Tribenzopentaphene derivatives with lateral aromatic groups: the effect of the nature and position of substituents on emission properties†
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Nine new derivatives of the trapezoidal tribenzopentaphene (TBP) polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) were synthesized via the Suzuki–Miyaura palladium catalyzed cross-coupling reaction. The novel TBP derivatives, which bear various rigid and flexible aromatic groups either at their more accessible (R1) or congested (R2) bases, were fully characterized using high resolution mass spectrometry (HR-MS), nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), UV-Vis absorption and emission spectroscopy. Our investigation reveals that extended conjugation between TBP and the aromatic side groups is possible when the latter are carefully selected and attached at the TBP wide base (R1), which causes an emission red-shift of the resulting target compounds. On the other hand, emission properties and density functional calculations suggest that attaching side groups at the sterically demanding base position (R2) induces a pronounced distortion from the planarity of the TBP central core structure.

Introduction

Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) have drawn considerable attention owing to their unique optical and electronic properties.1 They consist of fused planar conjugated units exhibiting pronounced π-π stacking interactions, leading to high charge carrier densities along the stacked PAH columns, which make them potential candidates for various applications in molecular electronics.2 As a result, pioneering efforts have been made to synthesize a wide variety of PAHs whose dimensions range from single molecules to nanographene polymers.3 Recently, various research groups have been able to prepare PAH derivatives doped with heteroatoms4 and/or with contorted structures.2a,3,5 Others have developed alternative facile procedures to synthesize bulky polycyclic aromatics.6 Nevertheless, most of the aforementioned PAHs are very extended and highly symmetrical, while studies suggest that altering the size and shape of PAHs more into a one dimensional structure will lower their band-gap, and hence, improve their electron/hole transport mobility.2d,7 Therefore, we have been interested in synthesizing tapered polycyclic aromatics, mainly tribenz[fgijrst]pentaphene (TBP), whose trapezoidal structure offers the advantage of its functionalization at various sites, through a convenient synthetic methodology. Nonetheless, the investigation of TBP is scarce and only a handful of derivatives have been prepared:8 we have recently described the synthesis of TBP derivatives substituted with aryl amine groups at their R1 positions and investigated their hole mobilities. We have also reported the synthesis of di- and tetra-substituted TBP moieties with alkyl chains at various positions (R1, R3, and R4). On the other hand, Huang et al. revealed the synthesis of di- and hexa-substituted TBP derivatives with alkoxy groups at the R1, R3, and R4 positions. It should be noted that, to our knowledge, TBP derivatives substituted at the narrow base (R2) have not been reported before (Fig. 1). Therefore, the design and development of new TBP-based derivatives became of crucial interest in order to study the effect of the nature and position of the substituents on the properties of the target...
molecule. Herein, we report the systematic synthesis and structural characterization of a family of tribenzos[fgij,rst]pentaphene compounds bearing various aromatic side groups at two different positions, i.e. at the more open (R1) or the sterically hindered (R2) positions. The optical properties of these compounds are discussed based on the characteristics of their UV-Vis absorption and emission spectra, which are correlated with density functional calculations.

Results and discussion

Scheme 1 summarizes our initial strategy to synthesize the target compounds, which consisted of preparing the two dibrominated derivatives of 1,4-diphenyltriphenylene 4a,b. Cross-coupling of the latter with the heterocyclic aromatic side groups would afford synths 5a,b before their cyclodehydrogenation into the fully aromatic molecules. Hence, the synths 1,3-bis(4-bromophenyl)-2H-cyclopenta[j]phenanthren-2-one 3a and 5,10-dibromo-1,3-diphenyl-2H-cyclopenta[j]phenanthren-2-one 3b were made through a double Knoevenagel condensation reaction. The two compounds were isolated as yellow solids from the reaction of 2a and 1b to make 3a whereas synth 3b was obtained from the reaction of 2b and 1a. The [4+2] Diels–Alder cycloaddition reaction of 3a,b with the acetylene equivalent phenylvinyl sulfoxide in refluxing toluene affords 4a,b in good yields (78% and 76%, respectively).

