# Correspondence

# Gram-Negative versus Gram-Positive Prosthetic Joint Infections

To the Editor—We read with great interest the report by Hsieh et al [1] in the 1 October 2009 issue of the journal on gram-negative prosthetic joint infections (GN PJIs). We congratulate the authors for their substantial contribution.

The authors revealed that treating GN PJI with debridement was associated with a lower 2-year cumulative probability of success than treating gram-positive (GP) PJI with debridement (27% vs 47% of episodes were successfully treated) [1]. This difference vanishes when 2-stage exchange is performed and stands in contrast to higher success rates of 40% [2] or 80% [2–4], even if the literature usually mixes up GP and GN organisms or concentrates only on GP organisms [4]. Hsieh et al [1] could not identify a statistically significant risk factor, probably because their study was underpowered. We think that the attribution of the 6 GN PJI cases with sinus tracts to the debridement-and-retention arm may have decreased the success rate. As shown in the authors' Table 5, no episode with a sinus tract has been cured with retention alone. This strong risk for treatment failure is congruent with expert opinion [5, 6].

Second, we were surprised to find that a sinus tract was already present in 6 (22%) of 27 episodes of PJI occurring among patients who underwent debridement and retention of the prosthesis, despite a maximal duration of clinical symptoms of 19 days. It is not clear how many episodes of GN PJI were hematogenous, but the median C-reactive protein level of 39 mg/L suggests that there was not a very impressive evolution of the disease in the majority of cases. Personally, we have had a different clinical experience with cases

of GN PJI in Geneva. We performed a prospective observational study during the period from 1996 through 2007. Of 144 episodes of PJI identified, 29 (20%) were episodes of GN PJI, of which 26 were nonpseudomonal infections and 3 were infections due to Pseudomonas aeruginosa. In the study by Hsieh et al [1], there were 21 (40%) of 53 episodes of GN PJI due to P. aeruginosa; in our study, there were 3 (10%) of 29 episodes of GN PJI due to P. aeruginosa. Also, in our study, a sinus tract was present in 10 (34%) of 29 episodes, but in these episodes, the sinus tract occurred after a much longer duration of symptoms (median duration, 33 days), compared with the duration of symptoms (range, 2-19 days) for all episodes of GN PJI in the study by Hsieh et al [1]. In our study, patients with GN PJI had the same age as those with GP PJI (median age, 77 years) and a similar overall cure rate: 23 (79%) of 29 patients with GN PJI were cured, and 89 (77%) of 115 patients with GP PJI were cured (P = .82, determined by use of the  $\chi^2$  test).

Third, in general, the GN PJI group is too heterogeneous to be mixed up, and should be separated between P. aeruginosa and other GN organisms stratified in further trials. Likewise, anaerobes should be kept apart from the GN PJI group (which had not been done in the study by Hsieh et al [1]). In larger trials, P. aeruginosa might yield a higher recurrence rate, as clinical experience often suggests. However, in our underpowered analysis, the overall cure rate for patients with P. aeruginosa infection was unchanged, compared with the overall cure rate for patients infected with other GN organisms: 2 (67%) of 3 patients with P. aeruginosa infection were cured, and 21 (81%) of 26 patients infected with other GN organisms were cured (P = .52, determined by use of the Fisher exact test). For the subgroup of patients treated with implant retention alone, the findings were similar: 2 (100%) of 2 patients with P aeruginosa infection were cured, and 10 (71%) of 14 patients infected with other GN organisms were cured (P>.99, determined by the Fisher exact test).

## **Acknowledgments**

**Potential conflicts of interest.** Both authors: no conflicts.

#### Ilker Uçkay<sup>1,2</sup> and Louis Bernard<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Orthopaedic Surgery Service and <sup>2</sup>Service of Infectious Diseases, Geneva University Hospitals, Geneva, Switzerland; and <sup>3</sup>Division of Infectious Diseases, Bretonneau Hospital, Tours, France

#### References

- Hsieh PH, Lee MS, Hsu KY, Chang YH, Shih HN, Ueng SW. Gram-negative prosthetic joint infections: risk factors and outcome of treatment. Clin Infect Dis 2009; 49:1036–1043.
- Marculescu CE, Berbari EF, Hanssen AD, et al. Outcome of prosthetic joint infections treated with debridement and retention of components. Clin Infect Dis 2006; 42:471–478.
- Byren I, Bejon P, Atkins BL, et al. One hundred and twelve infected arthroplasties treated with 'DAIR' (debridement, antibiotics and implant retention): antibiotic duration and outcome. J Antimicrob Chemother 2009; 63:1264–1271.
- Meehan AM, Osmon DR, Duffy MC, Hanssen AD, Keating MR. Outcome of penicillin-susceptible streptococcal prosthetic joint infection treated with debridement and retention of the prosthesis. Clin Infect Dis 2003; 36:845–849.
- Zimmerli W, Trampuz A, Ochsner PE. Prosthetic joint infections. N Engl J Med 2004; 351: 1645–1654.
- Bernard L, Hoffmeyer P, Assal M, et al. Trends in the treatment of orthopaedic prosthetic infections. J Antimicrob Chemother 2004; 53: 127–129.

Reprints or correspondence: Dr Ilker Uçkay, Orthopaedic Surgery Service and Service of Infectious Diseases, Geneva University Hospitals, Rue Gabrielle Perret-Gentil 4, 1211 Geneva 14, Switzerland (ilker.uckay@hcuge.ch).

## Clinical Infectious Diseases 2010; 50:795

© 2010 by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. All rights reserved. 1058-4838/2010/5005-0025\$15.00 DOI: 10.1086/650540