

THE STATUS OF THE SHARK GENUS *LISSODUS* BROUGH, 1935, AND THE POSITION OF NOMINAL *LISSODUS* SPECIES WITHIN THE HYBODONTOIDEA (SELACHII)

JAN REES¹ and CHARLIE J. UNDERWOOD²

¹Department of Geology, Lund University, Sölvegatan 13, SE-223 62 Lund, Sweden, Jan.Rees@geol.lu.se;

²School of Earth Sciences, Birkbeck College, Malet Street, London WC1E 7HX, UK, c.underwood@geology.bbk.ac.uk

ABSTRACT—The hybodont form genus *Lissodus* is taken under revision and found to comprise a number of lineages. Twelve species, stratigraphically extended from the Scythian, Lower Triassic to the Albian, Lower Cretaceous, are retained in *Lissodus* s.s. Thirteen other species can be included in *Lonchidion*, here considered as a justified genus, ranging from the Ladinian in the Middle Triassic to the Maastrichtian in the Upper Cretaceous. Of the species previously included in *Lissodus*, two new genera, *Vectiselachos*, gen. nov. and *Parvodus*, gen. nov., are described. *Vectiselachos* is at present a monotypic genus including a single species from the Lower Cretaceous of southern England. *Parvodus* comprises three species and is known from the Bathonian, Middle Jurassic to the Valanginian, Lower Cretaceous. Another species is placed in *Steinbachodus*, expanding the stratigraphical range of the genus from the Rhaetian in the Upper Triassic to the Cenomanian in the Upper Cretaceous. Palaeozoic small-toothed hybodonts are extremely poorly known and alleged *Lissodus* species fall into two genera, but these are at present kept in open nomenclature. The family Lonchidiidae is considered justified and includes the genera *Lissodus*, *Lonchidion*, *Vectiselachos*, *Hylaeobatis* and *Parvodus*.

INTRODUCTION

Isolated remains, primarily teeth, of hybodont sharks occur frequently in sediments of Late Palaeozoic and Mesozoic age. Relatively few species of these sharks are known from well-preserved skeletal remains, resulting in much of the systematics of the hybodont sharks being based on isolated teeth. Proposed classification schemes of the Hybodontidea have been confused and inconsistent due to the differing degrees of significance that have been placed on tooth morphology, tooth histology and skeletal anatomy by different scientists. It is therefore evident that the superfamily Hybodontidea is in need of revision. In this study, we focus on the nominal genus *Lissodus* Brough, 1935 and discuss the taxonomic positions of the various species that have been referred to it. We also comment on the status of the two genera *Polyacrodus* Jaekel, 1889 and *Hybodus* Agassiz, 1837.

The genus *Lissodus* was erected by Brough (1935) on two almost complete and several partial skeletons originally named *Hybodus africanus* by Broom (1909). Although the teeth of this species often are obscured by the skull or by sediment, one specimen kept at the Geological Museum in Oslo (G343; see Brough, 1935) and two specimens housed in the Natural History Museum, London (P.17531 and P.16039), clearly show the general morphology and pattern of heterodonty. Other than this species and *Lissodus cassangensis* (Teixeira, 1956), skeletal remains of *Lissodus* are unknown.

A second small-toothed hybodont genus, *Lonchidion*, was erected by Estes (1964) based on isolated teeth and associated fin spines and cephalic spines of *L. selachos* Estes, 1964, from the Upper Cretaceous Lance Formation in Wyoming, U.S.A. The heterodonty pattern of *L. selachos* was not fully understood by Estes (1964), who included orectolobid teeth (see Herman, 1977) as symphyseals. *Lonchidion* was widely accepted as a justified genus and several new species were described between 1964 and 1985 (Patterson, 1966; Thurmond, 1971; Cappetta and Case, 1975; Murry, 1981; Estes and Sanchíz, 1982). No skeletal remains are known of *Lonchidion* except for a few incomplete skeletons from the Lower Cretaceous of Spain, described as “*Lissodus palustris*” Gomez-Pallerola, 1992, and

possibly belonging to *Lonchidion*. It has not been possible to study these remains during the work with this paper.

