

Arthropod Faunas of Monocultures and Polycultures in Reseeded Rangelands

STEVE M. SPANGLER¹ AND JAMES A. MACMAHON²

Department of Biology, Utah State University,
Logan, Utah 84322

Environ. Entomol. 19(2): 244-250 (1990)

ABSTRACT Sap-feeding and predaceous arthropod faunas of forage bunchgrasses in reseeded rangeland vegetation were examined. Four major species of sap feeders were found; the major predators were spiders. Grass monoculture had very high densities of sap feeders compared with grass biculture, shrub-grass, tree-grass, and native areas; this was reflective of the large contribution from the mirids *Irbisia brachycera* Uhler and *Conostethus americanus* (Knight). They were present during the leaf stages of crested wheatgrass when it had its lowest carbohydrate root reserves. Homoptera and predator densities were not significantly related to the pattern of vegetation. The lowest number of sap-feeder species occurred in the grass monoculture, and sap-feeder faunas in this plot were dissimilar to those of all other plots. One-season dispersal experiments did not support the hypothesis that grass density caused the differences between arthropod faunas in different vegetation, whereas big sagebrush, common in reseeded pastures, repelled *I. brachycera* during short-term dispersal. The data indicate that reseeding to monocultures may result in high densities of Miridae during the leaf stages compared with moderate densities of Homoptera during the flower and seed stages in bicultures. The implications for pest management are discussed.

KEY WORDS Insecta, Arachnida, sap feeders, predators, crested wheatgrass

THE NUMBER OF ARTHROPOD SPECIES and their densities in a habitat are dependent upon the number of plant species and their structural pattern (Murdoch et al. 1972, Root 1973, Southwood et al. 1979, Lawton 1983, Strong et al. 1984). This relationship is important to pest management because the infestation of a crop by pests is ultimately affected by the pattern of vegetation (Southwood & Way 1970). In agroecosystems, vegetational diversification generally leads to lower insect pest density, so the potential for using this to manage pests has received much attention (Altieri et al. 1983, Risch et al. 1983, Baliddawa 1985). However, cultural pest controls have not been studied extensively on rangelands (Watts et al. 1982). The use of habitat management in pest control may be especially adaptable to reseeded rangelands where vegetation structure is directly under human influence.

More than 5 million ha of rangelands have been reseeded with crested wheatgrass (*Agropyron* spp.) in the intermountain area of the United States (Rogler & Lorenz 1983), and the monoculture nature of many of these reseedings is thought to cause outbreaks of grass bugs such as *Labops hesperius* (Uhler). It is important to know the pest management implications of these reseeding practices, because economic losses from grass bugs often do not justify insecticide use (Vallentine 1980). Here we

report on how arthropod faunas are associated with vegetational patterns of reseeded rangelands in western Utah.

Materials and Methods

Study Site. The Tintic Research Site (elevation 1,700-1,830 m) is on the eastern edge of the Great Basin Desert in the northeastern corner of Juab County, Utah (T11S, R3W, Sections 2, 11, 14, 23, 26, 35). As a cold desert, it receives most of its precipitation as snow in the winter and has low winter and high summer temperatures. Fenced pastures on the eastern half of the site were reseeded in the 1950s with mixtures or single plantings of bunchgrasses: crested wheatgrass (*Agropyron cristatum* (L.) Gaertner), tall wheatgrass (*Thinopyrum ponticum* (Podp.) Barkworth & D. R. Dewey), and intermediate wheatgrass (*Elytrigia intermedia* (Host) Nevski). Western wheatgrass (*Pascopyrum smithii* (Rydb.) A. Love) and cheatgrass (*Bromus tectorum* L.) are also in the pastures. Most woody plants were removed, including big sagebrush (*Artemisia tridentata* Nutt.) and Utah juniper (*Juniperus osteosperma* (Torrey) Little). These plants have become reestablished on many of the pastures, resulting in a variety of plant species mixtures, including grass monocultures and bicultures of grass and woody species. The unseeded western side of the site is dominated by big sagebrush, Utah juniper, and cheatgrass. The study pastures were grazed lightly but equally in 1980. None was grazed in 1981.

