# Acute kidney injury and tools for risk-stratification in 456 patients with hantavirus-induced nephropathia epidemica

Joerg Latus<sup>1</sup>, Matthias Schwab<sup>2,3</sup>, Evelina Tacconelli<sup>4</sup>, Friedrich-Michael Pieper<sup>1</sup>, Daniel Wegener<sup>1</sup>, Bianka Rettenmaier<sup>1</sup>, Andrea Schwab<sup>1</sup>, Larissa Hoffmann<sup>1</sup>, Juergen Dippon<sup>5</sup>, Simon Müller<sup>5</sup>, Peter Fritz<sup>6</sup>, David Zakim<sup>6</sup>, Stephan Segerer<sup>7</sup>, Daniel Kitterer<sup>1</sup>, Martin Kimmel<sup>1</sup>, Karl Gußmann<sup>8</sup>, Martin Priwitzer<sup>9</sup>, Barbara Mezger<sup>9</sup>, Birgit Walter-Frank<sup>10</sup>, Angela Corea<sup>11</sup>, Albrecht Wiedenmann<sup>11</sup>, Stefan Brockmann<sup>12</sup>, Christoph Pöhlmann<sup>13</sup>, M. Dominik Alscher<sup>1</sup> and Niko Braun<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Nephrology, Robert-Bosch-Hospital, Stuttgart, Germany, <sup>2</sup>Dr Margarete Fischer-Bosch-Institute of Clinical Pharmacology, Stuttgart, Germany, <sup>3</sup>Department of Clinical Pharmacology, University Hospital Tuebingen, Tuebingen, Germany, <sup>4</sup>Department of Internal Medicine I, Division of Infectious Diseases, University Hospital Tuebingen, Tuebingen, Germany, <sup>5</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Stuttgart, Stuttgart, Germany, <sup>6</sup>Institute of Digital Medicine, Robert-Bosch-Hospital, Stuttgart, Germany, <sup>7</sup>Division of Nephrology, University Hospital Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland, <sup>8</sup>General Practitioner, Grabenstetten, Germany, <sup>9</sup>Local Health Authority, Stuttgart, Germany, <sup>10</sup> Local Health Authority, Böblingen, Germany, <sup>11</sup>Local Health Authority, Esslingen, Germany, <sup>12</sup>Local Health Authority, Reutlingen, Germany and <sup>13</sup>Department of Diagnostic and Laboratory Medicine, Robert-Bosch-Hospital, Stuttgart, Germany

\*Correspondence and offprint requests to: Niko Braun; E-mail: niko.braun@rbk.de

# ABSTRACT

**Background.** Puumala virus (PUUV) is the most common species of hantavirus in Central Europe. Nephropathia epidemica (NE), caused by PUUV, is characterized by acute kidney injury (AKI) and thrombocytopenia. The major goals of this study were to provide a clear clinical phenotyping of AKI in patients with NE and to develop an easy prediction rule to identify patients, who are at lower risk to develop severe AKI.

**Methods.** A cross-sectional prospective survey of 456 adult patients with serologically confirmed NE was performed. Data were collected from medical records and prospectively at follow-up visit. Severe AKI was defined by standard criteria according to the RIFLE (Risk, Injury, Failure, Loss, End-stage kidney disease) classification. Fuller statistical models were developed and validated to estimate the probability for severe AKI.

**Results.** During acute NE, 88% of the patients had AKI according to the RILFE criteria during acute NE. A risk index score for severe AKI was derived by using three independent risk factors in patients with normal kidney function at time of diagnosis: thrombocytopenia [two points; odds ratios (OR): 3.77; 95% confidence intervals (CI): 1.82, 8.03], elevated C-reactive protein levels (one point; OR: 3.02; 95% CI: 1.42, 6.58) and proteinuria (one point; OR: 3.92; 95% CI: 1.33, 13.35). On the basis of a point score of one or two, the

probability of severe AKI was 0.18 and 0.28 with an area under the curve of 0.71.

**Conclusion.** This clinical prediction rule provides a novel and diagnostically accurate strategy for the potential prevention and improved management of kidney complications in patients with NE and, ultimately, for a possible decrease in unnecessary hospitalization in a high number of patients.

**Keywords:** acute kidney injury, hanta virus, nephropathia epidemica, predictors for severe course, PUUV

## INTRODUCTION

Hantavirus disease, along with rotavirus, norovirus, flu and hepatitis C, is one of the five most common notifiable viral diseases in Germany [1]. The viruses are single-stranded, enveloped RNA viruses of the Bunyaviridae family for which rodents are the natural reservoir [2] and can lead to haemorrhagic fever with renal syndrome (HFRS) in Asia and Europe, and hantavirus cardiopulmonary syndrome in the Americas with reported case fatality rates of up to 35% [3–6]. Several thousand cases of hantavirus infection occur annually throughout Europe [7]. Although a number of different hantavirus species (e.g. Dobrava-Belgrade virus, Tula virus) are circulating in Europe, Puumala virus (PUUV) is by far the most

frequent cause of disease [1], being responsible for a milder form of HFRS [8], called nephropathia epidemica (NE).

The clinical picture of NE is characterized by acute kidney injury (AKI) associated with thrombocytopenia and often proteinuria [9]. A small number of patients with severe AKI are at risk to develop severe electrolyte disorders, anuria with fluid overload and uraemia with the requirement for renal replacement therapy or intensive supportive therapy [8], whereas a high proportion of patients could be treated as outpatients by a general practitioner or a nephrologist. Previous studies [10-21], mainly due to the study design's biases (graduation of the severity of the disease was based on maximum levels of, e.g. serum creatinine instead of peak levels), were not able to define patients at higher or lower risk to develop severe AKI at time of onset of the disease. Because only a proportion of patients have to be treated in hospital, risk prediction tools at time of diagnosis in the management of patients with NE are warranted.