Lissodus remained a monotypic genus until Duffin (1985) revised it and synonymised *Lonchidion* with *Lissodus*, a view that has since become generally accepted, although Antunes et al. (1990) did suggest that both genera may be justified. The synonymy of Duffin (1985:117) was based on several characters of general tooth morphology, in particular the presence of a labial protuberance. This character is most likely obtained for functional reasons, to interlock teeth from adjacent tooth files, and is consequently a poor systematic feature. The synonymy resulted in *Lissodus* containing numerous species of rather diverse morphology. We follow Antunes et al. (1990:19) in considering that *Lissodus* and *Lonchidion* represent justified but closely related genera. We also believe that *Lissodus* sensu Duffin, 1985, contains species that should be placed within several other genera. The revised record of *Lissodus* will comprise twelve species extended stratigraphically from the Scythian, Early Triassic to the Albian, Early Cretaceous. *Lonchidion* comprises thirteen named and several unnamed species ranging from the Ladinian, Middle Triassic to the Maastrichtian, Late Cretaceous. Other species previously included in *Lissodus* have been placed within *Steinbachodus* Reif, 1980, *Vectiselachos*, gen. nov., *Parvodus*, gen. nov., or kept in open nomenclature.

SYSTEMATIC PALAEONTOLOGY

Descriptive terminology is based on Cappetta (1987). Photographed teeth (Fig. 3) are deposited in the type collection of the Division of Historical Geology and Palaeontology at Lund University, Sweden and prefixed LO (Lund Original).

Superfamily HYBODONTOIDEA Owen, 1846
Family LONCHIDIIDAE Herman, 1977

Emended Diagnosis—Teeth small, mesiodistally wider than high with moderately low to poorly defined cusps; well-developed labial protuberance present on all teeth of largely homodont taxa or on anterior teeth of more heterodont taxa; root low, displaying numerous foramina, some differentiated to form line of small pores on upper part of root; teeth lacking pulp cavity.

TABLE 1. List of characters available to distinguish the different genera of the Lonchidiidae.

| Character | <i>Lissodus</i> | <i>Lonchidion</i> | <i>Vectiselachos</i> | <i>Hylaeobatis</i> | <i>Parvodus</i> |
|--|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Heterodonty | strong, monognathic | moderate, monognathic | strong, monognathic | weak, monognathic | moderate, monognathic |
| Dentition type | grasping-crushing | cutting-crushing | crushing | crushing | cutting-crushing |
| Crown-shape | low, wide | gracile | bulky | low, wide | gracile |
| Central cusp | low-moderate | low-moderate | minute | lacking | moderate-high |
| Lateral cusplets | up to two pairs, minute | up to three pairs, minute | lacking | lacking | two-three pairs, moderate-high |
| Occlusal crest | moderate | strong | strong | lacking | strong |
| Labial protuberance | strong, triangular | strong, parallel-sided | weak, triangular | lacking | moderate, rounded |
| Crown shoulder | strong | moderate-strong | strong | moderate | lacking-weak |
| Ornamentation | numerous, weak folds | none to a few, weak folds | moderate-strong folds/granulae | numerous, reticulate folds | few, strong folds |
| Crown-root junction | incised | root larger | crown larger | crown larger | slightly incised |
| Root-shape | small, fairly low | moderately large | small, thin | small | fairly large |
| Circular foramina at crown-root junction | single row | irregularly placed | irregularly placed | single row | irregular single row |
| Cephalic spine basal plate | 'T-shaped' | 'Convict-arrow-shaped' | ? | ? | ? |

Included Genera—*Lissodus* Brough, 1935; *Lonchidion* Estes, 1964; *Vectiselachos*, gen. nov.; *Hylaeobatis* Woodward, 1916; *Parvodus*, gen. nov.

LISSODUS Brough, 1935 sensu stricto
(Figs. 1, 3A–F)

Type Species—*Hybodus africanus* Broom, 1909 from the Scythian, Early Triassic of Bekker's Kraal, South Africa.

Emended Diagnosis—Jaws deep, lower jaw tapering anteriorly; anterior teeth with moderately to well-developed central cusp, occlusal crest and labial protuberance; occlusal face of labial protuberance sloping gently towards crown base; crown shape almost triangular in occlusal view; lateral teeth lower, larger, more mesio-distally expanded; cusps, occlusal crest, and labial protuberance poorly developed; root lingually inclined, lower than crown, not as voluminous; single, strictly horizontal row of small circular foramina near crown-root junction; basal plate of cephalic spines 'T-shaped' with terminally expanded lobes.

