Review



Human papillomavirus-associated oropharyngeal cancer: a new clinical entity

N.P. NGUYEN¹, A. CHI¹, L.M. NGUYEN², B.H. LY³, U. KARLSSON⁴ and V. VINH-HUNG⁵

From the ¹Department of Radiation Oncology, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, ²School of Public Health, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, ³School of Medicine, University of Hawaii, Honolulu, HI, ⁴Department of Radiation Oncology, Marshfield Clinic, Marshfield, WI, USA and ⁵Department of Radiation Oncology, University Hospitals of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland

Address correspondence to Nam P. Nguyen, MD, Associate Professor of Radiation Oncology, University of Arizona, N. Campbell Ave, Tucson, AZ 85724-5081, USA. email: namphong.nguyen@yahoo.com

Summary

The incidence of oropharyngeal cancers is rising worldwide in both nonsmokers and nondrinkers. Epidemiology studies suggest a strong association between human papillomavirus (HPV) 16 infection, changing sexual behavior and cancer development. Despite initial presentation with locally advanced disease and poorly differentiated histology, HPV-associated oropharyngeal carcinoma is associated

with a good prognosis because its response to chemotherapy and radiation. Clinicians should be aware of the risk of oropharyngeal cancer in young people to avoid unnecessary delay in diagnosis and treatment. A history of oral sex should be elicited in young patients with enlarged neck nodes and/or tonsillar masses.

Introduction

Oropharyngeal carcinoma prevalence is rising steadily in the United States and Western Europe despite successful effort to control smoking and drinking. A report from the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) database demonstrated a statistically significant increase of oropharyngeal cancers affecting young people between the age of 20- and 44-years old. There was a strong association between human papillomavirus (HPV) 16 and oropharyngeal malignancy which affected all ethnic groups in the US. A recent public health study projects an increased incidence of HPV infection of epidemic proportion in young adults because of changing sexual habits.

Thus, the cost to society would be unacceptable in terms of loss of life because of the expected rise in pharyngeal malignancy.⁸ It is important for primary care physicians to recognize this new clinical entity to avoid delays in diagnosis that can lead to poorer outcomes.⁹ This review describes the mechanism of HPV infection in oropharyngeal cancers, current treatment options and prognosis following treatment.

Materials and methods

This systematic review was designed to investigate the rising incidence of oropharyngeal carcinoma in the young. A search was undertaken from 1990 when the first cases of HPV 16 DNA integration into the tonsillar carcinoma genome was reported, until 2009. Searches were based on PubMed, Embase and Google Scholar electronic databases.

The following terms were explored and used for each database search: oropharyngeal carcinoma, young, HPV 16, treatment and prognosis. Searches for additional publications were conducted using the references lists of applicable articles.

Epidemiology of HPV 16 infection and oropharyngeal cancer

Tobacco and alcohol abuse used to be the strongest predictors of developing oropharyngeal carcinoma in old individuals (over 60). Most patients presented with locally advanced stages at diagnosis. Despite aggressive treatment with postoperative radiation or chemoradiation, survival remained poor because of the high rates of recurrences (Table 1). Recent epidemiologic studies revealed an increased incidence of oropharyngeal carcinoma in young people with no smoking or drinking history. The product of the strongest pr

A viral etiology for oropharyngeal cancer similar to cervical cancer has been postulated as sexual partners of patients with HPV infection developed a higher risk of second head and neck primaries. Recently, HPV 16 DNA with identical sequences was isolated in tonsillar carcinoma of two nonsmoking, non-drinking couples highlighting the infectious nature of the disease. Young patients with multiple sex partners and oral–genital sex are at an increased risk of developing HPV-associated oropharyngeal cancers with HPV 16 as the predominant type. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) has demonstrated HPV DNA presence in cancer cells but not in the adjacent normal epithelium.

Table 1 Survival of locally advanced head and neck cancer following post-operative radiotherapy or chemoradiation in randomized studies

Study	Site	Survival (%) (years)
Kramer et al. ¹¹	All sites	36% (5)
Denis et al. ¹²	Oropharynx	22% (5)
Fallai <i>et al.</i> ¹³	Oropharynx	40% (5)
Staar et al.14	Oropharynx	60% (2)
Semrau et al. ¹⁵	Oropharynx	22.9% (5)
Bensadoun et al. ¹⁶	Oropharynx	54% (2)
Posner et al. ¹⁷	All sites	62% (3)
Brizel <i>et al.</i> ¹⁸	All sites	55% (3)
Adelstein et al.19	All sites	57% (3)

