ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Children's Peer Victimization, Empathy, and Emotional Symptoms

Tina Malti · Sonja Perren · Marlis Buchmann

Published online: 31 July 2009

© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2009

Abstract This study investigated the concurrent and longitudinal relations among children's peer victimization, empathy, and emotional symptoms. The sample consisted of 175 children (85 girls, mean age = 6.1 years) recruited from kindergartens in Switzerland and followed for 1 year (Time 2). Parents and teachers reported on the children's emotional symptoms, empathy, and victimization. Children reported their empathy and victimization experiences. Peer victimization was a predictor of emotional symptoms at Time 1; this association was stronger for children with average or high levels of empathy. Increases in peer victimization predicted increases in boys' emotional symptoms, and increases in victimization were related to decreases in empathy. The results emphasize the role of negative peer relations and children's social-emotional information processing for the development of emotional symptoms.

Keywords Peer victimization · Empathy · Emotional symptoms · Childhood

Introduction

Researchers have acknowledged the harmful effects of victimization on children's psychological adjustment [1]. Nevertheless, we still lack knowledge on the longitudinal relations between peer problems and emotional disorders in children [2]. To develop efficient psychotherapeutic approaches, we need to know more about moderating factors of victimization and emotional adjustment problems. From a developmental perspective, empathy is an important factor in these relations, because its role on the quality of social behavior, associated peer relations, and (mal)adjustment has been strongly emphasized in developmental theory [3–5]. Although a wealth of studies has shown that empathy is negatively associated with aggressive behavior [6], surprisingly few studies have investigated its association with peer victimization and emotional maladjustment. Conceptually,

T. Malti (⋈) · S. Perren · M. Buchmann

Jacobs Center for Productive Youth Development, University of Zurich, Culmannstrasse 1,

8006 Zurich, Switzerland

e-mail: malti@jacobscenter.uzh.ch



peer relationships are an important learning experience for the development of social perspective taking and empathy [7]. Vice versa, empathy may play a major role for victimization experiences, because an empathic orientation towards others facilitates the quality of interpersonal relationships and may thus hinder victimization and promote emotional adjustment [8]. On the other hand, empathy might put children at risk for depression, because the great concern for others' problems may promote feelings of hopelessness and anxieties [9].

Further, longitudinal analyses of victimization and later emotional adjustment problems have been rarely reported. Against this background, the present study investigated within a multi-informant approach the concurrent and longitudinal relationships between kindergarten children's victimization, empathy, and emotional symptoms. From the perspective of clinical-developmental psychology, this question is highly significant, because socio-emotional development (i.e., empathy) and related negative peer experiences (i.e., victimization) may crucially impact children's concurrent and subsequent emotional adjustment problems.

Peer Victimization and Empathy

In this study, empathy was defined as an emotional response to the emotional state of another that is congruent with the other's emotional state [10]. Researchers have emphasized that empathy includes a social-cognitive component (i.e., understanding others' emotions) and an affective component [11–13]. Constructive peer relations form the basis for empathy, because affective ties with peers create a care orientation in interpersonal relationships [14–16]. Victimized children are less accepted by peers and have fewer friends [17–19]. This may offer them fewer opportunities to understand and feel the emotional situation of another child involved in conflicts over moral norms, and they may therefore display less empathy with the other. As victimized children likewise have problems understanding the mind of other children [20], and frequently interpret others as hostile and untrustworthy [21], they may also lack the social-cognitive preconditions for displaying empathy towards needy peers. This research provides evidence that negative or biased peer perceptions and related problems in empathy are a risk factor for peer victimization.

In contrast, it is also reasonable to argue that victimized children display more empathy because they are particularly sensitive to the emotional consequences of rule transgressions. The latter argument is indirectly supported by Garner and Lemerise [22], who showed that victimization was positively associated with global knowledge of the emotional situation of provoking peers and the attribution of sorrow to them. Similarly, Menesini et al. [23] found that victims attributed indifference and pride to the victimizer less frequently than bullies did. This knowledge of emotions is an important social-cognitive prerequisite of empathy. The present study investigated the relation between victimization and empathy in children and can therefore provide the first empirical evidence for one of the theoretical assumptions or the other.

The Relations of Peer Victimization and Empathy to Emotional Symptoms

There is impressive empirical evidence that victimized children are at risk for concurrent emotional problems [1, 24]. For example, victimization is associated with depressive symptoms, social anxiety, lower self-worth, and increased risk of suicide [25–28]. Emotional symptoms may also follow from negative peer relationships (i.e., victimization). For



example, a study by Kochenderfer-Ladd and Wardrop [29] revealed that children changing from nonvictim to victim status displayed increased loneliness. Other studies also indicate that victimized children are prone to emotional problems, and that peer victimization may contribute to later emotional symptoms [30–34].

But how does empathy influence the relationships between victimization and emotional symptoms? So far, our knowledge about these relationships is rather opaque. By definition, empathy has social-cognitive components and is thus related to other social perceptions in the social information-processing domain, such as adequately perceiving and evaluating the social behavior of others [35, 36]. Because social perceptions are closely linked to empathy [37], we can hypothesize to a degree from previous research how victimized children think about their social environment in relation to their symptoms, which in turn allows us to develop hypotheses about the effect of empathy on the relationship between victimization and emotional problems. For example, Kochenderfer-Ladd and Skinner [38] found that children's coping strategies moderated the concurrent relationship between peer victimization and emotional symptoms. Interestingly, problem-solving strategies that were beneficial for nonvictimized children exacerbated emotional symptoms for victimized children. The authors interpreted this finding with reference to the negative social reputation that victimized children bring to bear on their peer interactions. This negative reputation also prevents them from being very influential with their peers. This finding is important, because coping strategies, such as constructive social problem-solving skills, have been shown to be associated with children's prosociality and empathy [39]. Perhaps being over-involved empathically in the problems of others, as expressed by high levels of manifest empathy, is a path to emotional symptoms if a child is bullied by others [9, 40]. As illustrated by the finding of Kochenderfer-Ladd and Skinner [38], victimized children who express strong empathy may be particularly at risk for emotional symptoms, because they may experience that their expression of empathy does not necessarily lead to better peer relationships. This is the case because, unlike nonvictimized children, victimized children are relatively unpopular with their peers and have little influence on them, even if they express empathy [41].