Included Species—*Lissodus angulatus* (Stensiö, 1921) from the Scythian of Spitsbergen; *L. africanus* (Broom, 1909) from the Scythian of South Africa; *L. cassangensis* (Teixeira, 1956) from the Scythian of Angola; *L. cristatus* Delsate and Duffin, 1999 from the Anisian of Luxembourg; *L. hasleensis* Rees, 1998 from the Pliensbachian of Denmark; *L. leiodus* (Woodward, 1887) from the Bathonian of England; *L. leiopleurus* (Woodward, 1889) from the Bathonian of England and Scotland; *L. lepagei* Duffin, 1993 from the Norian of Luxembourg; *L. levis* (Woodward, 1887) from the Albian of England; *L. minimus* (Agassiz, 1839) from the Rhaetian of England, Germany and Belgium; *L. nodosus* (Seilacher, 1943) from the Middle and Late Triassic of Germany; *L. wardi* Duffin, 1985 from the Bathonian of England. Additionally, a poorly figured tooth from the Kimmeridgian of northern France (Candoni, 1995) appears to be an anterolateral tooth of *Lissodus*.

Comparisons—The teeth of *Lissodus* are on general morphology readily separable from those of *Lonchidion* and *Parvodus* because of low and wide teeth as opposed to higher, more




FIGURE 1. Drawing of the lower left? dentition of *Lissodus africanus* (Broom, 1909) from a photograph of specimen P.17531 (Natural History Museum, London) in labio-basal view. Roman numerals indicate the tooth file counted from the symphysis.




FIGURE 2. Drawings of the holotype of *Lonchidion selachos* Estes, 1964 (University of California collection 53897) in occlusal (A), labial (B), and lingual (C) views. Redrawn from Estes (1964).

gracile teeth in the two latter genera. Within the Lonchidiidae, teeth of *Lissodus* more closely resemble those of *Hylaeobatis* and *Vectiselachos*. However, the presence of a well-demarcated cusp and lateral cusplets in *Lissodus* separates teeth of this genus from the other two. The crown ornamentation is another character that is different. Teeth of *Lissodus* generally possess weak folds covering most of the crown while *Vectiselachos* teeth are ornamented with strong folds and granulae. The ornamentation on *Hylaeobatis* teeth consists of fairly strong reticulate folds. Teeth of *Hylaeobatis* can also be separated from those of *Lissodus* on the lack of an occlusal crest and labial protuberance in lateral teeth.

Discussion—The tooth morphology is slightly variable within different species of this genus, although the heterodonty pattern appears to be the same in all the species where a sufficient amount of teeth have been found. Although both *L. africanus* and *L. cassangensis* are known from articulated specimens with relatively well-preserved skeletal remains, it is unclear if the two species are synonymous (Antunes et al., 1990), both being from the Early Triassic of southern Africa. Teeth of *L. angulatus*, another Scythian species, have a weakly developed lingual protuberance and this makes the teeth slightly ‘diamond-shaped’ in occlusal view. The heterodonty pattern of *L. cristatus* is not clear but there are both typically higher anterior teeth and lower laterals in the collection of teeth upon which this species is based. Both *L. nodosus* and *L. minimus* are species well known from at least several hundred teeth and their heterodonty patterns are well recognised (see Duffin, 1985: text-fig. 12). As in most *Lissodus* species, they have higher, cuspidate anteriors and lower laterals with a less pronounced labial protuberance. These two species appear to be the first within the genus to develop enlarged lateral teeth. The same general morphology can be found in the roughly contemporary *L. lepagei*, although teeth of this species have a crenulate occlusal crest and more pronounced cusplets. Anterior teeth of *L. hasleensis* are less expanded and the lateral teeth are not as enlarged as in *L. minimus* and *L. nodosus*, but are otherwise similar. Three nominal species of *Lissodus* (*L. leiodus*, *L. leiopleurus* and *L. wardi*) are recorded in the British Bathonian. The status of all three is in need of revision as they are based on fairly small collections of teeth. Teeth of *L. wardi* are very similar to those of *L. leiodus* (Duffin, 1985) and the former may constitute a junior synonym of the latter, *L. wardi* possibly representing smaller anterior and juvenile teeth collected by bulk sampling. *Lissodus leiopleurus* appears to be a true species, the teeth possessing a higher crown and more-developed vertical folds. It also appears to have a rather different distribution to *L. leiodus*, being especially common in non-marine sediments of the Hebridean Basin, Scotland (CJU, pers. obs.). The stratigraphically youngest species, *L. levis*, has low teeth without a well-developed cusp or cusplets in lateral teeth. The

general morphology corresponds well to that of other *Lissodus* species.