Among all the head and neck sites, tonsillar carcinoma had the highest prevalence of HPV 16 infection suggesting that the virus had a special affinity for tonsillar epithelium.^{24,25} There was a strong correlation between the patient age and the prevalence of HPV 16 in the biopsy specimen. El Moffty et al.26 reported a 91% rate of HPV 16 infection in tonsillar carcinoma of patients <40- years old. The prevalence of HPV 16 DNA diagnosed in tonsillar carcinoma specimens by in situ hybridization or PCR ranged from 50% to 84% for patients with median age in the fifties. 27-32 For patients with median age in the sixties, HPV infection rate ranged from 21% to 46%. It is currently unclear why younger patients are more at risk for HPV 16-associated oropharyngeal cancer. One possible explanation is the vulnerability of the tonsillar epithelium to HPV infection as observed in cervical carcinoma.³³ In the US, oral sex begins early among middle and high school students exposing their oropharynx to HPV virus infection. 34,35 Among students who practiced oral sex, up to two-thirds had more than one partner.³⁴ Condoms are seldom used for oral sex.³⁶ Thus, viral-induced oropharyngeal carcinoma may reach epidemic proportion because of the change in sexual behavior. As an illustration of this phenomenon, the proportion of HPV 16-associated tonsillar carcinoma has steadily increased in Sweden with rates of 68%, 77% and 93% for the periods of 2000-2002, 2003-2005 and 2006-2007, respectively (P < 0.0001).²⁷ The prevalence of HPV 16 negative tumors also decreased in the same period. Multiple studies have corroborated the relationship between sexual behavior, risk of developing HPV infection and subsequent development of oropharyngeal cancer in young patients which is now of epidemic proportion worldwide. 3,4,37-41

Postulated mechanism of HPV 16 infection and oropharyngeal cancer

The mechanism of HPV 16 infection leading to the development of oropharyngeal carcinoma is unclear. HPV is a small double-stranded virus with special affinity for the skin and mucosa. Transfection of human primary epithelial cell lines derived from normal tonsils with HPV 16 produced immortal cell lines. ⁴² All transformed cells contained abnormal chromosomal abnormalities (breakage, condensation, dicentric and acentric chromosomes). Viral DNA was integrated into the genome of transfected cells with a particular predilection for chromosome 7q31 and 9q34. Even though transformed cells retained the morphology of normal tonsillar cells,

they were poorly differentiated with less cytokeratin expression. 42 Integration of viral DNA in host chromosome 7q31 induced alteration of E2 gene which normally downregulates E6 and E7 genes.⁴³ Tonsillar carcinoma cells infected with HPV 16 demonstrated high expression of E6 and E7 messenger RNA.44 As a result, oncoproteins E6 and E7 accumulated inside infected cells. 45 Oncoprotein E6 induced the degradation of tumor suppressor p53 and reduced the infected cell ability to undergo apoptosis. Oncoprotein E7 degraded the retinoblastoma protein (pRb) and prevented it from inhibiting of the cell cycle leading to uncontrolled cell proliferation. Consistent with this hypothesis, tonsillar carcinoma associated with HPV 16 demonstrated downregulation of pRB and cyclin D1.46 Inhibition of E6 and E7 by short hair-pin RNA retroviruses induced the functional restoration of p53 and pRB functions in HPV 16-associated oropharyngeal squamous cell lines.⁴⁷ However, even though the overexpression of oncoproteins E6 and E7 are essential steps for malignant transformation because of continued cellular proliferation, it remains unclear how other genes may interact with these oncoproteins to transform the infected cells into an immortal state. A potential synergistic role for ras oncogene has been suggested with E6 and E7 in transgenic mice to produce ear and mouth tumors, but more work needs to be done to elucidate the mechanism of cancerization.⁴⁸

The P16 protein (p16) is a cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) inhibitor that is normally inhibited by pRB. Loss of pRB function by HPV 16 virus incorporation into host genome leads to overexpression of p16. ^{49,50} Increased p16 expression is often associated with poorly differentiated tumor and locally advanced (T4,N2-3) HPV-associated oropharyngeal carcinoma at diagnosis. ⁵⁰

Paradoxically, despite advanced stages at diagnosis, overexpression of P16 is a strong predictor for survival advantages independent of TNM staging for HPV-associated oropharyngeal carcinoma. 50-60 There is a strong correlation between P16 expression and response of oropharyngeal tumors to radiotherapy.In a prospective study of 156 head and neck cancers treated with radiotherapy alone, 35 patients were reported to have increased P16 in the biopsy specimen.⁵⁷ Twenty-four of these patients (69%) had oropharyngeal cancer. The 5-year loco-regional control was 58% and 28% for p16 positive and negative tumors, respectively (P=0.0005). Corresponding values for survival were 62% and 26% (P=0.0003), respectively. Increased radiosensitivity of p16 positive tumors was also corroborated in another study. Complete response rate to radiotherapy alone was respectively

