

Factors associated with positive blood cultures in outpatients with suspected bacteremia

K. Wildi · S. Tschudin-Sutter · S. Dell-Kuster · R. Frei ·
H. C. Bucher · R. Nüesch

Received: 20 September 2010 / Accepted: 5 April 2011 / Published online: 20 April 2011
© Springer-Verlag 2011

Abstract Blood cultures are routinely taken in outpatients with fever and suspected bacterial infections. However, in the majority of cases, they are not informative and of limited value for clinical decision making. The aim of this study was therefore to investigate factors associated with positive blood cultures in outpatients presenting to an outpatient clinic and emergency room. This was a case–control study of all outpatients with positive blood cultures from January 1, 2006 to October 31, 2007 and matched control patients with negative blood cultures in the same time period. Microbiology results and medical charts were

reviewed to determine factors associated with positive blood cultures. The presence of a systemic inflammation response syndrome (SIRS) (OR 2.7, 95% CI 1.0–7.2) and increased C-reactive protein (CRP) (OR 1.1 per 10 mg/l, 95% CI 1.0–1.2) were the most powerful predictive values for the development of positive blood cultures. In positive cases serum albumin was lower (35 mg/l versus 39 mg/l) than in controls. SIRS, increasing CRP and low albumin were associated with positive blood cultures in outpatients. With simple clinical assessment and few laboratory tests indicative of infection, it is possible to define a group at higher risk for bacteremia in outpatients.

Karin Wildi and Sarah Tschudin contributed equally to this work.

K. Wildi · R. Nüesch
Division of Internal Medicine, University Hospital Basel,
Basel, Switzerland

S. Tschudin-Sutter
Division of Infectious Diseases, Hospital Hygiene,
University Hospital Basel,
Basel, Switzerland

S. Dell-Kuster · H. C. Bucher
Basel Institute for Clinical Epidemiology and Biostatistics,
University Hospital Basel,
Basel, Switzerland

R. Frei
Division of Clinical Microbiology, University Hospital Basel,
Basel, Switzerland

R. Nüesch (✉)
Clinic for Infectious Diseases and Hospital Epidemiology,
Hirslanden Klinik St. Anna,
St. Anna Str. 32,
6006 Lucerne, Switzerland
e-mail: reto.nuesch@hirslanden.ch

R. Nüesch
e-mail: reto.nuesch@unibas.ch

Introduction

Incidence of sepsis [1] in patients presenting to emergency departments (ED) remains high [2–5] with mortality rates up to 50% [6]. For this reason early detection and appropriate antibiotic therapy of suspected bacteremia is important.

Blood cultures are routinely taken during the diagnostic work-up of suspected sepsis despite low sensitivity. They are rarely positive in outpatients [7–14] and do not affect patient management when the infection can be established clinically or by microbiological sampling from other body sites [15, 16].

Several studies were conducted on inpatients with suspected sepsis to define factors associated with positive blood cultures and to develop guidelines for their rational use [6, 17–26]. Data that may guide management of outpatients with fever or suspected sepsis syndrome is lacking. The aim of this case–control study was to identify predictive factors for positive blood cultures in outpatients based on findings from clinical examination and routine laboratory testing.

Methods

Setting

The University Hospital of Basel is a 680-bed facility with 27,000 inpatients and 167,000 outpatients per year. It provides primary and tertiary care services.

Cases and controls

We evaluated all blood cultures entered into the electronic database of the microbiology laboratory of the University Hospital from January 1, 2006 to October 31, 2007 from patients aged 16 years or older who received ambulatory care or were admitted for less than 48 hours.