Regarding longitudinal relationships, we can also draw upon related research on social cognition to form hypotheses about how empathy might moderate the relationship between victimization and emotional symptoms: For example, a study by Troop-Gordon and Ladd [42] showed that children's peer beliefs developed in middle childhood acted as mechanisms through which interpersonal problems in early elementary-school years later cause emotional symptoms. Interestingly, changes in peer beliefs (i.e., perceptions of peers as being generally unfriendly and aggressive) were also associated with the emergence of emotional difficulties. Research has revealed that perceptions of peers as aggressive and hostile are related to low empathy with others [11, 43]. A decrease in empathy with other children might serve as a buffer for victimized children and protect them from developing emotional symptoms later, because it may help prevent them from becoming emotionally over-involved with other children. This decrease in empathy may also help them to be more aware of their own needs and desires and enable them to distance themselves from someone else's pain. This argument has been indirectly supported by a study of Grills and Ollendick [44], who found that victimized boys with high self-worth displayed fewer anxiety symptoms than victimized boys with low self-worth. From a clinical-developmental perspective, this finding is reasonable, as children who are too empathically involved with others, but at the same time perceive their social relationships as problematic (and have a low sense of self-worth as a result) are assumed to be particularly vulnerable to depression and emotional symptoms [45–47].



The Present Study

In summary, previous research provides evidence for the significant role of children's social perceptions in the relationship between victimization and emotional maladjustment. To the best of our knowledge, no study has hitherto investigated how children's victimization, empathy, and emotional symptoms are interrelated. The purpose of this study was, therefore, to investigate the relationship between empathy and victimization and the degree to which children's victimization and empathy contribute to the development of emotional symptoms, both concurrently and longitudinally over the course of 1 year. We chose a longitudinal design to investigate these relations, because we are interested in how individual changes in peer victimization and empathy contribute to subsequent emotional symptoms. It is hypothesized that victimization puts children at risk for concurrent and continuing emotional problems, and that empathy moderates the relationship between these problems and victimization. The moderator analysis was indirectly based on research suggesting that peer-related cognitions and emotions are important in the prediction of victimization [21] and (mal)adaptation [48]. On the one hand, we assumed that victimized children with high empathy are particularly prone to concurrent emotional symptoms; on the other hand, we expected that decreases in empathy would decrease emotional symptoms in victimized children. Based on previous research, we also assumed that decreases in victimization would negatively predict level of emotional symptoms [29]. We controlled for aggressive behavior, because aggression has been shown to relate positively to victimization and emotional symptoms [32, 49, 50]. Sex and parental educational level were also controlled for, as previous research has consistently shown sex and SES effects on the variables of interest here [51, 52]. Based on previous research, we assumed that the relationship between victimization and emotional symptoms might differ for boys and girls [52].

Method

Participants

The data were taken from the first and second waves of a longitudinal study of children's social competence development, currently being conducted in Switzerland [53]. A random sample of kindergarten children and their primary caregivers was drawn, based on the resident population of the Canton of Zurich in Switzerland. Written requests for participation were sent to the primary caregivers, and written informed consent was obtained. A total of 175 child and primary caregiver interviews were carried out. One hundred and sixty-three of the primary caregivers (92%) filled in a supplementary questionnaire. Of the primary caregivers, 98% gave their written consent to for us to contact the kindergarten teachers, and 133 of the kindergarten teachers filled in a questionnaire (76%). The participating children at Time 1 (T1) were on average 6.1 years of age (SD = 0.19); 85 were girls (49%). Ninety percent of the primary caregivers were mothers; 85% of the mothers were Swiss and 15% were of other nationalities. Ten percent of the parents had no education or low-level secondary education, 37% had vocational training, and 4% had attended a vocational college; 6% had a baccalaureate degree, 26% higher vocation diploma, and 17% had a university degree. Parental education scores, which served as an index of socioeconomic status (SES), were then computed (Range: 1–6; M = 3.53, SD = 1.28). Higher scores indicate higher SES (1 = no education or low-level secondary education, 6 = university degree).



The participation rate of the primary caregivers in the second assessment was 91%, and 158 child interviews and 160 primary-caregiver interviews were carried out at Time 2 (T2). One child refused to participate after the interview was conducted with the mother, and one mother refused to let her child participation, because the child was extraordinarily shy. One hundred forty-seven of the primary caregivers also filled in questionnaires (92%). One hundred and fifty-four parents gave their consent to our contacting the teachers at T2 (96%), and 140 teachers filled in a questionnaire (91%). Eighty-seven percent of participants had complete data for final data analyses.

An analysis was performed to control for attrition. Participants with complete child, mother, and kindergarten teacher data at T1 were compared with participants lacking kindergarten teacher data (N=42) on two demographic variables (highest primary-caregiver education, family income) as well as on the four study variables at T1 (emotional symptoms, victimization, empathy, and aggression). No significant differences were found. Next, the participants at T1 were compared with the participants who dropped out at T2 (N=15) on the variables described above. In the latter sample, the children displayed at T1 significantly more emotional symptoms, t(167) = -1.94, p=.05, and victimization experiences, t(170) = -2.07, p < .05.