Unexpectedly, most of the trials to introduce various aromatic heterocycles onto the respective positions 1,4- and 6,11- of 4a and 4b (Scheme 1) failed. The Suzuki cross-coupling reactions were performed using either the classical catalytic system or the more efficient one utilized to couple heterocyclic boronic acids/esters. It is worthwhile to note that the starting materials were always recovered even after long reaction times and harsh conditions. A notable exception though is the coupling reaction of 4a with 5′-hexyl-2,2′-bithiophene-5-boronic acid pinacol ester using Pd2(dba)3/Sphos. Interestingly, the formation of small amounts (<10%) of the desired compound was noted after four days of reaction. The unsuccessful attempts to make the Suzuki cross-coupling products 5a,b could be attributed to the low reactivity of both the heterocyclic boronic esters and the dibrominated synths 4a,b. Single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis of 4a reveals a distorted triphenylene core that impacts its aromaticity, and thus, decreases its overall reactivity (Fig. S20 in the ESI†). On the other hand, we presume that the cross-coupling reactions to form 5b were not feasible due to the additional steric hindrance of the bromine substituents on the bay positions 6 and 11 (i.e. R2). This analogy is strongly supported by the optimized 3D geometries of 4a,b using density functional theory (DFT) calculations at the B3LYP level using the 6-31G* basis set (see the ESI†).

In order to overcome the abovementioned difficulties encountered to introduce the lateral groups, we have decided to reduce the distortion of the central core through its aromatization first, followed by the introduction of the aromatic substituents. Thus, 1,4-diphenyltriphenylene synths 4a,b were fully aromatized through the Scholl cyclodehydrogenation reaction using iron(III) chloride in nitromethane, which yields the dibrominated TBP derivatives 6 and 8, respectively, whereas synthon 7 was synthesized using a method reported elsewhere (Scheme 2). The dibrominated TBP synths 6–8 were purified by a series of precipitation followed by Soxhlet extraction. The structures and purities of the latter compounds were confirmed using high resolution mass spectrometry, 1H- and 13C-NMR spectroscopy. The lateral substitutions via the Suzuki cross-coupling reaction were then possible under optimized reaction conditions, leading to the formation of the desired compounds 9–11. The target compounds underwent additional purification through a series of Soxhlet extractions by washing off the impurities with hexane, pentane, and diethyl ether for 4 hours each, followed by the extraction of the desired compounds from hot toluene over the course of 24 hours. It is worth noting that the reaction completion required only 2 mol% of the palladium catalyst, making it a cost-effective method and minimizing the effort to remove the metal from the final products. The target molecules 9–11 are slightly soluble in common organic solvents such as chloroform, dichloromethane, tetrahydrofuran, and toluene. Hence, even though they could be analysed using 1H-NMR spectroscopy, only some could be concentrated enough to record their 13C-NMR spectra. Consequently, we have utilized a known tool for the characterization of PAH materials with low solubility, and that is
high-resolution matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry (HR-MALDI-TOF MS) using trans-2-[3-(4-t-butyl-phenyl)-2-methyl-2-propenylidene]-malononitrile (DCTB) as the matrix. The aforementioned technique reveals the high purity of compounds 9–11 as it could be noticed from the measured isotopic patterns of 9a,b compared to their respective calculated peaks in Fig. 2 (for the other derivatives see the ESI†).