¹ Current address: Department of Entomology, New York State Agricultural Experiment Station, Geneva, N.Y. 14456.

² To whom reprint requests should be addressed.

Table 1. Vegetational characteristics of plots in studies of established grass

Characteristic	Grass monoculture	Grass biculture	Shrub-grass	Tree-grass
No. plant species				
Grass	1	2	1	1
Tree-shrub	0	0	1	1
Grass biomass ^a	259 ± 75a	195 ± 128a	88 ± 31b	76 ± 25b

^a Means (per m²) not significantly different ($P < 0.05$) are shown with the same letter ($F = 8.21$, $df = 3, 12$; $P < 0.05$; ANOVA).

Established Grass Studies. We examined four plots in 1980, each about 3,600 m². The plots were: a "grass monoculture" of crested wheatgrass, a "grass biculture" of crested and tall wheatgrass, a "shrub-grass" mixture of crested wheatgrass and big sagebrush, and a "tree-grass" mixture of crested wheatgrass and Utah juniper (Table 1). Arthropods were sampled biweekly from crested wheatgrass with a sweep net (40 cm diameter) from early May through late August between 0900 and 1300 hours (MDST) on sunny, calm days with temperatures >22°C. Because of the "bunchgrass" nature of the grasses, they could be sampled individually. A sample consisted of the arthropods swept from a single grass plant; each plant was swept four to eight times depending on its size. Standing crop of grasses in the reseeded plots was estimated during June by clipping all grass within a 1-m² area. Grass phenology was described as being in the leaf, boot, head, and hard-seed stages based on data in Provenza (1981). For crested wheatgrass, the leaf stage occurs from early spring to late May before the flower stages occur. The next stages occur after May and are called the boot (flower stalk extension), head (floral structure formation), and hard-seed stages.

Transplanted Grass Studies. Single crested wheatgrass plants were transplanted in March 1981 to five plots at a density of 1/0.25 m² from the Blue Creek Nursery near Tremonton, Utah, to the Tintic site. Locations of the grass plants within the plot were randomized, and the distance to surrounding vegetation was 0.2 m. The grass monoculture, grass biculture, and shrub-grass plots were the same as in the established grass studies (Table 1). The two remaining plots were an unseeded area of big sagebrush and cheatgrass ("native sagebrush") and an unseeded area of Utah juniper, big sagebrush, and cheatgrass ("native juniper"). During arthropod sampling (13 May and 17 June), a cage was dropped quickly over the grass plant and all foliage within the cage was passed over three times with a D-vac hose (see Southwood 1978). Grass within the cage was clipped and the plant was suctioned again. Samples in late May and mid-June at the Blue Creek site produced no species found in the Tintic samples; therefore we assume the transplanting did not transfer a significant number of arthropods to the Tintic site.

Grass Density-Sagebrush Repellency Experiments. Crested wheatgrass plants were transplanted in early April 1981 from the Blue Creek Nursery

to the Tintic site into a crested wheatgrass monoculture. Three densities (1, 2, and 4 plants/0.25 m²) were each replicated three times in random locations; the distance to surrounding vegetation was 0.2 m. D-vac sampling was done on 29 May and 2 July. To test the repellent nature of big sagebrush to the major mirids, 125 nymphs of *Irbisia brachycera* Uhler and 232 nymphs of *Conostethus americanus* (Knight) were collected during the morning of 4 May 1981 and released at the midpoint between two crested wheatgrass plants about 1 m apart. One plant had a handful of sagebrush leaves next to it, away from the point of insect release, and the other plant was an untreated control. The insects were collected by aspiration about 10 h later.