Procedure

The first assessment (T1) was conducted during the spring of 2006. Three child sessions were conducted: one computer-assisted personal interview (CAPI) at the child's home, and two sessions in a separate and quiet room in the kindergarten. Each session lasted about 60 min. The mothers were individually interviewed at home with a 60-minute CAPI interview. While the child was interviewed at home, the mother filled in a supplementary questionnaire on the child's social development. The kindergarten teachers also filled in a questionnaire on the child's social development, which they returned by mail. The second assessment (T2) was completed one year later (spring 2007), with the same procedure as applied at T1. All the measures were taken at both assessments. The mothers and teachers provided ratings for all the main study variables. The children reported their victimization and aggression during the school interviews, whereas the empathy data were collected during the CAPI interview at the child's home. The interviewers were undergraduate psychology students who had been intensively trained in the relevant interview techniques by the research team.

Measures

Emotional Symptoms

At T1 and T2, children's emotional symptoms were assessed with mother and teacher reports from the emotional symptoms subscale of the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire [54]. The subscale consists of five items rated on a 6-point-scale (e.g., is often unhappy, depressed or tearful). Cronbach's α was .56 at T1 and .66 at T2, for the mother ratings, and .73 at T1 and .64 at T2 for the teacher ratings.

Peer Victimization

Peer victimization was assessed at T1 and T2 with self, teacher, and mother reports. Self-reports were assessed using the German version of the Berkeley Puppet Interview [55].



This instrument was developed by Measelle, Ablow, Cowan, and Cowan [56] and combines structured and clinical interviewing techniques to elicit children's self-perceptions. The interview is carried out by two identical hand puppets that make two opposing statements on a topic, then the child gives his or her own response. The interview was videotaped and subsequently scored by two independent raters, who were blind to all other data, on a 7-point scale (1-3) = strong to mild agreement with the negative statement, 4 = neither positive nor negative, 5-7 = mild to strong agreement with the positivestatement). For the current analyses, the scores have been reversed, and higher scores indicate higher perceived peer victimization. Interrater reliability corresponded to a medium ICC of .96 (range .80–1.00). The scale for peer victimization consists of four items (e.g., "kids at school tease me"). Cronbach's α was .75. Teachers and mothers completed a scale on the frequency of peer victimization [41]. The scale contains three victimization items (physical, verbal, exclusion; e.g., is physically bullied by other children, such as beaten, kicked, etc.) and was assessed on a 6-point-scale from never to always. Cronbach's α was .77 for teachers and .81 for mothers. Mean scale scores were computed.

Empathy

At T1 and T2, children's empathy was assessed by self-reports and by mother and teacher ratings. Children's self-reported empathy was assessed with five items [57]; e.g., "when I see another child who is being picked on, I feel sorry for him or her." The children were asked whether the sentence is like him or her, and if so, how much. The answer not like him or her was scored 0, sort of like him or her was scored 1, and like him or her was scored 2. Cronbach's α was .67. The mothers and teachers each rated children's empathy with the same five items on a 6-point scale (e.g., "my child feels usually sorry for other children who are being teased") from Zhou et al. [57]. The α s were .83 and .92. For the statistical analyses, the scale was transformed to a categorical variable (low, average and high levels of empathy). The scales were standardized, and a cut-off of z = 0.5 was used (low empathy: z < -.50, medium empathy: z < .50 and $\geq -.49$, high empathy: z > .50). This categorization was done because of our interest in the effects of distinct empathy groups on the variables. On the other hand, this procedure also helps to reduce interpretation difficulties of the interaction effects.

Control Variable: Aggression

Overt aggression was assessed at T1 and T2 by self, teacher, and mother reports. For self-reports, the Berkeley Puppet Interview was used [55]. The overt aggression scale consists of seven items ($\alpha = .65$); e.g., "I pick on other kids." The teachers rated the child's overt aggression with nine items from the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire and the Teacher Report Form (TRF) on a 6-point-scale ($\alpha = .88$); e.g., "...picks on other kids." The mothers rated the child's aggression with nine items from the SDQ and the CBCL4-/18 for parents ($\alpha = .81$).

Statistical Analyses

To analyze our research questions, general linear model (GLM) analyses were computed. Emotional symptoms served as the dependent variable, with peer victimization (continuous), empathy (low, medium, high), and sex of the child serving as independent variables.



To analyze for the possible moderating effects of empathy and sex, interactions with peer victimization were also entered into the analyses. In addition, overt aggression (continuous) and SES (continuous) were used as control variables. In the longitudinal analyses, we added change scores for the most important study variables, because of our interest in their impact on emotional symptoms. Change scores were computed using a regression approach [58], with high values indicating increases in the variable over time.

Results

We will first present descriptive statistics for all measures at T1 and T2. Next, we will report cross-sectional results (T1 and T2), and, then, longitudinal results (changes in emotional symptoms from T1 to T2).

Descriptive Results

Table 1 displays the mean scores of the study variables at T1 and T2.