86% and 33% for high and low expression p16 tonsillar cancers.⁵³ P16 is also a biomarker for tumor chemosensitivity. Kumar et al.52 reported 50 patients with locally advanced oropharyngeal cancer treated by induction chemotherapy followed by chemoradiation and postoperative radiotherapy for responders and non-responders. respectively. There was a statistically significant correlation between p16 expression and response to chemotherapy which translated into better survival for patients with high P16 expression.⁵² Thus, survival for patients with locally advanced oropharyngeal cancer and high P16 expression was significantly increased with chemoradiation because of the tumor radio- and chemosensitivity. 55,56 Nichols et al. 56 reported a 3-year survival of 89% and 65% for P16 positive and negative oropharyngeal tumors, respectively following concurrent chemotherapy and radiotherapy. Other studies have also corroborated the excellent survival associated with high expression of P16 following chemoradiation for oropharyngeal cancers. 52,55 One possible explanation for the radiosensitivity of HPV 16-associated oropharyngeal tumors is the upregulation of RBBP4, a gene that has been shown to induce radiosensitivity, in head and neck cancer cell lines infected with HPV 16.61 In addition to a favorable response rate to treatment, patients with HPV-associated oropharyngeal cancers also have a very low rate of second malignancies and distant metastases which may account for their long-term disease-free survival.⁶² Both long-term survival and disease-free survival correlated with viral load. The 4-year survival was respectively 64% and 100% for viral copies less than 50 and more than 500.63 Other studies also corroborated the beneficial effect of increased viral load in the tumor specimen suggesting that the biology of HPV-positive tumors is less aggressive compared to HPV-negative tumors. 29,54

The molecular biology of HPV 16-associated oropharyngeal carcinoma is markedly different from HPV-negative oropharyngeal carcinoma. HPV-negative oropharyngeal carcinoma occurs in older individuals with a long history of smoking and drinking. 64 Thus, they are at risk of field cancerization in contrast to HPV 16 which has a special predilection for tonsillar crypts.

HPV-negative tonsillar carcinoma are associated with a high expression of p53 and cyclin D1, which is minimal or absent in HPV-positive tumors. 45,65 HPV-negative tumors are also associated with well-differentiated squamous histology in contrast to the poorly differentiated or basaloid histology of HPV-positive tumors. 45 Epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) is strongly expressed in

	Positive	Negative
Age	Younger (30–50s)	Older (60–70s)
Life style	Oral sex	Smoking, drinking
Field cancerization	No	Yes
Predilection	Tonsils	No
Histology	Poorly differentiated Basaloid	Well differentiated
Biomarkers	P 16	EGFR, p53, cyclin D, survivin
Chromosomal alterations	Less frequent	Frequent
Prognosis	Excellent	Poor
Distant metastases	Rare	Frequent
Second malignancies	Rare	Frequent

Table 2 Characteristic differences between HPV 16-positive and -negative oropharyngeal cancers

HPV-negative tumor and is absent or minimally expressed in HPV-positive Tumors. 49 High expression of EGFR is usually associated with a poor prognosis because of high loco-regional recurrences rates and distant metastases. 66,67 Licitra *et al.* 59 suggested that low EGFR levels may be the cause for improved survival in HPV-positive oropharyngeal cancers treated with surgery alone. However, other biomarkers for poor survival such as survivin are also elevated in HPV-negative oropharyngeal cancers and may account for the poor prognosis observed.⁶⁸ HPV-positive and negative oropharyngeal tumors are also characterized by distinct genetic signatures. ^{69,70} Chromosomal alterations and amplifications are more frequent in HPVnegative tumors and are associated with worse survival.⁶⁹ HPV-negative and positive tumors have distinct sets of upregulated and downregulated genes involved in cell proliferation, transcription, apoptosis and DNA repair.⁷⁰

Table 2 summarizes characteristics of HPV 16-positive and -negative oropharyngeal tumors. Table 3 summarizes survival difference between HPV-positive and negative oropharyngeal cancers.

Management of HPV 16-associated oropharyngeal carcinoma

Most patients with HPV 16-associated oropharyngeal carcinoma present with locally advanced disease at diagnosis. Resectable tumors can be treated with either surgery followed by postoperative radiotherapy or concurrent chemoradiation with similar outcome. Unresectable disease are usually treated with concurrent chemoradiation because of superior survival rates compared to radiotherapy alone. However, survival is usually poor because of high rates of loco-regional recurrences and distant metastases. Three-year

Table 3 Survival difference between HPV 16-positive and -negative oropharyngeal cancers

	Patient No	Treatment type	Survival	
Study			HPV + (%) (years)	HPV- (%) (years)
Chung et al. ²⁸	46	CRT	86 (5)	35 (5)
Hafkamp et al.46	77	NS	69 (5)	31 (5)
Kumar et al. ⁵²	50	CRT	80 (5)	40 (5)
Weinberger et al. ⁵⁴	107	RT PostopRT	79 (5)	20 (5)
Nichols et al.56	44	CRT .	89 (3)	69 (3)
Lassen et al. ⁵⁷	156	RT	62 (5)	26 (5)
Reimers et al. ⁶⁰	97	S RT CRT	73 (5)	63 (5)
Fakry et al. ⁷¹	62	CRT	78 (5)	50 (5)

CRT, chemoradiation; NS, not specified; RT, radiotherapy; S, surgery; postopRT, postoperative radiation.

survival rates ranged from 55% to 62% and decreased to 22% at 5 years. The observed low survival rate was similar to patients with HPV 16-negative tumors (Table 3) and reflected a different patient population consisting of older, smokers and drinkers, and most likely EGFR positive and P16 negative subjects. HPV oropharyngeal cancers represent a distinct population of patients with excellent survival (5 year survival in the 80 percent range) regardless of the type treatment.

