Most, if not all RNAs, are transcribed as precursors that require processing to gain functionality. Ribosomal RNAs (rRNA) from all organisms undergo both exo- and endonucleolytic processing. Also, in all organisms, rRNA processing occurs inside large preribosomal particles and is coupled to nucleotide modification, folding of the precursor rRNA (pre-rRNA), and assembly of the ribosomal proteins (r-proteins). In this review, we focus on the processing pathway of pre-rRNAs of cytoplasmic ribosomes in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, without doubt, the organism where this pathway is best characterized. We summarize the current understanding of the rRNA maturation process, particularly focusing on the pre-rRNA processing sites, the enzymes responsible for the cleavage or trimming reactions and the different mechanisms that monitor and regulate the pathway. Strikingly, the overall order of the various processing steps is reasonably well conserved in eukaryotes, perhaps reflecting common principles for orchestrating the concomitant events of pre-rRNA processing and ribosome assembly.© 2014 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

INTRODUCTION

In practically all eukaryotes, ribosomal DNA (rDNA) is arranged in two transcriptional units: the 5S rRNA gene on one hand and the unit containing the 18S, 5.8S, and 25S rRNA genes on the other hand. To cope with the high demand for cytoplasmic ribosomes, the amplification of the copy number of rDNA genes has been evolutionarily selected. *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* has about 150 rDNA copies per haploid genome on chromosome XII, organized as a tandem array, where, in contrast to higher eukaryotes, the 5S rDNA gene is a part of the rDNA repeat unit (Figure 1(a)). Despite the high copy number, only about half of the rDNA copies are transcribed under exponential growth conditions in yeast. Notably, the active rDNA units are transcribed at high efficiency and it has been calculated that the pre-rRNA transcripts make up more than 60% of all nuclear transcripts.1

Figure 1(b) outlines the current knowledge on the diverse steps of the pre-rRNA processing pathways in yeast. In this part, we provide an overall description of these pathways, while detailed discussions of the specific steps will be presented in the following sections. The 5S rRNA is transcribed by RNA polymerase III (RNAPIII) as a precursor whose 5′ end corresponds to the mature 5′ end, while its 3′ end is generated exonucleolytically (Figure 2). The 3′ end of the pre-5S rRNA is a U-rich sequence as RNAPIII generally uses T-rich sequences contiguous to the mature 3′ end of RNAs as a termination signal.2 As discussed later, the 3′ end of mature 5S rRNA is generated exonucleolytically (Figure 2). The 18S, 5.8S, and 25S rRNAs are transcribed by RNAPI as a single polycistronic transcript flanked by external transcribed spacers at both ends (5′ and 3′ external transcribed spacers (ETS)) and containing two internal transcribed spacers (ITS1 and ITS2) that separate the mature rRNA sequences (Figure 1(a)). The longest detectable RNAPI precursor, named 35S pre-rRNA, begins at a transcription start site, which is placed
FIGURE 1 | Pre-rRNA processing in S. cerevisiae. (a) Structure of an rDNA repeat unit. Each unit contains a large element encoding 18S, 5.8S, and 25S rRNAs, which is transcribed by RNAPI, and a short element encoding 5S rRNA, which is transcribed by RNAPIII. Nontranscribed, external and internal spacers (NTS, ETS, and ITS, respectively) are indicated. The sequences for the mature RNA species are shown as bars and the spacers as lines (NTSs are shown thinner than ETSs or ITSs). Transcription start sites are shown as red arrows and transcription stop sites (T1 and T5S) are shown as small purple boxes. The distinct processing sites are indicated. (b) Scheme of the pre-rRNA processing pathway, which is further described in the text. The nucleases responsible of the endonucleolytic cleavages (red) and exonucleolytic trimmings (green) are highlighted. Note that some endonucleases have not yet been identified.
FIGURE 2 | Secondary structure model for S. cerevisiae pre-5S rRNA. The secondary structure as well as the residue and helix (H) numbers was taken from the Comparative RNA Web Site (www.rna.ccbb.utexas.edu/). Note that formation of 3′ end of mature 5S rRNA relies on a Rex1-dependent 3′–5′ exonucleolytic step. See text for further details.

about 700 nt upstream of the 5′ end of mature 18S rRNA.\(^3\) At its 3′ end, this precursor ends about 14 nt downstream of the 3′ end of mature 25S rRNA.\(^5\) This 3′ end is generated by co-transcriptional cleavage of the nascent RNAPI transcript.\(^4\) It has been shown that termination of RNAPI transcription mostly occurs just upstream of the Reb1-binding site at a T-rich sequence, named T1, which is placed about 90 nt from the 3′ end of mature 25S rRNA.\(^5\) A second termination site, named fail-safe or T2, which is located further downstream at about 250 nt from the 3′ end of mature 25S rRNA, is used when read-throughs occur at T1.\(^5\) Most nascent RNAPI transcripts are co-transcriptionally cleaved by endonuclease Rnt1 at two positions (+14/15 and +49/50 relative to the 3′ end of mature 25S rRNA) lying at opposite sides of a stem-loop structure within the 3′ ETS (Figure 3).\(^4,6\) Co-transcriptional Rnt1-dependent cleavage is important for efficient termination at T1, because this cleavage generates the 5′ end site required for recruitment of the exonuclease Rat1 containing complex, which degrades the remaining nascent transcript to stimulate transcription termination when reaching the paused RNAPI, a process referred to as ‘torpedo-in’ the RNAPI.\(^5,7,8\) When Rnt1 or Rat1 activities are impaired, RNAPI fails to terminate efficiently at T1 and mainly stops at T2.\(^5,7\)

Removal of the pre-rRNA spacers is a multi-step process; the 35S pre-rRNA is initially cleaved at sites A0 and A1 in 5′ ETS and at site A2 in ITS1 (Figure 1(a)), generating the 20S and 27SA2 pre-rRNAs and thus separating the pre-40S and pre-60S ribosomal subunits (r-subunits).\(^9,10\) In the late 80s, experimental evidence indicated that removal of the 5′ ETS could take place before 35S pre-rRNA transcription is completed.\(^11\) More recently, co-transcriptional cleavage of nascent pre-rRNA transcripts at site A2 has been supported by carefully analysing the kinetics of pre-rRNA maturation and by visualization of nascent pre-rRNA transcripts by electron microscopy (EM).\(^11,12\) It has been estimated that about 70% of pre-rRNAs undergo co-transcriptional cleavage in exponentially growing wild-type yeast cells.\(^12\) Co-transcriptional cleavage appears to occur when the RNAPI has traveled into the 25S rDNA around 1.5 kb downstream of site A2 and requires Rrp5 and specific 60S r-subunit biogenesis factors.\(^13–15\)