The aims of this study were to give a clear clinical phenotyping in a representative cohort of patients and to establish a simple prediction score for patients with NE to allow physicians (especially general practitioner or nephrologists) to identify, at time of onset of disease, patients at low risk for severe AKI and subsequent complications. The availability of this information would allow physicians to streamline hospitalization in a high proportion of patients.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

### **Study population**

Since 2001, German laboratories have the obligation by law (Section 7 of the German Law on the Prevention and Control of Infectious Diseases) to report confirmed cases of HFRS to the local health authorities. Subsequently, all HFRS cases are reported to the Robert Koch Institute in Berlin, Germany, the central federal institution responsible for disease control and prevention.

A total of 7476 patients with serologically and clinically confirmed NE were reported to the Robert Koch Institute in Berlin (Robert Koch Institute, SurvStat, www3.rki.de/SurvStat) from 2001 to 2012 [21]. In cooperation with four selected local health authorities in southern Germany (Stuttgart, Boeblingen/ Sindelfingen, Esslingen and Reutlingen), we identified 1570 serologically confirmed patients with NE infected between 2001 and 2012. These patients were contacted by mail and asked to attend the outpatient clinic between September 2012 and April 2013 for follow-up examination. All patients gave written consent before participating in the study, which was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Ethics Commission of the State Chamber of Medicine in Baden-Wuerttemberg (Stuttgart) (F-2012-046). Studies were conducted in concordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

#### Data acquisition during acute course of NE

Clinical and laboratory data at the time of diagnosis and during the acute course of the disease were obtained from medical reports and files from each patient at the time of follow-up in our outpatient clinic.

AKI was classified on the basis of the RIFLE (Risk, Injury, Failure, Loss, End-stage kidney disease) criteria [22]. Mild/ moderate AKI was defined in patients with no AKI and AKI Risk (R) and severe AKI was classified as RIFLE Injury (I) and RIFLE Failure (F). Oliguria was classified as temporary loss of kidney function and refers to a 24-h urine output of <500 mL. Anuria was classified as a 24-h urine output of <50 mL. Leucocytosis was defined as leucocytes  $>10 \times 10^9$ /L, and thrombocytopenia was defined as thrombocytes  $<90 \times 10^9$ /L. Haematuria was defined as a positive dipstick test for erythrocytes and over two erythrocytes per high-power field. Proteinuria was defined by an albumin/creatinine ratio (ACR) >0.25 g/g creatinine in spot urine sample. Abdominal pain was defined as acute onset of pain in the lower quadrants and back pain/flank pain was scored positive in patients with acute onset of pain felt in the low or upper back. Peak or nadir levels were defined in patients where an increase or a decrease to a peak level or nadir followed by a decline [serum creatinine levels, C-reactive protein (CrP) and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH)] or increment (thrombocytes) was available. Patients with normal kidney function at the time of diagnosis were identified and a risk score for development of severe AKI was calculated in this patient cohort.

#### Statistical analysis

Continuous data are expressed as median and IR. Comparisons between different groups were made using analysis of variances (ANOVA) and the Fisher test. Univariate logisticregression models were considered with the severity of the disease as binomial response variables increasing from levels low to high to ascertain the effect of demographic, clinical and laboratory variables. We had a quasi-complete separation for the response variable severity of AKI [RIFLE (0, R versus I, F)] and an exact logistic regression was performed. To predict severe AKI, a fuller multivariate logistic-regression model was constructed by considering factors that were significant in the univariate model (P < 0.05). Finally, to develop a risk score for clinical practice predicting severe AKI at the time of diagnosis, we assigned risk factors used in the multivariate analysis and weighted points proportional to the  $\beta$  regression coefficient (Supplementary data, Appendix). Odds ratios (OR) are given with corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CI) and twosided P-values. A P-value of <0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. Statistical analysis was performed using R (version 3.0) [23] together with libraries elrm (version 1.2.1) [24], ROCR (version 1.0) [25], pROC (version 1.5.4), MASS (version 7.3) [26] and rms (version 4.0) [27]. Validation of the model was conducted using 5-fold cross-validation [28, 29] (Supplementary data, Appendix and Figure 3).

## RESULTS

#### Clinical findings and course of AKI in NE

Between September 2012 and April 2013, 1570 patients with serologically confirmed NE (laboratory diagnosis was

confirmed in all patients by detection of circulating antihantavirus IgG- and IgM-antibodies) diagnosed between 2001 and 2012 in Baden-Wuerttemberg were contacted by email. Overall, 456 patients (29%) were included in the study. This sample represents 6.1% of ever-reported cases of NE in Germany. Three patients were excluded by age <18 years at the time of diagnosis.

The median age at diagnosis was 48 years (IR, 40–59); male was the predominant gender (290 male and 166 female). Seventy per cent of patients had AKI according to RIFLE criteria at the time of admission to hospital or to the ambulatory care physician. During the acute course of the disease, serum creatinine increased in 31% of these patients, whereas in the remaining patients a continuous decrease of serum creatinine could be observed. Overall, 88% of the patients had AKI according to the RILFE criteria during acute course of NE. Serum creatinine peak levels were available in 52% of patients and duration of onset of symptoms associated with NE to peak serum creatinine was 8 (7-9) days. At the time of diagnosis, 137 of the 456 patients had normal kidney function. During acute NE, 61% of patients developed mild/moderate AKI (no AKI and RIFLE R), whereas 39% of patients developed severe AKI (RIFLE I and F) based on peak serum creatinine levels. Eleven patients (3%) required haemodialysis for 4 days (3-4.5) and three of these four patients had normal kidney function at time of diagnosis. The following parameters were statistically significant in difference between patients with impaired kidney function and patients with serum creatinine levels in the normal range at time of diagnosis: thrombocytes were lower, percentage of female gender was higher, CrP peak levels were higher, onset of symptoms to diagnosis was shorter and AKI (peak creatinine levels and AKI according to RIFLE criteria) was less severe in the normal kidney function group compared with the patient group presenting with impaired kidney function at the time of diagnosis of acute NE (Table 1).