The revised stratigraphical record of this genus is Scythian, Early Triassic to Albian, Early Cretaceous (Fig. 4). Remains of *Lissodus* have been found in sediments deposited in a wide range of palaeoenvironments, even though most species probably lived in areas with some marine influence.

The histology of *Lissodus* teeth is poorly known but a sectioned tooth crown of *L. minimus* (Patterson, 1966: plate 5) displays an orthodont crown with a core of osteodentine. The figured crown is most likely a lateral and it is not certain that the more narrow anterior teeth possess any osteodentine.

LONCHIDION ESTES, 1964 (Figs. 2, 3G–L)

Type Species—*Lonchidion selachos* Estes, 1964 from the Maastrichtian Lance Formation in the Late Cretaceous of eastern Wyoming, U.S.A.

Emended Diagnosis—Teeth gracile, narrow labiolingually; main cusp low, but marked, with up to two pairs of cusplets; labial protuberance narrow, often parallel sided, strongly developed; distal parts of crown pointed, often forming most distal pair of lateral cusplets; root generally wider than lowermost part of crown; labial face of root strongly concave; small circular foramina irregularly placed close to crown-root junction; cephalic spine basal plate with strong ‘convict arrow-shape’.

Included Species—*Lonchidion anitae* Thurmond, 1971 from the ?Aptian–Albian of Texas, U.S.A.; *L. babulskii* Cappetta and Case, 1975 from the Campanian of New Jersey, U.S.A.; *L. breve* Patterson, 1966 from the Valanginian–Barremian of England; *L. crenulatum* (Patterson, 1966) from the Berriasian–Valanginian of England; *L. delsatei* (Guenegues and Biddle, 1989) from the Toarcian of France; *L. griffisi* (Case, 1987) from the Campanian of Wyoming, U.S.A.; *L. humblei* Murry, 1981 from the Carnian of Texas, U.S.A.; *L. inflexum* Underwood and Rees, 2002 from the Berriasian of England; *L. marocensis* (Duffin and Sigogneau-Russell, 1993) from the ?Berriasian of Morocco; *L. microselachos* Estes and Sanchíz, 1982 from the Barremian–Aptian of Spain; *L. selachos* Estes, 1964 from the Maastrichtian of Wyoming, U.S.A.; *L. striatum* Patterson, 1966 from the ?Hauterivian–Barremian of England; *L. weltoni* (Duffin, 1985) from the Cenomanian of Oregon, U.S.A. As teeth of “*L. palustris*” Gomez-Pallerola, 1992 are extremely poorly figured, this species is at present not possible to distinguish from the contemporary *L. microselachos*. Additionally, two unnamed “*Lissodus*” species were figured by Welton and Farish (1993) and one by Cappetta and Case (1999), although these may be synonymous. These Late Cretaceous findings appear to be *Lonchidion* but only one or two teeth were figured from each species. There is also a record of *Lonchidion* teeth from the Muschelkalk (Ladinian?) of Crailsheim in Germany (Patterson, 1966). A number of teeth from the Carnian of Virginia, U.S.A. (Johansson, 1992 and pers. comm.), have a morphology very close to that of the type species of *Lonchidion*, although the Carnian species seems to be more heterodont.

Comparisons—The gracile appearance clearly separates teeth of *Lonchidion* from those of *Lissodus*, *Vectiselachos* and *Hylaeobatis*. Teeth of *Parvodus* are equally as gracile as *Lonchidion* teeth but these always possess fairly high lateral cusplets as opposed to the minute cusplets of *Lonchidion*. The crown shoulder is strong in *Lonchidion* but almost lacking in *Parvodus* and the labial protuberance of the latter is also less developed.