The number of blood cultures, the kind of pathogen detected and its resistance testing, as well as all microbiology culture results from other body samples were extracted from the electronic database in patients with positive blood cultures (cases). White blood cell count, albumin and C-reactive protein (CRP) were collected by chart review, as well as the clinical parameters such as body temperature, heart rate, respiration rate, PaCO₂, and comorbidities with a higher risk for bacterial infections including immunosuppression, obstructive lung disease, insulin dependent diabetes, active malignant tumor and liver cirrhosis. Furthermore, data on antibiotic therapy were obtained. We randomly selected patients with negative blood cultures from the same study period as controls and collected the same data. Controls were matched to cases in a 3 to 1 ratio. Two infectious disease specialists independently reevaluated all positive blood cultures. Cultures were classified as contaminated if typical skin flora was detected in the minority of blood cultures and another focus of infection could be identified. Discrepant judgement was resolved by consensus. In both groups we evaluated if there was a change in patient management due to the result obtained by blood cultures. Missing information was collected from the referring physician or family practitioner with a standardized letter.

Statistical analysis

We used the number of blood cultures as the selection criterion for suitable controls for each case. The frequency matching generated equal distributions for the number of blood cultures in cases and controls. To avoid bias of the estimated odds ratios, we included the matching factor as a covariate in the (unconditional) logistic regression model for positive blood cultures. In addition, further covariates were included (age, albumin, CRP, SIRS, presence of co-morbidities) in order to adjust for their possible confounding effect. Patients with missing data of vital signs were considered as not having SIRS. Analysis was made with Intercooled Stata Version 9.2 for Macintosh.

Results

During the 22-month observation period, 1,432 outpatients and inpatients with less than 48 hours stay had blood cultures taken. Ninety-one patients had positive blood cultures. Of these, 16 were interpreted as contamination, 23 had to be excluded because they were admitted from or went to another institution, and six had died within 48 hours, while data was missing in eight. This resulted in 38 cases with true positive blood cultures, a hospitalisation length ≤ 48 hours and a well-documented medical history. These cases were matched to 114 blood culture negative controls. In 66% of all patients (cases and controls), two sets of blood cultures were taken.

Parameters of interest differed between cases and controls (Table 1). Compared to controls, cases were older (58 vs. 42 years), were more likely to have SIRS (74% vs. 55%), had more underlying diseases and had a lower body temperature (38.5°C vs. 39.1°C). CRP was higher in cases compared to controls (70 mg/l, vs. 25 mg/l), mean albumin was lower (35 mg/l vs. 39 mg/l) and white cell count was higher (11.2/ μ l vs. 9.2/ μ l).

In positive cases, commonly found pathogens were *E. coli* (17/38, 45%) and *Staphylococcus aureus* (5/38, 13%). Polybacterial growth was detected in 7/38 (18.5%) cases. The detected pathogens were *Pseudomonas spp* and *Clostridium spp.* in a patient with diverticulitis, and *Enterococcus faecium* and *Proteus mirabilis* in a case of pyelonephritis; also found was bacteremia with *E. coli* and *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, urosepsis with detection of *Acinetobacter spp.* and *Peptostreptococcus asacharolyticus*, an intraabdominal abscess with *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *E.coli*, bacteremia with *S. aureus* and viridans *Streptococci*, as well as bacteremia with detection of *Fusobacterium necrophorum* and *Bacteroides ureolyticus*. Frequent infections were urinary tract infection (39.9%), fever without focus (21%), pneumonia (7.9%), sepsis (5.3%), endocarditis (5.3%), unspecified infection (5.3%), and diverticulitis (2.2%). The most frequent infections in controls were fever without focus (30%), pneumonia (25%), viral infection (23%), soft tissue infection (5.3%), urinary tract infection (7.8%), and otolaryngological infections (3.5%). A total of 23 cases (61%) received initial antibiotic treatment, mostly with quinolones (12/38) or an aminopenicillin (6/38) and 45 control patients (40%) received antibiotic therapy with amoxicillin/clavulanate. In 21 cases (55%) cultures from other body sites had been taken (mostly urine cultures) and in 16 patients (76%) bacteria were detected in these cultures. In 14 (88%), identical isolates were found in the blood cultures.