Given the fact that most HPV-positive patients are young, treatment selection should take into consideration the mortality and morbidity associated with the selected treatment. Surgery of the oropharynx is associated with significant alteration of

speech and swallow because of resection of critical muscles essential for these functions.^{73,74} Chemoradiation offers several advantages including anatomic organ preservation and speech conservation. In addition, surgery is associated with a higher mortality rate compared to radiotherapy. ⁷⁵ Mortality rates and serious complications were 3.2% and 23%, respectively for surgery compared to 0.8% and 6% for radiotherapy. 75 Thus, concurrent chemoradiation is usually selected in most institutions for locally advanced oropharyngeal cancers. However, with early detection, HPV-positive patients may not require the combined modality as surgery or radiotherapy alone provide excellent survival with less morbidity. 75,76 Patients with HPV associated oropharyngeal cancers are often initially misdiagnosed with upper aero-digestive infections because of their young age and lack of information by the primary care physicians about this clinical entity. These patients are usually treated with prolonged courses of antibiotics thus delaying their cancer diagnosis.⁷⁷ Oropharyngeal cancer patients with early diagnosis have been shown to have less advanced stages at diagnosis, resulting in improved survival.⁷⁸ Treatment with radiotherapy alone for early stages instead of concurrent chemoradiation for locally advanced diseases is less costly for society.

It is estimated that for each head and neck cancer patient treated with radiotherapy alone, 10000 dollars is saved compared to chemoradiation due to the cost of chemotherapy and radiotherapy- related complications.⁷⁹ A history of oral sex should be obtained in sexually active young people with a sore throat and should raise a red flag for a possible underlying malignancy. Early referral to Ear, Nose and Throat surgeons will decrease treatment morbidity and treatment cost. Thus, primary care physicians will play a major role in the management of oropharyngeal cancer. As HPV 16-associated oropharyngeal cancers carry an excellent prognosis, new treatment protocols are under consideration for selection of patients with advanced stages who may benefit from radiotherapy alone instead of the combined modality. Patients presenting with small tumors, high expression of p 16, minimal or absent EGFR expression and high viral load in the tumor specimen have excellent survival despite the presence of neck nodes. ^{29,30,52,54,58,60,63} Patients fitting these criteria may be enrolled in a protocol study with radiotherapy alone to decrease treatment morbidity and to improve quality of life. This treatment approach should be considered experimental as concurrent chemoradiation or postoperative radiotherapy remains the standard of care for locally advanced head and neck cancer.

Prevention of HPV 16 infection

As the mean time between HPV infection and cancer development is about 12 years, the number of young adults developing oropharyngeal cancer is expected to rise steadily in the years to come.80 Vaccination must be started prior to sexual puberty to be effective as adolescents consider oral sex and deep kissing as safe alternatives to avoid unwanted pregnancy and venereal diseases. HPV 16 infection currently affect all ethnic groups in the US because of the changing attitude toward sex.⁵ Vaccination should target both males and females along with public education as most adolescents have little knowledge about the risks involved with sexual behavior. 81,82 Unless physicians take an active role to educate the public and promote clinical trials for vaccination, we will witness a tidal wave of young people with head and neck cancer in the next decades.

Conclusions

HPV-associated oropharyngeal cancers is a complete clinical entity distinct from the traditional head and neck cancer that affects young people and is related to sexual behavior. Despite excellent prognosis, physicians should refer patients early on to avoid delay in diagnosis and to reduce treatment cost and morbidity related to chemoradiation-induced complications. Vaccination against HPV 16 should be considered in future clinical trials.

Conflict of interest: None declared.

References

- Dahlstrom KR, Little JA, Zafareo ME, Lung M, Wei Q, Sturgis EM. Squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck in never smoker-never drinkers. *Head Neck* 2007; 30:75–84.
- Fuller CD, Wang SJ, Thomas CR, Hoffman HT, Weber RS, Rosenthal DI. Conditional survival in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Results from the SEER data set. *Cancer* 2007; 109:1331–1343.
- Hammarsted L, Dahlstrand H, Lindquist D, Onelov L, Ryott M, Luo J, et al. The incidence of tonsillar cancer in Sweden is rising. Acta Otolaryngol 2007; 127:988–992.
- Shiboski CH, Schmidt BL, Jordan RC. Tongue and tonsil carcinoma.Increasing trends in the U.S population ages 20–44 years. Cancer 2005; 103:1843–1849.
- Ryerson AB, Peters ES, Coughlin SS, Chen VW, Gillison ML, Reichmann ME, et al. Burden of potentially human papilloma virus-associated cancers of the oropharynx and oral cavity in the US, 1998-2003. Cancer 2008; 113:2901–2909.
- 6. D'Souza G, Kreimer AR, Viscidi R, Pawlita M, Fakhry C, Koch WM, et al. Case-control study of human papilloma