Cleavage at site A2, either co- or post-transcriptional, generates the 20S pre-rRNA, which is then processed to mature 18S rRNA by endonucleolytic removal of the D-A2 fragment (Figure 1(b)). Interestingly, both in yeast and in higher eukaryotes, this reaction occurs in the cytoplasm.\(^16,17\) Why evolution has selected this compartmentalization of pre-rRNA processing remains unclear. Cleavage at site A2 also generates the 27SA2 pre-rRNA, which is then processed by two alternative pathways that both lead to the formation of mature 5.8S and 25S rRNAs (Figure 1(b)). In the major pathway, about 85% of 27SA2 pre-rRNA is first cleaved at site A3 by endonuclease RNase MRP, which is a ribonucleoprotein (RNP) particle whose large RNA moiety harbors the catalytic activity.\(^18\) The resulting 27SA3 pre-rRNA is 5′–3′ exonucleaseolytically digested up to site B15 to
yield the 27SB9 precursor, which contains the mature 5′ end of the 5.8S rRNA.\textsuperscript{19,20} In the minor pathway, about 15% of 27SA2 pre-rRNA is processed directly to site B1, apparently by an endonucleolytic event. This 27SB9 precursor harbors the mature 5′ end of the 5.8S\_rRNA, which is located about 6 nt upstream of the 5′ end of the 5.8S\_rRNA.\textsuperscript{21} It has been reported that almost concurrently with B1 processing, the 3′ end of mature 25S rRNA is generated by 3′-5′ trimming to site B2 of the remnant B2–B0 fragment present in 27SA pre-rRNAs.\textsuperscript{4,22}

Processing of ITS2 from both 27SB species appears to be identical (Figure 1(b)). Initially, cleavage at site C2 separates 7S and 25.5S pre-rRNAs, which are the precursors of mature 5.8S and 25S rRNAs, respectively.\textsuperscript{22} Strikingly, the endonuclease that performs this reaction is still unknown. The around 140 nt of ITS2 at the 3′ end of the 7S pre-rRNAs are removed by a stepwise mechanism involving several 3′ to 5′ exonucleases,\textsuperscript{23–26} which leads to the formation of at least two discrete and stable pre-rRNA intermediates, 5.8S\_t and 5.8S\_s rRNAs. Recently, it has been demonstrated that maturation of 6S pre-rRNAs into mature 5.8S rRNAs occurs in the cytoplasm.\textsuperscript{27} Two mature 5.8S rRNA species (5.8S\_t and 5.8S\_s rRNAs) are produced in a ratio of about 1:5. It is unclear if the two forms of 5.8S rRNAs have distinct functions. However, the 25.5S pre-rRNA is 5′-3′ digested to mature 25S rRNA.\textsuperscript{20,28} This processing reaction may occur in two discrete steps, as suggested by the detection of a stable 25S\_t pre-rRNA intermediate, which is extended by a few nt at the 5′ end of mature 25S rRNA, in wild-type cells.\textsuperscript{27,29}

It is important to stress that pre-rRNA processing occurs inside large RNP complexes called preribosomal particles.\textsuperscript{30} These particles contain, in addition to the pre-rRNAs and different sets of assembled ribosomal proteins (r-proteins), a multitude of nonribosomal factors including small nucleolar RNAs (snoRNAs) and \textit{trans}-acting proteins. Loss-of-function of most of these factors leads to pre-rRNA processing defects; thus, with the exception of nucleases, it is not obvious to recognize which specific factors are directly involved in the pre-rRNA processing reactions. Less than a dozen nucleases or putative nucleases have been described to be involved in pre-rRNA processing, and for only few of these, an enzymatic activity has been demonstrated. Moreover, \textit{bona fide} co-factors for nucleases have only scarcely been reported. Finally, only few steps of yeast pre-rRNA processing could so far be reproduced \textit{in vitro}. This review summarizes our current understanding of yeast pre-rRNA processing, particularly focusing on the role of the \textit{trans}-acting factors that directly participate in the nucleolytic events. For other aspects of pre-rRNA processing (e.g., role of \textit{cis}-acting elements within the pre-rRNA sequences), rRNA modifications or ribosome assembly in yeast and pre-rRNA processing in higher eukaryotes, we refer readers to other reviews.\textsuperscript{30–39}

THE INITIAL EVENTS

Co-transcriptional Cleavage at Site B\(_0\)

In the post-transcriptional pathway, the earliest pre-rRNA processing event is the endonucleolytic cleavage of the nascent pre-rRNA in the 3′ ETS at site B0, thereby generating the 35S pre-rRNA. This step is performed by the dsRNA endonuclease Rnt1, which is homologous to bacterial RNase III.\textsuperscript{4,6} Rnt1 cleaves both strands of an imperfect stem-loop structure (Figure 3) capped by an (A/U)GNN tetraloop at positions +14 and +49 from the 3′ end of mature 25S rRNA; notably, this reaction could be reproduced \textit{in vitro} with recombinant Rnt1 and a synthetic 3′ ETS substrate.\textsuperscript{6,40} Consistently, loss-of-function of Rnt1 leads to reduced synthesis of mature 25S rRNAs and accumulation of 3′ ETS containing pre-rRNAs.\textsuperscript{4}

In the co-transcriptional pathway, cleavage at site B0 appears to release nascent 27SA2 or 27SA3 pre-rRNAs, as suggested by the observed coupling between B0 cleavage and ITS1 processing.\textsuperscript{41}

Cleavages at Sites A\(_0\), A\(_1\), and A\(_2\)

Removal of 5′ ETS is an early event in both the co- and the post-transcriptional pathways of pre-rRNA processing. This involves the cleavages at sites A\(_0\) and A\(_1\), which have been demonstrated to be endonucleolytic processes as the excised 5′–A\(_0\) and A\(_0–A\_1\) spacer fragments accumulate in strains defective in components of the nuclear exosome complex and its associated RNA helicase Mtr4/Dob1 and in the 5′–3′ exonucleases Rat1 and Xrn1, respectively.\textsuperscript{42–44} Cleavage at site A\(_0\), which is dispensable,\textsuperscript{31} was initially identified as a primer stop that specifically decreased on depletion of the U3 snoRNA.\textsuperscript{9} Site A\(_1\) is the mature 5′ end of 18S rRNA. It is assumed that, although occurring practically simultaneously, cleavage at site A\(_0\) precedes that at site A\(_1\). However, phenotypic analyses of cleavage-sequencing and many \textit{trans}-acting factor mutants clearly indicate that processing at these sites is not coupled. Thus, cleavage at site A\(_0\) is not a prerequisite for cleavage at site A\(_1\) and \textit{vice versa}. In contrast, cleavage at site A\(_2\) is coupled to that at site A\(_3\), and although cleavage at site A\(_2\) could occur without simultaneous cleavage at site A\(_3\), it has so far not been reported that cleavage at site
A2 could take place without prior cleavage at site A1. Cleavage at site A2 is also an endonucleolytic event as the excised D-A2 and A2-A3 fragments are detected in wild-type cells and also accumulate in strains defective in the 5′-3′ exonucleases Rat1 and Xrn1. Among the pre-rRNA processing reactions, cleavage at site A2 is special since it defines the site for co-transcriptional cleavage and notably separates the intermediates of the large and small r-subunit synthesis pathways.