During acute course of the disease, 32% of the patients were treated with antibiotics due to suspected bacterial infection. The classes of antibiotics used were mainly cephalosporines, different penicillin subgroups and gyrase inhibitors. Dose adaption based on renal function was done in all patients, and none of the patients received typical antibiotics with renal toxicity.

# Risk score for predicting AKI in patients with normal kidney function at time of diagnosis

Patients with normal kidney function at time of diagnosis were included in the calculation of the risk score. Classification of stage of AKI during acute NE was based on peak serum creatinine levels in these patients. Severe renal involvement was defined as AKI RIFLE Stage I and F. On univariate analysis, four variables were statistically significant risk factors for severe AKI and were included in the logistic model (see Figure 1). A risk index score was derived by using the following three independent risk factors associated with severe AKI at logistic-regression analysis: thrombocytopenia (two points; OR: 3.77; 95% CI: 1.82, 8.03), 12-fold increase of CrP levels (one point; OR: 3.02; 95% CI: 1.33, 13.35) at time of presentation in

Table 1.	<b>Baseline characteristics</b>	of study population	during acute hantavirus infection
----------	---------------------------------	---------------------	-----------------------------------

Variable     Patients with impaired kidney function at time of diagnosis     Patients with impaired kidney function at time of diagnosis       n     319     137       Age at diagnosis (years)     48 (40–59)     48 (40–59)       Female/male*     101/218     65/72       Inpatients/outpatients     241/78     94/43       Onset of symptoms prior to admission to hospital (days)**     6 (4–9)     7 (5–10)       Laboratory findings     7     7       Thrombocytes (x10 <sup>9</sup> /L)**     141 (93–212)     95 (72–135)       Creatinine at admission [mg/dL (0.5–1.4]]**     2.7 (1.8–4.3)     1.0 (0.8–1.2)       Creatinine peak levels [mg/dL (0.5–1.4]]**     4.8 (3.2–6.7)     2.5 (1.6–4.1)       Onset of symptoms to creatinine peak levels (days)     8 (7–9)     8 (7–10)       Renal replacement therapy     8/319     3/137       CrP at admission [mg/dL (0.1–0.4)]     3.9 (2.4–6.4)     4.3 (2.4–8.6)       CrP peak levels [mg/dL (0.1–0.4)]**     4.1 (2.6–6.8)     6.9 (3.7–10.1)       AKI     119/319     0/137       Risk     102     1.1       Nation     4.6     4.1       AKI during					
n     aft time of diagnosis     aft time of diagnosis       n     319     137       Age at diagnosis (years)     48 (40-59)     48 (40-59)       Female/male*     10/218     65/72       Inpatients/outpatients     241/78     94/43       Onset of symptoms prior to admission to hospital (days)**     6 (4-7)     4 (3-6)       Duration of hospital stay (days)     6 (4-7)     4 (3-6)       Laboratory findings     Thrombocytes (x10 <sup>9</sup> /L)**     141 (93-212)     95 (72-135)       Creatinine at admission [mg/dL (0.5-1.4)]**     2.7 (1.8-4.3)     1.0 (0.8-1.2)       Creatinine peak levels [mg/dL (0.5-1.4)]**     4.8 (3.2-6.7)     2.5 (1.6-4.1)       Onset of symptoms to creatinine peak levels (days)     8 (7-9)     8 (7-10)       Renal replacement therapy     8/319     3/137       CrP at admission [mg/dL (0.1-0.4)]     3.9 (2.4-6.4)     4.3 (2.4-8.6)       CrP at admission [mg/dL (0.1-0.4)]**     4.1 (2.6-6.8)     6 (3.7-10.1)       AKI at me of diagnosis*	Variable	Patients with impaired kidney function	Patients with normal kidney function		
n     137       Age at diagnosis (years)     48 (40–59)     48 (40–59)       Female/male*     101/218     65/72       Inpatients/outpatients     241/78     94/43       Onset of symptoms prior to admission to hospital (days)**     6 (4–7)     4 (3–6)       Duration of hospital stay (days)     6 (4–7)     4 (3–6)       Duration of hospital stay (days)     6 (4–9)     7 (5–10)       Laboratory findings     T     7     14 (3–212)     95 (72–135)       Creatinine at admission [mg/dL (0.5–1.4)]**     2.7 (1.8–4.3)     1.0 (0.8–1.2)     2.5 (1.6–4.1)       Onset of symptoms to creatinine peak levels (days)     8 (7–9)     8 (7–10)     8.7       Renal replacement therapy     83 (32–6.7)     8 (7–10)     8.7       Renal replacement therapy     8 (7–9)     8 (7–10)     8.7       Renal replacement therapy     139 (24–6.4)     3 (2.4–8.6)     6.7       CrP at admission [mg/dL (0.1–0.4)]     3.9 (24–6.4)     4.3 (2.4–8.6)     6.3 (7–10)       AKI at time of diagnosis**     112     4.1 (2.6–6.8)     6.9 (3.7–10.1)       AKI     100     1.1 (2.6–8.8) </th <th></th> <th>at time of diagnosis</th> <th>at time of diagnosis</th>		at time of diagnosis	at time of diagnosis		
Age at diagnosis (years)     48 (40–59)     48 (40–59)       Female/male*     101/18     6/7/2       Inpatients/outpatients     241/78     94/43       Onset of symptoms prior to admission to hospital (days)**     6 (4–7)     4 (3–6)       Duration of hospital stay (days)     6 (4–9)     7 (5–10)       Laboratory findings	n	319	137		