The photophysical characteristics of TBP derivatives 9–11 were investigated by means of UV-Vis absorption and emission spectroscopy using toluene as a solvent (Fig. 3). Having absorption-emission data for a set of TBPs in hand allowed us to compare the effect of the substituents’ nature and position on their optical properties. The absorption spectra of all the compounds displayed similar features i.e. a strong absorption band at 300–310 nm and less intense bands at 350–390 nm, which are characteristics of the tribenzopentaphene core.8a,d It is noteworthy that the absorption bands for TBP derivatives 9–11 exhibit no or only a slight difference when the substituents are either on the wide (i.e. R1; 9–11a,b) or narrow (i.e. R2; 9–11c) bases. It should be noted that when carbazole or fluorene derivatives are attached to the more open base (R1), the resulting compounds 9–10a,b display the same emission recorded for 3,12-dioctyltribenzopentaphene (415 nm).8d This clearly indicates that there is no or very little conjugation between TBP and the lateral fused aromatic groups, which could be explained by a preference for these rigid substituents to adopt a tilted configuration instead of a coplanar arrangement with the TBP core. On the other hand, when the latter is substituted at the same positions R1 by 2,2′-bithiophene (9–10c), a ~35 nm red shift emission is noticed. This bathochromic shift suggests a better conjugation between TBP and dithiophene whose smaller five-membered ring and higher number of rotation axes allow for a more pronounced coplanar arrangement with the TBP core when compared to the other two rigid fused aromatic substituents (i.e. carbazole and fluorene). This discloses the importance of the lateral substituent structure and its effect on conjugation.

When carbazole or fluorene groups are substituted at the sterically hindered base (R2), the emission spectra of compounds 11a,b portray a ~20 nm red shift from their respective isomers 9–10a,b. This could be interpreted by a possible better conjugation and/or distortion of the planar TBP core caused by the steric demand of the attached fused aromatic groups. Surprisingly, when 2,2′-bithiophene is doubly attached to the same congested base (R2), 11c displays a red shift by ~80 nm as compared to 11a,b and ~65 nm with respect to its two isomers 9–10c. Unlike all the other emission spectra, the broad structure-less emission spectrum of 11c suggests a possible excited state planarization of the sterically constrained ground state.

In order to get more insight into the TBP structures and their electronic distributions, we carried out density functional calculations with B3LYP at the 6-31G* level basis set for compounds 9 and 11. Optimized structures of the latter (see Table S1 in the ESI†) confirm our explanation for the emission properties. It is noteworthy that the TBP core retains its planar structure when functionalized at its wide base (R1). Moreover, carbazole and...
fluorene substituents are out of plane when attached to position R₁, which explains the typical emission of 9–10a,b, which resembles very much to a 3,12-dioctyltribenzopentaphene. On the other hand, the red shift observed in 9–10c is expected, because 2,2'-bithiophene lateral groups are more coplanar with the TBP core. Nevertheless, the optimized geometries of TBP substituted at the crowded base reveal that introduction of side groups at the latter position distorts the central aromatic core from planarity and permits the lateral aromatic substituents to be more in-plane with TBP. It is worthwhile to note that according to DFT calculations (cf. ESI†), distortion of TBP from planarity becomes more pronounced when introducing the 2,2'-bithiophene moiety, which explains the large emission shift discussed previously. Table 1 summarizes some of the relevant entries of the experimental and calculated results, where the computed maximum absorption bands are in good agreement with the observed ones. Furthermore, the 0 → 0 transition bands obtained from the absorption–emission spectra (Fig. 3) were found to be ~396 nm for target compounds 9–11a,b whereas that of 9–11c are detected at 428, 442, and 455 nm, respectively. These values corroborate the computed HOMO–LUMO band gaps.

Conclusions
A systematic approach has been developed to synthesize a library of doubly substituted tribenzopentaphene compounds bearing various aromatic groups at their wide and narrow bases. In addition, this study has explored the optical properties of TBP derivatives showing the crucial effect upon changing the position and nature of the lateral substituents. Therefore, the emission of TBP can be tuned by attaching at its wide base aromatic side groups whose smaller ring size and free rotation axes allow for a better conjugation with the TBP core. The same lateral aromatic substituents were found to induce a distortion of the TBP core when introduced to its congested base leading to a pronounced emission red-shift. Computational calculations further support the observed reactivity and physical properties of the target compounds. This work paves the way for producing customized TBP derivatives with desirable structural modifications for applications in optical and electronic devices.