- virus and oropharyngeal cancer. N Eng J Med 2007; 356:1944–1956
- D'Souza G, Agrawal Y, Halpern J, Bodison S, Gillison ML.
 Oral sexual behaviours associated with prevalent oral
 human papillomavirus infection. *J Infectious Dis* 2009;
 199:1263–1269.
- Ekwueme DU, Chesson HW, Zhang KB, Balamurugan A. Years of potential life lost and productivity costs because of cancer mortality and for specific cancer sites where human papillomavirus may be a risk factor for carcinogenesis-United States 2003. *Cancer* 2008; 113:2936–2945.
- 9. Hecht SS. Tobacco smoke carcinogen and lung cancer. *J Nat Cancer Inst* 1999; **91**:1194–1210.
- Bagnardi V, Blangiardo M, La Vecchia C, Correo G. A metaanalysis of alcohol drinking and cancer risk. Br J Cancer 2001; 85:1700–1705.
- Kramer S, Gelber RD, Snow JB, Marcial VA, Lowry LD, Davis LW, et al. Combined radiation therapy and surgery in the management of advanced head and neck cancer: final report of study 73-03 of the Radiation Therapy Oncology Group. Head Neck Surg 1987; 10:19–30.
- 12. Denis F, Garaud P, Bardet E, Alfonsi M, Sire C, Germain T, et al. Final results of the 94-01 French head and neck oncology and radiotherapy group randomized trial comparing radiotherapy alone with concomitant radiochemotherapy in advanced-stage oropharynx carcinoma. *J Clin Oncol* 2004; 22:69–76.
- Fallai C, Bolner A, Signor M, Franchin G, Ponticelli P, Taino R, et al. Long-term results of conventional radiotherapy versus accelerated hyperfractionated radiotherapy versus concomitant radiotherapy and chemotherapy in locoregionally advanced carcinoma of the oropharynx. *Tumori* 2006; 92:41–54.
- 14. Staar S, Rudat V, Stuetzer H, Dietz A, Volling P, Schroeder M, et al. Intensified hyperfractionated accelerated radiotherapy limits the additional benefit of simultaneous chemotherapy-results of a multicentric randomized german trial in advanced head and neck cancer. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2001; 50:1161–1171.
- 15. Semrau R, Mueller RP, Stuetzer H, Staar S, Schroeder U, Guntinas-Lichius O, et al. Efficacy of intensified hyperfractionated and accelerated radiotherapy and concurrent chemotherapy with carboplatin and 5-fluorouracil: update results of a randomized multicenter trial in advanced head and neck cancer. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2006; 64:1308–1316.
- Bensadoun RJ, Benezery K, Dassonville O, Magne N, Poissoner G, Ramaioli A, et al. French multicenter phase II randomized study testing concurrent twice-a-day radiotherapy and cisplatin/fluorouracil chemotherapy in unresectable pharyngeal carcinoma: results at 2 years (FNLCC-GORTEC). Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2006; 4:983–994.
- Posner MR, Hershock DM, Blajman CR, Mickewicz E, Winquist E, Gorbounova V, et al. Cisplatin and fluorouracil alone with Docitaxel in head and neck cancer. N Engl J Med 2007; 357:1705–1715.
- Brizel DM, Albers ME, Fisher SR, Scher RL, Ritchsmeier WJ, Hars V, et al. Hyperfractionated irradiation with or without concurrent chemotherapy for locally advanced head and neck cancer. N Eng J Med 1998; 338:1798–1804.
- Adelstein DJ, Saxton JP, Lavertu P, Tuason L, Wood BG, Wanamaker JR, et al. A phase III randomized trial comparing