Discussion—The dental morphology of *Lonchidion* has changed surprisingly little over a long period of time. Several species have a morphology close to that of the type species and differ primarily in the amount of ornamentation and occasion-




FIGURE 3. Selected teeth of *Lissodus* (A–F), *Lonchidion* (G–L), *Vectiselachos* (M–R) and *Parvodus* (S–X), SEM micrographs. A–F., *Lissodus hasleensis* Rees, 1998 from the Pliensbachian of Bornholm, Denmark. A–C, Anterolateral tooth, LO7958T, in labial (A), lingual (B) and occlusal (C) views. D–F, Anterior tooth, LO7959t, in lingual (D), occlusal (E) and labial (F) views. G–L, *Lonchidion selachos* Estes, 1964 from the Maastrichtian of Montana, U.S.A. G–I, Anterior tooth, LO8432t, in occlusal (G), lingual (H) and labial (I) views. J–L, Lateral tooth-crown, LO8433t, in lingual (J), occlusal (K) and labial (L) views. M–R, *Vectiselachos ornatus* (Woodward, 1889) from the Barremian of the Isle of Wight, England. M–O, Anterior tooth, LO8434t, in occlusal (M), lingual (N) and labial (O) views. P–R, Lateral tooth-crown, LO8435t, in labial (P), lingual (Q) and occlusal (R) views. S–X, *Parvodus rugianus* (Ansorge, 1990) from the Berriasian of southern Sweden. S–U, Antero-lateral tooth, LO8412t, in occlusal (S), labial (T) and lingual (U) views. V–X, Latero-posterior tooth-crown, LO8417t, in labial (V), occlusal (W) and lingual (X) views. Scale bars equal 1 mm.




FIGURE 4. Stratigraphical distribution of the five genera included in the Lonchidiidae. Filled symbol indicates the type species and dashed lines uncertain records.

ally in heterodonty. In the earliest species, *L. humblei*, lateral teeth are more elongated and asymmetrical than in any later species of the genus, but are otherwise similar. Associated cephalic spines have a morphology very similar to the 'convict arrow-shaped' spines (sensu Antunes et al., 1990) of the type species. These two are the only species where spines are found associated with a single species of *Lonchidion*. The only Jurassic member of the genus, *L. delsatei*, is known only from two poorly preserved teeth. However, the form of the labial protuberance and the overall shape, including a gracile appearance, makes these teeth typically *Lonchidion*. Two Early Cretaceous species, *L. breve* and *L. microselachos*, have a morphology very similar to that of *L. selachos*. Vertical striations are well developed in *L. marocensis*, *L. striatum* and to a lesser extent in *L. inflexum*. *Lonchidion marocensis* has also slightly wider teeth approaching the morphology of certain species referred to *Polyacrodus*. The distal and mesial parts of the tooth crown in *L. inflexum* are inclined lingually, giving the teeth a 'V-shape' in occlusal view. The occlusal crest in teeth of *L. crenulatum* is crenulate and the teeth are slightly more cuspidate than in the type species. The presence of cusplets also characterises teeth of *L. anitae*; they also possess an extreme labial protuberance. Lateral teeth of *L. weltoni* have a lingual as well as a labial protuberance, while the anterior teeth are more like those of the type species. Teeth of *L. babulskii* are rarely well preserved but the thin teeth and the shape of the labial protuberance support its inclusion in *Lonchidion*. Another Late Cretaceous species, *L. griffisi*, is characterised by a crenulate occlusal crest but is in all other aspects similar to the type species. Worn tooth crowns that appear to have had a *Lonchidion*-like morphology, based on the shape of the labial protuberance, have been recorded by Welton and Farish (1993) and Cappetta and Case (1999).

The known stratigraphical record of *Lonchidion* is Ladinian, Middle Triassic to Maastrichtian, Late Cretaceous (Fig. 4). The exact stratigraphical position of the teeth from Crailsheim (Patterson, 1966:331) is not known but does establish the record at least to the Ladinian. Sharks of this genus were particularly common during the Early Cretaceous and especially in non-marine settings. The lack of *Lonchidion* records through much of the Jurassic may be artifactual and sieving of suitable horizons would probably increase our knowledge of the genus. Sharks of this genus appear to have been able to tolerate a wide range of salinity. However, through the lifetime of the genus, the sharks were more diverse in non-marine environments and it is only in the Late Cretaceous that they occur in fully marine settings.

