

Does BCG Vaccination Protect Against Nontuberculous Mycobacterial Infection? A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Petra Zimmermann,^{1,2,3,4} Adam Finn,^{5,6,7} and Nigel Curtis^{1,2,3}

¹Department of Paediatrics, The University of Melbourne, ²Infectious Diseases Unit, Royal Children's Hospital Melbourne, and ³Infectious Diseases and Microbiology Research Group, Murdoch Children's Research Institute, Parkville, Australia; ⁴Infectious Diseases Unit, University of Basel Children's Hospital, Switzerland; ⁵School of Population Health Sciences and School of Cellular and Molecular Medicine, University of Bristol, ⁶Bristol Children's Vaccine Centre, and ⁷University Hospitals Bristol National Health Service Foundation Trust, United Kingdom

Background. The incidence of nontuberculous mycobacterial (NTM) infections is increasing worldwide, particularly NTM lymphadenitis and skin infections (Buruli ulcer). This review summarizes the evidence for the protective effectiveness of BCG vaccination against NTM disease.

Methods. A systematic search using PRISMA guidelines was done for controlled studies investigating the protective effectiveness of BCG vaccination against NTM disease in immunocompetent individuals. This revealed 10 studies, including almost 12 million participants.

Results. Three cohort studies in industrialized countries suggest that the incidence of NTM lymphadenitis is greatly reduced among BCG-vaccinated children compared with BCG-unvaccinated children, with a risk ratio (RR) of 0.04 (95% confidence interval [CI], .01–.21). In two randomized trials in low-income countries, BCG protected against Buruli ulcer for the first 12 months following vaccination (RR, 0.50 [95% CI, .37–.69]). Four case-control studies had conflicting results. One cohort study found that individuals with Buruli ulcer are less likely to develop osteomyelitis if they have a BCG scar (RR, 0.36 [95% CI, .22–.58]). No studies have compared different BCG vaccine strains or the effect of revaccination in this setting.

Conclusions. The protective effect of BCG vaccination against NTM should be taken into consideration when deciding on recommendations for discontinuation of universal BCG vaccination programs and in assessing new vaccines designed to replace BCG. *Keywords.* NTM; atypical; lymphadenitis; Buruli ulcer; *M. ulcerans.*

Nontuberculous mycobacteria (NTM) are ubiquitous, being found in water, soil, and animals. Although >170 species have been identified, the majority of human NTM disease is caused by <20 species [1]. In immunocompetent children, NTM most frequently cause cervicofacial lymphadenitis or skin and soft tissue infections. The commonest NTM skin infection worldwide is Buruli ulcer, a chronic, progressive skin lesion caused by *Mycobacterium ulcerans*. Untreated, the ulcer can progress to osteomyelitis and lead to permanent bone destruction.

Although not a notifiable disease, the incidence of NTM lymphadenitis in industrialized countries is reported to be between 0.6 and 2.2 cases per 100000 children per year [2-4], with the highest incidence in children <4 years of age.

The Journal of Infectious Diseases® 2018;218:679–87

Epidemiological studies in developing countries are lacking. Buruli ulcer has been reported in 33 countries, and 15 countries regularly provide data to the World Health Organization (WHO) [5]. The incidence in Africa is estimated to be between 21 and 320 cases per 100 000 per year [6, 7] in Australia, at 1 case per 100 000 per year [5, 8], and in Japan at 0.005 cases per 100 000 per year. In Africa, about half of the cases occur in children <15 years of age, whereas in Australia and Japan approximately 15% of cases occur in this age group [5].

Over the past few decades, the reported incidence of NTM lymphadenitis, as well as Buruli ulcer, has been increasing [6, 7, 9–12]. This might be attributable partly to improved awareness, enhanced reporting, and better diagnostic methods, but it is also possible that the apparent increase is related to the discontinuation of BCG vaccination programs in industrialized countries. As BCG vaccine is a live attenuated strain of *Mycobacterium bovis* that shares epitopes with NTM, it is plausible that it provides specific cross-protection against NTM disease. This review and meta-analysis summarizes studies that have investigated the protective effectiveness of BCG vaccination against NTM disease in immunocompetent children and adults.

Received 29 January 2018; editorial decision 4 April 2018; accepted 6 April 2018; published online April 7, 2018.

Correspondence: P. Zimmermann, Department of Paediatrics, The University of Melbourne, Royal Children's Hospital Melbourne, 50 Flemington Road, Parkville, VIC 3052, Australia (petra.zimmermann@mcri.edu.au).