In uni- and multivariate logistic regression analysis factors associated with positive blood cultures were the presence of SIRS (OR 2.7; 95%CI 2.0–7.2), serum albumin (OR per 10 mg decrease 0.4; 95%CI 0.4–0.9) and CRP (per

Table 1 Patients characteristics

Characteristics	Controls (<i>n</i> =114)	Cases (<i>n</i> =38)	Total (<i>n</i> =152)	Missing parameters
Age	42 (16–92)	58 (18–96)	43 (16–96)	–
Number of blood cultures				
1x2	18	6	24 (16%)	
2x2	75	25	100 (66%)	
3x2	18	6	24 (16%)	
4x2	3	1	4 (3%)	
Pulse (per minute)	93 (56–147)	104 (56–140)	94 (56–147)	13
Temperature (°C)	39.1 (37.1–42.3)	38.5 (36.1–40.5)	38.5 (36.1–42.3)	12
Respiration frequency (per minute)	16 (12–36)	16 (12–32)	16 (12–36)	105
aBGA: Alkalosis, <i>n</i> (%)	2 (2%)	1 (3%)	3 (2%)	140
White cell count (μL)	9.2 (0.8–27)	11.2 (2.5–26)	9.3 (0.8–27)	1
Premature neutrophils	14 (12%)	3 (8%)	17 (11%)	129
SIRS absent	23 (20%)	7 (18%)	30 (20%)	
SIRS present, <i>n</i> (%)	63 (55%)	28 (74%)	91 (60%)	
SIRS not defined, <i>n</i> (%)	28 (25%)	3 (8%)	31 (20%)	
CRP (mg/l)	25 (1–239)	70 (3–255)	35 (1–255)	1
Albumin (mg/l)	39 (22–50)	35 (16–47)	37 (16–50)	3
Comorbidities				
Immunodepression, <i>n</i> (%)	22 (19%)	11 (29%)	33 (22%)	
COPD, <i>n</i> (%)	14 (12%)	5 (13%)	19 (13%)	
Insulin dependent diabetes, <i>n</i> (%)	2 (2%)	4 (11%)	6 (4%)	
Active malignoma, <i>n</i> (%)	14 (12%)	6 (16%)	20 (13%)	
Liver cirrhosis, <i>n</i> (%)	–	1 (3%)	1 (1%)	
Number of comorbidities, <i>n</i> (%)				
0	82 (72%)	19 (50%)	101 (66%)	
1	15 (13%)	11 (29%)	26 (17%)	
2	14 (12%)	8 (21%)	22 (14%)	
3	3 (3%)	–	3 (2%)	

Noted as median and range, and percentage from the total

10 mg increase OR 1.1; 95%CI 1.0–1.2). Increased age and comorbidities were associated with higher odds for positive bloods cultures in uni- but not in multivariate analysis (Table 2).

Data on follow-up in cases was available in 38 patients. In controls, follow-up data was available in 95 of 114 patients. Thirteen cases (34%) were re-evaluated following positive results of their blood cultures—six were hospitalised, of

Table 2 Univariate and multivariable logistic regression analysis

Covariates	Unadjusted analysis		Adjusted analysis ^a	
	Odds ratio (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value	Odds ratio (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value
Age per 10 years	1.3 (1.0; 1.5)	0.02	1.2 (0.9; 1.5)	0.1
Albumin per 10 mg/l amount	0.2 (0.1; 0.5)	<0.001	0.4 (0.2; 0.8)	0.02
CRP per 10 mg/l amount	1.1 (1.0; 1.2)	<0.001	1.1 (1.0; 1.2)	0.01
SIRS: criteria fulfilled vs. not fulfilled or no definition	2.4 (1.0; 5.5)	0.04	2.7 (1.0; 7.2)	0.04
Comorbidities: yes vs. none	2.5 (1.2; 5.5)	0.02	1.5 (0.6; 3.7)	0.4

The number of patients was reduced to 149 because of missing data for albumin and CRP in three patients

^a Adjustment for the number of blood cultures and all other co-variables

which four received additional antibiotics and two had a change in antibiotic therapy. Of seven cases with ambulatory management, two patients were newly started on antibiotic therapy and five had their antibiotic therapy changed. In seven patients with change of initial therapy, two had pathogens resistant to the previous antibiotic. In the control group we found no change in patient management stopping or shortening of the antibiotic therapy due to negative results of blood cultures.