Processing at sites A0, A1 and A2 occurs within a large preribosome intermediate known as SSU processome or 90S preribosomal particle. The SSU processome is a multi-subunit complex composed of several snoRNPs (U3, U14, snR30 and snR10) and multiple trans-acting factors that associate in a hierarchical and stepwise manner onto the nascent pre-rRNA. Mutation or depletion of any of these subunits usually delays or inhibits cleavage at the above sites and results in the accumulation of aberrant 23S, 22S, or 21S pre-rRNAs that extend from +1, A0, or A1 to site A3, respectively. Although some productive processing from all these intermediates may occur in wild-type cells, this does not appear to be the case in processome subunit mutants where a net deficit in 18S rRNA levels is observed. It has been demonstrated that the U3 snoRNP, via specific base-pair interactions between the U3 snoRNA and regions of the 5′ ETS and the 5′ end of mature 18S rRNA within pre-rRNAs (Figure 4), plays an essential role in the assembly of the SSU processome and the compaction and folding of the nascent pre-rRNA (i.e., formation of the central 18S rRNA pseudoknot), which is a prerequisite for the cleavage reactions to occur. Interestingly, the U3 snoRNA is unusual in that its loss-of-function leads to a strong inhibition of processing at site A0, while depletion of other processome subunits only results in a modest to severe kinetic delay of this processing reaction.

The link of cleavages at the sites A0, A1, and A2, especially the tight coupling between cleavages at sites A1 and A2, suggests the appealing hypothesis that they might be performed by the same endonuclease. Only few nucleases have been proposed to carry out the cleavages at these sites. For example, the conserved, essential protein Rcl1 is required for in vivo 18S rRNA synthesis. As occurs for many components of the SSU processome, inactivation of Rcl1 leads to inhibition of processing at sites A0, A1, and A2, with processing at sites A0 and A1 being less affected than that at site A2. More recently, it has been shown that purified recombinant Rcl1 cleaves in vitro transcribed pre-rRNA fragments that include the 3′ end of mature 18S rRNA and ITS1, at a site that coincides with the in vivo mapped site A2. Interestingly, point mutations in RCL1 that impair in vivo cleavage at site A2 also abolish pre-rRNA cleavage in vitro. From these studies, it has also been deduced that Rcl1 recognizes a single-stranded substrate, which is consistent with the proposed location of site A2 in the ITS1 secondary structure (Figure 5). Interestingly, recent data indicate that the trans-acting factor Rrp5 binds to an evolutionarily conserved stem-loop located 3′ adjacent to site A2 in ITS1, suggesting that Rrp5 could define the exact position where cleavage occurs. The SSU processome subunit Utp24, which is predicted to be a nuclease, has been suggested to be the enzyme responsible for pre-rRNA cleavages at sites A1 and A2 but in vitro experimental evidence is still missing. However, it is worth mentioning that mutation of residues that are theoretically critical for the putative enzymatic activity of Utp24 impairs cleavage at sites A1 and A2 but not at site A0. No candidate endonuclease for cleavage at site A0 has so far been identified and it is even possible that distinct snoRNAs, such as U3, could assist in an autocatalytic cleavage reaction at this site.

FORMATION OF 18S rRNAs

Cleavage at Site D

Pre-rRNA processing at sites A0–A2 releases the 20S pre-rRNA, which is rapidly exported from the nucleolus to the cytoplasm and subsequently processed at site D, the 3′ end of the mature 18S rRNA. Site D is likely a single-stranded region (Figure 5). Processing at site D is endonucleolytic as the D-A2 fragment strongly accumulates in yeast mutants lacking the 5′-3′ exonuclease Xrn1. Experimental evidence indicates that the evolutionarily conserved Nob1 protein is the D-site endonuclease. First, Nob1 is a component of cytoplasmic pre-40S particles whose loss-of-function leads to a strong accumulation of 20S pre-rRNA and a concomitant depletion of mature 18S rRNA. Moreover, purified recombinant Nob1 binds in vitro transcribed pre-rRNA fragments containing site D, possibly as a tetramer, and in vivo Nob1 protects a number of pre-rRNA sites, including site D and its adjacent nts. Most importantly, Nob1, purified from yeast, cleaves in vitro an RNA oligonucleotide containing the RNA sequence surrounding site D precisely at this position. This in vitro assay is dependent on the presence of Mn2+, an ion cofactor of PIN-domain nucleases. The enzymatic activity is however very poor and requires elevated concentrations of protein, Mn2+ and RNA substrate and long incubation times; this suggests that some important cis-elements for cleavage are not present on the minimal RNA substrate and/or that protein
FIGURE 4 | Secondary structure model for the S. cerevisiae 5' ETS. The representation has been adapted from that proposed by Yeh and Lee. The positions of the sites A0 and A1, which are cleaved endonucleolytically are indicated. Note that the endonuclease(s) responsible of these cleavages are currently unknown. Sites binding to the U3 snoRNA and suspected to bind to snR10 are also highlighted (shaded encircled nucleotides in green or red, respectively).

co-factors, which may assist Nob1 activity in vitro, are lacking in the assay. In line with the latter possibility, it has been shown that Pno1/Dim2 binds directly to Nob1, thereby increasing the RNA binding affinity of Nob1 in vitro. In addition, Ltv1, Prp43, and Pfa1 interact genetically and functionally with Nob1, and mutation of several other late trans-acting factors and 40S r-subunit proteins impairs cleavage of 20S pre-rRNA. Nob1 is already present on nucleolar preribosomal particles; therefore, its cleavage activity must be prevented until it reaches the cytoplasm. Several mechanisms have been proposed to explain this regulation of Nob1 activity. First, it has been suggested that D-site cleavage is promoted by a structural rearrangement on preribosomal particles involving a RNA conformational switch that only occurs after cleavage at site A2 in the nucleolus. This switch would allow the repositioning of Nob1 to the site D context, leading to its catalytic activation. Although not refuted, this model has recently been questioned as it does not sufficiently explain the exclusive activation of Nob1 in the cytoplasm. It has therefore been proposed that yet another kind of structural rearrangement might occur within cytoplasmic pre-40S particles in order to move Nob1 from its primary binding site, apparently located at the base of helix H40 in 18S rRNA, toward site D and unleash its catalytic activity. Other more speculative models for Nob1 activation propose that D-site cleavage is only enabled on restructuring of the long helix H44, which is located close to 3’ end of 18S rRNA, by the RNA helicase Prp43 and its co-factor Pfa1 or requires assembly factors to bring Nob1 in proximity of its substrate. Recently, it has been shown that 20S pre-rRNA processing requires cytoplasmic interaction of 60S r-subunits with pre-40S particles and, moreover, depends on the GTPase activity of the translation initiation factor eIF5B and a yet to be identified ATP-binding protein, which is likely the ATPase Rio1. This scenario has been further corroborated by the finding that a specific mutation in the 60S r-subunit protein L3 partially impairs 20S pre-rRNA processing by impeding Nob1 stimulation by the GTPase activity of eIF5B.
FORMATION OF 5.8S AND 25S rRNAs

5' End Maturation of 5.8S rRNA: Processing at Sites A3 and B1S Versus Processing at Site B1L

The specific processing pathway for synthesis of mature 25S and 5.8S rRNA commences 3' from site A2 at two alternative sites, A3 or B1L (Figure 1(b)) and finally yields two different mature 5.8S rRNA species, the 5.8S_L and the 5.8S_S rRNAs, which are produced in wild-type cells at a ratio of about 1:5.31 The existence of heterogeneous 5.8S rRNAs is a constant in the eukaryotic kingdom; however, its biological significance is still unclear.