Female/male*     101/218     65/72       Inpatients/outpatients     241/78     94/43       Onset of symptoms prior to admission to hospital (days)**     6 (4–7)     4 (3–6)       Duration of hospital stay (days)     6 (4–9)     7 (5–10)       Laboratory findings	Age at diagnosis (years)	48 (40–59)	48 (40–59)		
Inpatients/outpatients     241/78     94/43       Onset of symptoms prior to admission to hospital (days)**     6 (4–7)     4 (3–6)       Duration of hospital stay (days)     6 (4–9)     7 (5–10)       Laboratory findings     7     7 (5–10)       Thrombocytes (x10 <sup>9</sup> /L)**     141 (93–212)     95 (72–135)       Creatinine at admission [mg/dL (0.5–1.4)]**     2.7 (1.8–4.3)     1.0 (0.8–1.2)       Creatinine peak levels [mg/dL (0.5–1.4)]**     4.8 (3.2–6.7)     2.5 (1.6–4.1)       Onset of symptoms to creatinine peak levels (days)     8 (7–9)     8 (7–10)       Renal replacement therapy     8/319     3/137       CrP peak levels [mg/dL (0.1–0.4)]**     4.1 (2.6–6.8)     6.9 (3.7–10.1)       AKI at time of diagnosis**     4.1 (2.6–6.8)     6.9 (3.7–10.1)       AKI at time of diagnosis**     102     1.1       AKI at time of diagnosis**     1.1 (2.6–6.8)     0/137       Risk     102     1.1     1.1       Injury     71     1.2     1.2       Failure     146     1.1     1.1       AKI during course of disease**     1.1     1.1     1.1	Female/male*	101/218	65/72		
Onset of symptoms prior to admission to hospital (days)**     6 (4-7)     4 (3-6)       Duration of hospital stay (days)     6 (4-9)     7 (5-10)       Laboratory findings     7     7       Thrombocytes (×10 <sup>9</sup> /L)**     141 (93-212)     95 (72-135)       Creatinine at admission [mg/dL (0.5-1.4)]**     2.7 (1.8-4.3)     1.0 (0.8-1.2)       Creatinine peak levels [mg/dL (0.5-1.4)]**     4.8 (3.2-6.7)     2.5 (1.6-4.1)       Onset of symptoms to creatinine peak levels (days)     8 (7-9)     8 (7-10)       Renal replacement therapy     8/319     3/137       CrP at admission [mg/dL (0.1-0.4)]     3.9 (2.4-6.4)     4.3 (2.4-8.6)       CrP peak levels [mg/dL (0.1-0.4)]**     4.1 (2.6-6.8)     6.9 (3.7-10.1)       AKI at time of diagnosis**     319/319     0/137       AKI at time of diagnosis**     102     1       Injury     71     Failure     14       AKI during course of disease**     319/319     81/137       AKI during course of disease**     319/319     81/137       AkI during course of disease**     62     27       Injury     62     24       Fai	Inpatients/outpatients	241/78	94/43		
Duration of hospital stay (days)     6 (4-9)     7 (5-10)       Laboratory findings        Thrombocytes (x10 <sup>9</sup> /L)**     141 (93-212)     95 (72-135)       Creatinine at admission [mg/dL (0.5-1.4)]**     2.7 (1.8-4.3)     1.0 (0.8-1.2)       Creatinine peak levels [mg/dL (0.5-1.4)]**     4.8 (3.2-6.7)     2.5 (1.6-4.1)       Onset of symptoms to creatinine peak levels (days)     8 (7-9)     8 (7-10)       Renal replacement therapy     8/319     3/137       CrP at admission [mg/dL (0.1-0.4)]     3.9 (2.4-6.4)     4.3 (2.4-8.6)       CrP peak levels [mg/dL (0.1-0.4)]**     4.1 (2.6-6.8)     6.9 (3.7-10.1)       AKI at time of diagnosis**     319/319     0/137       Risk     102     1       Risk     102     1       AKI during course of disease**     146     1       AKI during course of disease**     81/137     1       AKI     319/319     81/137       Risk     68     27       AKI during course of disease**     68     27       AKI during course of disease**     81/137     81/137       Risk     68	Onset of symptoms prior to admission to hospital (days)**	6 (4–7)	4 (3-6)		
Laboratory findings   141 (93-212)   95 (72-135)     Creatinine at admission [mg/dL (0.5-1.4)]**   2.7 (1.8-4.3)   1.0 (0.8-1.2)     Creatinine peak levels [mg/dL (0.5-1.4)]**   4.8 (3.2-6.7)   2.5 (1.6-4.1)     Onset of symptoms to creatinine peak levels (days)   8 (7-9)   8 (7-10)     Renal replacement therapy   8/319   3/137     CrP at admission [mg/dL (0.1-0.4)]**   4.1 (2.6-6.8)   6.9 (3.7-10.1)     AKI   319/319   0/137     Risk   102	Duration of hospital stay (days)	6 (4–9)	7 (5–10)		
Thrombocytes (×10 <sup>9</sup> /L)**   141 (93–212)   95 (72–135)     Creatinine at admission [mg/dL (0.5–1.4)]**   2.7 (1.8–4.3)   1.0 (0.8–1.2)     Creatinine peak levels [mg/dL (0.5–1.4)]**   4.8 (3.2–6.7)   2.5 (1.6–4.1)     Onset of symptoms to creatinine peak levels (days)   8 (7–9)   8 (7–10)     Renal replacement therapy   8/319   3/137     CrP at admission [mg/dL (0.1–0.4)]   3.9 (2.4–6.4)   4.3 (2.4–8.6)     CrP peak levels [mg/dL (0.1–0.4)]**   4.1 (2.6–6.8)   6.9 (3.7–10.1)     AKI at time of diagnosis**   102   1.0     AKI   319/319   0/137     Risk   102   1.0     Injury   71   1.46     AKI during course of disease**   1.46     AKI during course of disease**   2.7     AKI   319/319   81/137     Risk   68   27     Injury   62   24     Failure   189   30	Laboratory findings				
