

# oral abstracts

Annals of Oncology 25 (2): ii105–ii117, 2014  
doi:10.1093/annonc/mdu193.25

## oral abstracts

O – 0025

### COLORECTAL CANCER SUBTYPING CONSORTIUM (CRCSC) IDENTIFIES CONSENSUS OF MOLECULAR SUBTYPES

R. Dienstmann<sup>1</sup>, J. Guinney<sup>1</sup>, M. Delorenzi<sup>2</sup>, A. De Reynies<sup>3</sup>, P. Roepman<sup>4</sup>, A. Sadanandam<sup>5</sup>, L. Vermeulen<sup>6</sup>, A. Schlicker<sup>7</sup>, E. Missiaglia<sup>2</sup>, C. Soneson<sup>2</sup>, L. Marisa<sup>3</sup>, K. Homicsko<sup>8</sup>, X. Wang<sup>9</sup>, I. Simon<sup>10</sup>, P. Laurent-Puig<sup>11</sup>, L. Wessels<sup>12</sup>, J.P. Medema<sup>13</sup>, S. Kopetz<sup>14</sup>, S. Friend<sup>1</sup>, S. Teijpar<sup>15</sup>, Colorectal Cancer Subtyping Consortium Group<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Sage Bionetworks, Seattle, USA

<sup>2</sup>SIB Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics, Lausanne, Switzerland

<sup>3</sup>Ligue Nationale Contre le Cancer, Paris, France

<sup>4</sup>Agendia NV Research and Development Dpt, Amsterdam, Netherlands

<sup>5</sup>The Institute of Cancer Research (ICR), London, United Kingdom

<sup>6</sup>Cancer Research UK - Cambridge Institute, Cambridge, United Kingdom

<sup>7</sup>Division of Molecular Carcinogenesis, The Netherlands Cancer Institute, Amsterdam, Netherlands

<sup>8</sup>Swiss Institute for Experimental Cancer Research, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Lausanne, Dpt. of Oncology Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Vaudois, Lausanne, Switzerland

<sup>9</sup>Centre for Biomedical Informatics, Harvard Medical School, Boston, USA

<sup>10</sup>Agendia NV Research and Development Dpt, Amsterdam, Netherlands

<sup>11</sup>Paris Descartes University, Paris, France

<sup>12</sup>The Netherlands Cancer Institute, Amsterdam, Netherlands

<sup>13</sup>LEXOR, Academic Medical Center, Amsterdam, Netherlands

<sup>14</sup>The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, USA

<sup>15</sup>University of Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

**Introduction:** Several independent groups have recently reported novel molecular subtypes in colorectal cancer (CRC). A systematic comparison of the reported subtypes is needed to determine common findings and facilitate translation into the clinic. A collaborative effort by the CRCSC was initiated to enable open data sharing and meta-analysis with the goal of establishing a consensus subtyping model.

**Methods:** CRCSC participants, representing more than 15 institutions, analyzed 30+ gene expression sets, including multiple platforms and sample preparation methods. Six previously published classifiers (with 3–6 subtypes each) were applied to a dataset comprising more than 5,000 samples, consisting primarily of stage II–III colon cancer. A central independent team (Sage Bionetworks) provided analysis concordance of subtype calls, and clinical/molecular/pathology annotation with methodology derived through consensus.

**Results:** Despite variation in the datasets and classification methodology in the separate published subtypes, there were 4 consensus molecular subtypes (CMS1–4) clearly defined by the concordance analysis, as indicated by a high degree of interconnectivity among the subtype calls from the 6 methods. CMS1 (~15%) was enriched for MSI, right-side tumors, older age, females, hypermutation, BRAF mut and immune pathway activation. Both CMS2 and 3 displayed epithelial markers, canonical WNT and MYC pathway activation. Highly proliferative CMS2 tumors (~40%) were CIN, MSS, left-sided, TP53 mut with EGFR upregulation and had better survival rates. CMS3 tumors (~10%) had low CIN status, higher proportion of KRAS/PIK3CA mutations and IGFBP2 overexpression. CMS4 tumors (~20%) were characterized by mesenchymal/TGF-beta signalling upregulation, younger age at diagnosis, NOTCH3/VEGFR2 overexpression and worse survival outcomes. The remaining samples (~15%) did not have a consensus assignment, which may be attributed to additional minor subtypes. Further refinement is anticipated.

**Conclusion:** This consortium represents the first effort to generate consensus cancer subtypes and a major step forward for precision medicine in CRC. By comparing multiple classifiers we were able to identify four biologically distinct subtypes of CRC with unique clinical and molecular markers, a remarkable overlap considering different methodologies and datasets used by individual groups. Our efforts on improving this consensus classification system and correlating subtypes with benefit from adjuvant chemotherapies/response to targeted agents will be presented.