Processing at the site A3 is an endonucleolytic event as a discrete excised A2–A3 fragment could be detected in yeast mutants defective in the 5'–3' exonuclease Rat1.43,64 Cleavage at A3 likely occurs post-transcriptionally, as suggested by the observation that deletions in the 3'-ETS region, comprising the stem-loop structure where cleavage at site B0 occurs, specifically impair cleavage at site A3.41 How such a long-range coupling of processing sites, which are separated by about 4000 nt, is mechanistically achieved is still unknown. It can, however, be speculated that this coupling could be mediated by bridging trans-acting factors such as Rrp5, which is able to bind to pre-rRNA regions in ITS1 and the 3' terminal part of 25S rRNA,15 and r-proteins such as L3, which associates early with pre-60S r-particles but predominantly binds to the 3' terminal part of 25S rRNA. As previously suggested by others,31,41 coupling between processing at sites A3 and B0 could serve as a quality control mechanism that prevents futile processing from aberrant truncated pre-rRNAs.

Cleavage at site A3 is dependent on the endonuclease RNase MRP in vivo.31,33,65 RNase MRP consists of one RNA molecule and nine protein components, with eight of these being shared with the related endonuclease RNase P.66 Depletion of the RNA constituent or most of the individual protein components of RNase MRP leads to inhibition of cleavage at site A3. As a consequence, only the 5.8S_L rRNA, but not the 5.8S_S rRNA, is synthesized.18,66,67
In addition, two other trans-acting factors have been described as specifically participating in cleavage at site A3, the above-mentioned Rrp5 and the RNA helicase Dbp3.\textsuperscript{15,68,69} Only the N-terminal S1 RNA-binding domains of Rrp5 are essential for cleavage at site A3.\textsuperscript{70} Interestingly, this N-terminal part binds to the RNA component of RNase MRP and to a short U-rich pre-rRNA region located few nt 3′ to cleavage site A3,\textsuperscript{15} strongly suggesting that Rrp5 is directly required for cleavage at site A3. Further experiments, however, have shown that this requirement does not involve the recruitment of RNase MRP to pre-60S r-particles, because RNase MRP is apparently still able to bind to pre-60S r-particles on Rrp5 depletion.\textsuperscript{15} Deletion of the DBP3 gene only kinetically delays processing at site A3 and does not alter the 5.8S\textsubscript{S} rRNA:5.8S\textsubscript{L} rRNAs ratio.\textsuperscript{68} Considering its enzymatic activity, it has been speculated that Dbp3 might optimize the recruitment or the activity of RNase MRP by chaperoning the structure of the ITS1 region in the vicinity of site A3.\textsuperscript{68,71} Unfortunately, this conjecture still requires to be experimentally validated.

Endonucleolytic cleavage at site A3 has been reproduced \textit{in vitro} using purified RNase MRP from yeast and short \textit{in vitro} transcribed RNA substrates.\textsuperscript{72,73} The minimal RNA substrate of RNase MRP is single-stranded, and all information required for substrate recognition and site-specific cleavage \textit{in vitro} is included in a region encompassing few nt upstream and downstream of site A3, with the presence of a cytosine at position +4 being a prerequisite for the cleavage reaction to take place.\textsuperscript{73}

Cleavage at site A3 provides the entry site for a 5′–3′ exonuclease activity that trims the 76 nt in between sites A3 and B1S to generate the 5′ end of the 27SB\textsubscript{S} pre-rRNA and hence that of the mature 5.8S\textsubscript{S} rRNA. Three trans-acting factors have been shown to be involved in this processing step, the homologous Rat1 and Xrn1 as well as the unrelated Rrp17 protein.\textsuperscript{19,20} These three proteins exhibit processive hydrolytic 5′–3′ exonuclease activity \textit{in vitro}.\textsuperscript{74} which is required for the function of these factors in pre-rRNA processing.\textsuperscript{7,20} In strains carrying loss-of-function mutations in any of the corresponding RAT1, XRN1, or RRP17 genes, longer forms of 5.8S rRNA that extend to site A3 clearly accumulate.\textsuperscript{19,20} The role of Xrn1 and Rat1 in this step is partially redundant, however, the contribution of Rat1 appears to be more relevant than that of Xrn1. While mutation in or depletion of Rat1 leads to a significant accumulation of 5′ extended 5.8S rRNA, only very low levels of these aberrant species can be detected in the xrn1 null mutant. In agreement with a role for both Rat1 and Xrn1, accumulation of 5′ extended 5.8S rRNA species is further increased in xrn1Δ rat1 double mutants.\textsuperscript{7,19,43} As a consequence of impaired 5.8S\textsubscript{S} rRNA production, a switch in the ratio of 5.8S\textsubscript{S}:5.8S\textsubscript{L} rRNAs is observed (e.g., see\textsuperscript{20}). Strikingly, some 5.8S\textsubscript{S} rRNA is still produced in the xrn1Δ rat1 double mutant, which suggested the involvement of another 5′–3′ exonuclease in 5′ end maturation of 5.8S\textsubscript{S} rRNA and resulted in the identification of Rrp17.\textsuperscript{20} On simultaneous depletion of Rat1 and Rrp17 in an xrn1Δ background, practically no 5.8S\textsubscript{S} rRNA is produced.\textsuperscript{20} Rat1 and Rrp17 are predominantly nucleolar proteins while Xrn1 is mainly cytoplasmic.\textsuperscript{20,75} Targeting Xrn1 to the nucleus complements the thermo-sensitive growth defect of a rat1 allele, indicating that Rat1 and Xrn1 are functionally interchangeable proteins that act in different subcellular locations.\textsuperscript{75} Whether overexpression of Rat1 or nuclear-targeted Xrn1 is able to complement the function of defective rrp17 alleles remains to be determined. Moreover, Rat1 interacts physically and functionally with its nonessential co-factor Rai1.\textsuperscript{76} The absence of Rai1 strongly enhances the defects of Rat1 depletion in 5.8S\textsubscript{S} rRNA production;\textsuperscript{7} however, it only mildly increases those of Rrp17 depletion,\textsuperscript{20} suggesting that Rat1-Rai1 and Rrp17 work independently of each other.\textsuperscript{20} At present, it is not clear whether the different exonucleases display distinct sequence and/or structural preferences in the A3–B1S fragment or whether they act in an entirely independent or coordinated manner.

