Jérôme Pugin

## Dear SIRS, the concept of "alarmins" makes a lot of sense!

Received: 26 August 2007 Accepted: 26 August 2007 Published online: 17 October 2007 © Springer-Verlag 2007

This editorial refers to the article available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00134-007-0887-5.

J. Pugin (💌)

University Hospital of Geneva, Department of Intensive Care, 1211 Geneva 14, Switzerland

e-mail: jerome.pugin@medecine.unige.ch

Tel.: +41-22-3729236

The late Roger Bone [1, 2] coined the term "systemic inflammatory response syndrome, SIRS" more than 15 years ago to describe a clinical entity highly prevalent in our intensive care units (ICUs) [3, 4]. Patients with SIRS usually present with fever and leukocytosis in addition to tachychardia and tachypnea. Sepsis was defined as patients with a documented bacterial infection and at least two SIRS criteria [5]. It was also recognized by Bone and others that many patients without infection have SIRS, later defined as "aseptic SIRS" or "sterile shock" [6, 7]. Examples of such conditions include acute respiratory distress syndrome, trauma, pancreatitis, major surgery, and ischemia-reperfusion injury in patients recovering from shock.

The search for host molecules mediating SIRS has been fruitless for a long period of time. It was initially believed that SIRS is mediated by circulating proinflammatory mediators, such as tumor necrosis factor  $\alpha$  and interleukin 1 $\beta$ . This concept served as a basis for conducting anticytokine clinical trials in a series of conditions with SIRS—including sepsis—which systematically failed. This led to a reappraisal of the SIRS concept [8, 9]. It was shown that the systemic compartment of critically ill patients with SIRS is already profoundly anti-inflammatory [10–12]. This state is due

to the presence of high concentrations of natural soluble inhibitors of proinflammatory cytokines, and monocyte deactivating cytokines such as interleukin 10 [10, 12–14], leading to systemic immune suppression [15]. In addition, ICU therapies such as catecholamines, antimicrobials, and sedatives have frequently unsuspected anti-inflammatory effects [16]. Finally, a certain degree of inflammation is necessary for neutralizing pathogens and clearing the infectious process [17].

Patients with SIRS have in common tissue injury with cells suffering or dying by necrosis. The systemic consequences of tissue injury such as shock and severe bacterial infections involve an integrated and complex response of various systems including neural, immune, hormonal, coagulation, and metabolic pathways [16]. It has long been thought that the immune system had evolved with the discrimination between noninfectious self from infectious nonself [18]. This concept can easily be challenged in human pathophysiology. Clearly tissue injury induces a systemic inflammatory response and an immune dysfunction, in the absence of infection. Conversely, an immune tolerance to infections is also common, such as in bacteremia following tooth brushing. Another concept of immunity was proposed in 1994 by Matzinger [19, 20], the "danger model". She hypothesized that the immune system has evolved with the discrimination of what is dangerous and what is not. In addition, she has put forward the notion that in order for the immune system to be significantly activated by micro-organisms two simultaneous or sequential signals are needed: one microbial signal, such as Gram-negative lipopolysaccharide, and an endogenous "danger signal", a mediator signaling tissue injury [21]. This concept now makes substantial sense to the ICU physician, and adds meat to the bone of the "two-hit concept" frequently observed in the pathogenesis of sepsis [7]. This notion is also found in the stimulation of an adaptive immune

response to a vaccine. A vaccine usually works better when adjuvants create a certain amount of local tissue injury, helping the recognition of the microbial antigen(s) to enhance the immune response [22].

When significant, tissue injury alone is capable of inducing the clinical syndrome known as SIRS [7]. In the past 5 years candidate endogenous mediators potentially sustaining these effects have been discovered. The most prominent is certainly the high-mobility group box 1 (HMGB1) protein, but other endogenous molecules such as antibacterial peptides, S100, and heat-shock proteins may also play a role [23, 24]. These molecules, now known as "alarmins" [25, 26] have in common an intracellular compartmentalization in physiological conditions. In situations of cell suffering or cell death, alarmins are released in the extracellular milieu and activate immune cells [27]. This is due to both an active secretion of alarmins by injured (or activated) tissue cells, and a passive release by cells undergoing necrosis. They also enhance immune functions to microbial antigens [28]. Interestingly, some of these "danger signals" share with pathogen-associated molecular patterns the same innate immune receptors, such as the Toll-like receptors (TLRs) [29].