Experimental section
General considerations and methods
All chemicals were used without further purification as purchased from Aldrich, Merck, Riedel-de-Haën, and Fluorochem unless otherwise notified. All the reactions were done under a protective atmosphere using dry nitrogen or argon. The solvents, namely dichloromethane, ether, pentane, 1-butanol, methanol, hexane and toluene, were dried,deoxygenated, and bubbled with argon gas for 30 minutes. Column chromatography was carried out with silica gel 60, 0.04–0.06, from Merck or Brunschwig. Thin layer chromatography was performed on aluminum sheets coated with silica gel 60 F254 and revealed using a UV lamp. Single crystal data collection was done on a Rigaku R-AXIS RAPID II diffractometer using filtered

Table 1  Observed and calculated (R = H or Me) absorption bands, and HOMO–LUMO orbitals of TBP derivatives 9 and 11

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TBP</th>
<th>Obs. b</th>
<th>Cal.</th>
<th>HOMO a (eV)</th>
<th>LUMO a (eV)</th>
<th>Obs. b</th>
<th>Cal.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9a</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>-4.97</td>
<td>-1.49</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>3.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9b</td>
<td>396</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>-5.2</td>
<td>-1.61</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>3.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9c</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>-5.06</td>
<td>-1.92</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>3.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11a</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>-4.91</td>
<td>-1.48</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>3.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11b</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>-5.15</td>
<td>-1.61</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>3.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11c</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>439</td>
<td>-5.05</td>
<td>-1.93</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>3.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Calculated at the level of the B3LYP/6-31G* basis set. b Determined from the 0 → 0 transitions.

Fig. 3 Normalized absorption and emission spectra for the tribenzopentaphene derivatives 9–11 in toluene. The solid lines represent the absorption spectra and the dotted lines correspond to the emission spectra.
The solvent was evaporated and the crude product was concentrated, precipitated using hexane followed by Millipore filtration affording the desired product as a yellow solid (820 mg, 76%).

1H-NMR: (500 MHz, CDCl3); δH: 8.87–8.79 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.79 (t, 2H, ArH), 7.72 (d, 2H, ArH), 7.61–7.59 (d, 2H, ArH), 7.48 (t, 2H, ArH), 7.44 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.41 (br., 2H, ArH); 13C-NMR: 129.05 (4C, Ar), 128.90 (4C, Ar), 128.73 (6C, Ar), 128.60 (2C, Ar), 128.51 (2C, Ar), 128.47–128.37 (2C, Ar), MALDI-TOF (DCTB): m/z (90%) calcd for C30H18Br2O3: 537.900 (M + H)+, (m/z calc for C30H18Br2O3: 537.954).

6,11-Dibromo-1,4-diphenyltriphenylene, 4b. 5,10-Dibromo-1,3-diphenyl-2H-cyclopenta[5]phenanthren-2-one, 3b (1.08 g, 2 mmol) and phenylvinylsulfoxide (0.35 g, 2.3 mmol) were refluxed under argon in dry toluene (15 mL) for 24 h. After cooling to room temperature, the solvent was evaporated and the crude product was concentrated, precipitated using hexane followed by Millipore filtration affording the desired product as a yellow solid (0.780 g, 78%).

1H-NMR: (500 MHz, CDCl3); δH: 8.68 (d, 2H, ArH), 7.87 (d, 2H, ArH), 7.79 (d, 2H, ArH), 7.68 (d, 2H, ArH), 7.57 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.46 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.37 (t, 2H, ArH); MALDI-TOF (DCTB): m/z (90%) calcd for C29H16Br2O3: 537.975.