In addition to these three exonucleases, pre-rRNA processing from site A3 to site B1S requires several other trans-acting factors, generally known as ‘A3 factors’, including at least Nop7, Erb1, Ytm1, Rlp7, Nop15, Nsa3/Cic1, Rrp1, Nop12, and Has1.\textsuperscript{77–80} Interestingly, most A3 factors are interdependent for their association with preribosomal particles.\textsuperscript{77} Loss of any of these factors leads to accumulation of the 27SA3 pre-rRNA and reduced synthesis of 27SB\textsubscript{S} pre-rRNA and mature 5.8S\textsubscript{S} rRNA, while levels of the 27SB\textsubscript{S} pre-rRNA and mature 5.8S\textsubscript{L} rRNA are not affected.\textsuperscript{77,78} Despite their function in A3–B1S processing in ITS1, most A3 factors bind to sites around the 3′ end of 5.8S rRNA, the 5′ end of 25S, and the 5′ end of ITS2.\textsuperscript{78} Moreover, only the recruitment of Rrp17 to preribosomal particles but not that of Rat1 or Xrn1 appears to be dependent on the presence of A3 factors.\textsuperscript{77} In agreement with this, the analysis of its binding sites in ITS1 revealed that Rat1 is bound to regions immediately 5′ to site A3 and may therefore already be, prior to cleavage at site A3, present in early pre-60S r-particles.\textsuperscript{78} It is noteworthy that loss of A3 factors impairs stable assembly of four selected r-proteins L17, L26, L35,
and L37, which are adjacent to each other in mature 60S r-subunits and bind to 5.8S rRNAs.\textsuperscript{77,79} It has been suggested that the \( A_3 \) factors drive the pre-rRNA rearrangements required to enable the end processing of the 5.8S rRNA and assembly of r-proteins L17, L26, L35, and L37, which in turn establishes the proper 27SB pre-rRNA conformation for further ITS2 processing.\textsuperscript{77–79} Notably, L17 binds to helix H2 in domain I of 25S/5.8S rRNA, formed between nt 406-417 of mature 25S and the 5’ end of mature 5.8S rRNA. It has been put forward that binding of L17 to helix H2 may act as a barrier that stops the 5’–3’ exonucleases precisely at the B1S site.\textsuperscript{19,77} Formation of helix H2 requires a major pre-rRNA rearrangement where the 5’ end sequence of 5.8S rRNA switches from its base-pairing with the distal part of ITS1 to a new base-pairing with 25S rRNA (Figure 5), which might be stabilized by L17 binding.\textsuperscript{39} On depletion of L17, there is a specific accumulation of pre-rRNA species whose 5’ ends are about 10 nt downstream of the B1S site, thus, at the end of helix H2.\textsuperscript{77} This shorter pre-rRNA is likely the result of the inability of Rat1 to stop at the B1S site in the absence of L17. Consistently, these aberrant pre-rRNA species accumulate only very mildly on inactivation of Rat1 in a L17-depleted strain.\textsuperscript{77} As proposed by others, such a mechanism to block an event of pre-rRNA processing has the advantage that, in conditions of incorrect r-protein assembly, the exonuclease responsible for the pre-rRNA processing would initiate degradation of these, aberrantly processed pre-rRNAs.\textsuperscript{19,77}

As 27SA\(_2\) pre-rRNA molecules can be directly processed at site B1L, thereby generating the 27SB1 pre-rRNA,\textsuperscript{31} neither processing at sites A3 and B1S nor RNase MRP or Rat1 are essential for ribosome biogenesis. Indeed, an rDNA construct containing a large distal deletion of ITS1 encompassing site A3 still supports growth, although at a reduced rate.\textsuperscript{19,38} In these circumstances, the 27SA\(_2\) molecules are only processed at site B1L and the 5.8S\(_L\):5.8S\(_S\) ratio increases dramatically.\textsuperscript{19,67} The mechanism by which processing at site B1L takes place has not yet been addressed in detail. However, three facts can be highlighted: (1) As proposed for cleavage at site A3, processing at site B1L appears to occur post-transcriptionally because deletions in the 3’ ETS also affect this processing reaction.\textsuperscript{41} (2) Processing at site B1L is likely endonucleolytic, as deduced by the identification of discrete amounts of an A\(_3\)–B1L fragment in strains defective in the RNA component of RNase MRP or Rrp5.\textsuperscript{21} As this processing activity involves a single event, formation of 5.8S\(_L\) rRNA is kinetically faster than synthesis of 5.8S\(_S\) rRNA.\textsuperscript{19} (3) The endonuclease responsible for this cleavage reaction is still unknown. Mutational analysis of the 3’ terminal region of ITS1 show that this endonuclease is not sequence specific and does not depend on sequence elements in the 5’ adjacent region of ITS1.\textsuperscript{23,38}

### Cleavage at Site C\(_2\)

Removal of ITS2 starts by cleavage at site C\(_2\), which separates the specific precursors along the maturation pathway of 5.8S and 25S rRNA. This step is endonucleolytic as an A\(_2\)–C\(_2\) fragment clearly accumulates on inactivation of exosome complex subunits or its RNA helicase co-factor Mtr4/Dob1.\textsuperscript{82,83} Mutational analysis has demonstrated that cleavage at site C\(_2\) relies on some structural cis-elements within ITS2, among them, the so-called ITS2-proximal stem, which is a structure formed by the base-pairing between the 3’ end of 5.8S and the 5’ end of 25S rRNAs (Figure 6), and the nt downstream of the cleavage site.\textsuperscript{38} In fact, two base-pairings just contiguous to site C\(_2\) are strictly required for cleavage, perhaps because these are part of the recognition site of the specific C\(_2\)-site endonuclease, which still remains to be identified.

In wild-type cells, processing at the site C\(_2\) follows removal of ITS1; however, the identification of an A\(_2\)–C\(_2\) fragment indicates that in certain circumstances, 27SA\(_2\) pre-rRNA could be prematurely cleaved at site C\(_2\).\textsuperscript{82} A model to explain this specific order of processing, which is based on the conformational status of ITS2\textsuperscript{86} and the sequential association of trans-acting factors required for ITS1 and ITS2 processing,\textsuperscript{80,87} has been proposed. Accordingly, two alternative, predicted structures appear to exist for yeast ITS2 (Figure 6(a) and (b)), the so-called ‘ring’ and ‘hairpin’ structures, which differ primarily in base-pairing of the sequences adjacent to the mature 5.8S and 25S rRNA ends.\textsuperscript{84–86} The transition from the ring to the hairpin structure is likely a prerequisite to induce cleavage at site C\(_2\).\textsuperscript{86} Apparently, the A\(_3\) factors, which bind at or near ITS2 (see previous section), have different roles in this structural transition. While factors such as Nop15 and Nsa3 have been suggested to hold ITS2 in the ring conformation,\textsuperscript{77,78} others, such as Rlp7, Nop12, and Pwp1, appear to be important for the formation of the ITS2-proximal stem.\textsuperscript{80,88,89} Moreover, Nop12 and Pwp1 have additional roles in promoting proper folding of the 5.8S rRNA.\textsuperscript{80} As discussed above, the concerted action of the A\(_3\) factors promotes, either directly or indirectly, the proper assembly of a set of r-proteins (L17, L26, L35, and L37), which in turn is a prerequisite for the correct association of Nsa2 and Nog2, which belong to the so-called ‘B factors’.\textsuperscript{81,87,90} The B factors include RNA-binding proteins (Nip7,
FIGURE 6 | Secondary structure models for the S. cerevisiae ITS2. Two conformations have been proposed for ITS2, (a) the ring conformation and (b) the hairpin conformation. The positions of the sites C1, C′1, C2, E as well as the 3′ end extended 5.8S + 30, 6S (+8) and 5.8S + 5 species are indicated. Note that the nuclease responsible for endonucleolytic cleavage at site C2 remains to be identified. Processing from site C2 to site C1 occurs by 5′–3′ trimming by either Rat1, Rrp17, or Xrn1. Processing from site C2 to site E occurs by 3′–5′ trimming by the concerted action of different exonucleases. Note that all these processing reaction are represented only in the hairpin structure. See text for further details.

