1–176.
- Owen R. 1858.** On the Megatherium (*Megatherium americanum*, Cuvier and Blumenbach). Part IV. Bones of the Anterior Extremities. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London* **148**: 261–278.
- Owen R. 1859.** On the Megatherium (*Megatherium americanum*, Cuvier and Blumenbach). Part V. Bones of the Posterior Extremities. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London* **149**: 809–829.
- Owen R. 1861.** *Memoir on the Megatherium, or giant ground-sloth of America (Megatherium americanum, Cuvier).* Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. London: Williams and Norgate, 84, 27 pl.
- Patterson B, Segall W, Turnbull WD, Gaudin TJ. 1992.** The ear region in xenarthrans (= Edentata: Mammalia) Part II. Pilosa (Sloths, Anteaters), palaeonodons, and a miscellany. *Fieldiana Geology New Series* **24**: 1–79.
- Paula Couto C de. 1974.** The manus of *Nothrotheriops shastense* (Sinclair, 1905). *Anais do XXVIII Congresso Brasileiro de Geologia* **2**: 165–176.
- Pujos F. 2002.** *Contribution à la connaissance des tardigrades (Mammalia: Xenarthra) du Pleistocène péruvien: systématique, phylogénie, anatomie fonctionnelle et extinction.* Dissertation. Paris: Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle.
- Pujos F, De Iuliis G, Argot C, Werdelin L. 2007.** A peculiar climbing Megalonychidae from the Pleistocene of Peru and its implication for sloth history. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* **149**: 179–235.
- Pujos F, Salas R, Baby G, Baby P, Goillot C, Tejada J, Antoine PO. 2013.** Implication of the presence of *Megathericulus* (Xenarthra: Tardigrada: Megatheriidae) in the Laventan of Peruvian Amazonia. *Journal of Systematic Palaeontology* **11**: 973–991.
- Pyenson ND, Gutstein CS, Parham JF, Le Roux JP, Chavarría CC, Little H, Metallo A, Rossi V, Valenzuela-Toro AM, Velez-Juarbe J, Santelli CM, Rogers DR, Cozzuol MA, Suárez ME. 2014.** Repeated mass strandings of Miocene marine mammals from Atacama Region of Chile point to sudden death at sea. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* **281**: 20133316.
- Scott WB. 1903–1904.** Mammalia of the Santa Cruz beds. *Reports of the Princeton University Expeditions to Patagonia* **5**: 1–490.
- Stock C. 1925.** Cenozoic gravigrade edentates of western North America, with special reference to the Pleistocene Megalonychinae and Mylodontidae of Rancho La Brea. *Carnegie Institution of Washington Publications* **331**: 1–206.
- Swofford DL. 2002.** *PAUP: Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony.* Version 4.0b10. Sunderland, MA: Sinauer Associates.
- Tito G. 2008.** New remains of *Eremotherium laurillardii* (Lund, 1842) (Megatheriidae, Xenarthra) from the coastal region of Ecuador. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences* **26**: 424–434.
- Toledo N, Bargo MS, Vizcaíno SF. 2015.** Muscular reconstruction and functional morphology of the hind limb of Santacrucian (early Miocene) sloths (Xenarthra, Folivora) of Patagonia. *Anatomical Record* **298**: 842–864.

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the supporting information tab for this article:

**Appendix S1.** Nexus file containing the data matrix.

**Appendix S2.** Correspondence between the numbering systems used in the present analysis and those of Gaudin (1995, 2004).

**Appendix S3.** Tables displaying the calculated ratios for the characters that include numerical values.

**Appendix S4.** Table of linkages provided by PAUP.

**Appendix S5.** List of apomorphies [under delayed transformation (DELTRAN) optimization] provided by PAUP.