Histologically, teeth of *Lonchidion* may lack osteodentine, as seen in *L. breve* (Patterson, 1966:plate 5). However, in the labiolingually narrow teeth of *Lonchidion* there may not be enough space to include a core of osteodentine and this character may not be taxonomically useful in small-toothed sharks.

VECTISELACHOS, gen. nov.

(Fig. 3M–R)

Type Species—*Acrodus ornatus* Woodward, 1889 from the Early Cretaceous of the Isle of Wight, England.

Derivation of Name—The name is derived from the type stratum, the Vectis formation in southern England, and the Greek *selachos*, meaning shark.

Diagnosis—Lonchidiid with pronounced crushing-type dentition; anterior teeth bulky with well demarcated cusp and labial protuberance, weakly ornamented, primarily with striations and rarely with granulae; lateral teeth lower, more heavily ornamented, always with granulae; labial protuberance in laterals poorly developed or absent; root markedly smaller than crown and comparably thin.

Comparisons—Anterior teeth of *Vectiselachos* are somewhat similar to those of *Lonchidion* but are always more bulbous and are ornamented with granulae, small irregular elevations of the enameloid, this character being exclusive to the genus. The heavy ornamentation of striations and granulae as well as the shape of the labial protuberance separates teeth of *Vectiselachos* from teeth of *Lissodus* and *Hylaeobatis*.

Discussion—We do not consider *Vectiselachos ornatus* (Woodward, 1889) and *Hylaeobatis problematica* Woodward, 1916, to be synonymous as suggested by Patterson (1966). *Vectiselachos ornatus* possesses a distinct tooth morphology and a heterodonty pattern unlike that of other hybodonts, and should not be included in *Acrodus* or *Lissodus* (cf., Batchelor and Ward, 1990). “*Lissodus pustulatus*” (Patterson, 1966), also from the Barremian of England, is regarded here as a junior synonym of *V. ornatus*, with “*L. pustulatus*” representing the anterior teeth of the latter species. *Vectiselachos* may also be present in the Callovian of Kirghisia; a single tooth included in *Palaeobates verzilini* Nesson and Kaznyshkin, 1988 (plate 2, fig. 8), has a morphology very similar to that of *V. ornatus*. As *Vectiselachos* is closely related to *Lonchidion*, the genus is included in the family Lonchidiidae.

HYLAEOBATIS Woodward, 1916

Type Species—*Hylaeobatis problematica* Woodward, 1916 from the Early Cretaceous of Sussex, England.

Diagnosis—Lonchidiid with crushing-type dentition; heterodonty weak; teeth transversely elongated, oval to rectangular in occlusal view; occlusal surface ornamented with reticulate folds, somewhat tumid but with no cusp being differentiated; labial protuberance almost absent from all teeth; root massive with well-developed foramina on lingual face.

Discussion—As noted above, we do not agree with Patterson (1966) who suggested the synonymy of *Acrodus ornatus* Woodward, 1889 and *Hylaeobatis problematica* Woodward, 1916, both from the English Barremian. The teeth of these taxa are readily separable on morphological grounds (Woodward, 1916; Batchelor and Ward, 1990). The two species also have very different occurrences, being present at different localities (Batchelor and Ward, 1990) representing separate depositional environments. We therefore consider *Hylaeobatis* to be a justified genus. As indicated by the tooth morphology, the genus is closely related to *Vectiselachos* and *Lonchidion* and will be included in the Lonchidiidae.

PARVODUS, gen. nov. (Fig. 3S–X)

Type Species—*Lissodus rugianus* Ansorge, 1990 from the Early Cretaceous of Rügen, northern Germany.

Derivation of Name—The name is derived from *parvus*, Latin for small, and the Greek *odous*, meaning tooth.

Diagnosis—Lonchidiid sharks with minute teeth; anterior teeth bilaterally symmetrical; cusp and cusplets moderately high in anterior teeth, low but well demarcated in laterals; labial protuberance well developed and rounded, often supported by weak labial root buttress; teeth very gracile in occlusal view; root fairly low, lingually inclined.