Taxonomic and Statistical Analyses. Most sap-feeder species were identified to species level, and the remainder were identified as "operational taxonomic units" (OTUs) (=species; see Vandermeer 1972) for the purpose of calculating richness (number of species) and doing cluster analyses. Arthropod biomass estimates were made by measuring individuals to the nearest 0.5 mm and using length-biomass coefficients reported for shrub-steppe invertebrates (Rogers et al. 1976, 1977). Differences in arthropod densities and grass biomass between plots were tested using analysis of variance (ANOVA) of $\log(x + 1)$ transformed data, followed by Student-Neuman-Keuls tests for separation of means (SAS Institute 1985). Chi-square analysis was used for the sagebrush repellency experiment. To determine sap-feeder faunal similarities, cluster analyses were done using the UPGMA clustering method and correlation coefficient as a similarity index (Marshall & Romesburg 1978).

Results and Discussion

Grass Biomass and Major Arthropods. Grass biomass was greatest in the grass monoculture and lowest in the shrub-grass and tree-grass plots (Table 1). Sap feeders (Heteroptera) and predators (primarily Araneida) comprised 90% of all individuals captured (Table 2). The major sap feeders were *I. brachycera* and *C. americanus* (Miridae), *Dikraneura carneola* (Stål) (Cicadellidae), and *Laccocera obesa* (Van Duzee) (Delphacidae). These four species comprised 69% of all arthropods captured and 91% of all sap feeders. The first three species occurred primarily on crested wheatgrass and *L. obesa* primarily on tall wheatgrass. *I. bra-*

Table 2. Sap-feeding and predaceous arthropods of study

Order	Family	Species ^a
Araneida ^b	Clubionidae	<i>Micarta</i> sp., others
	Philodromidae	<i>Tibellus chamberlini</i> Gertsch
		<i>Ebo</i> sp.
	Salticidae	
	Theridiidae	
	Unknown	
Hemiptera	Lygaeidae	<i>Geocoris</i> sp., (4 OTUs)
	Miridae	<i>Conostethus americanus</i> (Knight) ^b <i>Irbisia brachycera</i> (Uhler) ^b <i>Leptoterna</i> sp. (1 OTU)
	Nabidae	<i>Nabis</i> sp.
	Pentatomidae	(2 OTUs)
Homoptera	Aphididae	(1 OTU)
	Cicadellidae	<i>Amblysellus grex</i> (Oman) <i>Athysanella attenuata</i> (Baker) <i>Balclutha abdominalis</i> (Van Duzee) <i>Dikraneura carneola</i> (Stål) ^b <i>Empoasca nigra</i> var. <i>typhlocyboides</i> Gillette & Baker <i>Hardya dentata</i> (Osborn & Ball) <i>Hebecephalus</i> sp. <i>Hecalus viridis</i> (Uhler) Tribe Gyponini (1 OTU)
	Coccoidea	
	Delphacidae	<i>Laccocera obesa</i> Van Duzee ^b
	Issidae	<i>Aphenolema rugosa</i> (Ball)
	Psyllidae	<i>Aphalara</i> sp.?
Neuroptera	Chrysopidae	
Coleoptera	Carabidae	
	Cleridae	<i>Phyllobaenus</i> sp.
	Coccinellidae	<i>Hippodamia</i> sp.
	Melyridae	<i>Attalus</i> sp. <i>Collops</i> sp.
	Staphylinidae	—

^a Unidentified sap-feeder taxa (OTUs) are in parentheses.
^b Most abundant groups comprising 90.4% of all arthropods.

chycera, *C. americanus*, and *L. obesa* exhibited strong relationships to grass phenology. Abundance of *C. americanus* and *I. brachycera* was greatest during the leaf stages of crested wheatgrass and




Fig. 1. Abundance of three major sap feeders in 1980 related to phenology of crested wheatgrass (cw) and tall wheatgrass (tw).




