Characterization of social cognition impairment in multiple sclerosis
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**Background and purpose:** Multiple sclerosis (MS) has been associated with deficits in social cognition. However, little is known about which domains of social cognition are predominantly affected and what other factors are associated with it. The aim was (i) to characterize social cognition deficit in a group of MS outpatients and (ii) to relate impairment in social cognition to overall cognitive status, depression and fatigue.

**Methods:** Thirty-five MS patients (mean disease duration 12.9 years, median Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS) 3 and 34 healthy controls (HCs) were examined using the German version of the Geneva Social Cognition Scale to measure different domains of social cognition. Standard neuropsychological testing was applied to all patients and to 20 HCs. Patient-reported outcomes included questionnaires for fatigue, depression, anxiety and executive-behavioural disturbances.

**Results:** The mean social cognition raw score was lower in the MS patients compared to the HCs (86.5 ± 8.7 vs. 91.2 ± 5.9, \(P = 0.005; d = 0.6\)) and did not correlate with EDSS or disease duration. The difference was driven by facial affect recognition and the understanding of complex social situations (14% and 23% of patients respectively under the cut-off). The impairment in these two tasks did not correlate with general cognitive performance or depression but with fatigue.

**Conclusions:** The impairment in our group was restricted to high order and affective social cognition tasks and independent of general cognitive performance, EDSS, disease duration and depression. Fatigue correlated with social cognition performance, which might be due to common underlying neuronal networks.

**Introduction**

Social cognition (SC) concerns the processing of information that influences our behaviour towards other people. It relies on the knowledge of unwritten social rules and the ability to infer from given information, guess what others might be feeling and correctly judge a situation [1]. It is sub-served by a large brain network including the temporo-parietal, medial prefrontal and anterior cingulate cortex [1]. Theory of mind (ToM) is one factor of SC, referring to the ability to make inferences about the mental status of other people and to understand their emotions and beliefs [1].

Social cognition abilities can be divided into various subdomains, such as facial affect recognition, understanding of someone else’s state of mind/ToM (false belief tasks) or comprehension of complex social situations (faux pas tasks). These subdomains can be grouped into categories, such as ‘verbal/non-verbal’
depending on their verbal demands and ‘emotional/ cognitive’ depending on the complexity of their emotional components [2]. The level of complexity varies across tasks. 'Social cognition stories', ‘faux pas’ and facial affect recognition are considered high order ToM tasks [3], whereas ‘false belief’ tasks are considered less complex, appearing earlier in normal development.

Functional cognitive and affective alterations associated with impaired frontal-subcortical connectivity play an important role in the everyday disabilities of multiple sclerosis (MS) patients [4]. Given the large network implicated in SC, and as other conditions with disruption of subcortical-frontal connectivity have been associated with SC deficits [5], MS is expected to have an impact on this process [6]. Most studies (19/23, Appendix S3, Table S1) showed that SC is impaired in MS [7–14]. However, it remains unclear which subdomains are predominantly affected, since many of these studies [10,14] included only one aspect (13/23) or did not directly compare the different domains of SC (3/23) [11].

Little is known about factors influencing SC performance in MS patients. Depression is more frequent in MS patients than in the general population and fatigue is one of the most common symptoms of MS. Both have been thought to interfere with cognitive testing [15]. Whilst literature on the effect of fatigue on SC is rare, an effect of major depression on SC abilities has been described [16]. As SC differs meaningfully from other aspects of cognitive functionality [17], people with MS might have difficulties in interpersonal contexts even in the absence of marked executive dysfunctions and physical disability.

The aim of the present study was to characterize SC deficits in MS patients and to assess whether or not impairment in certain subdomains is associated with disease duration, physical or overall cognitive deficits, depression and fatigue.

### Participants and methods

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of North West Switzerland (Reference 49/12). Written informed consent by subjects/next-of-kin was provided by all patients and controls.

### Patients

Thirty-nine MS patients were recruited from the MS outpatient clinic of the University Hospital, Basel, and neurological practices in the northwest of Switzerland.