- concurrent chemotherapy and radiotherapy with radiotherapy alone in resectable stage III and IV squamous cell head and neck cancer. *Head Neck* 1997; **19**:567–575.
- Charfi L, Jouffroy T, de Cremoux P, Le Peltier N, Thioux M, Freneaux P, et al. Two types of squamous cell carcinoma of the palatine tonsil characterized by distinct etiology, molecular features and outcome. Cancer Lett 2007; 260:72–78.
- Hemmimki K, Dong C, Frisch M. Tonsillar and other upper aerodigestive Tract cancers among cervical cancers and their husbands. Eur J Cancer Prev 2000; 9:433–437.
- Andrews E, Shores C, Hayes DN, Couch M, Southerland J, Morris D, et al. Concurrent human papillomavirusassociated tonsillar carcinoma in 2 couples. J Inf Dis 2009; 200:882–887.
- 23. Smith EM, Ritchie JM, Summergill KF, Klussman JP, Lee JH, Wang D, et al. Age, sexual behaviour and human papillomavirus infection in oral cavity and oropharyngeal cancer. *Int J Cancer* 2004; **108**:766–772.
- 24. Klussmann JP, Weissenborn SJ, Wieland U, Dries V, Kolligs J, Jungehuelsing M, et al. Prevalence, distribution, and viral load of human papillomavirus 16 DNA in tonsillar carcinomas. *Cancer* 2001; **92**:2875–2884.
- 25. Hobbs CG, Sterne JA, Bailey M, Heyderman RS, Birchall MA, Thomas SJ. Human papilloma virus and head and neck cancer: a systemic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Otolaryngol* 2006; **31**:259–266.
- El-Moffty SK, Lu DW. Prevalence of human paoillomavirus type 16 DNA in squamous cell carcinoma of the palatine tonsil and not the oral cavity in young patients. *Am J Surg Pathol* 2003; 27:1463–1470.
- Nasman A, Attmer P, Hammarstedt L, Du J, Eriksson M, Giraud G, et al. Incidence of human papillomavirus positive tonsillar carcinoma in Stockholm, Sweden: an epidemic of viral-induced carcinoma. Int J Cancer 2009; 125:362–366.
- Chung YL, Lee MY, Horng CF, Jian JJ, Chen SH, Tsai SY, et al. Use of combined molecular biomarkers for prediction of clinical outcomes in locally advanced tonsillar cancers treated with chemoradiation. Head Neck 2009; 31:9–20.
- Kuo KT, Hsiao CH, Lin CH, Kuo LT, Huang SH, Lin MC.
 The biomarkers of human papillomavirus infection in tonsillar squamous cell carcinoma-molecular basis and predicting favorable outcome. *Modern Pathol* 2008; 21:376–386.
- Cohen MA, Basha SR, Reichenbach DK, Robertson E, Sewell DA. Increased viral load correlates with improved survival in HPV-16 associated tonsil carcinoma patients. Acta Otolaryngol 2008; 128:583–589.
- 31. Niedobitek G, Pitteroff S, Herbst H, Shepherd B, Finn T, Anagnostopoulos I, et al. Detection of human papillomavirus type 16 DNA in carcinomas of the palatine tonsil. *J Clin Pathol* 1990; **43**:918–921.
- 32. Strome SE, Savva A, Brissett AE, Gostout BS, Lewis J, Stein H, et al. Squamous cell carcinoma of the tonsils: A molecular analysis of HPV association. *Clin Cancer Res* 2002; 8:1093–1100.
- Louis KS, de Sanjose S, Diaz M, Castellsague X, Herrero R, Meijer CJ, et al. Early age at first sexual intercourse and early pregnancy are risk factors for cervical cancer in developing countries. Br J Cancer 2009; 100:1191–1197.

- Markham CM, Peskin MF, Addy RC, Baumler ER, Tortorelo SR. Patterns of vaginal, oral, and anal sexual intercourse in an urban seventh-grade population. J School Health 2009; 79:193–200.
- 35. Schuster MA, Bell RM, Kanouse DE. The sexual practice of adolescent virgins: genital sexual activities of high school students who have never had vaginal intercourse. *Am J Publ Health* 1996; **86**:1570–1576.
- Cohall A, Kassotis J, Parks R, Vaughan R, Bannister H, Northridge M. Adolescents in the age of AIDS: myths, misconception, and misunderstandings regarding sexually transmitted diseases. J Natl Med Assoc 2001; 93:64–69.
- 37. Hammarsted L, Lindquist D, Dahlstrand H, Romanitan M, Dahlgren LO, Joneberg J, *et al.* Human papilloma virus as a risk factor for the increase incidence of tonsillar cancer. *Int J Cancer* 2006; **119**:2620–2623.
- 38. Kreimer AR, Alberg AJ, Viscidi R, Gillison ML. Gender differences in sexual biomarkers and behavior associated with human papillomavirus 16, 18, and 33 seroprevalence. *Sexually Trans Dis* 2004; **31**:247–256.
- Ernster JA, Sciotto CG, O'Brien MM, Finch JL, Robinson LJ, Willson T, et al. Rising incidence of oropharyngeal cancer and the role of oncogenic papilloma virus. Laryngoscope 2007; 117:2115–2118.
- 40. Herrero R, Castellsague X, Pawlita M, Lissowska J, Kee F, Balaram P, et al. Human papillomavirus and oral cancer: the international agency for research on cancer multicenter study. J Nat Cancer Inst 2003; **95**:1772–1783.
- 41. Schwartz SM, Daling JR, Doody DR, Wipf GC, Carter JJ, Madeleine MM, *et al.* Oral cancer risk in relation to sexual history and evidence of human papillomavirus infection. *J Nat Cancer Inst* 1998: **90**:1626–1636.
- 42. Chen RW, Aalto Y, Teesalu T, Durst M, Knuutila S, Aaltonen LM, *et al.* Establishment and characterization of human papillomavirus type 16 DNA immortalized human tonsillar epithelial cell lines. *Eur J Cancer* 2003; **39**:698–707.
- 43. Ragin CC, Reshmi SC, Gollin SM. Mapping and analysis of HPV 16 integration sites in a head and neck cancer cell line. *Int J Cancer* 2004; **110**:701–709.
- 44. Lindquist D, Romanitan M, Hammarstedt L, Nasman A, Dahlstrand H, Lindhom J, et al. Human papilomavirus is a favourable prognostic factor in tonsillar cancer and its oncogenic role is supported by the expression of E6 and E7. Mol Oncol 2007; 1:350–355.
- Wilczinski SP, Lin BT, Xie Y, Paz IB. Detection of human papillomavirus DNA and oncoprotein overexpression are associated with distinct morphological patterns of tonsillar squamous cell carcinoma. *Am J Pathol* 1998; **152**: 145–156.
- 46. Hafkamp HC, Mooren JJ, Claessen SMH, Klingenberg B, Voogd AC, Bot FJ, et al. P 21 expression is strongly associated with HPV-positive tonsillar carcinoma and a favorable prognosis. *Modern Pathol* 2009; **22**:686–698.
- Rampias T, Sasaki C, Weinberger P, Psyrri A. E6 and E7 gene silencing and transformed phenotype of human papillomavirus 16-positive oropharyngeal cancer cells. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2009; 101:412–423.
- 48. Schreiber K, Cannon RE, Karrison T, Beck-Enseger G, Huo D, Tennant RW, *et al.* Strong synergy between mutant ras and HPV16 E6/E7 in the development of primary tumors. *Oncogene* 2004; **23**:3972–3979.