Fig. 2. Biomass of sap feeders and predators in studies of established grass during leaf and flowering (boot, head, and seed) stages of crested wheatgrass. Bars not significantly different within each arthropod group are shown with same letter ($P < 0.05$, Student-Neuman-Keuls test). ANOVA results ($df = 7, 292$) were Hemiptera, F value = 16.5; Homoptera, F value = 5.6; predators, F value = 1.0.

rapidly declined as the grass approached boot stage (Fig. 1). Nymphs and adults of *Irbisia* spp. generally occurred during the spring when winter and spring moisture is regenerating cool-season grass hosts (Schwartz 1984). In contrast, *L. obesa* populations appeared in mid-June and peaked in the boot and head stages of tall wheatgrass in early July (Fig. 1), when late-instar nymphs and adults fed on stems and seeds of tall wheatgrass. *D. carneola* was variable, being present in samples from May to August.

Established Grass: Arthropod Densities. During the leaf stage of crested wheatgrass, hemipteran sap feeders had the greatest density in the grass monoculture, whereas they were at very low levels in the three biculture plots (Fig. 2); this difference was primarily because of *I. brachycera* and *C.*

Table 3. Mean abundance (no./plant) of important arthropod groups in studies of established grass

Taxon ^a	Grass monoculture	Grass biculture	Shrub-grass	Tree-grass
<i>Conostethus americanus</i> ($F = 8.63$; $df = 3, 92$)	3.91 ± 6.86a	0.26 ± 0.30b	0.03 ± 0.18b	0.21 ± 0.49b
<i>Irbisia brachycera</i> ($F = 5.62$; $df = 3, 120$)	0.63 ± 1.20a	0.00 ± 0.00b	0.10 ± 0.31b	0.00 ± 0.00b
Other Hemiptera ($F = 0.72$; $df = 3, 248$)	0.11 ± 0.49a	0.05 ± 0.22a	0.10 ± 0.35a	0.28 ± 1.69a
<i>Dikraneura carneola</i> ($F = 6.00$; $df = 3, 230$)	0.22 ± 0.58c	0.61 ± 1.47a	0.35 ± 0.77abc	0.57 ± 1.18ab
<i>Laccocera obesa</i> ($F = 55.7$; $df = 3, 265$)	0.02 ± 0.12b	1.52 ± 2.08a	0.04 ± 0.17b	0.04 ± 0.17b
Other Homoptera ($F = 4.46$; $df = 3, 265$)	0.01 ± 0.12b	0.05 ± 0.21b	0.21 ± 0.53a	0.16 ± 0.44ab
Predators ($F = 1.73$; $df = 3, 276$)	0.21 ± 0.51a	0.28 ± 0.51a	0.26 ± 0.44a	0.47 ± 1.78a

Means not significantly different within each taxon have the same letter ($P < 0.05$; Student-Newman-Keuls test).

^a F values and degrees of freedom from ANOVA are shown in parentheses.

americanus (Table 3). These species were at low densities in all plots during the postleaf stages. The shrub-grass and tree-grass plots were dominated by Homoptera, mostly *D. carneola*, during the postleaf stages. *L. obesa* was most abundant in the grass biculture because of the presence of tall wheatgrass. Predator densities were not statistically different in any plots or sampling dates but had a tendency to be greater during the postleaf stages (Fig. 2; Table 3).

Transplanted Grass: Arthropod Densities. These studies also revealed large faunal differences between plots, again mostly because of *I. brachycera* and *C. americanus*. During the leaf stage, the grass monoculture had the greatest density of sap-feeding Hemiptera (Miridae), whereas mirids were at very low densities in the shrub-grass and grass biculture plots and were completely absent from the native areas (Fig. 3; Table 4). In contrast, Homoptera were generally most abundant in the native areas and grass monoculture during the leaf stage. *L. obesa* did not colonize any of the transplanted grass plants, even in the grass biculture plot where it was most abundant (Table 3). Predator density was approximately equal on both sampling dates but showed a tendency to be greater in the native areas.

Faunal Similarities and Species Richness. The cluster analyses of sap feeders from the established grass (Fig. 4a) and transplanted grass (Fig. 4b) studies demonstrated the distinctiveness of the grass monoculture from all other plots, mainly because of high densities of the mirids *I. brachycera* and *C. americanus*. The vegetationally diverse shrub-grass and tree-grass plots had greater numbers of sap-feeder species than the vegetationally simpler grass plots in the established grass studies (Fig. 4a). This pattern did not occur in the transplanted grass experiments (Fig. 4b), but we attribute this to the short-term (one season) nature of these experiments.