Inclusion criteria were a diagnosis of MS or clinically isolated syndrome according to the revised McDonald criteria 2005 [18]; Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS) [19] score $\leq 7.0$; free of corticosteroid treatment and relapses for at least 2 months; fluency in German. Exclusion criteria were severe cognitive impairment including the inability to participate in cognitive testing; major depression; medication or comorbidities that may affect cognition (e.g. schizophrenia or bipolar disorder leading to hospitalization).
Four patients withdrew their consent due to scheduling problems or because of the long duration (2 h) of the testing sessions. In total, 35 patients were tested between November 2012 and January 2013 either in the Institute for Psychology, Basel, or at the University Hospital Basel and are included in this analysis. Subject characteristics are given in Table 1.

Thirty-four age-, gender- and education-matched healthy individuals from Basel and Berne served as controls (HCs). HCs had no known neurological diseases and did not take any drugs that affect cognitive function. Each rater was instructed by a senior expert neurologist or neuropsychologist (JMA, IKP) in a training session.

Geneva Social Cognition Scale (GeSoCS)

An original German version of the GeSoCS [20], used for clinical assessment of SC in neurological disorders, was used. Information on the translation process and the German version of the GeSoCS is given in the Supporting material (Appendices S1, S2 and S4) (http://www.unifr.ch/neurology/fr/clinic/publicnc).

The test consisted of the following subtests: (i) ‘social cognition stories’, verbal stories, including a faux pas task; (ii) a short version of Baron Cohen’s ‘reading the mind in the eyes’ (MIE) test, a facial affect recognition task; (iii) ‘cartoon stories’, non-verbal false belief tasks; (iv) ‘inference test’ short stories where conclusions have to be drawn from given information; (v) ‘absurd stories’, short situations without social aspect; (vi) ‘temporal rules’, an executive functions task.

A maximum of 100 points could be achieved. The test duration was 30 min. Details are given elsewhere [20].

Standard neuropsychological test battery

A 90 min neuropsychological test was applied to MS patients and to 20 HCs. It included the Verbal Learning and Memory Test [21], the Brief Visuospatial Memory Test revised [22], semantic and phonemic verbal fluency [23], Digit Span and Corsi-Block from the Wechsler Memory Scale [24] and the Symbol Digit Modalities Test [25].

Questionnaires

Fatigue and depression/anxiety were assessed by the Fatigue Scale for Motor and Cognitive Functions (FSMC) [26] and the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale [27]. To measure behavioural changes close relatives were asked to complete the Dysexecutive Questionnaire [28].

Statistical analysis

Data were presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD) or median (range) depending on the distribution. The chi-squared test was used to compare categorical variables. The Mann–Whitney $U$ test or the $t$ test for independent samples was used to compare outcome measures between groups and the Spearman $\rho$ or Pearson correlation tests to assess associations between variables depending on the normality of the data (Shapiro–Wilk test). Results were further analysed using a linear regression model with demographic data (age, gender, education) as independent covariates. Because of the non-normal distribution of data, significant results were confirmed by bootstrap analysis (case resampling, $n = 1000$). Cut-off values were defined as the mean value minus two standard deviations in the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Demographic data of MS patients and controls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Healthy controls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age in years, mean (SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obligatory school education only (11 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apprenticeship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thirteen years school education and university admission qualification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed university study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDSS median (range)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease duration in years, mean (SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical course of MS ($n$)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MS, multiple sclerosis; EDSS, Expanded Disability Status Scale.
control group. Given the exploratory nature of this study and the potential problems associated with formally correcting for multiple comparisons [29], flagged results using the conventional significance threshold ($P < 0.05$) are presented. SPSS version 21 for statistical analysis (Chicago, IL, USA) was used.

Results

Social cognition scores in patients versus controls

Patients showed lower total SC scores than HCs ($86.5 \pm 8.7$ vs. $91.2 \pm 5.9$, $P = 0.005$, post hoc calculated statistical power 0.81, $d = 0.63$; fig. 1). The difference remained significant after adjusting for age, gender and education using a linear regression model (adjusted $P = 0.008$). Three patients (8.6%) had lower scores than the cut-off value ($<79.3$). No difference was found between relapsing and progressive MS ($P = 0.55$).