[©] The Author(s) 2018. Published by Oxford University Press for the Infectious Diseases Society of America. All rights reserved. For permissions, e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com. DOI: 10.1093/infdis/jiy207

	-		3					
					No. of (Cases		
Study [Reference] Study Period, Location	Age of Participants	Study Type (Level of Evidence)	Outcome and Diagnostic Methods (Number of participants)	Vaccine Strain	BCG- Vaccinated	BCG- Unvaccinated	Risk Ratio (95% Cl)	Key Findings and Comments Including NTM Species Cultured
Katila et al [18] 1977–1986, Finland	Children	Retrospective popu- lation-based cohort study (2c)	Lymphadenitis: clinical 31; histology 31; skin test 10; culture 11	1977 BCG Sweden; 1978–1986 BCG Glaxo	25ª/8333333	6ª/300 000	0.15 (.06–.37)	 BCG vaccination reduced the risk of NTM infection Highest protection at 1-4 y of age 35% of cases were laboratory confirmed^b MAC 9, <i>M. malmoense</i> 2 Vaccine status determined by vaccination record
Trnka et al [17] 1986–1993, Czech Republic	Children	Prospective popu- lation-based cohort study (2c)	Lymphadenitis: clinical 27; histology 27; skin test 15; culture 4	BCG Russia	0/746 087	27/190874	0.00)	BCG vaccination reduced the risk of MAC lymphadenitis • 15% of cases were laboratory confirmed ^b • Cervical 24, mediastinal 2, cervical plus mediastinal 1 • Vaccine status determined by vaccination record
Romanus et al [16] 1969–1990, Sweden	Children <15 y	Retrospective and prospective popu- lation-based cohort study (2c)	Extrapulmonary NTM infection: clinical 387; culture confirmed 387	1969–1978 BCG Sweden; 1978–1990 BCG Denmark	8/809 299	379/1469698	0.04 (.02–.08)	 BCG vaccination reduced the risk of NTM infection Lymphadenitis/soft tissue infection 379, skin infection 5, osteoarticular infection 2, ottis media 1 100% of cases were laboratory confirmed^b MAC 231, M. mainones 43, M. chelonae 3, M. fortuitum R. Runyon III e, a nortypeable 4, M. chelonae 3, M. fortuitum 2, M. xenopi 2, M. avium 1, M. kansasii 1, M. terrae 1 Vaccine status determined by vaccination record

Table 1. Studies Reporting on the Protective Effect of BCG Vaccination Against Nontuberculous Mycobacterial Lymphadenitis in Industrialized Countries^a

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; MAC, Mycobacterium avium-intracellulare complex; NTM, nontuberculous mycobacteria.

^aIncludes 2–6 possible infections with Mycobacterium tuberculosis.

^bBy culture or polymerase chain reaction.

^cNontyped, slow-growing, nonchromogenic mycobacteria.

Table 2. Randomized Controlled Trials Reporting on the Protective Effect of BCG Vaccination Against Buruli Ulcer

		ŀ			No. o	f Cases		
Study [Reference] Study Period, Location	Age of Participants	Study lype (Level of Evidence)	Outcome and Diagnostic Methods (Number of Participants)	Vaccine Strain	BCG- Vaccinated	BCG- Unvaccinated	Risk Ratio (95% CI)	Key Findings and Comments
Bradley et al [19] 1967–1968, Uganda	Children and adults (31% <15 y)	RCT (1b)	Buruli ulcer: dinical 65; histology 63; culture 31	BCG Glaxo	21/606 (3%)	44/624 (7%)	0.49 (.3082)	 BCG vaccination reduced the risk of Buruli ulcer Overall protection rate reported as 47% (P = .007) Protection was only in the first year after vaccination (72% protective in first 6 mo) Protection 18% in high-incidence settings, 74% in low-incidence areas (P = .03) Onset of symptoms was delayed by 2-3 mo in those BCG vaccinated 48% of cases were laboratory confirmeda
Smith et al [20] 1970–1974, Uganda	Children and adults (48% <15 y)	RCT (1b)	Buruli ulcer: dinical 100; histology 48	BCG Glaxo	34/2775 (1%)	66/2764 (2%)	0.51 (.3477)	 BCG vaccination reduced the risk of Buruli ulcer Overall protection rate reported as 47% (P < .01) Protection was only in the first year after vaccination (63% protective in first 12 mo) Protective only in participants with tuberculin reactions of <4 mm before vaccina-tion (P < .05) BCC vaccinated individuals had smaller skin lesions (P < .01) No cases ware laboratory confirmeda Retrospective case-control part of study: RR, 0.78 95% CI (.50–1.21)

Abbreviations: Cl, confidence interval; RCT, randomized controlled trial; RR, risk ratio.

^aBy culture or polymerase chain reaction.