(3,12-Dibromotribenzo[fg,ij,rst]pentaphene), 6. To a solution of 1,4-bis(4-bromophenyl)triphenylene (0.506 g, 0.94 mmol) in dichloromethane (60 mL) and purged with argon for 30 min, was added a degassed solution of FeCl3 (3.04 g, 18.8 mmol, 20 eq.) in CH2NO2 (10 mL) over 10 min. The reaction medium was heated to 45 °C and bubbled with argon throughout the entire reaction time (6 h) where the color turned from light yellow to dark-brown. After the evaporation of DCM, 150 mL of a 1 M HCl solution was added to the residue and the resulting green suspension was sonicated for 30 min and filtered using Millipore. The precipitate was washed several times with water and pentane. Soxhlet extraction of the resulting brown solid was then carried out with pentane (4 h) and diethyl ether (4 h). The remaining solid was extracted with hot toluene for 24 h yielding 450 mg (89%) of the desired compound 6 as a pale yellow powder.

1H-NMR: (500 MHz, CDCl3); δH: 8.77–8.59 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.79 (t, 2H, ArH), 7.72 (d, 2H, ArH), 7.61–7.59 (d, 2H, ArH), 7.48 (t, 2H, ArH), 7.44 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.41 (br., 2H, ArH); 13C-NMR: 128.92 (4C, Ar), 128.73–128.52 (6C, Ar), 128.60 (2C, Ar), 128.51 (2C, Ar), 128.47–128.37 (2C, Ar), MALDI-TOF (DCTB): m/z (90%) calcd for C30H18Br2O3: 537.9346; UV/VIS: (toluene, 10–5 M), λmax [nm] = 309, 337, 375.

(3,12-Dibromotribenzo[fg,ij,rst]pentaphene), 6. A solution of 1,4-bis(4-bromophenyl)triphenylene (506 mg, 0.94 mmol) in dichloromethane (60 mL) was purged with argon for 30 min, and subsequently a degassed solution of FeCl3 (3.04 g, 18.8 mmol, 20 eq.) in CH2NO2 (10 mL) was added over 10 min. The reaction medium was heated to 45 °C and bubbled with argon throughout the entire reaction time (6 h), during which the color turned from light yellow to dark-brown. After evaporation of DCM, 150 mL of a 1 M HCl solution was added to the residue and the resulting green suspension was sonicated for 30 min and filtered using Millipore. The precipitate was washed several times with water and pentane. Soxhlet extraction of the resulting brown solid was then carried out with pentane (4 h) and diethyl ether (4 h). The remaining solid was extracted with hot toluene during 24 h, yielding 434 mg (86%) of the desired compound 8 as a pale yellow powder.
1H-NMR: (360 MHz, CDCl3): δTMS 9.22 (br., 2H, ArH), 9.07 (br., 2H, ArH), 8.95 (d, 4H, ArH), 8.13 (t, 6H, ArH), 7.78 (br., 6H, ArH), 7.48–7.36 (br., 8H, ArH), 4.46 (br., 4H, CH2-CH2). MALDI-HRMS (DCTB): m/z (% int): 762.3072 (M+1, 100%), (m/z calculated for C30H14Br2 762.3070); UV/VIS: (toluene, 10−5 M), λmax [nm] = 303, 357, 387.

2,2′-[(6,9-Diodocarbazole)[fg,ij,rst]pentaphene-3,12-diyl][9-ethyl-9'H-carbazole], 10a. 10a was prepared according to “method A” with 3,12-dimethoxy-6,9-diodocarbazole[fg,ij,rst]pentaphene, 7 (150 mg, 0.17 mmol), 9-ethyl-9'H-carbazole-3-boronic acid pinacol ester (464 mg, 0.28 mmol), tris(dibenzylideneacetone)dipalladium (0.015 g, 0.026 mmol) and K3PO4 (160 mg, 0.75 mmol) in 20 mL of a degassed 1:1 mixture of toluene and 1-butanol. The product was isolated as a yellow-brown solid (239 mg, 77%).