Discussion

In this case control study of outpatients with suspected bacterial infection from a single academic centre in Switzerland, presence of SIRS, increases in CRP and decreases in albumin were factors most likely to be associated with positive blood cultures. However, results of positive blood cultures only affected patient management in one third of cases and in none of the controls, and the overwhelming majority of blood cultures remained negative. Our data further indicates that blood cultures add little information if a culture can be directly taken from the infected site. Findings on the number of positive blood cultures and outpatients undergoing therapeutic consequences from blood culture results are comparable to findings by others [27, 28]. However, our study included a control group and only looked at outpatients, which in comparison to previous studies on this topic, is a strength. We found lower rates of contaminated blood cultures than previous studies [16, 17, 22, 29–31].

Jaimes et al. [25] identified heart beat ≥ 90 /min, temperature $\geq 37.8^\circ\text{C}$ and white cell count $>12/\mu\text{l}$ as predictors for bacteremia in inpatients. These factors are constituent of the SIRS definition and confirm our findings of increased odds for positive blood cultures in outpatients admitted with SIRS.

Hypoalbuminaemia is known to occur in severe infections [32]; however, to our knowledge no study so far has found an association for hypoalbuminemia and positive blood cultures.

Several studies have found an association between CRP and bacteremia [33–38] in intensive care patients and identified CRP as a marker for confirmed bacteremia [39], or a marker of sepsis in ICU patients with SIRS [40]. The kinetics and the low specificity of CRP are well known and therefore interpretation of CRP in patients with suspected bacterial infection should always be done in context with SIRS symptoms and clinical presentation.

Our study has some limitations. Confidence intervals for the associations found are wide, indicating the relative lack of power and need for careful interpretation. The retrospective design of our study precluded us from collecting additional data for the clinical indication to take blood

cultures. Many patients had only one set of blood cultures taken. One blood culture set detects only 90–91.5% of bacteremia [41–43]. Thus, we may have included patients with false negative results in the control group. Finally, this data is from a single academic centre and needs confirmation in other settings.

In conclusion, this study indicates that the presence of SIRS, elevated levels of CRP and low albumin are associated with positive blood cultures in outpatients presenting with suspected infection to an ED. The identified factors need confirmation in prospective studies but may indicate a promising approach to reduce the number of blood cultures that will not affect patient management but add additional costs.