- 49. Kim SH, Koo BS, Kang S, Park K, Kim H, Lee KR, *et al.* HPV integration begins in tonsillar crypts and leads to the alteration of p 16, EGFR, and c-myc during tumor formation. *Int J Cancer* 2007; **120**:1418–1425.
- Weinberger PM, Yu Z, Haffty BG, Kowalski D, Harigopal M, Sasaki C, et al. Prognostic significance of p16 protein levels in oropharyngeal carcinoma. Clin Cancer Res 2004; 10:412–423.
- 51. Klozar J, Kratochvil V, Salakova M, Smahelova J, Vesela E, Hamsikova E, *et al.* HPV status and regional metastases in the prognosis of oral and oropharyngeal cancer. *Eur Arch Otolaryngol* 2008; **265**:S75–S82.
- 52. Kumar B, Cordell KG, Lee JS, Woeden HP, Prince ME, Tran HH, et al. EGFR, p16, HPV titer, Bcl-X1 and p53, sex, and smoking are indicators of response to therapy and survival in oropharyngeal cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2008; **26**: 3128–3137.
- Dahlstrand HM, Lindquist D, Bjornestal L, Ohlsson A, Dalianis T, Munck-Wikland E, et al. P16 correlates to human papillomavirus presence, response to radiotherapy and clinical outcome in tonsillar carcinoma. Anticancer Res 2005; 25:4375–4384.
- 54. Weinberger PM, Yu Z, Haffty BG, Kowalski D, Harigopal M, Brandsma J, et al. Molecular classification identifies a subset of human papillomavirus-associated oropharyngeal cancers with favorable prognosis. *J Clin Oncol* 2006; **24**:736–747.
- 55. Kong CS, Narahimsan B, Cao H, Kwok S, Erikson JP, Koong A, et al. The relationship between human papillomavirus status and other molecular prognostic markers in head and neck quamous cell carcinoma. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2009; 74:553–561.
- Nichols AC, Faquin WC, Westra WH, Mroz EA, Begum S, Clark JR, et al. HP-16 infection predicts treatment outcome in oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2009; 140:228–234.
- Lassen P, Eriksen JG, Hamilton-Dutoit SH, Tramm T, Alsner J, Overgaard J. Effect of HPV1-associated P16 expression on response to radiotherapy and survival in squamous cell carcinoma of head and neck. *J Clin Oncol* 2009; 27:1992–1998.
- 58. Kumar B, Cordell KG, Lee JS, Prince ME, Tran HH, Wolf GT, et al. Response to therapy and outcomes in oropharyngeal cancer are associated with biomarkers including human papillomavirus, epidermal growth factor receptor, gender, and smoking. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2007; 9:S109–S111.
- 59. Licitra L, Perrone F, Bossi P, Suardi S, Mariani L, Artusi R, et al. High risk human papilloma virus affect prognosis in patients with surgically treated oropharyngeal carcinoma. *J Clin Oncol* 2006; **24**:5630–5636.
- Reimers N, Kasper HU, Weissenborn SJ, Stutzer H, Preuss SF, Hoffman TK, et al. Combined analysis of HPV DNA, p16, and EGFR expression to predict prognosis in oropharyngeal cancer. Int J Cancer 2007; 120:1731–1738.
- Lohavanichbutr P, Houck J, Fan W, Yueh B, Mendez E, Futran N, et al. Genomewide gene expression profiles of HPV-positive and HPV-negative oropharyngeal cancer. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2009; 135:180–188.
- 62. Hafkamp HC, Manni JJ, Haesevoets A, Voogd AC, Schepers M, Bot FJ, et al. Marked differences in survival rate between smokers and nonsmokers with HPV