For further data analyses, the data were aggregated across informants. Combining data from multiple informants increases reliability and validity, because informants evaluate the variables from different venues (e.g., school vs. home) and perspectives (self vs. others) [59, 60]. To combine the child, mother, and teacher reports, the average scores of each informant were first *z*-standardized. Data were then averaged across informants. This aggregation was justified, as in most cases the ratings were significantly correlated across informants: At T1, the mother and teacher ratings of emotional symptoms were significantly related, r(125) = .30, p < .01, whereas at T2 no significant relationship was found. Regarding peer victimization, the mother ratings were significantly associated with the

Table 1 Mean scores and standard deviations of the study variables at T1 and T2

	T1		T2	
	\overline{M}	SD	\overline{M}	SD
Mother-rated emotional symptoms ^a	2.13	.76	2.17	.84
Teacher-rated emotional symptoms ^a	2.28	1.00	2.45	.96
Mother-rated peer victimization ^a	2.42	1.19	2.32	1.12
Teacher-rated peer victimization ^a	1.95	1.07	2.08	1.21
Child-rated peer victimization ^b	2.93	1.13	2.98	1.23
Mother-rated empathy ^a	4.90	.79	4.88	.85
Teacher-rated empathy ^a	4.54	1.09	4.42	.98
Child-rated empathy ^c	.86	.56	1.23	.54
Mother-rated aggression ^a	2.31	.77	2.16	.67
Teacher-rated aggression ^a	2.28	1.08	2.16	1.23
Child-rated aggression ^b	2.46	.65	2.35	.54

^a Possible range: 1-6

^c Possible range: 0–2



^b Possible range: 1–7

child and teacher ratings at T1 (rs > .20, ps < .05). At T2, all victimization ratings were significantly interrelated (rs > .20, ps < .05). At T1, mother ratings of empathy were significantly associated with child and teacher ratings (rs > .20). At T2, child and teacher ratings were slightly related, r(137) = .16, p = .07. At T1, mother- and teacher-rated aggression were significantly interrelated, r(125) = .22, p < .05. At T2, all ratings were significantly interrelated (rs > .20, ps < .05).

Table 2 shows the correlations between the aggregated study variables. All the variables were moderately stable (rs > .3). Peer victimization and emotional symptoms were positively correlated (T1, T2, and T1 to T2). Empathy was negatively associated with peer victimization (T2 and T1 to T2), but not with emotional symptoms. Aggressive behavior was positively correlated with emotional symptoms and peer victimization, and negatively with empathy (T1, T2, and T1 to T2). In addition, children from higher SES families had fewer emotional symptoms at T1, less peer victimization at T1, and greater empathy at T1 and T2.

Cross-Sectional Results (T1 and T2)

The GLM analysis of emotional symptoms at T1 yielded significant effects of peer victimization and a significant empathy × victimization interaction (Table 3), F(9, 166) = 3.85, p < .001, $\eta^2 = .18$. Peer victimization was positively associated with emotional symptoms, F(1, 166) = 8.39, p < .001, $\eta^2 = .05$. The associations were stronger for children with medium or high levels of empathy (low: B = .160, ns; medium: B = .342, p = .05, $\eta^2 = .03$; high: B = .672, p < .001, $\eta^2 = .10$).

The GLM analysis of emotional symptoms at T2 showed significant effects of aggression and a marginally significant victimization \times sex interaction (Table 3), F(9, 155) = 2.91, p < .01, $\eta^2 = .15$. Aggression was positively related to emotional symptoms, F(1, 155) = 6.59, p < .05, $\eta^2 = .04$. Peer victimization was positively associated with emotional symptoms for boys (B = .32, p < .05, $\eta^2 = .03$), but not girls.

Longitudinal Results

For the longitudinal analyses, we entered as independent variables peer victimization, empathy, and overt aggression at T1, as well as changes in peer victimization, empathy, overt aggression, SES, and sex. Emotional symptoms at T1 were entered as a control variable. Changes in emotional symptoms from T1 to T2 and emotional symptoms at T2 were used as the dependent variables in the two analyses. The first analysis yielded a significant effect for changes in overt aggression and a significant sex × change-in-victimization interaction (Table 4), F(14, 11) = 3.18, p < .001, $\eta^2 = .25$. Increases in overt aggression from T1 to T2 predicted increases in emotional symptoms, F(1, 151) = 8.39, B = .224, p < .01, $\eta^2 = .06$. Moreover, increases in peer victimization from T1 to T2 predicted increases in boys' emotional symptoms over time (B = .171, p = .05, $\eta^2 = .03$). This association was not significant for girls (B = -.071, ns).

¹ Data aggregation significantly improved reliabilities: Cronbach's α for the emotional symptom scale was .73 at T1 and .66 at T2; for victimization, α was .77 at T1 and .84 at T2; for aggression, .82 at T1 and .86 at T2, and for empathy, .78 at T1 and .83 at T2.



Table 2 Correlations between aggregated study variables

	-	·	ι	-	ų	3	ı	0	0	10	11	1.0	2
	ı	7	c	1	C	0	,	0	6	10	11	71	CI
1 Emotional symptoms at T1	1												
2 Emotional symptoms at T2	31**	1											
3 Emotional symptoms (change)	00.	.95***	I										
4 Peer victimization at T1	.30***	.16*	.07	ı									
5 Peer victimization at T2	.29***	.28***	.21*	***74.	ı								
6 Peer Victimization (change)	.16*	.24**	.20*	00.	***88.	1							
7 Empathy at T1	08	80.	11.	07	07	03	ı						
8 Empathy at T2	02	04	40	13	20*	17*	.35***	ı					
9 Empathy (change)	.01	70.—	08	60.—	19*	17*	00:	.94**	I				
10 Aggression at T1	.21**	.10	.03	.33***	.39***	.26**	36***	23**	10	1			
11 Aggression at T2	.15†	.31***	.27**	.34***	.58**	.48**	20*	48***	44**	.48**	1		
12 Aggression (change)	.03	.30***	.30***	.20*	.45**	.40**	03	42***	45***	00.	***88.	ı	
13 SES	16*	01	40.	25**	12	05	.16*	.17*	.10	$15^{†}$	03	9.	1