METHODS

A systematic search was done according to PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) guidelines [13] for studies investigating the protective effectiveness of BCG vaccination against NTM disease. In April 2017, Medline (1946 to present) and Embase (1947 to present) were searched using the Ovid interface with the following search terms: (nontuberculous OR non-tuberculous OR NTM OR atypical mycobacteria OR environmental mycobacteria OR Buruli ulcer OR Mycobacterium avium OR Mycobacterium ulcerans OR Mycobacterium avium-intracellulare) AND (BCG vaccin* OR Mycobacterium bovis) without language limitations. The references of identified articles were hand-searched for further studies. The following variables were extracted from the included studies: year of study, country, study design, number of participants, age of participants, BCG vaccination status, BCG vaccine strain, NTM disease, diagnostic methods, and key findings. Review Manager (version 5.3) was used for calculation of risk ratios, odds ratios, and the meta-analyses. Diversity in study design and reporting, which might result in selection and reporting bias, precluded quality evaluation according to the PRISMA guidelines. The ROBINS-1 tool [14] was used to assess risk of bias.

RESULTS

The literature searches yielded 812 articles relating to NTM and 1543 articles relating to Buruli ulcer. Of these, 10 fulfilled the inclusion criteria of controlled studies investigating the protective effectiveness of BCG vaccination against NTM disease in immunocompetent individuals. One study was excluded because it included the same patients as one of the other identified studies [15].

NTM Lymphadenitis in Industrialized Countries

Three studies from industrialized countries, all population-based cohort studies, compared the incidence of NTM lymphadenitis in a total of 9888719 BCG-vaccinated children with 1960572 non-BCG-vaccinated children. Of these children, 445 were diagnosed with NTM disease. All three studies reported a greatly reduced incidence of NTM lymphadenitis in BCG-vaccinated

compared to BCG-unvaccinated children; the overall risk ratio (RR) was 0.04 (95% confidence interval [CI], .01–.21]) (Table 1 and Figure 1). The number needed to treat (NNT) calculated from the three cohort studies was 4835 (95% CI, 4403–5362).

A nationwide surveillance study in Sweden, done after discontinuation of routine neonatal BCG vaccination, reported 387 children with confirmed extrapulmonary NTM disease (83% with Mycobacterium avium-intracellulare complex [MAC], 97% presenting with lymphadenitis) over a period of 22 years. Only nine of the 390 children had received BCG vaccine (0.02%). The cumulative incidence rate of NTM infection was 5.9 per 100 000 in BCG-vaccinated children aged <5 years and 26.8 per 100000 in BCG-unvaccinated children [16]. Similarly, a study from the Czech Republic after discontinuation of routine BCG vaccination, in which children were screened for NTM disease by skin test, reported 27 cases of MAC lymphadenitis over a period of 6 years. All the cases occurred in BCG-unvaccinated children with an incidence of NTM lymphadenitis of 3.6 per year per 100 000 [17]. In Finland, during the period when BCG vaccine was routinely administered to newborns, the incidence of NTM lymphadenitis between 1 and 4 years of age was 0.3 per 100000 per year in BCG-vaccinated children and 1.5-2.5 per year in BCG-unvaccinated children [18].

Buruli Ulcer

Six studies investigated the protective effectiveness of BCG vaccination against Buruli ulcer, comparing the incidence in 6475 BCG-vaccinated adults and children with 13612 BCGunvaccinated adults and children. The strongest evidence comes from two randomized controlled trials (RCTs) done in Uganda (Table 2 and Figure 2A). These reported a considerably lower incidence of Buruli ulcer in BCG-vaccinated compared with BCG-unvaccinated participants, with an RR of 0.50 (95% CI, .37-.69). The NNT calculated from the three cohort studies was 4835 (95% CI, 4403-5362). Protection following BCG vaccination was higher in low-incidence than in high-incidence settings (74% vs 18%; P = .03) [19] and was only short-term (within the first year after vaccination), with an overall reduction of Buruli ulcer of 47% (P < .01) [19, 20]. In one of these studies, BCG-vaccinated individuals had smaller skin lesions compared with unvaccinated individuals [20].





Four case-control studies (two from Benin, one from Ghana, and one from the Congo, Ghana, and Togo) investigated the protective effectiveness of BCG against Buruli ulcer (Table 3). Two studies suggest a reduced risk of Buruli ulcer in BCG-vaccinated individuals [21, 22], and two suggest no benefit [23, 24]; when the results of all four case-control studies are combined, there is no evidence of a protective effect of BCG (odds ratio, 1.34 [95% CI, .19–1.51]) (Figure 2B) [21, 22, 25–27].

Osteomyelitis

One cohort study from Benin compared the incidence of osteomyelitis in patients with Buruli ulcer in 304 BCG-vaccinated adults and children with the incidence in 68 BCG-unvaccinated adults and children (Table 4 and Figure 3). This showed that BCG vaccination protected against the development of osteomyelitis in patients with Buruli ulcer (RR, 0.36 [95% CI, .22–.58]) [23]. However, the study did not specify how many cases were laboratory confirmed; therefore, inclusion of osteomyelitis caused by pathogens other than NTM might have led to an overestimate of the rate of protection.