3′ End Maturation of 5.8S rRNA
Cleavage at site C2 releases the 7S and 25.5S pre-rRNAs, which are the immediate precursors of mature 5.8S and 25S rRNAs, respectively. Formation of the 3′ end of mature 5.8S rRNAs from the 7S pre-rRNAs is a very complicated 3′–5′ exonucleolytic multi-step process, which is performed by different nucleases that act in a concerted manner. The reason for this complexity is unclear, especially if one considers that aberrant 60S r-subunits containing 3′ end extended forms of 5.8S rRNAs are translationally competent.

Four distinct and successive steps during the 3′ end formation of the 5.8S rRNA can be discerned. The initial step consists in the 3′–5′ trimming of the 7S pre-rRNAs, which are extended at their 3′ ends by about 140 nt up to site C2 in ITS2, to the 5.8S + 30 pre-rRNA species that still retain about 30 nt of ITS2. In the second step, the 5.8S + 30 pre-rRNAs are processed to 6S pre-rRNAs, whose 3′ ends still contain about 8 nt of ITS2. Both steps are carried out by the nuclear exosome. This is a conserved multi-protein complex composed of a core of nine subunits; six of these (Mtr3, Rrp41/Ski6, Rrp42, Rrp43, Rrp45, and Rrp46) harbor an RNase PH fold and form a hexameric barrel-like structure that is stabilized by a cap formed by three proteins (Csl4/Ski4, Rrp4,
and Rrp40), which contain KH and S1 RNA binding domains.\textsuperscript{92,93} Biochemical studies indicate that the core functions to bind and thread RNA substrates through the central channel within the barrel-like structure.\textsuperscript{94–96} Importantly, the core is catalytically inactive,\textsuperscript{92,97,98} and enzymatic activity is only acquired by its association with two nuclease, Rrp44/Dis3 and Rrp6. Rrp44 is a multi-domain protein composed of three RNA binding domains, an N-terminal PIN domain harboring endonucleolytic activity, and a C-terminal RNB domain, which is typical for members of the RNaseII/R family. \textit{In vitro}, Rrp44 exhibits processive hydrolytic 3′–5′ exonuclease activity on both nonstructured and structured RNA substrates with 3′ single-stranded overhangs.\textsuperscript{97,99,100} Rrp44 associates with the lower face of the exosome core on the opposite side of the trimeric cap,\textsuperscript{93} and, interestingly, its interaction with the core attenuates its exonuclease activity.\textsuperscript{95} Rrp6 is a nonessential distributive hydrolytic 3′–5′ exonuclease that belongs to the RNase D family of ribonucleases.\textsuperscript{101} Unlike Rrp44, Rrp6 is unable to degrade structured RNAs and its enzymatic activity is not modulated on its interaction with the exosome core.\textsuperscript{92} The C-terminal part of Rrp6 is sufficient, by interacting with Csl4, Mtr3, and Rrp43, to mediate the association of Rrp6 with the upper face of the exosome.\textsuperscript{93}

\textit{In vivo}, depletion of any subunits of the exosome core and of Rrp44 is lethal and results in practically similar defects in 3′ maturation of the 5.8S rRNAs, which consist in the accumulation of 3′ extended pre-rRNA intermediates, forming a ladder from the position of the 6S pre-rRNAs up to the position of the 7S pre-rRNAs, and the concomitant deficit in mature 5.8S rRNAs.\textsuperscript{24,82,100} Interestingly, a point mutation that abrogates the exonuclease activity of Rrp44 is viable, but entails a similar, albeit slightly less pronounced, 75 pre-rRNA processing phenotype as observed on depletion of exosome components.\textsuperscript{97,102} The endonuclease activity of Rrp44 is also dispensable for growth.\textsuperscript{99,103} In this case, the inactivation of the endonuclease activity of Rrp44 has practically no effect on 7S pre-rRNA processing.\textsuperscript{99,103} In contrast, the simultaneous abrogation of both the endo- and exonuclease activity of Rrp44 does not support growth, and the pre-rRNA processing phenotype of the respective mutant is practically identical to that of the Rrp44-depleted strain.\textsuperscript{99,102,103} Taken together, these results indicate that the exonuclease activity of Rrp44 plays an important but not essential role in 3′ end maturation of 5.8S rRNAs. The exonu- clease activity likely exerts a minor, but cooperative role by providing access to additional pre-rRNA interme- diates. The fact that abrogation of both activities is lethal must be interpreted in terms of redundant roles of these activities in the processing or degradation of different RNA substrates besides 7S pre-rRNAs.\textsuperscript{104}

Deletion of \textit{RRP6} is viable and leads to a slow-growth phenotype and a distinct defect in 7S pre-rRNA processing, consisting in a minor accumulation of 7S pre-rRNA, a strong accumulation of 5.8S+30 species and a deficit in mature 5.8S rRNAs.\textsuperscript{25} The growth phenotype of the \textit{rrp6Δ} strain is exacerbated (yeast BY4741 genetic background) or lethal (W303 background) on inactivation of the exonuclease activity of Rrp44.\textsuperscript{97,103} In the double mutant, the 5.8S+30 species are additionally 3′ extended by about 10 nt\textsuperscript{103} and, as above, the lethal- ity is unlikely due to the role of the corresponding nuclease in 7S pre-rRNA processing. In contrast, mutation of the endonuclease domain of Rrp44 does practically not enhance the growth defect of the \textit{rrp6Δ} strain and does not lead to 3′ extended forms of 5.8S+30 pre-rRNAs.\textsuperscript{103} It is worth mentioning that a ssRNA stretch of about 30 nt, curiously the same length as the 3′ end extension of 5.8S+30 species, is threaded through the central channel of the exosome up to the exonuclease active site of Rrp44.\textsuperscript{93,95} Thus, the following model for 7S pre-rRNA processing to 6S pre-rRNAs can be envisaged: the 3′ end of 7S pre-rRNAs is pushed through the central pore of the exosome complex and initially processed by the exonuclease activity of Rrp44. As only ssRNA can be accommodated inside the channel, the helical domain II in the ITS2 fragment of 7S pre-rRNAs, likely in association with a bound protein, might block further processing and thereby stabilize the 5.8S+30 precursors. These species are then released from the channel, handed over to Rrp6 by which they are, in an exosome-dependent or -independent reaction, processed to 6S pre-rRNAs.\textsuperscript{105}