† p < .10; * p < .05; ** p < .01; *** p < .001



Independent variables	T1		T2	
	\overline{F} p	\overline{F}	p	
Peer victimization	8.39	.004	1.31	.273
Empathy	1.46	.236	.98	.377
Empathy × victimization	3.29	.040	.18	.835
Sex	.89	.346	1.01	.316
Sex × victimization	2.79	.097	3.78	.054
Aggression	2.01	.159	6.59	.011
SES	.18	.675	.02	.883
df total	167		155	

Table 3 Results of the GLM analysis predicting concurrent emotional symptoms at T1 and T2

At T1 the independent variables (victimization, empathy, and aggression) were entered as predictors of emotional symptoms at T1, whereas at T2 they were used to predict emotional symptoms at T2. SES was assessed at T1 only

.18

Table 4 Results of the GLM analysis predicting changes in emotional symptoms from T1 to T2

 R^2

Independent variables	F	p
Emotional symptoms at T1	9.94	.002
Peer victimization at T1	.02	.878
Peer victimization (change)	.50	.480
Empathy at T1	1.22	.300
Empathy (change)	.99	.322
Empathy × victimization at T1	.82	.443
Sex	1.74	.189
Sex × victimization at T1	.10	.749
Sex × victimization (change)	4.03	.047
Aggression at T1	.19	.668
Aggression (change)	8.39	.004
SES	.03	.858
df total	152	
R^2	.25	

.15

Discussion

Our longitudinal study of 6-year-old children shows that peer victimization impairs their emotional well-being. Empathic children may suffer even more from such negative experiences, as high empathy seems to exacerbate the impact of victimization on concurrent emotional symptoms. The study makes an important contribution to the literature on clinical-developmental theories, because the literature has paid scant attention to the impact of empathy on a child's peer relations and in the development of emotional symptoms [61]. By using a multi-informant longitudinal approach and a puppet interview to elicit children's peer victimization experiences, we also methodologically endorse much of the previous research.



Theoretically, the revised social information-processing model provides a reasonable conceptual framework for understanding the conjoint effect of empathy and negative peer experiences (i.e., victimization) on children's emotional symptoms. The model suggests that a child's social experiences and related social cognitions and emotions deeply affect adjustment [62]. Previous research has provided evidence for the moderating effect of social cognitions on the relationships between victimization and emotional problems [63], and the role of biased social cognition in emotional symptoms [64] and victimization [65].

Children who have been victimized may also become overly concerned with other children. This overidentification with the other's needs may prevent children from identifying their own emotions and needs. Rather, it may elicit emotional problems in victimized children. Our study provides first empirical evidence for this assumption. First, concurrent emotional symptoms at T1 were positively predicted by victimization. This finding confirms research on the cross-sectional relationship between victimization and children's emotional symptoms [1]. Although not all studies have reported strong relationships between victimization and emotional problems in kindergarten children [66], our study documents that negative peer experiences are associated with emotional problems in young children. Second, we found that empathy moderated the cross-sectional relationship at T1 between victimization and emotional symptoms. Victimized children with high or moderate empathy had more emotional problems than victimized children with low empathy, whereas this effect was nonexistent in nonvictimized children. This result is consistent with the finding that in children with severe emotional symptoms, high levels of prosocial behavior predicted increases in emotional symptoms over time [40]. Children who are involved in victimization as victim and show high sensitivity towards a needy other may be particularly vulnerable for emotional symptoms. Contrary to what is normally the case the display of empathy may not be adaptive [37, 67], but rather lead to negative consequences for the child involved in victimization.

Further, there was a negative relationship between victimization and empathy at T2, and changes in peer victimization were negatively related to empathy at T2. These findings suggest that negative peer experiences increasingly impede socio-emotional development. It is possible that elementary-school children with a history of victimization start to believe that others are insensitive to their needs, and this makes them less sensitive to the needs of others over time. This finding indirectly contradicts recent research showing that victimization was positively associated with global knowledge of emotional situations [22], because understanding of other's emotions is a necessary precondition of empathy. As victimized children have fewer friends, they may have fewer opportunities to understand and empathize with other children involved in situations entailing moral conflicts.

In regard to longitudinal relationships, peer victimization at T1 did not predict later emotional symptoms, but increases in peer victimization significantly predicted increases in emotional symptoms in boys. Peer victimization at T2 was also related to boys' emotional symptoms. These findings are in line with those of Snyder et al. [52], who documented that increases in boys' victimization were related to increases in teacher-reported depressive behavior [63]. Thus, victimization may exacerbate sadness and worry, which may cause a vicious cycle of victimization and emotional symptoms. This sex-specific effect of the relationship between victimization and emotional symptoms may be due to the relevant sex differences consistently found in the study variables [38]. Although our analyses showed no sex differences in emotional symptoms or victimization, victimization may become associated with adjustment problems at different times for girls and boys [52]. Children enter elementary school in Switzerland by age 7, and boys may be possibly particularly vulnerable at this time of transition and related changes in the peer group. This



argument is, however, rather speculative. Some studies have also documented this effect for girls [31, 68], so further longitudinal research on the sex-specific relationship between victimization and emotional symptoms is warranted.

Our study confirmed that peer victimization is strongly associated with aggression [32]. Research has shown that aggressive victims have impaired emotional regulation [69]. In our study, victimization and aggressive behavior were associated with emotional symptoms. Aggressive victims may be particularly vulnerable in terms of emotional maladjustment. Perren and colleagues [55] have suggested that the relationship between aggressive behavior and emotional symptoms may depend on negative peer relations. Our study gives some insight into this suggestion. At Time 1, the significant association between overt aggression and emotional symptoms disappeared when we controlled for the other variables, including peer victimization. However, the longitudinal analyses suggest that an increase in overt aggression is an overlapping risk factor for emotional symptoms. Further, the analyses at Time 2 showed that overt aggression predicted emotional symptoms, even after controlling for all other variables. In middle childhood, overt aggression is becoming less normative and less socially accepted. Therefore, increases in or the stabilization of overt aggression may be a sign of the development of overt psychopathology, including emotional symptoms.