Grass Density, Sagebrush Repellency, Predators. Results from this experiment did not support the hypothesis that arthropod faunas in the plots varied because of differences in crested wheatgrass density. Abundance of *I. brachycera* was inversely related to experimentally created grass density (Table 5), whereas in the other studies it was most




Fig. 3. Biomass of sap feeders and predators in studies of transplanted grass during leaf and head stages of crested wheatgrass. Bars not significantly different within each arthropod group are shown with same letter ($P < 0.05$, Student-Neuman-Keuls test). ANOVA results ($df = 9, 40$) were Hemiptera, F value = 8.0; Homoptera, F value = 5.2; predators, F value = 0.7.

Table 4. Mean abundance (no./plant) of important arthropod groups in studies of transplanted grass

Taxon ^a	Grass monoculture	Grass biculture	Shrub-grass	Native sagebrush	Native juniper
<i>Irbista brachycera</i> ($F = 8.98$; $df = 4, 20$)	3.8 ± 2.4a	0.0 ± 0.0b	0.0 ± 0.0b	0.0 ± 0.0b	0.0 ± 0.0b
<i>Conostethus americanus</i> ($F = 5.23$; $df = 4, 20$)	3.0 ± 2.5a	0.4 ± 0.5b	0.8 ± 0.8b	0.0 ± 0.0b	0.0 ± 0.0b
<i>Dikraneura carneola</i> ($F = 3.84$; $df = 4, 45$)	0.4 ± 0.7b	0.1 ± 0.3b	0.0 ± 0.0b	1.7 ± 2.3a	0.3 ± 0.6b
Predators ($F = 1.56$; $df = 4, 45$)	0.5 ± 0.5a	0.5 ± 1.0a	0.3 ± 0.5a	0.4 ± 0.7a	0.6 ± 0.8a

Means not significantly different within each taxon have the same letter ($P < 0.05$; Student-Newman-Keuls test).

^a F values and degrees of freedom from ANOVA are shown in parentheses.

abundant in the plot with the greatest grass density, the grass monoculture (Tables 3 and 4). *C. americanus* did not colonize the plants. *D. carneola*, other Homoptera, and predators were positively related to grass density in this experiment (Table 5) but were variable in relation to grass density in the other studies (Tables 3 and 4).

Leaves of big sagebrush were repellent to *I. brachycera* during short-term dispersal; grass plants having sagebrush leaves in the sagebrush repellency experiment had significantly fewer (23%; $P < 0.05$) individuals of *I. brachycera* than the plant with no leaves (77%). *C. americanus* showed no preference (60% on the plant with leaves; $P > 0.05$). Similarly, Ostlie (1979) showed clearly that *L. hesperius* dispersed away from a sagebrush-


grass mixture and moved toward a grass monoculture.

The influence of predators on sap-feeder faunas is not supported by our data. Predator densities were approximately equal in all the reseeded plots (Fig. 2 and 3; Tables 3 and 4), yet the sap-feeder faunas were noticeably different. However, we examined predators only as pooled species, and particular species may have had different densities in the plots. The role of predators needs to be examined more closely in this system because vegetational diversification can enhance control of pests by predators (Ali & Reagan 1985, Brust et al. 1986). Araya & Haws (1988) found that nabids and spiders are important predators of *L. hesperius* in reseeded rangelands.