DISCUSSION

The protective effectiveness of BCG vaccination against *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and *Mycobacterium leprae* is well recognized [24, 28]. There is also evidence that infection with NTM might confer protection against *M. tuberculosis* infection or interact with the effectiveness of BCG vaccination [29–31]. In contrast, whether BCG vaccination protects against NTM infections has been controversial.

Our review found strong evidence from large European surveillance studies that BCG vaccination protects against NTM lymphadenitis in children. The rate of NTM infections in Finland, when there was universal neonatal BCG vaccination, was 30 times lower than the rate in Sweden, which did not have universal neonatal BCG vaccination, despite both countries having similar environmental and epidemiological characteristics [18]. In addition, in the Czech Republic and in Sweden, a sharp increase in NTM infection in children was observed after stopping universal neonatal BCG vaccination [16, 17].

For Buruli ulcer, there is strong evidence from two RCTs for a protective effect of BCG vaccination in the first year after the vaccination [19, 20]. The results of the case-control studies are difficult to interpret given their disparate findings. Furthermore, it is important to consider that the RCTs estimated the effectiveness of BCG vaccine under the optimal storage, handling, and administration conditions of a clinical trial [19, 20], while this was not necessarily the case in the case-control studies [21, 22, 25, 27]. In addition to the study included in our review, which reports smaller skin lesions in patients with Buruli ulcer who have previously received a BCG vaccine [20], another study (not included in this review because the BCG vaccination status was not reported in the control group) reported a shorter duration to healing [26]. A further study (not included due to incomplete data) suggested that BCG vaccination protects against severe forms of Buruli ulcer with multiple skin lesions [32]. In addition to the evidence from the study included in our review [23], this study also indicates that BCG vaccination might protect patients with Buruli ulcer from progression to NTM osteomyelitis [32].

А	BCO	C	No B	cc			Risk Ratio		Ri	sk Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	М-Н,	Random, 95% CI	Year	M–H, Ra	ndom, 95%	, CI	
Bradley et al, Uganda	21	606	44	624	39.5%	, 0	0.49 [.30, .82]	1969	-	-		
Smith et al, Uganda	34	2775	66	2764	60.5%	0	0.51 [.34, .77]	1976	-			
Total (95% CI)		3381		3388	100.0%	D	0.50 [.37, .69]					
Total events	55		110									
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = .00$; Chi^2	= .02, d	f = 1 (P =	$.90); I^2$	= 0%								
Test for overall effect: $Z = 4.20$ (P < .000)1)						0.01	0.1	1	10	100
В		BC	C	No B	CC		Risk Ratio			Odds Ratio		
Study or Subgroup		Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M–H, Fixed, 95% (I Year	M-H	, Fixed, 95%	6 CI	
Ragunathan et al, Ghana		63	119	53	113	5.4%	1.27 [.76, 2.1]	3] 2005		+		
Nackers et al, Benin		180	279	664	988	22.0%	0.89 [.67, 1.1]	7] 2006				
Debacker et al, Benin		1127	1907	326	817	39.5%	2.18 [1.84, 2.5]	7] 2006				
Phillips et al, Congo, Ghana, To	go	226	775	175	452	33.1%	0.65 [.51, .83	3] 2015		-		
Total (95% CI)			3080		2370	100.0%	1.34 [1.19, 1.5]	l]		•		
Total events		1596		1218								
Heterogeneity: $\text{Chi}^2 = 74.15$; df	= 3 (P <	.00001), 1	$I^2 = 96\%$, D				+	+			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 4.81$ (P < .000	001)						0.0	0.1	1	10	100
									Favors	BCG Fave	ors control	