In terms of socioeconomic background, we found a negative relationship between emotional symptoms and peer victimization at Time 2, whereas we documented a positive relationship between SES and empathy at both assessment points. This finding supports the importance of structural constraints on young children's psychopathology and on socioemotional factors such as empathy [70].

Although this study makes an important contribution to the literature, it is not without limitations. First, we did not assess socialization influences on victimization, empathy, and emotional symptoms. Previous research has shown, for example, that maltreatment in the family or exposure to violence and abuse exacerbates a child's emotional and peer problems [71, 72] and decreases empathy [8]. Second, our analyses indicated only low to moderate reliability in some of our test measures, particularly the mother ratings of emotional symptoms at T1. However, other studies have reported similar psychometric properties of the emotional symptom scale [73]. This might, in part, be due to the rather broad assessment of the syndrome (anxiety, depressive symptoms). Third, our sample was restricted to 6- and 7-year-olds. As peer victimization experiences can be expressed differently at different ages, they may also be related in different ways to emotional symptoms and empathy over the course of development [33].

Summary

This study investigated the relationships between children's peer victimization, empathy, and emotional symptoms in a longitudinal sample of Swiss children. Using a multi-informant approach, the findings revealed that peer victimization was a predictor of emotional symptoms at Time 1; this association was stronger for children with average or high levels of empathy. Increases in peer victimization predicted increases in boys' emotional symptoms, and increases in victimization were related to decreases in empathy. In summary, this study offers the first evidence for the combined role of peer experiences and empathy in the development of emotional symptoms in kindergarten children. Emotions such as empathy, and social interaction experiences such as victimization, are important domains of social development and contribute significantly to a child's level of



adjustment. The findings have therefore important implications for practical interventions. Particularly, the findings suggest the need for developmentally differentiated prevention strategies; these should consider not only social relationship problems, but also the socio-emotional development related to these problems. Such an approach may help us develop specific intervention strategies for different subgroups of victimized kindergarten children.

Acknowledgments This research is part of the Jacobs Center for Productive Youth Development at the University of Zurich (director, Marlis Buchmann) and was funded by the Swiss National Science Foundation. The authors would like to express their sincere thanks to the children, primary caregivers and teachers for participating in the study.

References

- Hawker DSJ, Boulton MJ (2000) Twenty years' research on peer victimization and psychosocial maladjustment: a meta-analytic review of cross-sectional studies. J Child Psychol Psychiatry 41(4): 441–455
- Hay DF, Payne A, Chadwick A (2004) Peer relations in childhood. J Child Psychol Psychiatry 45: 84–108
- 3. Eisenberg N (2000) Emotion, regulation, and moral development. Annu Rev Psychol 51:665-697
- Hoffman ML (2000) Empathy and moral development. Implications for caring and justice. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
- Lemerise E, Arsenio W (2000) An integrated model of emotion processes and cognition in social information processing. Child Dev 71:107–118
- Strayer J, Roberts W (2004) Empathy and observed anger and aggression in five-year-olds. Soc Dev 13(1):1–13
- 7. Damon W (1984) Peer education: the untapped potential. J Appl Dev Psychol 5:331-341
- Eisenberg N, Spinrad TL, Sadovsky A (2006) Empathy-related responding in children. In: Killen M, Smetana J (eds) Handbook of moral development. Lawrence Erlbaum, Mahwah, pp 517–549
- Zahn-Waxler C, Cole PM, Barrett KC (1991) Guilt and empathy: sex differences and implications for the development of depression. In: Garber J, Dodge KA (eds) The development of emotion regulation and dysregulation. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp 243–272
- Eisenberg N (2003) Prosocial behavior, empathy, and sympathy. In: Bornstein M, Davidson L (eds)
 Well-being: positive development across the lifespan. Lawrence Erlbaum, Mahwah, pp 253–265
- Arsenio W, Lemerise E (2001) Varieties of childhood bullying: values, emotion processes, and social competence. Soc Dev 10:59–73
- 12. Feshbach ND (1975) Empathy in children: some theoretical and empirical considerations. Couns Psychol 5(2):25–30
- 13. Hoffman ML (1983) Affective and cognitive processes in moral internalization: an information processing approach. In: Higgins ET, Ruble D, Hartup W (eds) Social cognition and social development: a socio-cultural perspective. Cambridge University Press, New York, pp 236–274
- 14. Keller M, Edelstein W (1993) The development of the moral self from childhood to adolescence. In: Noam GG, Wren T, Nunner-Winkler G, Edelstein W (eds) The moral self. MIT Press, Cambridge, pp 310–336
- Keller M, Malti T (2008) Sozialisation sozio-moralischer Kompetenzen. In: Hurrelmann K, Grundmann M, Walper S (eds) Handbuch der Sozialisationsforschung. Beltz Verlag, Weinheim, pp 425–438 [handbook of socialization research]
- Malti T, Keller M (in cooperation with Fang FX, Edele A, Sigurdardottir G) (2009) Development of moral emotions in cultural context. In: Arsenio W, Lemerise E (eds) Emotions, aggression, and moral development. American Psychological Association, Washington (in press)
- DeRosier ME, Thomas JM (2003) Strengthening sociometric prediction: scientific advances in the assessment of children's peer relations. Child Dev 74:1379–1392
- Gazelle H, Putallaz M, Li Y, Grimes CL, Kupersmidt JB, Coie JD (2005) Anxious solitude across contexts: girls' interactions with familiar and unfamiliar peers. Child Dev 76(1):227–246
- Henricsson L, Rydell AM (2006) Children with behaviour problems: the influence of social competence and social relations on problem stability, school achievement and peer acceptance across the first six years of school. Infant Child Dev 15(4):347–366