Pest Management Implications. Our study indicates that the vegetation associated with reseeded bunchgrasses may have an effect on the structure of arthropod faunas. Our results agree with the finding of Risch et al. (1983) that diverse systems generally have lower pest populations. Similar results have been found for *Brassica* crops (Pimentel 1961, Tahvanainen & Root 1972, Root 1973, Cromartie 1975, Smith 1976, Ryan et al. 1980), cucumbers (Bach 1980a, b), and others (Risch 1980, 1981; Smith & Whittaker 1980a, b; Power 1987). Our results also agree with those of Murdoch et al. (1972) and Denno (1977), who found more diverse sap-feeder faunas where the vegetation was more complex. Sheehan (1986) states the important question of whether results of many of the small-plot studies concerning vegetation structure and arthropod faunas can be extrapolated to "large-scale" agriculture. The areas examined in our study are representative in size of those used in reseeded rangeland pastures.

Grass bugs such as *Irbisia pacifica* (Uhler) (Hansen & Nowak 1988) and *L. hesperius* (Ansley & McKell 1982) lower forage production and vigor in wheatgrasses, and monoculture reseeding of rangelands has been implicated as causing problems from *L. hesperius* (Kamm & Fuxa 1977, Ostlie 1979, Ansley & McKell 1982). Keller (1979), in particular, is a strong advocate for the discontinuation of monoculture reseedings on rangelands. Plantings of the major forage species appropriately mixed with native or resistant species and legumes (which tend to have high levels of beneficial arthropods) have been recommended for pasture systems (Martin 1983) and may offer long-term pest

(a) Established Grass



(b) Transplanted Grass




Fig. 4. Cluster analysis of plots using abundance (no./plant) of sap feeders in studies of (a) established grass and (b) transplanted grass.

Table 5. Mean abundance (no./plant) of important arthropod groups colonizing transplanted crested wheatgrass in three experimental densities

Taxon ^a	1 plant/0.25 m ²	2 plants/0.25 m ²	4 plants/0.25 m ²
<i>Irbisia brachycera</i> ($F = 0.13$; $df = 2, 12$)	5.2 ± 3.9a	5.0 ± 2.9a	4.1 ± 3.3a
<i>Conostethus americanus</i> ($F = 1.00$; $df = 2, 12$)	0.0 ± 0.0a	0.2 ± 0.4a	0.0 ± 0.0a
<i>Dikraneura carneola</i> ($F = 1.27$; $df = 2, 12$)	0.2 ± 0.4a	0.5 ± 0.7a	0.9 ± 1.0a
Other Homoptera ($F = 4.01$; $df = 2, 12$)	0.0 ± 0.0a	0.1 ± 0.2a	0.3 ± 0.2a
Predators ($F = 0.89$; $df = 2, 27$)	0.4 ± 0.3a	0.5 ± 0.8a	0.7 ± 0.5a

Means not significantly different within each taxon have the same letter ($P < 0.05$; Student-Newman-Keuls test).

^a F values and degrees of freedom from ANOVA are shown in parentheses.

control on rangelands (Watts et al. 1982). Reseeded rangelands may be systems which are particularly adaptable to this method of pest control.

Arthropod densities may be dependent upon the plant species present rather than plant diversity per se (Letourneau 1987). The grass biculture, although composed entirely of two similar species of bunchgrasses, had very low mirid densities. This may have been because tall wheatgrass in this plot apparently was unsuitable to mirids. In contrast, we commonly observed mirids on crested wheatgrass and western wheatgrass.

Temporal patterns of arthropod densities also may be important. The high densities of mirids we observed in the grass monoculture occurred primarily during the spring when root (Ansley & McKell 1982) and total nonstructural (Caldwell et al. 1981) carbohydrates of crested wheatgrass are lowest. These populations, occurring at earlier dates, may be more damaging to crested wheatgrass than at later dates because of these low carbohydrate reserves.

Acknowledgment

The following people identified arthropod taxa: Michael P. Schwartz, Miridae; Mervin W. Nielson and Paul Oman, Cicadellidae; and Stephen M. Wilson, Delphacidae and Issidae. Support was provided by the Four Corners Regional Commission (FCRC grant 602-466-808-4), the Tintic Range Research Project (Utah State University Range Science Department), and the Utah State University Ecology Center. The USDA Crops Research Laboratory (Logan, Utah) provided the grass transplants.