HMGB1 functions as a DNA-binding molecule in normal cell physiology but can surprisingly act as a proinflammatory cytokine when released by cells [30]. It activates cells via TLR2, TLR4, and the receptor for advanced glycosylation end-products [31, 32]. HMGB1 was initially recognized as a critical endogenous mediator of lethality in a model of murine endotoxemia [33]. HMGB1 release was subsequently documented in a variety of inflammatory and infectious animal models, as well as in critically ill patients with sepsis and other conditions [34, 35]. In animal models of "aseptic SIRS," such as pancreatitis, hemorrhagic shock, and trauma, HMGB1 blockade decreases the inflammatory response and improves outcomes [36–38].

Coagulation has recently been demonstrated to be a key innate element in the septic response [39]. A certain degree of disseminated intravascular coagulation is almost invariably associated with sepsis. This is due to the abnormal intravascular expression of tissue factor and the subsequent generation of thrombin. Decreased circulating levels of endogenous proteins with antithrombin activity (activated protein C, antithrombin, and tissue factor pathway inhibitor) have also been reported by several groups. In their contribution to *Intensive Care Medicine* Hagiwara and collaborators [40] now report that treatment of endotoxemic rats with antithrombin (AT) decreased both lung injury and lethality. Importantly, they observed lower lung and systemic HMGB1 concentrations and

lower circulating proinflammatory cytokines in AT-treated rats. Antithrombin, similarly to the activated protein C, exerts anti-inflammatory activity in addition to its antithrombotic effects [41]. Interestingly, Hagiwara et al. also show that murine macrophages cultured with AT secrete less HMGB1 and proinflammatory mediators in response to LPS, as a consequence of decreased NF-κB activation. The anti-inflammatory effect of AT on cells must therefore be at least partially direct and not only mediated through a decreased thrombin activity. Although it is known that AT binds to cells through an interaction with glycosaminoglycans, the downstream events remain poorly understood [41]. A large AT trial failed to demonstrate a benefit in patients with severe sepsis. However, a subgroup of patients who did not concomitantly receive heparin seemed to benefit from AT treatment [42, 43]. In vivo AT could exert both direct and indirect protective effects. AT inhibits the generation of thrombin with, as a consequence, decreased deleterious disseminated intravascular coagulation and possibly thrombin-associated inflammation. It may also directly prevent tissue injury associated with sepsis by interacting with endothelial and mononuclear cells and the release of "danger signals" such as HMGB1. This is in accordance with a recent report showing that HMGB1 promotes intravascular coagulation in a similar model, suggesting a participation of this alarmin in the pathogenesis of disseminated intravascular coagulation [44]. Although Hagiwara et al. found that AT treatment improved outcome in their lipopolysaccharide model and concomitantly decreased the inflammatory response and HMGB1 release, they did not make a direct association between these three aspects. These crucial points require further studies.

We are progressing rapidly with our understanding of the systemic consequences of tissue injury and the pathogenesis of multiple organ dysfunction. Undoubtedly the identification of alarmins—signaling tissue injury—and their pathways of cell activation ("danger signals") opens a new and exciting field and will lead to the recognition of new therapeutic targets. It appears conceptually sound to block signals originating from tissue necrosis while leaving intact those originating from pathogens. Targeting HMGB1 in sepsis is tempting since it has been clearly linked with lethality and is a late mediator, offering the possibility of delayed treatment [45]. The history of sepsis therapies, however, urges us to be cautious. Nature has selected alarmins during evolution for good reasons, and it is likely that some of the signals sent by these molecules benefit our patients [46].