- Gini G (2006) Social cognition and moral cognition in bullying: what's wrong? Aggress Behav 32: 528–539
- Salmivalli C, Isaacs J (2005) Prospective relations among victimization, rejection, friendlessness, and children's self- and peer-perceptions. Child Dev 76:1161–1171
- 22. Garner PW, Lemerise EA (2007) The roles of behavioral adjustment and conceptions of peers and emotions in preschool children's peer victimization. Dev Psychopathol 19(1):57–71
- Menesini E, Sanchez V, Fonzi A, Ortega R, Costabile A, Lo Feudo G (2003) Moral emotions and bullying: a cross-national comparison of differences between bullies, victims and outsiders. Aggress Behav 29:515–530
- Abou-ezzediine T, Schwartz D, Chang L, Lee-Shin Y, Farver J, Xu Y (2007) Positive peer relationships and risk of victimization in Chinese and South Korean children's peer groups. Soc Dev 16(1):106–127
- Boivin M, Hymel S, Burkowski WM (1995) The roles of social withdrawal, peer rejection, and victimization by peers in predicting loneliness and depressed mood in childhood. Dev Psychopathol 7(4):765–785
- Craig WM (1998) The relationship among bullying, victimization, depression, anxiety, and aggression in elementary school children. Pers Individ Differ 24(1):123–130
- Graham S, Bellmore AD, Mize J (2006) Peer victimization, aggression, and their co-occurrence in middle school: pathways to adjustment problems. J Abnorm Child Psychol 34(3):363–378
- 28. Perren S, Groeben M, Stadelmann S, von Klitzing K (2008) Selbst- und fremdbezogene soziale Kompetenzen: Auswirkungen auf das emotionale Wohlbefinden. In: Malti T, Perren S (eds) Soziale Kompetenz bei Kindern und Jugendlichen: Entwicklungsprozesse und Förderungsmöglichkeiten. Kohlhammer, Stuttgart, pp 89–107 [social competenc in childhood and adolescence]
- Kochenderfer-Ladd B, Wardrop JL (2001) Chronicity and instability of children's peer victimization experiences as predictors of loneliness and social satisfaction trajectories. Child Dev 72:134–151
- Gazelle H, Ladd GW (2003) Anxious solitude and peer exclusion: a diathesis-stress model of internalizing trajectories in childhood. Child Dev 74(1):257–278
- Goodman MR, Stormshak EA, Dishion TJ (2001) The significance of peer victimization at two points in development. J Appl Dev Psychol 22(5):507–526
- 32. Hanish LD, Guerra NG (2002) A longitudinal analysis of patterns of adjustment following peer victimization. Dev Psychopathol 14:69–89
- Hodges EVE, Perry DG (1999) Personal and interpersonal antecedents and consequences of victimization by peers. J Pers Soc Psychol 76:677–685
- 34. Pedersen S, Vitaro F, Barker ED, Borge AIH (2007) The timing of middle-childhood peer rejection and friendship: linking early behaviour to early-adolescent adjustment. Child Dev 78:1037–1051
- 35. Eisenberg N, Fabes R (1998) Prosocial development. In: Damon W (series ed), Eisenberg N (volume ed) Handbook of child development (vol 3): social, emotional and personality development. Wiley, New York, pp 701t–778t
- 36. Malti T, Bayard S, Buchmann M (2008) Mitgefühl, soziales Verstehen und prosoziales Verhalten als Komponenten sozialer Handlungsfähigkeit in der Kindheit. In: Malti T, Perren S (eds) Soziale Kompetenz bei Kindern und Jugendlichen. Kohlhammer, Stuttgart, pp 52–69 [social competenc in childhood and adolescence]
- 37. Malti T, Gummerum M, Keller M, Buchmann M (2009) Children's moral motivation, sympathy, and prosocial behavior. Child Dev 80:442–460
- 38. Kochenderfer-Ladd BJ, Skinner K (2002) Children's coping strategies: moderators of the effects of peer vicitmization? Dev Psychol 38(2):267–278
- Warden D, Mackinnon S (2003) Prosocial children, bullies, and victims: an investigation of their sociometric status, empathy and social problem-solving strategies. Br J Dev Psychol 21:367–385
- 40. Perren S, Stadelmann S, von Wyl A, von Klitzing K (2007) Developmental pathways of emotional/ behavioural symptoms in kindergarten children: what is the role of pro-social behaviour? Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry 16(4):209–214
- Perren S, Alsaker F (2006) Social behavior and peer relationships of victims, bully-victims, and bullies in kindergarten. J Child Psychol Psychiatry 47(1):45–57
- 42. Troop-Gordon W, Ladd GW (2005) Trajectories of peer victimization and perception of the self and schoolmates: precursors to internalizing and externalizing symptoms. Child Dev 76(5):1072–1091
- Findlay LC, Girardi A, Coplan RJ (2006) Links between empathy, social behavior, and social understanding in early childhood. Early Child Res Q 21(3):347–359
- 44. Grills AE, Ollendick TH (2002) Peer victimization, global self-worth, and anxiety in middle school children. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol 31(1):59–68
- Malti T, Noam GG (Eds.) (2008) Where youth development meets mental health and education: the RALLY approach. New Dir Youth Dev No. 120