References Cited

- Ali, A. D. & T. E. Reagan. 1985. Vegetation manipulation impact on predator and prey populations in Louisiana sugarcane ecosystems. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 78: 1409-1414.
- Altieri, M. A., P. B. Martin & W. J. Lewis. 1983. A quest for ecologically based pest management systems. *Environ. Manage.* 7: 91-101.
- Ansley, R. J. & C. M. McKell. 1982. Crested wheatgrass vigor as affected by black grass bug and cattle grazing. *J. Range Manage.* 35: 586-590.
- Araya, J. E. & B. A. Haws. 1988. Arthropod predation of black grass bugs (Hemiptera: Miridae) in Utah ranges. *J. Range Manage.* 41: 100-103.
- Bach, C. E. 1980a. Effects of plant diversity and time of colonization on an herbivore-plant interaction. *Oecologia* 44: 319-326.
- 1980b. Effects of plant density and diversity on the population dynamics of a specialist herbivore, the striped cucumber beetle, *Acalymma vittata* (Fab.). *Ecology* 61: 1515-1530.
- Baliddawa, C. W. 1985. Plant species diversity and crop pest control. *Insect Sci. Appl.* 6: 479-487.
- Brust, G. E., B. R. Stinner & D. A. McCartney. 1986. Predation by soil-inhabiting arthropods in intercropped and monoculture agroecosystems. *Agric. Ecosyst. & Environ.* 18: 145-154.
- Caldwell, M. M., J. H. Richards, D. A. Johnson, R. S. Nowak & R. S. Dzurec. 1981. Coping with herbivory: photosynthetic capacity and resource allocation in two semiarid *Agropyron* bunchgrasses. *Oecologia* 50: 14-24.
- Cromartie, W. J. 1975. The effect of stand size and vegetational background on the colonization of cruciferous plants by herbivorous insects. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 12: 517-533.
- Denno, R. F. 1977. Comparison of the assemblages of sap-feeding insects (Hemiptera-Homoptera) inhabiting two structurally different salt marsh grasses in the genus *Spartina*. *Environ. Entomol.* 6: 359-372.
- Hansen, J. D. & R. S. Nowak. 1988. Feeding damage by *Irbisia pacifica* (Hemiptera: Miridae): effects of feeding and drought on host plant growth. *Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am.* 81: 599-604.
- Kamm, J. H. & J. R. Fuxa. 1977. Management practices to manipulate populations of the plant bug *Labops hesperius* Uhler. *J. Range Manage.* 30: 385-387.
- Keller, W. 1979. Species and methods for seeding in the sagebrush ecosystem, pp. 129-163. *In* The sagebrush ecosystem (April 1978). Utah State University, College of Natural Resources, Logan.
- Lawton, J. H. 1983. Plant architecture and the diversity of phytophagous insects. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* 28: 23-29.
- Letourneau, D. K. 1987. The enemies hypothesis: tritrophic interactions and vegetational diversity in tropical agroecosystems. *Ecology* 68: 1616-1622.
- Marshall, K. & H. C. Romesburg. 1978. CLUSTAR and CLUSTID—programs for hierarchical cluster analysis, Report 34. *In* J. A. Henderson & L. S. Davis [eds.], ECOSYM—an ecosystem classification and data storage system for natural resource management. Utah State University, College of Natural Resources, Logan.
- Martin, P. B. 1983. Insect habitat management in pasture systems. *Environ. Manage.* 7: 59-64.
- Murdoch, W. W., F. C. Evans & C. H. Peterson. 1972.