Creatinine at admission [mg/dL (0.5–1.4)]**   2.7 (1.8–4.3)   1.0 (0.8–1.2)     Creatinine peak levels [mg/dL (0.5–1.4)]**   4.8 (3.2–6.7)   2.5 (1.6–4.1)     Onset of symptoms to creatinine peak levels (days)   8 (7–9)   8 (7–10)     Renal replacement therapy   8/319   3/137     CrP at admission [mg/dL (0.1–0.4)]   3.9 (2.4–6.4)   4.3 (2.4–8.6)     CrP peak levels [mg/dL (0.1–0.4)]**   4.1 (2.6–6.8)   6.9 (3.7–10.1)     AKI at time of diagnosis**   102   1.1     AKI   319/319   0/137     Risk   102   1.1     Injury   71   1.4     Failure   146   1.4     AKI during course of disease**   1.10   2.7     AKI   319/319   81/137     Risk   6.8   27     Injury   6.2   24     Failure   189   30	Thrombocytes (×10 <sup>9</sup> /L)**	141 (93–212)	95 (72–135)		
Creatinine peak levels [mg/dL (0.5–1.4)]**   4.8 (3.2–6.7)   2.5 (1.6–4.1)     Onset of symptoms to creatinine peak levels (days)   8 (7–9)   8 (7–10)     Renal replacement therapy   8/319   3/137     CrP at admission [mg/dL (0.1–0.4)]   3.9 (2.4–6.4)   4.3 (2.4–8.6)     CrP peak levels [mg/dL (0.1–0.4)]**   4.1 (2.6–6.8)   6.9 (3.7–10.1)     AKI at time of diagnosis**   102   1     AKI   102   1     Injury   71   1     Failure   146   1     AKI during course of disease**   319/319   81/137     Risk   19/319   81/137     Injury   68   27     Injury   62   24     Failure   189   30	Creatinine at admission [mg/dL (0.5-1.4)]**	2.7 (1.8-4.3)	1.0 (0.8–1.2)		
Onset of symptoms to creatinine peak levels (days)     8 (7–9)     8 (7–10)       Renal replacement therapy     8/319     3/137       CrP at admission [mg/dL (0.1–0.4)]     3.9 (2.4–6.4)     4.3 (2.4–8.6)       CrP peak levels [mg/dL (0.1–0.4)]**     4.1 (2.6–6.8)     6.9 (3.7–10.1)       AKI at time of diagnosis**     102     1       Risk     102     1       Injury     71     1       Failure     146     1       AKI during course of disease**     1     2       AKI     319/319     81/137       Risk     19/319     2       Injury     68     27       Injury     62     24       Failure     189     30	Creatinine peak levels [mg/dL (0.5-1.4)]**	4.8 (3.2–6.7)	2.5 (1.6-4.1)		
Renal replacement therapy   8/319   3/137     CrP at admission [mg/dL (0.1-0.4)]   3.9 (2.4-6.4)   4.3 (2.4-8.6)     CrP peak levels [mg/dL (0.1-0.4)]**   4.1 (2.6-6.8)   6.9 (3.7-10.1)     AKI at time of diagnosis**   4.1 (2.6-6.8)   0.137     AKI at time of diagnosis**   102   1     Risk   102   1     Injury   71   1     Failure   146   1     AKI during course of disease**   1   1     AKI   319/319   81/137     Risk   1   27     Injury   68   27     Injury   62   24     Failure   189   30	Onset of symptoms to creatinine peak levels (days)	8 (7–9)	8 (7–10)		
CrP at admission [mg/dL (0.1-0.4)]   3.9 (2.4-6.4)   4.3 (2.4-8.6)     CrP peak levels [mg/dL (0.1-0.4)]**   4.1 (2.6-6.8)   6.9 (3.7-10.1)     AKI at time of diagnosis**   102   117     Risk   102   102     Injury   71   146     AKI during course of disease**   146     AKI   319/319   81/137     Risk   19/319   81/137     Risk   68   27     Injury   62   24     Failure   189   30	Renal replacement therapy	8/319	3/137		
CrP peak levels [mg/dL (0.1–0.4)]**   4.1 (2.6–6.8)   6.9 (3.7–10.1)     AKI at time of diagnosis**   319/319   0/137     AKI   102   102     Injury   71   102     Failure   146   102     AKI during course of disease**   319/319   81/137     Risk   519/319   81/137     Injury   68   27     Injury   62   24     Failure   189   30	CrP at admission [mg/dL (0.1-0.4)]	3.9 (2.4–6.4)	4.3 (2.4-8.6)		
AKI at time of diagnosis**   319/319   0/137     AKI   102   102     Injury   71   102     Failure   146   102     AKI during course of disease**   146   102     AKI   319/319   81/137     Risk   68   27     Injury   62   24     Failure   189   30	CrP peak levels [mg/dL (0.1–0.4)]**	4.1 (2.6–6.8)	6.9 (3.7-10.1)		
AKI   319/319   0/137     Risk   102   102     Injury   71   102     Failure   146   102     AKI during course of disease**   146   102     AKI   319/319   81/137     Risk   68   27     Injury   62   24     Failure   189   30	AKI at time of diagnosis**				
Risk 102   Injury 71   Failure 146   AKI during course of disease** 319/319   Risk 68 27   Injury 62 24   Failure 189 30	AKI	319/319	0/137		
Injury 71   Failure 146   AKI during course of disease** 319/319   AKI 319/319   Risk 68   Injury 62   Failure 189	Risk	102			
Failure 146   AKI during course of disease** 319/319 81/137   AKI 319/319 81/137   Risk 68 27   Injury 62 24   Failure 189 30	Injury	71			
AKI during course of disease**   319/319   81/137     AKI   319/319   81/137     Risk   68   27     Injury   62   24     Failure   189   30	Failure	146			
AKI319/31981/137Risk6827Injury6224Failure18930	AKI during course of disease**				
Risk     68     27       Injury     62     24       Failure     189     30	AKI	319/319	81/137		
Injury     62     24       Failure     189     30	Risk	68	27		
Failure 189 30	Injury	62	24		
	Failure	189	30		