5′,5′′-(6,9-Diiodocarbazole)[fg,ij,rst]pentaphene-3,12-diyl][9-ethyl-2,2′-bithiophene-5,5′-borylcarbazole], 10b. 10b was prepared according to “method A” using 3,12-dimethoxy-6,9-diiodocarbazole[fg,ij,rst]pentaphene, 7 (150 mg, 0.17 mmol), 9-ethyl-9'H-carbazole-3-boronic acid pinacol ester (464 mg, 0.28 mmol), tris(dibenzylideneacetone)dipalladium (0.015 g, 0.26 mmol), Sphos (23 mg, 0.04 mmol) and K3PO4 (160 mg, 0.75 mmol) in 20 mL of a degassed 1:1 mixture of toluene and 1-butanol. The product was isolated as a brown solid (325 mg, 78%).

1H-NMR: (500 MHz, CDCl3): δTMS 7.79 (t, 2H, ArH), 7.65 (t, 2H, ArH), 7.48 (t, 2H, ArH), 7.33 (br., 2H, ArH), 7.25–7.12 (br., 12H, ArH), 6.71 (d, 4H, Ar), 2.41 (m, 4H, Ar), 1.36–1.12 (4H, Ar). MALDI-HRMS (DCTB): m/z (% int): 2510.0919 (M−, 100%), (m/z calculated for C133H124 2510.0919); UV/VIS: (toluene, 10−5 M), λmax [nm] = 304, 326, 373.

3,12-Bis[9-ethyl-2,2′-bithiophene-5-yl]tribenzo[fg,ij,rst]pentaphene, 9c. 9c was prepared according to “method A” using 3,12-dimethoxytribenzo[fg,ij,rst]pentaphene, 6 (150 mg, 0.28 mmol), t-BuOK (23.16 (4C, Ar), 3.15 (4C, Ar), 1.25 (2C, Ar), 1.15 (2C, Ar), 1.05 (2C, Ar), 0.95 (2C, Ar), 0.82 (2C, Ar), 0.70 (2C, Ar), 0.60 (2C, Ar), 0.45 (2C, Ar), 0.30 (4C, Ar), 0.25 (4C, Ar), 0.20 (4C, Ar), 0.10 (4C, Ar), 0.05 (4C, Ar), 0.01 (4C, Ar). MALDI-HRMS (DCTB): m/z (% int): 2132.1972 (M+, 100%), (m/z calculated for C186H136 2132.1974); UV/VIS: (toluene, 10−5 M), λmax [nm] = 303, 350, 367, 384.

3,12-Bis[5′,5′-borylcarbazole][fg,ij,rst]pentaphene, 8c. 8c was prepared according to “method A” using 3,12-dimethoxytribenzo[fg,ij,rst]pentaphene, 6 (150 mg, 0.28 mmol), t-BuOK (229 mg, 0.64%).
The desired product was isolated as an orange solid (90 mg, 50%).

11c. 11c was prepared according to "method A" using 5,10-dibromotribenzo[fg,ij,rs]pentaphene, 8 (125 mg, 0.23 mmol), 9-ethyl-9H-carbazole-3-boronic acid pinacol ester (300 mg, 0.93 mmol), tris(dibenzyldieneacetone)dipalladium (10 mg, 1.1 × 10⁻² mmol), Sphos (10 mg, 2.3 × 10⁻³ mmol), and K₃PO₄ (12 mg, 0.58 mmol) in 20 mL of a degassed 1:1 mixture of toluene and 1-butanol. The desired product was isolated as an orange solid (90 mg, 50%).

11a. 11a was prepared according to "method A" with 5,10-dibromotribenzo[fg,ij,rs]pentaphene, 8 (125 mg, 0.23 mmol), 2-(9,9-dioctyl-9H-carbazole-3-boronic acid pinacol ester (300 mg, 0.93 mmol), tris(dibenzyldieneacetone)dipalladium (10 mg, 1.1 × 10⁻² mmol), Sphos (10 mg, 2.3 × 10⁻³ mmol), and K₃PO₄ (12 mg, 0.58 mmol) in 20 mL of a degassed 1:1 mixture of toluene and 1-butanol. The reaction followed by a work up yielded 11b as an orange solid (450 mg, 69%).
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