		No. of Part	ticipants			Vaccine Strain	No. of C	ases		
Study [Reference] Study Period, Location	Age of Participants	BCG- Vaccinated	BCG- unvaccinated	Study Type (Level of Evidence)	Outcome and Diagnostic Methods (Number of Participants)		BCG- vaccinated/ v Cases	BCG- /accinated/ O Controls (dds Ratio 95% CI)	Key Findings and Comments
Raghunathan et al [¿ 2000, Ghana	25) Children and adults (62% <15 γ)	19	13	Retrospective case-control study (3b)	Buruli ulcer: clinical 116; histology 79; stain 13; culture 54; PCR 106	Various	63/116 (54 %)	56/116 (48%)	1.27 .76–2.13)	BCG vaccination did not reduce the risk of Buruli ulcer • Approximately 95% of cases were laboratory confirmed ^a • Vaccine status determined by presence of scar
Debacker et al [27] 1997–2003, Benin	Children and adults (38% <15 y)	1907	817	Retrospective case-control study (3b)	Buruli ulcer: clinical 1453	Various	1127/1453 (78%)	780/1271 (61%) (2.18 1.84–2.57)	BCG vaccination did not reduce the risk of Buruli ulcer • No cases were laboratory confirmed ^a • Vaccine status determined by presence of scar
Nackers et al [22] 2002–2003, Benin	Children and adults (48% <13 y)	279	80 80 80	Retrospective case-control study (3b)	Buruli ulcer: clinical 844; stain or histology o culture or PCR 132	Various	180/844 (21%)	99/423 (23%)	0.89 .67–1.17)	BCG vaccination reduced the risk of Buruli ulcer • Protection (adjusted for socioeconomic status) 12% (95% CL 24%-37%) • Most received BCG vaccination as neonates and were included > 1 y after vaccination vere included > 1 y after vaccination vere aboratory confirmed ^a • Vaccine status determined by presence of scar or vaccination record
Phillips et al [21] 2010–2013, Congo, Ghana, Togo	Children and adults (54% <19 y)	775	452	Retrospective case-control study (3b)	Buruli ulcer: clinical 401; stain 277; culture 56; PCR 373	Congo: 2010–2011 BCG Japan, 2012 BCG Japan or Russia, 2013 BCG Russia, Ghana: BCG Japan; Togo: BCG Russia	226/401 (56%)	549/826 (66%)	0.65 (.51–.83)	BCG vaccination reduced the risk of Bunuli ulcer (but authors stated not after stratifying by country and age) BCG vaccination did not influence duration or time to healing of skin lesions Approximately 95% of cases were laboratory confirmed ^a Vaccine status determined by presence of scar
Abbreviations: Cl, confic ^a By culture or PCR.	dence interval; PCR, polyi	merase chain re	action.							
Table 4. Studies	Reporting on the P	rotective Eff	fect of BCG V	accination Against <i>N</i>	lycobacterium ulcera	<i>ns</i> Osteomyelitis in P	atients With	ı Buruli Ulce	5	
Cturdo [Doformon]						No. of Cases				
Study Period, Location	Age of Participants	Study (Level of E	Type Evidence) [Outcome and Diagnostic Methods	Vaccine Strain	BCG- Vaccinated Unv	BCG- vaccinated	Risk Rat (95% C	0 (Key Findings and Comments
Portaels et al [23] 2004, Benin	Children and adults (60% <15 y)	Cohort stu (2b)	udy Ost v cl st	eomyelitis in patients vith Buruli ulcer: inical 55; iain or culture or PCR 55	Not specified	34/304 (11%)	21/68 (31 %)	0.36 (.22–.58	BCC • •	vaccination protected against <i>Mycobacterium ulcerans</i> steomyelitis in children and adults with Buruli ulcer ccine status determined by presence of scar of scer field how many cases were laboratory onfirmed ^a

Table 3. Case-Control Studies Reporting on the Protective Effect of BCG Vaccination Against Buruli Ulcer

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; PCR, polymerase chain reaction. ^aBy culture or PCR. Notably, all but one of the studies reporting on the protective effect of BCG vaccination against Buruli ulcer assessed BCG vaccinations status only by the presence of a scar. Determining BCG vaccination status by the presence of a scar has a sensitivity of between 55% and 97% [33–35] and therefore its use may underestimate BCG vaccine effectiveness in comparative studies. However, the presence of a scar does not predict protection against tuberculosis [36, 37], and failure to develop a BCG scar might be an indication of poor vaccination technique [38]. As this might also be the case for NTM disease, using the presence of a scar rather than administration of BCG could, on the contrary, also overestimate protection.

There is some evidence to suggest that vaccine strain and genotype influence the protective effectiveness of BCG against *M. tuberculosis* [39–41]. It is therefore plausible that there is variation between different BCG strains in their protective effectiveness against NTM disease. The vaccine strains used in the studies included in this review varied considerably, precluding meaningful analysis.

A trial that included 121020 people in Malawi showed that revaccination with BCG approximately halved the risk of leprosy compared with a single BCG vaccination, even though it did not protect against pulmonary tuberculosis [42]. It would be of interest to determine whether revaccination with BCG increases the strength or duration of protection against NTM.

A number of animal studies support the notion that BCG vaccination protects against NTM infection. Mice, rabbits, and guinea pigs vaccinated intracutaneously with BCG Dubos II are protected against *M. avium* administered intravenously [43]. Mice vaccinated with BCG Pasteur or Glaxo subcutaneously, intravenously or through the aerogenic route are protected against aerogenic infection with *M. avium* and *Mycobacterium kansaii*, but not against *Mycobacterium simiae* or *Mycobacterium intracellulare* [44, 45]. One study in mice found that the effectiveness of BCG vaccination against NTM infection varies according to differences in host conditions and different strains of *M. ulcerans* [46].