The differences between patients and HCs were driven by the subtests ‘social cognition stories’ (if = 0.006, $d = 0.64$) (Table 2) and MIE (if = 0.035, $d = 0.57$), again remaining significant after adjusting for age, gender and education using a linear regression model ($P = 0.012$ and 0.004, respectively). The number of patients who scored below the cut-off in ‘social cognition stories’ and MIE was eight (23%) and five (14%). Sixteen (46%) patients scored below the cut-off in at least one subtest.

Association between neurological disability, cognitive functions and social cognition scores

There was no correlation between the total SC score and EDSS or disease duration. The neuropsychological test scores in the patient group were marginally lower than in HCs without reaching statistical significance (Table 3). The difference between MS patients and controls remained significant excluding patients who scored below the cut-off in two or more of the neuropsychological tests ($n = 30$ remaining, total score $P = 0.012$).

In the patients’ group, ‘social cognition stories’ and MIE did not correlate with any other neuropsychological test scores. The global SC score correlated with verbal memory ($P = 0.384$, $P = 0.025$), visuospatial memory ($P = 0.472$, $P = 0.01$) and verbal fluency ($P = 0.381$, $P = 0.024$).

Associations between social cognition, fatigue and depression

Fatigue and depression scores were higher in patients than controls (Table 4).

There was no correlation between SC and depression scores in MS patients. The difference in SC between MS patients and HCs remained significant even when depression was considered (corrected $P = 0.027$).

Twenty-two patients suffered from fatigue according to the validated cut-off values [26]; 14 were classified as severe.

Total and cognitive fatigue were correlated with SC ($P = -0.424$, $P = 0.016$), confirmed by linear regression analysis ($P = 0.001$) and bootstrap. When corrected for fatigue, the differences between MS patients and controls in the SC score were no longer significant (total score $P = 0.36$, ‘social cognition stories’ $P = 0.2$, MIE $P = 0.19$).

Discussion

Consistent with most published studies (Appendix S3, Table S1) SC impairment was found in MS patients compared with HCs on a group level.

The prevalence of this impairment in our cohort, including patients with a mild-to-moderate disability

| Table 2 Median and range for results in the total score and subtests of the GeSoCS (all non-normally distributed) |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Neuropsychological test       | MS ($n = 35$)   | Controls ($n = 20$) | Significance ($P$) |
| Symbol Digit                  | 50.2 (16.5)     | 57.4 (13.1)   | 0.85              |
| Modalities Test               | 58.7 (11.3)     | 60.1 (6.06)   | 0.57              |
| Verbal Learning and Memory Test ($n = 34$) | 25.3 (6.7)     | 25.1 (6.9)   | 0.91              |
| Brief Visuospatial Memory Test | 21.2 (7.8)     | 23.7 (6.7)   | 0.23              |
| Phonological fluency: words   | 32.3 (9.4)      | 35.7 (9.2)   | 0.19              |
| Semantic fluency: animals     | 14.0 (3.5)      | 15.8 (3.6)   | 0.08              |
| Digit Span                    | 16.3 (3.2)      | 17.4 (2.9)   | 0.19              |
| Block Span                    |                |              |                  |

| Significance ($P$)           | 0.05*           |              |                  |

*Significant results ($P > 0.05$). GeSoCS, Geneva Social Cognition Scale; HC, healthy control; MS, multiple sclerosis.
Fatigue total score 52 (21–94), Motor fatigue 32.5 (11–47), Cognitive fatigue 23.5 (10–48), Anxiety 5 (0–14), Depression 3 (0–12), Executive-behavioural disturbances 9.5 (0–55).

Limitations

The number of subjects chosen for our study was sufficient to depict the difference in SC between the patient and control groups. It was too small, however, for any further secondary analysis. The relatively low number of patients and controls also increased the risk for type 2 errors. The mild level of overall cognitive disability in this group may have influenced the results, especially the lack of association between general cognitive impairment and SC performance. Larger studies including patients with more severe cognitive impairment are warranted.

The GeSoCS has not been validated in German. However, the ability to differentiate patients from controls in most of its subtests is well established [20]. The scale is too long for quick screening in MS patients and should be used as part of a clinical neuropsychological testing or be adapted to the diseases, choosing for example the most sensitive of its subtests.

