

Comment on: Control of extended-spectrum β -lactamase-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* using a computer-assisted management program to restrict third-generation cephalosporin use

Benedikt Huttner, Didier Pittet and Stephan Harbarth*

Infection Control Program, University of Geneva Hospitals and Faculty of Medicine, 24 Rue Micheli-du-Crest, CH-1211 Geneva, Switzerland

Keywords: study design, intervention, antibacterial agents, bacterial drug resistance, cephalosporins, β -lactamases

*Corresponding author. Tel: +41-22-372-33-57;
Fax: +41-22-372-39-87; E-mail: stephan.harbarth@hcuge.ch

Sir,

The recent report by Kim *et al.*¹ represents an interesting attempt to assess the impact of a computer-assisted management program on third-generation cephalosporin use and the incidence of extended-spectrum β -lactamase (ESBL)-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in a Korean teaching hospital. The authors conclude that their intervention study led to a decrease in third-generation cephalosporin use from 103.2 to 84.9 DDD/1000 patient-days and ultimately helped to control the spread of ESBL-producing *K. pneumoniae*.

We would like to comment on some methodological problems with the interpretation of the data. An effect on third-generation cephalosporin use was seen when the average antibiotic use was compared in the pre-intervention and the intervention phase. This approach is, however, strongly discouraged by the authors of the ORION statement for transparent reporting of intervention studies in the field of antibiotic resistance, published in this journal last year, as it does not take into account trends and temporary changes.² In fact, just by carefully examining the data provided by the authors in Figure 2 of the article, it is evident that, despite an initial decrease, there was a subsequent increase in the third-generation cephalosporin use during the intervention period to levels higher than before the intervention. It is also immediately apparent that the incidence of ESBL-producing bacteria was highest during the intervention period and only declined in the maintenance period, where third-generation cephalosporin use was even higher than in the pre-intervention period—a finding that is hardly compatible with a successful intervention.

It is true that an interrupted time-series analysis—one of the statistical methods suggested by the ORION statement for intervention studies in the absence of a concurrent control group—would not have been possible due to the lack of a sufficient number of time points. A segmented regression analysis might, however, have been a way to interpret the data more properly.³

Other biases may have distorted the study results. For instance, outbreaks tend to cluster in certain wards. Thus, third-generation cephalosporin use aggregated for the entire hospital might not reflect the selection pressure in specific wards where small-scale outbreaks may have occurred, leading to ecological

bias.^{4,5} Moreover, as antibiotic cross-resistance is frequent for ESBL producers, changes in the use of a single class of antibiotics might be insufficient to decrease the overall selection pressure. Finally, more detailed information about the infection control policy (contact isolation, screening, local or national hand hygiene promotion campaigns) for preventing the transmission of ESBL-producing organisms would have been helpful.

In view of the worldwide increasing prevalence of multi-resistant organisms and the link between antibiotic use and resistance, we are in dire need of effective interventions to promote judicious antibiotic use.⁶ With the increasing availability of computerized prescription systems in hospitals, computer-assisted decision-making seems a promising tool to control antibiotic use. Studies like the one by Kim *et al.* confirm, however, that despite the use of modern technologies, changing old habits remains a difficult task.

Transparency declarations

None to declare.

References

1. Kim JY, Sohn JW, Park DW *et al.* Control of extended-spectrum β -lactamase-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* using a computer-assisted management program to restrict third-generation cephalosporin use. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2008; **62**: 416–21.
2. Stone SP, Cooper BS, Kibbler CC *et al.* The ORION statement: guidelines for transparent reporting of outbreak reports and intervention studies of nosocomial infection. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2007; **59**: 833–40.
3. Shardell M, Harris AD, El-Kamary SS *et al.* Statistical analysis and application of quasi experiments to antimicrobial resistance intervention studies. *Clin Infect Dis* 2007; **45**: 901–7.
4. Harbarth S, Pittet D. Multiresistance of Gram-negative bacteria in intensive care units: bad news from without. *Crit Care Med* 1999; **27**: 1037–8.
5. Harbarth S, Harris AD, Carmeli Y *et al.* Parallel analysis of individual and aggregated data on antibiotic exposure and resistance in Gram-negative bacilli. *Clin Infect Dis* 2001; **33**: 1462–8.
6. Harbarth S, Samore MH. Interventions to control MRSA: high time for time-series analysis? *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2008; **62**: 431–3.

Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy

doi:10.1093/jac/dkn324

Advance Access publication 31 July 2008

Control of extended-spectrum β -lactamase-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* by utilizing a computer-assisted management program to restrict third-generation cephalosporin use—authors' response

Jeong Yeon Kim¹ and Min Ja Kim^{1,2*}