Recent trials have investigated the possibility of developing vaccines with greater effectiveness against NTM. The mycobacterial antigen 85A has 85% amino acid sequence similarity in

M. ulcerans and *M. bovis*. A DNA vaccine encoding this antigen protects mice against Buruli ulcer [47]. This vaccine has been further developed, combining antigen 85A from *Mycobacterium smegmatis* with BCG in a live-recombinant vaccine, and protects mice against Buruli ulcer [48]. A single immunization with a plasmid expressing the BCG antigen DNA-35 protects mice against infection with *M. avium* [49].

The strengths of this review are the comprehensive literature search, the clearly defined inclusion criteria, and the use of meta-analysis to assess results from multiple studies. The main limitations are the heterogeneity between studies in design, including the use of different BCG strains. Further limitations are potential differences between the groups who received and did not receive BCG vaccine, such as epidemiological factors, access to healthcare, and intensity of surveillance. Additionally, the use of BCG scar to assess vaccination status in retrospective studies and the inclusion of non-laboratory-confirmed cases of NTM infection probably introduces bias. The risk of bias in the studies is summarized in Table 5.

Overall, our review and meta-analysis indicates that BCG vaccination protects against NTM. It is likely that effectiveness of BCG vaccination varies between different NTM diseases, populations, age groups, and the BCG strain used to vaccinate. The increase in incidence of NTM lymphadenitis in industrialized countries that have discontinued universal BCG vaccination might therefore be related to the loss of protection afforded by this vaccine.

Our review suggests that the protective effect of BCG vaccination against NTM should be taken into consideration when deciding on recommendations for discontinuation of universal BCG vaccination programs and in assessing new vaccines designed to replace BCG. In deciding vaccine policy, the incidence and the severity of the disease, as well as the NNT, are important considerations. The NNT with BCG vaccine to prevent one case of NTM lymphadenitis is probably unjustifiably high when considered in isolation, as NTM lymphadenitis is relatively rare and usually has a favorable outcome despite a frequently long and troublesome course. In contrast, Buruli ulcer is a serious condition with crippling sequelae and has been identified by the WHO as an emerging public health problem. The potential importance of BCG vaccination for preventing Buruli ulcer has been recognized in a recent WHO position paper [50].





Table 5. Summary of Risk of Bias in Studies Included in the Review

				0 1 1	NA: 1 :6: .:	D (A	D:	
Study, First Author [Reference]	Publication Year	Study Type	Confounding	Bias	Bias	Performance Bias	Attrition Bias	Bias	Reporting Bias
Lymphadenitis									
Katila [18]	1987	CS	5	3	3	3	4	2	2
Trnka [17]	1994	CS	4	1	2	4	3	5	2
Romanus [16]	1995	CS	4	1	2	3	3	5	2
Buruli ulcer									
Bradley [19]	1969	RCT		-		+	-	-	-
Smith [20]	1976	RCT		-		-	-	-	-
Raghunathan [25]	2005	CCS	4	3	5	3	4	3	4
Debacker [27]	2006	CCS	5	4	5	3	5	3	4
Nackers [22]	2006	CCS	4	4	5	3	4	3	5
Phillips [21]	2015	CCS	5	4	4	3	4	3	4
Mycobacterium ulcerans osteor	nyelitis								
Portaels [23]	2004	CS	3	4	5	3	4	3	4

Scale: 1 = very low; 2 = low; 3 = moderate; 4 = high; 5 = very high

Abbreviations: CCS, case-control study; CS, cohort study; RCT, randomized controlled trial.

Notes

Author contributions. P. Z. drafted the initial manuscript and performed the systematic review and metaanalysis. N. C. and A. F. critically reviewed and revised the manuscript. All authors approved the final manuscript as submitted.

Financial support. P. Z. is supported by a Fellowship from the European Society of Paediatric Infectious Diseases and an International Research Scholarship from the University of Melbourne.

Potential conflicts of interest. All authors: No reported conflicts of interest. All authors have submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest. Conflicts that the editors consider relevant to the content of the manuscript have been disclosed.

REFERENCES

- Bacterio.Net. LPSN—list of prokaryotic names with standing in nomenclature. http://wwwbacterionet/mycobacteriumhtml. Accessed 1 May 2017.
- Tebruegge M, Pantazidou A, MacGregor D, et al. Nontuberculous mycobacterial disease in children—epidemiology, diagnosis and management at a tertiary center. PLoS One 2016; 11:e0147513.
- Thegerström J, Romanus V, Friman V, Brudin L, Haemig PD, Olsen B. *Mycobacterium avium* lymphadenopathy among children, Sweden. Emerg Infect Dis 2008; 14: 661–3.
- Haverkamp MH, Arend SM, Lindeboom JA, Hartwig NG, van Dissel JT. Nontuberculous mycobacterial infection in children: a 2-year prospective surveillance study in the Netherlands. Clin Infect Dis 2004; 39:450–6.
- World Health Organization. http://apps.who.int/gho/data/ node.main.A1631. Accessed 13 March 2018.