## References

- Bone RC (1991) Let's agree on terminology: definitions of sepsis. Crit Care Med 19:973–976
- Bone RC (1992) Toward an epidemiology and natural history of SIRS (systemic inflammatory response syndrome). JAMA 268:3452–3455
- Brun-Buisson C (2000) The epidemiology of the systemic inflammatory response. Intensive Care Med [Suppl] 26(1):S64–S74
- Rangel-Frausto MS, Pittet D, Costigan M, Hwang T, Davis CS, Wenzel RP (1995) The natural history of the systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS). A prospective study. JAMA 273:117–123
- Bone RC, Sibbald WJ, Sprung CL (1992) The ACCP-SCCM Consensus Conference on Sepsis and Organ Failure. Chest 101:1481–1483
- 6. Marshall J (1997) Both the disposition and the means of cure: "Severe SIRS," "sterile shock," and the ongoing challenge of description. Crit Care Med 25:1765–1766
- Moore FA, Moore EE (1995) Evolving concepts in the pathogenesis of postinjury multiple organ failure. Surg Clin North Am 75:257–277
- Opal SM (1998) The uncertain value of the definition for SIRS. Systemic inflammatory response syndrome. Chest 113:1442–1443
- Vincent JL (1997) Dear SIRS, I'm sorry to say that I don't like you. Crit Care Med 25:372–374
- Dugernier TL, Laterre PF, Wittebole X, Roeseler J, Latinne D, Reynaert MS, Pugin J (2003) Compartmentalization of the inflammatory response during acute pancreatitis: correlation with local and systemic complications. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 168:148–157
- Pugin J, Ricou B, Steinberg KP, Suter PM, Martin TR (1996) Proinflammatory activity in bronchoalveolar lavage fluids from patients with ARDS, a prominent role for interleukin-1. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 153:1850–1856
- Pugin J, Verghese G, Widmer MC, Matthay MA (1999) The alveolar space is the site of intense inflammatory and profibrotic reactions in the early phase of acute respiratory distress syndrome. Crit Care Med 27:304–312
- 13. Goldie AS, Fearon KC, Ross JA, Barclay GR, Jackson RE, Grant IS, Ramsay G, Blyth AS, Howie JC (1995) Natural cytokine antagonists and endogenous antiendotoxin core antibodies in sepsis syndrome. The Sepsis Intervention Group. JAMA 274:172–177

- 14. Fumeaux T, Pugin J (2002) Role of interleukin-10 in the intracellular sequestration of human leukocyte antigen-DR in monocytes during septic shock. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 166:1475–1482
- Munford RS, Pugin J (2001) Normal responses to injury prevent systemic inflammation and can be immunosuppressive. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 163:316–321
- 16. Singer M (2007) System interactions. Novartis Found Symp 280:252–262
- 17. Pugin J (2007) Immunostimulation is a rational therapeutic strategy in sepsis. Novartis Found Symp 280:21–27
- Janeway CA Jr (1992) The immune system evolved to discriminate infectious nonself from noninfectious self. Immunol Today 13:11–16
- Matzinger P (1994) Tolerance, danger, and the extended family. Annu Rev Immunol 12:991–1045
- 20. Matzinger P (2002) The danger model: a renewed sense of self. Science 296:301–305
- 21. Matzinger P (2002) An innate sense of danger. Ann N Y Acad Sci 961:341–342
- 22. Fraser CK, Diener KR, Brown MP, Hayball JD (2007) Improving vaccines by incorporating immunological coadjuvants. Expert Rev Vaccines 6:559–578
- Adib-Conquy M, Cavaillon JM (2007) Stress molecules in sepsis and systemic inflammatory response syndrome. FEBS Lett 581:3723–3733
- 24. Bianchi ME (2007) DAMPs, PAMPs and alarmins: all we need to know about danger. J Leukoc Biol 81:1–5
- Oppenheim JJ, Yang D (2005)
   Alarmins: chemotactic activators of immune responses. Curr Opin Immunol 17:359–365
- Harris HE, Raucci A (2006) Alarmin (g) news about danger: workshop on innate danger signals and HMGB1. EMBO Rep 7:774–778
- 27. Raucci A, Palumbo R, Bianchi ME (2007) HMGB1: a signal of necrosis. Autoimmunity 40:285–289
- 28. El Mezayen R, El Gazzar M, Seeds MC, McCall CE, Dreskin SC, Nicolls MR (2007) Endogenous signals released from necrotic cells augment inflammatory responses to bacterial endotoxin. Immunol Lett 111:36–44
- Miyake K (2007) Innate immune sensing of pathogens and danger signals by cell surface Toll-like receptors. Semin Immunol 19:3–10
- 30. Yang H, Wang H, Tracey KJ (2001) HMG-1 rediscovered as a cytokine. Shock 15:247–253