CrP, C-reactive protein; RRT, renal replacement therapy; AKI, acute kidney injury.

\*P < 0.01.

\*\*P < 0.001.



**FIGURE 1:** Univariate logistic-regression analysis for severe AKI (AKI I and AKI F) in study subjects with NE; OR for developing severe AKI compared with mild/moderate AKI. Severe AKI was defined as RIFLE I and RIFLE F compared with baseline values. The parameter age at time of diagnosis was defined as age >39 years. 95% CI for the OR. Normal ranges: leucocytes  $(3.5-10.5) \times 10^9$ /L. AST, aspartate aminotransferase (<50) U/L; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase (<250) U/L; CrP, C-reactive protein (0.1–0.4) mg/dL; thrombocytes (>150)  $\times 10^9$ /L.

the emergency department or in the outpatient clinic. In patients with no risk factors or only one point using the risk score, the probability of severe AKI was 0.18 (SEM  $\pm$  0.06) and 0.28 (SEM  $\pm$  0.07), respectively (see Table 2). Our model showed satisfactory discrimination with an area under the curve (AUC) of 0.71 (see Figure 2 and Supplementary data, Appendix). Internal 5-fold cross-validation of our model revealed an AUC of 0.67 (Figure 3 and Supplementary data, Appendix). The sensitivity and specificity of the calculated probability using the risk score for severe AKI could be deduced from this figure by taking into account the calculated probability for severe AKI, which is mapped on the right Yaxis in Figure 2. This allows the physician to determine the sensitivity and specificity individually after calculating the risk score (Figure 2).

# DISCUSSION

This study comprises, to the best of our knowledge, the largest cohort of patients with NE reported to date and provides, for Table 2. The risk score allows a calculation of the risk for severe AKI individually depending on the absence or presence of the described parameters for each patient at time of diagnosis

Risk factor	Points
Thrombocytopenia	2
Proteinuria	1 1
Risk score	Probability of severe AKI (%)
0 Point	18
1 Point	28
2 Points	38
3 Points	50
4 Points	64

Total points were calculated by adding together the points for each parameter and the predicted probability for severe AKI could be determined by using the pocket scoring system. Normal ranges: CrP (0.1–0.4) mg/dL, thrombocytes (>150) × 10<sup>9</sup>/L.

the first time, a prediction score to define patients at low risk to develop severe kidney disease.

The clinical prediction rule developed in this cross-sectional study provides a very specific test that identifies patients with NE at hospital admission with low risk of development of



**FIGURE 2:** Receiver-operating-characteristic (ROC) curve for the prediction model for severe AKI with AUC of 0.71. The sensitivity and specificity of the calculated probability using the risk score for severe AKI (Table 2) could be deduced from this figure by taking into account the calculated probability for severe AKI, which is mapped on the right *Y*-axis. This allows the physician to determine the sensitivity and specificity individually after calculating the risk score.



**FIGURE 3:** ROC curve for the prediction model for severe AKI with AUC of 0.71 (black line). Internal 5-fold cross-validation of the model revealed an AUC of 0.67 (red line).

severe kidney injury. Three variables were identified, for which data are easily (even in the outpatient setting) obtained during assessment of patients, and weighted scores were applied: the variables were thrombocytopenia, elevated CrP levels and proteinuria. When a value of one is used for the point score, the risk to develop severe AKI is 1.8. Given an OR of this magnitude, physicians have to decide whether the patient should be admitted to hospital or discharged at home with a low risk

for severe AKI. These results suggest that the use of this prediction rule for patients would correctly identify a large proportion of patients with low risk of severe kidney injury. In clinical practice, stated OR in terms of an event (severe AKI in our study) are difficult to calculate for physicians and act upon on a patient-by-patient basis. It is easier to use declared probabilities for the occurrence of a given event. Our model is coupled with a pocket scoring system, which enables an easy and rapid calculation of risk for severe AKI at time of diagnosis in each patient using only three clinical variables. Due to the increasing number of patients treated in an ambulatory setting, we focused on medical history and routine laboratory workup to develop a simple risk score for the prediction of a severe AKI in patients with normal kidney function at time of diagnosis.

In contrast to smaller previously published studies, no single clinical symptom or sign predicted risk for severe AKI in our large study population [10, 11, 30–35]. The association of thrombocytopenia and severe AKI in patients with NE is widely discussed. Previous smaller studies suggested that severe thrombocytopenia during acute hantavirus infection is associated with severity of AKI [9, 20], whereas other studies found no association. Many of these studies raise methodological concern regarding grouping of more severe or less severe AKI, because the maximum measured creatinine value, not the peak creatinine value, was taken for further calculation [14, 36, 37].

Regarding laboratory findings at time of diagnosis, the elevated CrP level (12-fold increase) at time of diagnosis, but not leucocytosis, was a predictor for severe AKI in our study population. Previously, Libraty *et al.* showed in 36 patients with NE that leucocyte counts, but not CrP levels during acute NE, were associated with severe course of the disease [17]. Furthermore, Outinen *et al.* reported that high plasma IL-6 levels were associated with severe course of NE and could be used as a marker of the severity of the disease, whereas high CrP levels did not indicate severe acute NE [19].

Proteinuria at time of diagnosis was a significant predictor for developing severe AKI. Krautkramer *et al.* [38] showed that both tubular and glomerular cells were affected during hantavirus infection. It is known, too, that damage to tubular, interstitial and glomerular cells, detected by histologic changes, is associated with the clinical severity of renal failure in hantavirus infection [39].

It is noteworthy that this is the first study, that has identified risk factors for development of severe AKI in a large cohort of patients with no impairment of kidney function at time of diagnosis. Additionally, severity of AKI was classified using creatinine peak levels instead of maximum levels, which ensures correct graduation of the study population regarding severity of AKI. Thrombocytes were lower and time of onset of symptoms to diagnosis was shorter in the normal kidney function compared with the impaired kidney function group, which reflects earlier stages of the disease. Due to the highly dynamic process of NE [20], transient thrombocytopenia is present within the first days after the start of symptoms [9].