- Amofah G, Bonsu F, Tetteh C, et al. Buruli ulcer in Ghana: results of a national case search. Emerg Infect Dis 2002; 8:167–70.
- Kanga JM, Kacou ED. Epidemiological aspects of Buruli ulcer in Cote d'Ivoire: results of a national survey. Bull Soc Pathol Exot 2001; 94:46–51.
- 8. Services VHaH. http://www.health.vic.gov.au/ideas/ downloads/daily_reports/rptVS_SNIDSVictorian Summary_GR.pdf Victoria Health and Human Services SNIDSVictorianSummary. Accessed 13 March 2018.
- Prevots DR, Marras TK. Epidemiology of human pulmonary infection with nontuberculous mycobacteria: a review. Clin Chest Med 2015; 36:13–34.
- Henry MT, Inamdar L, O'Riordain D, Schweiger M, Watson JP. Nontuberculous mycobacteria in non-HIV patients: epidemiology, treatment and response. Eur Respir J 2004; 23:741–6.
- Pilkington EF, MacArthur CJ, Beekmann SE, Polgreen PM, Winthrop KL. Treatment patterns of pediatric nontuberculous mycobacterial (NTM) cervical lymphadenitis as reported by nationwide surveys of pediatric otolaryngology and infectious disease societies. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol 2010; 74:343–6.
- Thomson RM; NTM Working Group at Queensland TB Control Centre and Queensland Mycobacterial Reference Laboratory. Changing epidemiology of pulmonary nontuberculous mycobacteria infections. Emerg Infect Dis 2010; 16:1576–83.
- Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, et al. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate healthcare interventions: explanation and elaboration. BMJ 2009; 339:b2700.
- Sterne JA, Hernán MA, Reeves BC, et al. ROBINS-I: a tool for assessing risk of bias in non-randomised studies of interventions. BMJ 2016; 355:i4919.

- Portaels F, Aguiar J, Debacker M, et al. Prophylactic effect of *Mycobacterium bovis* BCG vaccination against osteomyeli- tis in children with *Mycobacterium ulcerans* disease (Buruli ulcer). Clin Diagn Lab Immunol 2002; 9:1389–91.
- Romanus V, Hallander HO, Wahlen P, Olinder-Nielsen AM, Magnusson PH, Juhlin I. Atypical mycobacteria in extrapulmonary disease among children. Incidence in Sweden from 1969 to 1990, related to changing BCG-vaccination coverage. Tuber Lung Dis **1995**; 76:300–10.
- Trnka L, Danková D, Svandová E. Six years' experience with the discontinuation of BCG vaccination. 4. Protective effect of BCG vaccination against the *Mycobacterium avium* intracellulare complex. Tuber Lung Dis **1994**; 75:348–52.
- Katila ML, Brander E, Backman A. Neonatal BCG vaccination and mycobacterial cervical adenitis in childhood. Tubercle 1987; 68:291–6.
- Bradley DJ, Hutt MSR, Kiryabwire JWM, et al. BCG vaccination against *Mycobacterium ulcerans* infection (Buruli ulcer). First results of a trial in Uganda. Lancet **1969**; 1:111–5.
- 20. Smith PG, Revill WD, Lukwago E, Rykushin YP. The protective effect of BCG against *Mycobacterium ulcerans* disease: a controlled trial in an endemic area of Uganda. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg **1976**; 70:449–57.
- 21. Phillips RO, Phanzu DM, Beissner M, et al. Effectiveness of routine BCG vaccination on Buruli ulcer disease: a case-control study in the Democratic Republic of Congo, Ghana and Togo. PLoS Negl Trop Dis **2015**; 9:e3457.
- 22. Nackers F, Dramaix M, Johnson RC, et al. BCG vaccine effectiveness against Buruli ulcer: a case-control study in Benin. Am J Trop Med Hyg **2006**; 75:768–74.
- 23. Portaels F, Aguiar J, Debacker M, et al. *Mycobacterium bovis* BCG vaccination as prophylaxis against *Mycobacterium ulcerans* osteomyelitis in Buruli ulcer disease. Infect Immun **2004**; 72:62–5.
- 24. Setia MS, Steinmaus C, Ho CS, Rutherford GW. The role of BCG in prevention of leprosy: a meta-analysis. Lancet Infect Dis **2006**; 6:162–70.
- Raghunathan PL, Whitney EA, Asamoa K, et al. Risk factors for Buruli ulcer disease (*Mycobacterium ulcerans* infection): results from a case-control study in Ghana. Clin Infect Dis 2005; 40:1445–53.
- Amofah GK, Sagoe-Moses C, Adjei-Acquah C, Frimpong EH. Epidemiology of Buruli ulcer in Amansie West district, Ghana. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 1993; 87:644–5.
- 27. Debacker M, Portaels F, Aguiar J, et al. Risk factors for Buruli ulcer, Benin. Emerg Infect Dis **2006**; 12:1325–31.
- World Health Organization. Report on BCG vaccine use for protection against mycobacterial infections including tuberculosis, leprosy, and other nontuberculous mycobacteria (NTM) infection. http://www.who.int/immunization/

sage/meetings/2017/october/1_BCG_report_revised_ version_online.pdf. Accessed 02 April 2018.