- 16-associated tonsillar carcinomas. *Int J Cancer* 2008; **122**:2656–2664.
- Cohen MA, Basha SR, Reichenbach DK, Robertson E, Sewell DA. Increased viral load correlates with improved survival in HPV-16-associated tonsil carcinoma patients. Acta Oto-Laryngol 2008; 128:583–589.
- 64. Begum S, Cao D, Gillison M, Zahurak M, Westra WH. Tissue distribution of human papillomavirus 16 DNA integration in patients with tonsillar carcinoma. *Clin Cancer Res* 2005; **16**:5694–5699.
- 65. Kumar RV, Kadkol SS, Daniel R, Shenoy AM, Shah KV. Human papillomavirus, p53 and cyclin D1 expression in oropharyngeal carcinoma. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2003; **32**:539–543.
- 66. Rubin-Grandis J, Melhem MF, Gooding WE, Day R, Holst VA, Wagener MM, et al. Levels of TGF-alpha and EGFR protein in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma and patient survival. J Natl Cancer Inst 1998; 90:824–832.
- 67. Wei Q, Sheng L, Shui Y, Hu Q, Nordgren H, Carlsson J. EGFR, HER2, and HER3 expression in laryngeal primary tumors and corresponding metastases. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2008; **15**:1193–1201.
- 68. Preuss SF, Weinell A, Stenner M, Semrau R, Drebber U, Wessenborn SJ, et al. Nuclear survivin expression is associated with HPV-independent carcinogenesis and is an indicator of poor prognosis in oropharyngeal cancer. Br J Cancer 2008; 98:627–632.
- Klussmann JP, Mooren JJ, Lehnen M, Claessen SMH, Stenner M, Huebbers CU, et al. Genetic signatures of HPV-related and unrelated oropharyngeal carcinoma and their prognostic implications. Clin Cancer Res 2009; 15:1779–1786.
- Martinez I, Wang J, Hobson KF, Ferris RL, Khan SA. Identification of differentially expressed genes in HPV-positive and HPV-negative oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinomas. Eur J Cancer 2007; 43:415–432.
- Fakry C, Westra WH, Li S, Cmelak A, Ridge JA, Pinto H, et al. Improved survival of patients with human papillomavirus-positive head and neck squamous cell carcinoma in a prospective clinical trial. J Natl Cancer Inst 2008; 100: 261–269.
- 72. Soo KC, Tan EH, Wee J, Lim D, Tai BC, Khoo ML, et al. Surgery and adjuvant radiotherapy vs concurrent

- chemoradiotherapy in stage III/IV non metastatic squamous cell head and neck cancer: a randomized comparison. *Br J Cancer* 2005; **93**:279–286.
- Denittis AS, Machtay M, Rosenthal DI, Sanfilippo MJ, Lee JH, Goldfeder S, et al. Advanced oropharyngeal carcinoma treated with surgery and radiotherapy: oncologic outcome and functional assessment. Am J Otolaryngol 2001; 22: 329–335.
- 74. Harrison LB, Zelefky MJ, Amstrong JG, Carper E, Gaynor JJ, Sessions RB. Performance status after treatment for squamous cell cancer of the base of tongue-a comparison of primary radiotherapy versus primary surgery. *Int J Radiat Biol Phys* 1994: 30:953–957.
- 75. Parsons JT, Mendenhall WM, Stringer SP, Amdur RJ, Hinerman RW, Villaret DB, et al. Squamous cell carcinoma of the oropharynx, surgery, radiation therapy, or both. *Cancer* 2002: **94**:2967–2980.
- Roosli C, Tschudi DC, Studer G, Braun J, Stoeckli SJ. Outcome of patients after treatment for a squamous cell carcinoma of the oropharynx. *Laryngoscope* 2009; 119:534–540.
- 77. Yu T, Wood RE. Delays in diagnosis of head and neck cancers. J Can Dent Assoc 2008; 74:61.
- 78. Pitchers M, Martin C. Delay in referral of oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma to secondary care correlates with a more advanced stage at presentation, and is associated with poorer survival. *Br J Cancer* 2006; **94**: 955–958.
- Lang K, Sussman M, Friedman M, Su J, Kan HJ, Mauro D, et al. Incidence and costs of treatment-related complications among patients with advanced squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2009; 135:582–588.
- Ylilato N, Josefsson A, Melbye A, Sorensen P, Frisch M, Anderson PK, et al. A prospective study showing long-term infection with human papilllomavirus 16 before the development of cervical carcinoma in situ. Cancer Res 2000; 60:6027–6032.
- 81. Cornell JL, Halpern-Felsher BL. Adolescents tell us why teens have oral sex. *J Adolesc Health* 2006; **38**:299–301.
- 82. Halpern-Felsher BL, Cornell JL, Kropp RY, Tschann JM. Oral versus vaginal sex among adolescents: perceptions, attitudes, and behaviour. *Pediatrics* 2005; **115**:845–851.