Processing of 7S pre-rRNAs requires additional factors, many of them acting as exosome co-factors. The best-characterized exosome co-factor is the RNA helicase Mtr4. On depletion of Mtr4, a strong accumulation of 7S pre-rRNAs, a weaker accumulation of 5.8S+30 pre-rRNAs and a deficit in mature 5.8S rRNAs are observed.\textsuperscript{24,44} This role of Mtr4 is completely independent of the TRAMP complexes, as the loss-of-function of the other components of these complexes (Trf4/5 and Air1/2), has no apparent effect on 3′ end maturation of 5.8S rRNA.\textsuperscript{106} Biochemical and structural studies suggest that Mtr4 directs the RNA substrate to either the entry site of the exosome channel or Rrp6.\textsuperscript{107} Importantly, the so-called arch domain of Mtr4 is specifically required for processing of 5.8S+30 species\textsuperscript{107}; thus, it has been suggested that Mtr4 could also actively help releasing the 5.8S+30
pre-rRNAs from the exosome channel to make them accessible to Rrp6.\textsuperscript{107} Two other co-factors of the exosome are the nonessential proteins Rrp4\textsubscript{7}/Lrp\textsubscript{1}\textsuperscript{108,109} and Mpp6.\textsuperscript{110,111} Rrp4\textsubscript{7} forms a stable complex with Rrp6\textsubscript{111} to facilitate binding of the RNA substrate or modulate the enzymatic activity. Deletion of RRP4\textsubscript{7} alone or in combination with the deletion of RRP6 leads to a slow-growth defect and a 7S pre-rRNA processing phenotype identical to those caused by the single rrp6\textsubscript{Δ} mutation.\textsuperscript{108,109} Mpp6 is also physically associated with the exosome, and the mpp6\textsubscript{Δ} null mutant only exhibits a very mild accumulation of 5.8S rRNAs.\textsuperscript{112} Another protein that is functionally connected to the exosome is the nonessential Gsp1, which is the yeast homolog of the GTPase Ran. The deletion of GSP1 leads to 7S pre-rRNA processing defects that are indistinguishable from those observed in exosome mutants. Moreover, Gsp1 directly interacts with Rrp4.\textsuperscript{112}

The third and fourth step during 3' end maturation of 5.8S rRNAs are not carried out by the exosome and, in contrast to the first two steps, they occur in the cytoplasm.\textsuperscript{27} The 6S pre-rRNA is not a substrate of Rrp6 because the remaining 8 nt of ITS2 may either be structured and/or protected by a bound protein. In agreement with such a possibility, it has been described that Rrp6 stalls on structured RNAs.\textsuperscript{92} Instead, the 6S precursors appear to be a substrate for the nonessential putative 3'–5' exonucleases Rex1, Rex2, and Rex3. Thus, while each single rex1–3 null mutant behaves like the wild-type strain, the rex1\textsubscript{Δ} rex2\textsubscript{Δ} double mutant accumulates 6S pre-rRNAs and even higher levels of these species can be detected in the rex1\textsubscript{Δ} rex2\textsubscript{Δ} rex3\textsubscript{Δ} triple mutant.\textsuperscript{25} This suggests that the roles of Rex1 and Rex2 in the maturation of 6S pre-rRNAs to 5.8S rRNAs are redundant and that Rex3 has also a minor participation in this step. Trimming of the 6S precursors by the Rex1–3 proteins stops upstream of the 3' end of mature 5.8S rRNAs, and the shorter intermediate is handed over to the putative nuclease Ngl2, as indicated by the observation that deletion of the nonessential NGL2 gene leads to the accumulation of 5.8S rRNAs that are 3' extended by about 5 nt.\textsuperscript{23} Whether Ngl2 acts as an endo- or a 3'–5' exonuclease is unknown.

5' End Maturation of 25S rRNA

The 25S pre-rRNA, also known as 26S pre-rRNA, is extended at the 5' end by about 94 nt relative to mature 25S rRNA. Maturation of this precursor into mature 25S rRNA occurs by 5'–3' exonucleolytic trimming from site C1', which acts as the entry site for the exonucleases.\textsuperscript{28} Rat1, Rrp17, and Xrn1 have been reported to be partially redundantly required for this process.\textsuperscript{20,28} Consistent with its role in the formation of the 5' end of mature 25S rRNA, Rat1 has been found to bind to sequences 5' to site C1 in 27S pre-rRNAs.\textsuperscript{78} Similarly to what occurs during 5' end processing of 5.8S rRNAs, mutation in or deletion of Rat1 or Rrp17, alone or in combination with the xrn1 null allele, lead to the accumulation of 5' extended forms of 25S rRNA up to site C2.\textsuperscript{20,28}

Apparently, this reaction takes place very rapidly and proceeds in two steps in wild-type conditions: first, the 25.5S pre-rRNA species is processed to the so-called 25S' pre-rRNA, which is extended at the 5' end by about 8 nt (site C1') compared to the mature 25S rRNA;\textsuperscript{113} then, the 25S' precursor is also 5'–3' exonucleolytically trimmed to yield the mature 25S rRNA. It is suspected that the latter reaction is also mediated by the above exonucleases as no specific mutants have been found to affect this step without affecting the other. Perhaps site C1' represents a region of strong secondary structure or the binding site of a protein factor, thus, slowing down the processive exonuclease activity of Rat1 or Rrp17 when they pass through this particular ITS2 region.

Strikingly, processing of 25.5S pre-rRNA is likely coupled to maturation of 7S pre-rRNAs, as suggested by the observation that either the deletion of Rat1 or Rrp17 or the deletion of Rai1 also cause the accumulation of 3' extended forms of 5.8S rRNAs.\textsuperscript{20,114} Moreover, the trans-acting factor Las1 is required for pre-rRNA processing at both ends of ITS2.\textsuperscript{29} Loss-of-function of Las1 leads to the accumulation of both 3' extended forms of 5.8S rRNAs and 5' extended forms of mature 25S pre-rRNA, especially those ending at site C1' or +15 with respect to the 5' end of 25S rRNA.\textsuperscript{29} The proximity of sites E and C1' in the context of the hairpin structure of ITS2 has led to the speculation that a common RNA processing complex may exist, which performs processing at both ends of ITS2, following cleavage at site C2, in a coordinated manner.\textsuperscript{29}

3' End Maturation of 25S rRNA

Final maturation of the 3' end of the 25S rRNA at site B2 is perhaps the poorest studied reaction of the yeast pre-rRNA processing pathway. This step consists in the removal of the first 14 nt from the 3' ETS, which remain at the 3' end of pre-rRNAs after the endonucleolytic cleavage at site B3 (Figure 3). Apparently, this reaction occurs concomitantly with the formation of the 5' end of mature 5.8S rRNAs, as demonstrated by the fact that a 3'ETS probe hybridizes to the 35S, 32S, and 27SA, but not the
27SB pre-rRNAs. It has been shown that the *rna82-1* mutation accumulates rRNAs that are 3'-extended by 7–10 nt relative to the 3' end of mature 25S rRNA. Interestingly, the *rna82-1* mutation maps within the *REX1* gene, thus, the final 3' end formation of 25S rRNA might be the result of a 3'-5' exonucleolytic event. Unfortunately, the contribution of Rex1 to 3' end processing of the 25S rRNA has so far not been directly assessed by testing the *rex1A* mutant alone, or in combination with null mutants of other 3'-5' exonucleases.