- 31. Yu M, Wang H, Ding A, Golenbock DT, Latz E, Czura CJ, Fenton MJ, Tracey KJ, Yang H (2006) HMGB1 signals through toll-like receptor (TLR) 4 and TLR2. Shock 26:174–179
- 32. Kokkola R, Andersson A, Mullins G, Ostberg T, Treutiger CJ, Arnold B, Nawroth P, Andersson U, Harris RA, Harris HE (2005) RAGE is the major receptor for the proinflammatory activity of HMGB1 in rodent macrophages. Scand J Immunol 61:1–9
- 33. Wang H, Bloom O, Zhang M, Vishnubhakat JM, Ombrellino M, Che J, Frazier A, Yang H, Ivanova S, Borovikova L, Manogue KR, Faist E, Abraham E, Andersson J, Andersson U, Molina PE, Abumrad NN, Sama A, Tracey KJ (1999) HMG-1 as a late mediator of endotoxin lethality in mice. Science 285:248–251
- 34. Mantell LL, Parrish WR, Ulloa L (2006) Hmgb-1 as a therapeutic target for infectious and inflammatory disorders. Shock 25:4–11
- 35. Gibot S, Massin F, Cravoisy A, Barraud D, Nace L, Levy B, Bollaert PE (2007) High-mobility group box 1 protein plasma concentrations during septic shock. Intensive Care Med 33:1347–1353
- 36. Levy RM, Mollen KP, Prince JM, Kaczorowski DJ, Vallabhaneni R, Liu S, Tracey KJ, Lotze MT, Hackam DJ, Fink MP, Vodovotz Y, Billiar TR (2007) Systemic inflammation and remote organ injury following trauma require HMGB1. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol (epub ahead of print 25 July)
- 37. Sawa H, Ueda T, Takeyama Y, Yasuda T, Shinzeki M, Nakajima T, Kuroda Y (2006) Blockade of high mobility group box-1 protein attenuates experimental severe acute pancreatitis. World J Gastroenterol 12:7666–7670
- 38. Yang R, Harada T, Mollen KP, Prince JM, Levy RM, Englert JA, Gallowitsch-Puerta M, Yang L, Yang H, Tracey KJ, Harbrecht BG, Billiar TR, Fink MP (2006) Anti-HMGB1 neutralizing antibody ameliorates gut barrier dysfunction and improves survival after hemorrhagic shock. Mol Med 12:105–114
- 39. Esmon CT (2004) Interactions between the innate immune and blood coagulation systems. Trends Immunol 25:536–542
- Hagiwara S, Iwasaka H, Matsumoto S, Noguchi T (2007) High dose antithrombin III inhibits HMGB1 and improves endotoxin-induced acute lung injury in rats. Intensive Care Med DOI 10.007/s00134-007-0887-5

- 41. Wiedermann C J, Romisch J (2002) The anti-inflammatory actions of antithrombin-a review. Acta Med Austriaca 29:89–92
- 42. Kienast J, Juers M, Wiedermann CJ, Hoffmann JN, Ostermann H, Strauss R, Keinecke HO, Warren BL, Opal SM (2006) Treatment effects of high-dose antithrombin without concomitant heparin in patients with severe sepsis with or without disseminated intravascular coagulation. J Thromb Haemost 4:90–97
- 43. Warren BL, Eid A, Singer P, Pillay SS, Carl P, Novak I, Chalupa P, Atherstone A, Penzes I, Kubler A, Knaub S, Keinecke HO, Heinrichs H, Schindel F, Juers M, Bone RC, Opal SM (2001) Caring for the critically ill patient. High-dose antithrombin III in severe sepsis: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA 286:1869–1878
- 44. Ito T, Kawahara K, Nakamura T, Yamada S, Nakamura T, Abeyama K, Hashiguchi T, Maruyama I (2007) High-mobility group box 1 protein promotes development of microvascular thrombosis in rats. J Thromb Haemost 5:109–116
- 45. Wang H, Li W, Goldstein R, Tracey KJ, Sama AE (2007) HMGB1 as a potential therapeutic target. Novartis Found Symp 280:73–85
- 46. Izuishi K, Tsung A, Jeyabalan G, Critchlow ND, Li J, Tracey KJ, Demarco RA, Lotze MT, Fink MP, Geller DA, Billiar TR (2006) Cutting edge: high-mobility group box 1 preconditioning protects against liver ischemia-reperfusion injury. J Immunol 176:7154–7158