Within the population of hantavirus patients, age and comorbidities of the patients differ widely. In younger patients

without significant comorbidities, the treating physician might accept a lower sensitivity of the predicted probability of AKI whereas in older patients higher sensitivity of the predicted probability is mandatory. Therefore, we did not define a cutoff value. From a statistical point of view, it would have been possible to further increase sensitivity and specificity including more variables and/or more not everyday practice parameters. We decided to keep the model simple and feasible to treat patients in an outpatient setting and accepted the loss in sensitivity and specificity. The final statistical model showed satisfactory discrimination with an AUC of 0.71. To ensure that the model assessment was not conducted on precisely the same data as used for model development, the AUC of the final model was also examined using predicted probabilities from 5-fold cross-validation. The AUC values of the proposed classifier and of one which was based on a 5-fold crossvalidation procedure differed only slightly (0.70 and 0.67).

Our study design has several limitations that have to be addressed. First, regarding acute course of the disease, we did a retrospective study of medical case reports, associated with all known limitations (e.g. time of onset of symptoms associated with NE to presentation at hospital or to the ambulatory care physician). Due to a high proportion of patients already presenting with AKI at the time of diagnosis, the number of patients that could be included in the risk score calculation was about one-third of the studied patients. Furthermore, more females were included in the calculation of the risk score compared with the overall study population, but there were no gender-related differences regarding severity of AKI in our study population and in the study from Krautkramer et al. [21]. The risk score could not be used to predict severe AKI (probability of AKI was 0.64 in patients with four points), but is a useful tool in everyday clinical practice to minimize the number of patients who have to be admitted to hospital. Furthermore, our risk score could not be used to predict the diagnosis of acute hantavirus infection in, for example, patients with AKI, abdominal pain and thrombocytopenia. First, differential diagnosis, e.g. leptospirosis, sepsis, autoimmune disease or thrombotic microangiopathy, must be excluded and laboratory diagnosis of acute hantavirus infection must be confirmed in all patients by detection of circulating antihantavirus IgG- and IgM-antibodies. Sera from PUUV-infected patients cross-react strongly with Sin Nombre virus and weakly with Hantaan virus, Seoul virus and Dobrava virus [40, 41]. Although Dobrava-Belgrade virus and Tula virus circulate in rodent hosts in Germany and might cause an infection in humans [42-44], almost all hantavirus infections (especially southern Germany) are caused by PUUV [1, 45]. Recently, intestinal biopsies from 13 patients out of this study population were investigated using immunohistochemistry (IHC). IHC revealed PUUV nucleocapsid antigen in 11 biopsies from eight patients during the acute phase of NE [46]. These findings minimize the risk of misdiagnosed HFRS caused by other hantavirus than PUUV in our study.

In summary, NE is responsible for severe AKI in a high proportion of patients. Thrombocytopenia, elevated CrP levels and proteinuria at disease onset are likely to be associated with severe AKI during the acute course of the disease. The clinical prediction rule developed in this large cross-sectional study provides a novel and diagnostically accurate strategy for the potential prevention and improved management of kidney complications in patients with NE and, ultimately, to prevent unnecessary hospitalization in a high number of patients.

### SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary data are available online at http://ndt.oxford-journals.org.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are thankful to the Robert-Bosch Foundation for supporting the study.

#### CONFLICT OF INTERESTS STATEMENT

None declared.

#### REFERENCES

- Kruger DH, Ulrich RG, Hofmann J. Hantaviruses as zoonotic pathogens in Germany. Dtsch Arztebl Int 2013; 110: 461–467
- Schmaljohn CS, Dalrymple JM. Analysis of Hantaan virus RNA: evidence for a new genus of bunyaviridae. Virology 1983; 131: 482–491
- Kruger DH, Ulrich R, Lundkvist AA. Hantavirus infections and their prevention. Microbes Infect 2001; 3: 1129–1144
- Lee HW, van der Groen G. Hemorrhagic fever with renal syndrome. Prog Med Virol 1989; 36: 62–102
- Mertz GJ, Hjelle B, Crowley M et al. Diagnosis and treatment of new world hantavirus infections. Curr Opin Infect Dis 2006; 19: 437–442
- Peters CJ, Simpson GL, Levy H. Spectrum of hantavirus infection: hemorrhagic fever with renal syndrome and hantavirus pulmonary syndrome. Annu Rev Med 1999; 50: 531–545
- Watson DC, Sargianou M, Papa A *et al.* Epidemiology of hantavirus infections in humans: a comprehensive, global overview. Crit Rev Microbiol 2014; 40: 261–272
- Vapalahti O, Mustonen J, Lundkvist A et al. Hantavirus infections in Europe. Lancet Infect Dis 2003; 3: 653–661
- Krautkramer E, Zeier M, Plyusnin A. Hantavirus infection: an emerging infectious disease causing acute renal failure. Kidney Int 2013; 83: 23–27
- Martinez VP, Bellomo CM, Cacace ML *et al*. Hantavirus pulmonary syndrome in Argentina, 1995–2008. Emerg Infect Dis 2010; 16: 1853–1860
- Hjertqvist M, Klein SL, Ahlm C *et al*. Mortality rate patterns for hemorrhagic fever with renal syndrome caused by Puumala virus. Emerg Infect Dis 2010; 16: 1584–1586
- Mustonen J, Partanen J, Kanerva M *et al*. Genetic susceptibility to severe course of nephropathia epidemica caused by Puumala hantavirus. Kidney Int 1996; 49: 217–221
- Korva M, Saksida A, Kunilo S *et al.* HLA-associated hemorrhagic fever with renal syndrome disease progression in Slovenian patients. Clin Vaccine Immunol 2011; 18: 1435–1440
- 14. Laine O, Joutsi-Korhonen L, Makela S *et al.* Polymorphisms of PAI-1 and platelet GP Ia may associate with impairment of renal function and thrombocytopenia in Puumala hantavirus infection. Thromb Res 2012; 129: 611–615
- Makela S, Hurme M, Ala-Houhala I *et al.* Polymorphism of the cytokine genes in hospitalized patients with Puumala hantavirus infection. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2001; 16: 1368–1373