- 46. Noam GG (1992) Development as the aim of clinical intervention. Dev Psychopathol 4:679-696
- 47. Noam GG, Young CH, Jilnina J (2006) Social cognition, psychological symptoms, and mental health: the model, evidence, and contribution to ego development. In: Cicchetti D, Cohen DJ (eds) Developmental psychopathology: vol 1. Theory and method. Wiley, Hoboken, pp 750–794
- Orobio de Castro B, Merk W, Koops W, Veerman JW, Bosch JD (2005) Emotions in social information processing and their relations with reactive and proactive aggression in referred aggressive boys. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol 34(1):105–116
- 49. Veenstra R, Lindenberg S, Oldehinkel AJ, De Winter AF, Verhulst FC, Ormel J (2005) Bullying and victimization in elementary schools: a comparison of bullies, victims, bully/victims, and uninvolved preadolescents. Dev Psychol 41(4):672–682
- Achenbach TM (1991) "Comorbidity" in child and adolescent psychiatry: categorical and quantitative perspectives. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol 1(4):271–278
- 51. Muntaner C, Eaton WW, Miech R, O'Campo P (2004) Socioeconomic position and major mental disorders. Epidemiol Rev 26:53–62
- 52. Snyder J, Brooker M, Patrick MR, Snyder A, Schrepferman L, Stoolmiller M (2003) Observed peer victimization during early elementary school: continuity, growth, and relation to risk for child antisocial and depressive behavior. Child Dev 74(6):1881–1898
- 53. Buchmann M, Fend H (2005) Cocon's intensive study: social competence development in childhood. Research proposal, Swiss National Science Foundation
- Goodman R (1997) The strengths and difficulties questionnaire: a research note. J Child Psychol Psychiatry 38:581–586
- 55. Perren S, Stadelmann S, Lüdin J, von Wyl A, von Klitzing K (2008) Kindergartenkinder schätzen ihre symptome und stärken ein: das Berkeley Puppet Interview in forschung und praxis [kindergarten children report their symptoms and strengths: the Berkeley Puppet Interview in research and practice]. Kinderanal 16(1):1–22
- 56. Measelle JR, Ablow JC, Cowan PA, Cowan CP (1998) Assessing young children's views of their academic, social, and emotional lives: an evaluation of the self-perception scales of the Berkeley Puppet Interview. Child Dev 69:1556–1576
- 57. Zhou Q, Valiente C, Eisenberg N (2003) Empathy and its measurement. In: Lopez SJ, Snyder CR (eds) Positive psychological assessment: a handbook of models and measures. American Psychological Association, Washington, DC, pp 269–284
- 58. Taris TW (2000) A primer in longitudinal data analysis. Sage, London
- Kraemer H, Measelle JR, Ablow JC, Essex MJ, Boyce WT, Kupfer DJ (2003) A new approach to integrating data from multiple informants in psychiatric assessment and research: mixing and matching contexts and perspectives. Am J Psychiatry 160:1566–1577
- Perren S, von Wyl A, Stadelmann S, Bürgin D, von Klitzing K (2006) Associations between kindergarten children's behavioral/emotional difficulties and the quality of their peer relationships. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry 45(7):867–876
- Malti T, Perren S (eds) (2008) Soziale Kompetenz bei Kindern und Jugendlichen: entwicklungsprozesse und Förderungsmöglichkeiten [social competenc in childhood and adolescence]. Kohlhammer, Stuttgart
- 62. Crick NR, Dodge KA (1994) A review and reformulation of social information-processing mechanisms in children's social adjustment. Psychol Bull 115:74–101
- 63. Prinstein MJ, Cheah CSL, Guyer AE (2005) Peer victimization, cue interpretation, and internalizing symptoms: preliminary concurrent and longitudinal findings for children and adolescents. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol 3(1):11–24
- 64. Groeben M, Stadelmann S, von Klitzing K, Perren S (2009) The impact of peer victimization on emotional symptoms in primary-school children: what is the role of social information processing? (Manuscript submitted for publication)
- 65. Schwartz D, Dodge KA, Coie JD, Hubbard JA, Cillessen AHN, Lemerise EA, Bateman H (1998) Social-cognitive and behavioral correlates of aggression and victimization in boys' play groups. J Abnorm Child Psychol 26:431–440
- 66. Hanish LD, Eisenberg N, Fabes RA, Spinrad TL, Ryan P, Schmidt S (2001) The expression and regulation of negative emotions: risk factors for young children's peer victimization. Dev Psychopathol 16:335–353
- Malti T, Gummerum M, Buchmann M (2007) Contemporaneous and one-year longitudinal prediction of children's prosocial behavior from sympathy and moral motivation. J Gen Psychol 168(3):277–299
- 68. Perren S, Alsaker F (2008) Depressive symptoms in kindergarten and early school age: concurrent and longitudinal associations with social skills deficits and peer victimization. (Manuscript submitted for publication)



- Schwartz D (2000) Subtypes of victims and aggressors in children's playgroups. J Abnorm Child Psychol 8:181–192
- Edelstein W (1999) Soziale Selektion, Sozialisation und individuelle Entwicklung. Zehn Thesen zur sozialkonstruktivistischen Rekonstitution der Sozialisationsforschung. In: Grundmann M (ed) Konstruktivistische Sozialisationsforschung. Suhrkamp, Frankfurt a.M, pp 35–52 [constructivist socialization research]
- 71. Cicchetti D, Lynch M (1995) Failures in the expectable environment and their impact on individual development: the case of child maltreatment. In: Ciccheti D, Cohen DJ (eds) Developmental psychopathology: volume 2. Risk, disorder, and adaptation. Wiley, New York, pp 32–71
- Dodge KA, Pettit GS, Bates JE, Valente E (1995) Social information processing patterns partially mediate the effect of early physical abuse on later conduct problems. J Abnorm Psychol 104:632–643
- Goodman R (2001) Psychometric properties of the strenghts and difficulties questionnaire. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry 40(11):1337–1345