Conclusions

Impairment in our group was restricted to high order and affective SC tasks, such as affect recognition and faux pas. This finding might be useful when considering new simple evaluation tools for daily practice.

The driving subtests were found to be independent, suggesting that SC, although not entirely independent of other cognitive functions, represents a separate entity of socio-cognitive functioning. The reported correlations [8,10] between SC and other cognitive domains may correspond to a mediating role between executive dysfunction and ToM deficits.

Statistically, the difference in SC between patients and controls was not independent of fatigue. However, this does not necessarily mean that fatigue is confounding our results; fatigue and SC may share similar pathophysiological mechanisms. Both fatigue and SC impairment can be caused by a widespread brain network dysfunction and are associated with reduced function of the right prefrontal and anterior cingulate cortex and structural loss of fibre integrity in the frontal white matter [1,32]. In previous studies, SC in MS patients was independent from fatigue [9,13]. However, a direct comparison with our results is difficult, as these studies used different fatigue scales (Modified Fatigue Impact Scale versus FSMC) and neither of them stated the prevalence of fatigue in their MS group. Future studies should further include validated and detailed scales of fatigue to better understand its impact on SC.

Limitations

The number of subjects chosen for our study was sufficient to depict the difference in SC between the patient and control groups. It was too small, however, for any further secondary analysis. The relatively low number of patients and controls also increased the risk for type 2 errors. The mild level of overall cognitive disability in this group may have influenced the results, especially the lack of association between general cognitive impairment and SC performance. Larger studies including patients with more severe cognitive impairment are warranted.

The GeSoCS has not been validated in German. However, the ability to differentiate patients from controls in most of its subtests is well established [20]. The scale is too long for quick screening in MS patients and should be used as part of a clinical neuropsychological testing or be adapted to the diseases, choosing for example the most sensitive of its subtests.

Conclusions

Impairment in our group was restricted to high order and affective SC tasks, such as affect recognition and faux pas. This finding might be useful when considering new simple evaluation tools for daily practice.

The driving subtests were found to be independent, suggesting that SC, although not entirely independent of other cognitive functions, represents a separate entity of socio-cognitive functioning. The reported correlations [8,10] between SC and other cognitive domains may correspond to a mediating role between executive dysfunction and ToM deficits.

Statistically, the difference in SC between patients and controls was not independent of fatigue. However, this does not necessarily mean that fatigue is confounding our results; fatigue and SC may share similar pathophysiological mechanisms. Both fatigue and SC impairment can be caused by a widespread brain network dysfunction and are associated with reduced function of the right prefrontal and anterior cingulate cortex and structural loss of fibre integrity in the frontal white matter [1,32]. In previous studies, SC in MS patients was independent from fatigue [9,13]. However, a direct comparison with our results is difficult, as these studies used different fatigue scales (Modified Fatigue Impact Scale versus FSMC) and neither of them stated the prevalence of fatigue in their MS group. Future studies should further include validated and detailed scales of fatigue to better understand its impact on SC.

Limitations

The number of subjects chosen for our study was sufficient to depict the difference in SC between the patient and control groups. It was too small, however, for any further secondary analysis. The relatively low number of patients and controls also increased the risk for type 2 errors. The mild level of overall cognitive disability in this group may have influenced the results, especially the lack of association between general cognitive impairment and SC performance. Larger studies including patients with more severe cognitive impairment are warranted.

The GeSoCS has not been validated in German. However, the ability to differentiate patients from controls in most of its subtests is well established [20]. The scale is too long for quick screening in MS patients and should be used as part of a clinical neuropsychological testing or be adapted to the diseases, choosing for example the most sensitive of its subtests.

Conclusions

Impairment in our group was restricted to high order and affective SC tasks, such as affect recognition and faux pas. This finding might be useful when considering new simple evaluation tools for daily practice.
Although not entirely independent of other cognitive functions, SC deficit appears to be a separate entity of socio-cognitive impairment. The impairment occurs independently of neurological disability and disease duration; therefore physicians and nurses need to be aware of it at any stage of the disease. Fatigue correlated with SC performance, which might reflect intricate underlying neuronal networks.
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