- Diversity and pattern in plants and insects. *Ecology* 53: 819-829.
- Ostlie, K. R.** 1979. *Labops hesperius* Uhler: abundance and dispersal in relation to vegetation. M.S. thesis, Utah State University, Logan.
- Pimentel, D.** 1961. Species diversity and insect population outbreaks. *Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am.* 54: 76-86.
- Power, A. G.** 1987. Plant community diversity, herbivore movement, and an insect-transmitted disease of maize. *Ecology* 68: 1658-1669.
- Provenza, F.** 1981. Species phenology, pp. 12-22. In J. C. Malachuk, B. E. Norton & D. D. Dwyer [eds.], 1980 Annual project report and work plan to Utah Agricultural Experiment Station. Project 771. Utah State University, Logan.
- Risch, S. J.** 1980. The population dynamics of several herbivorous beetles in a tropical agroecosystem: the effect of intercropping corn, beans, and squash in Costa Rica. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 17: 593-612.
1981. Insect herbivores in tropical monocultures and polycultures: an experimental test of two hypotheses. *Ecology* 62: 1325-1340.
- Risch, S. J., D. Andow & M. A. Altieri.** 1983. Agroecosystem diversity and pest control: data, tentative conclusions, and new research directions. *Environ. Entomol.* 12: 625-629.
- Rogers, L. E., W. T. Hinds & R. L. Buschbom.** 1976. A general weight vs. length relationship for insects. *Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am.* 69: 367-369.
- Rogers, L. E., R. L. Buschbom & C. R. Watson.** 1977. Length-weight relationships of shrub-steppe invertebrates. *Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am.* 70: 57-63.
- Rogler, G. A. & R. J. Lorenz.** 1983. Crested wheatgrass—early history in the United States. *J. Range Manage.* 36: 91-93.
- Root, R. B.** 1973. Organization of a plant-arthropod association in simple and diverse habitats: the fauna of collards (*Brassica oleracea*). *Ecol. Monogr.* 43: 95-120.
- Ryan, J., M. F. Ryan & F. MacNaiede.** 1980. The effect of interrow plant cover on populations of the cabbage root fly, *Delia brassicae* (Wiedemann). *J. Appl. Ecol.* 17: 31-40.
- SAS Institute.** 1985. SAS user's guide: statistics. SAS Institute, Cary, N.C.
- Schwartz, M. D.** 1984. A revision of the black grass bug genus *Irbisia* Reuter (Heteroptera: Miridae). *J. N.Y. Entomol. Soc.* 92: 193-306.
- Sheehan, W.** 1986. Response by specialist and generalist natural enemies to agroecosystem diversification: a selective review. *Environ. Entomol.* 15: 456-461.
- Smith, J. C.** 1976. Influence of crop background on aphids and other phytophagous insects on brussels sprouts. *Ann. Appl. Biol.* 83: 1-13.
- Smith, R. W. & J. B. Whittaker.** 1980a. The influence of habitat type on the population dynamics of *Gastrophysa viridula* populations (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). *J. Anim. Ecol.* 49: 225-236.
- 1980b. Factors affecting *Gastrophysa viridula* populations (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) in different habitats. *J. Anim. Ecol.* 49: 537-548.
- Southwood, T. R. E.** 1978. *Ecological methods*, 2nd ed. Chapman & Hall, London.
- Southwood, T. R. E. & M. J. Way.** 1970. Ecological background of pest management, pp. 6-29. In R. L. Rabb & G. E. Guthrie [eds.], *Concepts of pest management*. North Carolina State University Press, Raleigh.
- Southwood, T. R. E., V. K. Brown & P. M. Reader.** 1979. The relationships of plant and insect diversities in succession. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* 12: 327-348.
- Strong, D. R., J. H. Lawton & T. R. E. Southwood.** 1984. *Insects on plants*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Mass.
- Tahvanainen, J. O. & R. B. Root.** 1972. The influence of vegetational diversity on the population ecology of a specialized herbivore, *Phyllotrea cruciferae* (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). *Oecologia* 10: 321-346.
- Vallentine, J. F.** 1980. *Range development and improvements*, 2nd ed. Brigham Young University Press, Provo, Utah.
- Vandermeer, J. H.** 1972. Niche theory. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* 3: 107-132.
- Watts, J. G., E. W. Huddleston & J. C. Owens.** 1982. Rangeland entomology. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* 27: 283-312.

Received for publication 3 January 1989; accepted 26 June 1989.