**FORMATION OF 5S rRNA**

As abovementioned, pre-5S rRNA only requires processing at its 3' end, which is extended by about 12 nt from the 3' end of mature 5S rRNA (Figure 2). This step occurs kinetically much faster than formation of 18S, 5.8S, and 25S rRNAs, as deduced from pulse-chase labeling analysis of pre-rRNAs. It has been clearly shown that this step relies on a 3'-5' exonucleolytic activity, which simply trims the pre-5S RNA to the mature form. The formation of helix H1 in 5S rRNA, which is due to base-pairing between both mature ends of 5S rRNA (Figure 2), appears to work as a barrier that stops the processing exonuclease.

It has been shown that, in vivo, this trimming reaction is dependent on the 3'-5' exonuclease Rex1. In the absence of Rex1, there is an accumulation of 3' extended 5S rRNAs that can assemble into fully functional 60S r-subunits. This indicates that processing of pre-5S RNA is a nonessential step that neither affects the maturation of 5.8S, 18S, and 25S rRNA nor 60S r-subunit formation.

**CONSERVATION OF PRE-rRNA PROCESSING THROUGHOUT EUKARYOTES**

The study of the primary organization of rDNA and the analysis of pre-rRNA processing in other eukaryotes, including human cells, indicate that the fundamental aspects of this pathway, as well as the stepwise order of the cleavage events, have been fairly well conserved during evolution. Two good examples that illustrate this statement are: (1) many structural *cis*-elements within the spacers that are required for processing are conserved in different eukaryotes; and (2) orthologs of most yeast pre-rRNA processing factors are present in other eukaryotes; in many cases these orthologs are able to complement the corresponding yeast mutants. Obviously, several remarkable differences are found between selected eukaryotes; and it can be affirmed that, despite the fact that much less is known, pre-rRNA processing has an increased complexity in higher eukaryotes. Thus, when comparing human and yeast, (1) the number of *trans*-acting factors involved in ribosome biogenesis is much higher in humans; (2) in some instances, conserved factors have even additional roles in human cells; (3) there are additional cleavage sites in the human pre-rRNAs; and (4) moreover, certain steps of human pre-rRNA processing show significant differences when compared to the presumably analogous steps in yeast. All these aspects are covered in detail in other, more specialized reviews.

**CONCLUSIONS AND PERSPECTIVES**

Pre-rRNA processing in eukaryotes is a complex multi-step process that has so far most extensively been studied in the yeast *S. cerevisiae*. Yeast research has been essential to characterize many aspects of this process: (1) The use of appropriate yeast strains expressing a plasmid-encoded rRNA unit as the sole source of rRNAs has allowed the characterization of most *cis*-acting elements within the pre-rRNA. After decades of work, we can conclude that most, perhaps even all, cleavage sites on yeast pre-rRNA have been identified. (2) The use of yeast molecular genetics in combination with a vast repertoire of RNA analysis techniques (e.g., polysome profile, Northern, primer extension, pulse-chase analysis, etc.) have facilitated the identification of many factors involved in ribosome biogenesis, among them most of those specifically required for pre-rRNA processing. (3) More recently, those classical studies have been complemented by the application of protein affinity purification of preribosomal particles; the most refined purification methods have even been useful to establish the timing of action of several nucleases that transiently interact with preribosomal particles. All these approaches have permitted to conclude that the list of *trans*-acting factors involved in yeast ribosome biogenesis is close to saturation. Nevertheless, it is important to remark that some important players in pre-rRNA processing, such as the nucleases required for cleavages at sites A₀, A₁, B₁, and C₂ are still unknown. (4) The probing of RNA structures within the spacers and the identification of RNA–protein interactions have been recently tackled by the development of procedures such as CRAC, CLASH and RNA SHAPE, fast kinetic labeling, or structural probing of pre-rRNAs coupled to affinity purification methods. Application of these methodologies has already provided information with respect to the interaction sites of the nucleases with
the pre-rRNAs and first insights into the structural changes of pre-rRNAs, which are entailed by certain processing events. In no case, however, do we precisely know the co-factors or understand what specific conformational changes are needed within distinct regions of the pre-rRNAs to activate the respective nucleases for the processing reactions to occur in a defined time window. Of equal importance as the in vivo yeast research has been the development of specific in vitro nuclease assays performed with purified recombinant wild-type and mutant proteins. However, still not all putative nucleases, described to have a role in the pre-rRNA processing reactions, have been tested in such in vitro assays (e.g., Rex1–3 and Ngl2). Moreover, the crystal or cryo-EM structures of most nucleases, except for that of the nuclear RNA exosome, are still missing.93,96,128 The structural analysis of the exosome complex associated with an RNA substrate is a beautiful example of how these techniques provide valuable insights into how a pre-rRNA precursor is recruited to and processed by an enzyme.

In conclusion, we start getting a rough picture of the complicated process of pre-rRNA processing. Still, one of the most important unsolved questions in the field is why the synthesis of mature rRNAs relies on an evolutionarily conserved series of complex and ordered processing reactions within the transcribed spacers rather than just transcription of the mature forms of rRNAs. Clearly, the spacers have a direct and essential role in pre-rRNA processing. In this review, we have discussed the role of 5′ ETS, snoRNAs (such as U3), and trans-acting factors (such as Rrp5) for the folding of a productive structure in the pre-rRNA needed for the early cleavages at the processing sites A3, A1, and A2. We have also highlighted the fact that the spacers provide ‘entry’ sites for binding pre-rRNA processing factors; in this respect, we have described that the effective removal of ITS1 is dependent on the previous association of the so-called A3 factors to regions in ITS2. Notably, these A3 factors might induce complex rearrangements in the ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 region that allow proper assembly of a set of r-proteins, with some of these r-proteins acting as a barrier for the 5′–3′ exonucleases involved in the A3 to B1 processing; thereby providing an additional control point for surveillance and rapid degradation of aberrantly processed pre-rRNAs. Moreover, we have discussed that the spacer regions might act as switches to provide directionality to pre-rRNA processing, as proposed for the conformational change from the ring to the hairpin structure that likely occurs in ITS2 and is required for cleavage at site C2. However, many aspects still remain completely unclear: Why is the maturation of the 3′ end of 5.8S rRNAs so complicated? Is the heterogeneity at the mature 5′ end of 5.8S rRNAs functionally relevant? How are the cleavages at distant sites exactly coupled? And how is pre-rRNA processing integrated into other aspects of ribosome biogenesis, such as assembly of r-proteins into, nucleo-cytoplasmic export of, or acquisition of translation competence of preribosomal particles? Without any doubt, future work will provide us with exciting answers to many of these questions and help establishing the complete picture of the events that lead to the formation of mature ribosomes.
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