- 29. Lozes E, Denis O, Drowart A, et al. Cross-reactive immune responses against *Mycobacterium bovis* BCG in mice infected with non-tuberculous mycobacteria belonging to the MAIS-Group. Scand J Immunol **1997**; 46:16–26.
- 30. Orme IM, Collins FM. Efficacy of *Mycobacterium bovis* BCG vaccination in mice undergoing prior pulmonary infection with atypical mycobacteria. Infect Immun **1984**; 44:28–32.
- 31. Smith D, Reeser P, Musa S. Does infection with environmental mycobacteria suppress the protective response to subsequent vaccination with BCG? Tubercle **1985**; 66:17–23.
- Noeske J, Kuaban C, Rondini S, et al. Buruli ulcer disease in Cameroon rediscovered. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2004; 70:520–6.
- Fine PE, Ponnighaus JM, Maine N. The distribution and implications of BCG scars in northern Malawi. Bull World Health Organ 1989; 67:35–42.
- Floyd S, Ponnighaus JM, Bliss L, et al. BCG scars in northern Malawi: sensitivity and repeatability of scar reading, and factors affecting scar size. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2000; 4:1133–42.
- 35. Pereira SM, Bierrenbach AL, Dourado I, et al. Sensitivity and specificity of the BCG scar reading. Rev Saude Publica **2003**; 37:254–9.
- 36. Fine PE, Sterne JA, Pönnighaus JM, Rees RJ. Delayed-type hypersensitivity, mycobacterial vaccines and protective immunity. Lancet **1994**; 344:1245–9.
- Timmermann CA, Biering-Sørensen S, Aaby P, et al. Tuberculin reaction and BCG scar: association with infant mortality. Trop Med Int Health 2015; 20:1733–44.
- Roth A, Sodemann M, Jensen H, et al. Vaccination technique, PPD reaction and BCG scarring in a cohort of children born in Guinea-Bissau 2000–2002. Vaccine 2005; 23:3991–8.
- 39. Ritz N, Dutta B, Donath S, et al. The influence of bacille Calmette-Guerin vaccine strain on the immune response against tuberculosis: a randomized trial. Am J Respir Crit Care Med **2012**; 185:213–22.
- 40. Favorov M, Ali M, Tursunbayeva A, et al. Comparative tuberculosis (TB) prevention effectiveness in children of bacillus Calmette-Guérin (BCG) vaccines from different sources, Kazakhstan. PLoS One **2012**; 7:e32567.
- Shann F. Editorial commentary: different strains of bacillus Calmette-Guérin vaccine have very different effects on tuberculosis and on unrelated infections. Clin Infect Dis 2015; 61:960–2.
- 42. Randomised controlled trial of single BCG, repeated BCG, or combined BCG and killed *Mycobacterium leprae* vaccine for prevention of leprosy and tuberculosis in Malawi. Karonga Prevention Trial Group. Lancet **1996**; 348:17–24.
- 43. Engbaek HC, Jespersen A. Effect of BCG vaccination on experimental infection with *M. avium*. Acta Pathol Microbiol Scand **1966**; 67:503–13.

- 44. Orme IM, Collins FM. Prophylactic effect in mice of BCG vaccination against nontuberculous mycobacterial infections. Tubercle **1985**; 66:117–20.
- 45. Collins FM. Protection to mice afforded by BCG vaccines against an aerogenic challenge by three mycobacteria of decreasing virulence. Tubercle **1985**; 66:267–76.
- Converse PJ, Almeida DV, Nuermberger EL, Grosset JH. BCG-mediated protection against *Mycobacterium ulcerans* infection in the mouse. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 2011; 5:e985.
- 47. Tanghe A, Content J, Van Vooren JP, Portaels F, Huygen K. Protective efficacy of a DNA vaccine encoding antigen 85A

from *Mycobacterium bovis* BCG against Buruli ulcer. Infect Immun **2001**; 69:5403–11.

- Hart BE, Hale LP, Lee S. Recombinant BCG expressing *Mycobacterium ulcerans* Ag85A imparts enhanced protec- tion against experimental Buruli ulcer. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 2015; 9:e0004046.
- 49. Martin E, Triccas JA, Kamath AT, Winter N, Britton WJ. Comparative protective effects of recombinant DNA and *Mycobacterium bovis* bacille Calmette-Guérin vaccines against *M. avium* infection. Clin Exp Immunol **2001**; 126:482–7.
- 50. BCG vaccines: WHO position paper—February 2018. Wkly Epidemiol Rec **2018**; 93:73–96.