Included Species—*Parvodus curvidens* (Duffin and Thies, 1997) from the Kimmeridgian of Germany; *P. pattersoni* (Duffin, 1985) from the Bathonian of England and Scotland; *P. rugianus* (Ansorge, 1990) from the Berriasian–Valanginian of Germany, England, Denmark and Sweden. It is possible that “*Lonchidion*” *heterodon* Patterson, 1966 from the Valanginian of England should also be referred to this genus, but this species is poorly known and only the holotype and a few other teeth can be included, the two paratypes representing other species (Underwood and Rees, 2002). Delsate and Duffin (1993) de-

scribed *Lissodus* cf. *pattersoni* from the Sinemurian of Belgium based on a single, incomplete tooth that also may be referred to *Parvodus*.

Comparisons—The teeth of *Parvodus* are labiolingually narrow and gracile. This separates them from teeth of *Lissodus*, *Vectiselachos*, and *Hylaeobatis*. They can be separated from teeth of *Lonchidion* by their well-developed cusp and cusplets and weaker labial protuberance. The moderately strong crown shoulder seen in *Lonchidion* is almost lacking in teeth of *Parvodus*. Teeth of *Parvodus* are superficially similar to those of some *Polyacrodus* species, but are easily separated from teeth of the type species, *P. polycyphus* (Agassiz, 1837), by their small size and gracile appearance. The degree of heterodonty in *Parvodus* is also lower than in *Polyacrodus*. The root morphology of *Polyacrodus* is also different, the irregular foramina being relatively smaller and the root lacking small circular foramina close to the crown–root junction.

Discussion—There appears to be little interspecific variation among different species of *Parvodus*. Anterior teeth of *P. curvidens* are curved in occlusal view and have more pronounced cusp and cusplets but are otherwise similar to those of the type species. Teeth of *P. rugianus* are slightly more heavily built and more ornamented than other species of the genus. The known stratigraphical range of this genus is Bathonian, Middle Jurassic to Valanginian, Early Cretaceous (Fig. 4) although there is a possible occurrence in the Sinemurian. It is likely that the teeth of this genus have been overlooked in the past, due to both their small size and superficial resemblance to juvenile teeth of other hybodont taxa.

COMMENTS ON THE GENERA POLYACRODUS AND HYBODUS

Polyacrodus is included in the family Polyacrodontidae Glikman, 1964, originally based on tooth histology when erected by Glikman (1964). He considered it to be only distantly related to other hybodonts. Tooth histology has proven to be unreliable for large scale taxonomic subdivision (Maisey, 1987). The Polyacrodontidae originally included two genera with rather different tooth morphologies, *Polyacrodus* and *Palaeobates*, both possessing a longitudinal pulp cavity at the base of the crown. Cappetta (1987) included *Lissodus* in the family despite the lack of a pulp cavity. This made Lonchidiidae Herman, 1977, a junior synonym of the Polyacrodontidae since Duffin (1985) had synonymized *Lonchidion* with *Lissodus*. As we consider the family Lonchidiidae justified, only *Polyacrodus* and *Palaeobates* would remain in the Polyacrodontidae at present. The type species of *Polyacrodus*, *P. polycyphus*, shares the presence of a labial protuberance with *Lissodus*, *Lonchidion* and some other species referred to *Polyacrodus* (Maisey, 1989; Rees, 1999). Secondary infilling of the pulp cavity by osteodentine is seen in some teeth of *Palaeobates* (Rieppel, 1981) resembling the state of *Lissodus minimus* (see Patterson, 1966:plate 5). It is therefore not likely that the Polyacrodontidae are a natural group as orthodont dentition is likely to be the primitive state for Mesozoic hybodonts (Maisey, 1987). A closer study is needed to evaluate the justification of this family.

The genus *Polyacrodus* was recognized by Jaekel (1889) and was originally limited to Triassic taxa (Schlosser, 1918; Stensiö, 1921). Many Jurassic and Cretaceous species with similar teeth have subsequently been included in the genus. The restriction of *Polyacrodus* to orthodont forms by Glikman (1964) removed a number of species, but Glikman (1964) also included several Cretaceous species in *Polyacrodus* and it is not clear whether these species all showed orthodont histology or were simply included based on their gross morphology. There are no morphological tooth synapomorphies that distinguish *Polyacrodus*,

which is only recognizable by a combination of both morphological and histological characteristics.