- Mustonen J, Partanen J, Kanerva M *et al.* Association of HLA B27 with benign clinical course of nephropathia epidemica caused by Puumala hantavirus. Scand J Immunol 1998; 47: 277–279
- Libraty DH, Makela S, Vlk J *et al.* The degree of leukocytosis and urine GATA-3 mRNA levels are risk factors for severe acute kidney injury in Puumala virus nephropathia epidemica. PLoS One 2012; 7: e35402
- Outinen TK, Kuparinen T, Jylhava J et al. Plasma cell-free DNA levels are elevated in acute Puumala hantavirus infection. PLoS One 2012; 7: e31455
- Outinen TK, Makela SM, Ala-Houhala IO *et al*. The severity of Puumala hantavirus induced nephropathia epidemica can be better evaluated using plasma interleukin-6 than C-reactive protein determinations. BMC Infect Dis 2010; 10: 132
- 20. Rasche FM, Uhel B, Kruger DH *et al.* Thrombocytopenia and acute renal failure in Puumala hantavirus infections. Emerg Infect Dis 2004; 10: 1420–1425
- Krautkramer E, Grouls S, Urban E *et al.* No gender-related differences in the severity of nephropathia epidemica, Germany. BMC Infect Dis 2013; 13: 457
- 22. Bellomo R, Ronco C, Kellum JA *et al.* Acute renal failure—definition, outcome measures, animal models, fluid therapy and information technology needs: the Second International Consensus Conference of the Acute Dialysis Quality Initiative (ADQI) Group. Crit Care 2004; 8: R204–R212
- R Development Core Team (2011) R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria : the R Foundation for Statistical Computing. ISBN: 3-900051-07-0. Available online at http://www.R-project.org/
- 24. Zamar D, Brad McNeney, Jinko Graham. elrm: software implementing exact-like inference for logistic regression models. J Stat Softw 2007; 21
- Sing T, Sander O, Beerenwinkel N et al. ROCR: visualizing classifier performance in R. Bioinformatics 2005; 21: 3940–3941
- 26. Venables WN, Ripley BD. Modern Applied Statistics with S. New York: Springer, 2002
- 27. Harrell FE Jr. rms: regression modeling strategies. R Package Version 4.0-0. 2013
- Gonen M. Analyzing receiver operating characteristic curves with SAS. SAS Publishing; 2008
- 29. Hastie TTR, Friedman J. The elements of statistical earning—data mining, inference, and prediction. Springer 2011
- Klein SL, Marks MA, Li W *et al*. Sex differences in the incidence and case fatality rates from hemorrhagic fever with renal syndrome in China, 2004– 2008. Clin Infect Dis 2011; 52: 1414–1421
- Mustonen J, Brummer-Korvenkontio M, Hedman K *et al.* Nephropathia epidemica in Finland: a retrospective study of 126 cases. Scand J Infect Dis 1994; 26: 7–13
- Lahdevirta J. Nephropathia epidemica in Finland. A clinical histological and epidemiological study. Ann Clin Res 1971; 3: 1–54

- Linderholm M, Billstrom A, Settergren B et al. Pulmonary involvement in nephropathia epidemica as demonstrated by computed tomography. Infection 1992; 20: 263–266
- Kanerva M, Paakkala A, Mustonen J et al. Pulmonary involvement in nephropathia epidemica: radiological findings and their clinical correlations. Clin Nephrol 1996; 46: 369–378
- Paakkala A, Lempinen L, Paakkala T et al. Medical imaging in nephropathia epidemica and their clinical correlations. Eur J Intern Med 2004; 15: 284–290
- Mustonen J, Helin H, Pietila K et al. Renal biopsy findings and clinicopathologic correlations in nephropathia epidemica. Clin Nephrol 1994; 41: 121–126
- 37. Kulzer P, Schaefer RM, Heidbreder E *et al.* Hantavirus infection with acute kidney failure. Dtsch Med Wochenschr 1992; 117: 1429–1433
- Krautkramer E, Grouls S, Stein N *et al.* Pathogenic old world hantaviruses infect renal glomerular and tubular cells and induce disassembling of cellto-cell contacts. J Virol 2011; 85: 9811–9823
- Muranyi W, Bahr U, Zeier M et al. Hantavirus infection. J Am Soc Nephrol 2005; 16: 3669–3679
- Elgh F, Linderholm M, Wadell G et al. Development of humoral crossreactivity to the nucleocapsid protein of heterologous hantaviruses in nephropathia epidemica. FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol 1998; 22: 309–315
- 41. Elgh F, Lundkvist A, Alexeyev OA *et al.* Serological diagnosis of hantavirus infections by an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay based on detection of immunoglobulin G and M responses to recombinant nucleocapsid proteins of five viral serotypes. J Clin Microbiol 1997; 35: 1122–1130
- 42. Maes P, Clement J, Gavrilovskaya I *et al.* Hantaviruses: immunology, treatment, and prevention. Viral Immunol 2004; 17: 481–497
- Klempa B, Meisel H, Rath S *et al.* Occurrence of renal and pulmonary syndrome in a region of northeast Germany where Tula hantavirus circulates. J Clin Microbiol 2003; 41: 4894–4897
- Klempa B, Schutt M, Auste B *et al.* First molecular identification of human Dobrava virus infection in central Europe. J Clin Microbiol 2004; 42: 1322–1325
- Ettinger J, Hofmann J, Enders M *et al.* Multiple synchronous outbreaks of Puumala virus, Germany, 2010. Emerg Infect Dis 2012; 18: 1461–1464
- 46. Latus J, Tenner-Racz K, Racz P et al. Detection of Puumala hantavirus antigen in human intestine during acute hantavirus infection. PLoS One 2014; 9: e98397

Received for publication: 17.4.2014; Accepted in revised form: 6.9.2014