References

1. Bone RC, Sibbald WJ, Sprung CL (1992) The ACCP-SCCM consensus conference on sepsis and organ failure. *Chest* 101:1481–1483
2. Rangel-Frausto MS, Pittet D, Costigan M, Hwang T, Davis CS, Wenzel RP (1995) The natural history of the systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS). A prospective study. *JAMA* 273:117–123
3. Martin GS, Mannino DM, Eaton S, Moss (2003) The epidemiology of sepsis in the United States from 1979 through 2000. *N Engl J Med* 348:1546–1554
4. Sands KE, Bates DW, Lanken PN, Graman PS, Hibberd PL, Kahn KL, Parsonnet J, Panzer R, Orav EJ, Snyderman DR, Black E, Schwartz JS, Moore R, Johnson BL Jr, Platt (1997) Epidemiology of sepsis syndrome in 8 academic medical centers. *JAMA* 278:234–240
5. Engel C, Brunkhorst FM, Bone HG, Brunkhorst R, Gerlach H, Grund S, Gruendling M, Huhle G, Jaschinski U, John S, Mayer K, Oppert M, Olthoff D, Quintel M, Ragaller M, Rossaint R, Stuber F, Weiler N, Welte T, Bogatsch H, Hartog C, Loeffler M, Reinhart (2007) Epidemiology of sepsis in Germany: results from a national prospective multicenter study. *Intensive Care Med* 33:606–618
6. Bates DW, Cook EF, Goldman L, Lee T (1990) Predicting bacteremia in hospitalized patients. A prospectively validated model. *Ann Intern Med* 113:495–500
7. Chalasani NP, Valdecanas MA, Gopal AK, McGowan JE Jr, Jurado R (1995) Clinical utility of blood cultures in adult patients with community-acquired pneumonia without defined underlying risks. *Chest* 108:932–936
8. Campbell SG, Marrie TJ, Anstey R, Ackroyd-Stolarz S, Dickinson G (2003) Utility of blood cultures in the management of adults with community acquired pneumonia discharged from the emergency department. *Emerg Med J* 20:521–523
9. Corbo J, Friedman B, Bijur P, Gallagher EJ (2004) Limited usefulness of initial blood cultures in community acquired pneumonia. *Emerg Med J* 21:446–448
10. Theerthakarai R, El-Halees W, Ismail M, Solis RA, Khan MA (2001) Nonvalue of the initial microbiological studies in the management of nonsevere community-acquired pneumonia. *Chest* 119:181–184
11. Pasternak EL 3rd, Topinka MA (2000) Blood cultures in pyelonephritis: Do results change therapy? *Acad Emerg Med* 7:1170

12. Sturmman KM, Bopp J, Molinari D, Akhtar S, Murphy J (1996) Blood cultures in adult patients released from an urban emergency department: a 15-month experience. *Acad Emerg Med* 3:768–775
13. Perl B, Gottehrer NP, Raveh D, Schlesinger Y, Rudensky B, Yinnon AM (1999) Cost-effectiveness of blood cultures for adult patients with cellulitis. *Clin Infect Dis* 29:1483–1488
14. Stevenson A, Hider P, Than M (2005) The utility of blood cultures in the management of non-facial cellulitis appears to be low. *N Z Med J* 118:U1351
15. Kelly AM (1998) Clinical impact of blood cultures taken in the emergency department. *J Accid Emerg Med* 15:254–256
16. Laupland KB, Church DL, Gregson DB (2005) Blood cultures in ambulatory outpatients. *BMC Infect Dis* 5:35
17. Shapiro NI, Wolfe RE, Wright SB, Moore R, Bates DW (2008) Who needs a blood culture? A prospectively derived and validated prediction rule. *J Emerg Med* 35:255–264
18. Mozes B, Milatiner D, Block C, Blumstein Z, Halkin H (1993) Inconsistency of a model aimed at predicting bacteremia in hospitalized patients. *J Clin Epidemiol* 46:1035–1040
19. Leibovici L, Greenshtain S, Cohen O, Mor F, Wysenbeek AJ (1991) Bacteremia in febrile patients. A clinical model for diagnosis. *Arch Intern Med* 151:1801–1806
20. Mylotte JM, Pisano MA, Ram S, Nakasato S, Rotella D (1995) Validation of a bacteremia prediction model. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 16:203–209
21. Yehezkeili Y, Subah S, Elhanan G, Raz R, Porter A, Regev A, Leibovici L (1996) Two rules for early prediction of bacteremia: testing in a university and a community hospital. *J Gen Intern Med* 11:98–103
22. Salluzzo R, Reilly K (1991) The rational ordering of blood cultures in the emergency department. *Qual Assur Util Rev* 6:28–31
23. Fontanarosa PB, Kaerberlein FJ, Gerson LW, Thomson RB (1992) Difficulty in predicting bacteremia in elderly emergency patients. *Ann Emerg Med* 21:842–848
24. Pfitzenmeyer P, Decrey H, Auckenthaler R, Michel JP (1995) Predicting bacteremia in older patients. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 43:230–235
25. Jaimes F, Arango C, Ruiz G, Cuervo J, Botero J, Velez G, Upegui N, Machado F (2004) Predicting bacteremia at the bedside. *Clin Infect Dis* 38:357–362
26. Lyman JL (1986) Use of blood cultures in the emergency department. *Ann Emerg Med* 15:308–311
27. Ehrenstein BP, Jarry T, Linde HJ, Scholmerich J, Gluck T (2005) Low rate of clinical consequences derived from results of blood cultures obtained in an internal medicine emergency department. *Infection* 33:314–319
28. Mountain D, Bailey PM, O'Brien D, Jelinek GA (2006) Blood cultures ordered in the adult emergency department are rarely useful. *Eur J Emerg Med* 13:76–79
29. Stalnikowicz R, Block C (2001) The yield of blood cultures in a department of emergency medicine. *Eur J Emerg Med* 8:93–97
30. Howie N, Gerstenmaier JF, Munro PT (2007) Do peripheral blood cultures taken in the emergency department influence clinical management? *Emerg Med J* 24:213–214
31. Kamin Y, Steinberg JM, Kafka M, Hussein A, Srugo I (2003) Is there a need for taking blood cultures from febrile adults discharged from the emergency department? *J Infect* 46:72–73
32. Fleck A, Raines G, Hawker F, Trotter J, Wallace PI, Ledingham IM, Calman KC (1985) Increased vascular permeability: a major cause of hypoalbuminaemia in disease and injury. *Lancet* 1:781–784
33. Gabay C, Kushner I (1999) Acute-phase proteins and other systemic responses to inflammation. *N Engl J Med* 340:448–454
34. Povoia P (2002) C-reactive protein: a valuable marker of sepsis. *Intensive Care Med* 28:235–243
35. Povoia P, Almeida E, Moreira P, Fernandes A, Mealha R, Aragao A, Sabino H (1998) C-reactive protein as an indicator of sepsis. *Intensive Care Med* 24:1052–1056
36. Miller PR, Munn DD, Meredith JW, Chang MC (1999) Systemic inflammatory response syndrome in the trauma intensive care unit: who is infected? *J Trauma* 47:1004–1008
37. Hambach L, Eder M, Dammann E, Schrauder A, Sykora KW, Dieterich C, Kirschner P, Novotny J, Ganser A, Hertenstein B (2002) Diagnostic value of procalcitonin serum levels in comparison with C-reactive protein in allogeneic stem cell transplantation. *Haematologica* 87:643–651
38. Reny JL, Vuagnat A, Ract C, Benoit MO, Safar M, Fagon JY (2002) Diagnosis and follow-up of infections in intensive care patients: value of C-reactive protein compared with other clinical and biological variables. *Crit Care Med* 30:529–535
39. Chirouze C, Schuhmacher H, Rabaud C, Gil H, Khayat N, Estavoyer JM, May T, Hoen B (2002) Low serum procalcitonin level accurately predicts the absence of bacteremia in adult patients with acute fever. *Clin Infect Dis* 35:156–161
40. Sierra R, Rello J, Bailen MA, Benitez E, Gordillo A, Leon C, Pedraza S (2004) C-reactive protein used as an early indicator of infection in patients with systemic inflammatory response syndrome. *Intensive Care Med* 30:2038–2045
41. Lee A, Mirrett S, Reller LB, Weinstein MP (2007) Detection of bloodstream infections in adults: how many blood cultures are needed? *J Clin Microbiol* 45:3546–3548
42. Weinstein MP (1996) Current blood culture methods and systems: clinical concepts, technology, and interpretation of results. *Clin Infect Dis* 23:40–46
43. Weinstein MP, Murphy JR, Reller LB, Lichtenstein KA (1983) The clinical significance of positive blood cultures—a comprehensive analysis of 500 episodes of bacteremia and fungemia in adults. 2. Clinical observations, with special reference to factors influencing prognosis. *Rev Infect Dis* 5:54–70