Hybodus has traditionally been used as a loosely defined genus into which isolated teeth of a range of morphologies have been placed (Cappetta, 1987; Rees, 1998). Studies of the skeletal remains of several nominal species included in this genus (Maisey, 1983, 1986, 1987) have shown that the type species, *Hybodus reticulatus*, differs in a number of skeletal characteristics from some other species placed in the same genus. Differences in skeletal structure are not necessarily mirrored by differences in tooth morphology, with dentition of *H. reticulatus* being similar to that of *Egertonodus basanus* (Egerton, 1845), the type species of *Egertonodus* Maisey, 1987. Conversely, teeth of *Hybodus hauffianus* Fraas, 1895, a species skeletally very similar to *H. reticulatus* (Maisey, 1987), differ in the possession of labial protuberances at the base of the cusps, a character that led Jaekel (1906) to include *H. hauffianus* in *Polyacrodus*. The ultrastructure of teeth of *H. hauffianus*, however, is more similar to that of *H. reticulatus* (Koken, 1907). The labial protuberance has been used in the past as a defining character of *Polyacrodus* (e.g., Cappetta, 1987). The presence of growing denticles and two pairs of cephalic spines in *Hybodus delabechei* Charlesworth, 1839, and *H. medius* Agassiz, 1843 (Woodward, 1896), suggests that these species are also closely allied to *H. reticulatus* (Maisey, 1987). Teeth of *Hybodus* have therefore been diagnosed as encapsulating the range of morphologies shown by *H. reticulatus*, *H. hauffianus*, *H. delabechei* and *H. medius*. Quite a lot of work remains before the status of *Polyacrodus* and *Hybodus* can be evaluated and the variation within them determined.

Two species previously included in *Lissodus* are considered to fall in the *Hybodus*/*Polyacrodus* group, “*L.*” *grewincki* (Dalkiewicz, 1935) from the ?Albian–Cenomanian of Lithuania and provisionally “*L.*” *multicuspidatus* (Duffin and Thies, 1997) from the Kimmeridgian of Germany.

Family STEINBACHODONTIDAE Reif, 1980

The diagnosis and affinities of this family were discussed at length by Reif (1980) and are not repeated here.

STEINBACHODUS Reif, 1980

Type Species—*Steinbachodus estheriae* Reif, 1980, from the Late Triassic of south-west Germany.

Emended Diagnosis—Bilaterally symmetrical teeth, low and labiolingually wide; crown broad with raised cutting edge deflected to labial edge of tooth, consisting of up to five poorly defined cusps which may be fused to form a single triangular cutting edge; labial face of crown gently convex without well-developed labial protuberance; lingual face concave and flared basally, poorly developed vertical ridge may be present; root small, lingually inclined, lacking small circular foramina.

Included Species—*Steinbachodus estheriae* Reif, 1980, from the Late Triassic of south-west Germany; *S. bartheli* (Werner, 1989), from the Cenomanian, Late Cretaceous of Egypt.

Discussion—Werner (1989) described *Lissodus bartheli* from the Cenomanian of Egypt based on a collection of teeth showing a low degree of heterodonty and a morphology quite unlike that of *L. africanus*, the type species of *Lissodus*. The holotype of “*L.*” *bartheli* has a concave lingual face with a central ridge. The cutting edge is very strong and the labial protuberance is almost absent. The general morphology, with a heavy basal part of the crown and a thin upper part formed by the cutting edge, is not present in any hybodont other than *Steinbachodus estheriae* Reif, 1980. *Steinbachodus bartheli* differs from *S. estheriae* in the poor development or absence of lateral cusplets and in having a less well developed lingual shelf without a swollen lingual edge of the crown. Although the time

gap between these two occurrences is very large, faunas from Jurassic and Early Cretaceous marginal marine and non-marine deposits are poorly known. It is therefore not unlikely that there would be huge gaps in the knowledge of many taxa from these environments. Although the histology of *S. bartheli* has not been described, figures of worn crowns and broken roots (e.g., Werner, 1989:plate 7, figs. 3, 4b) suggest a histology comparable with that of *S. estheriae*. Similar conclusions on the close affinity of “*Lissodus*” *bartheli* and *S. estheriae* have recently been reached by Duffin (2001), where it is concluded that “*L.*” *bartheli* is sufficiently different from *S. estheriae* to warrant the erection of a new genus. It is here considered that this may be premature until more taxa assignable to the Steinbachodontidae are described.

Although differing in tooth morphology, the tooth histology and the form of fin spines referred to *S. bartheli* suggest a close relationship between the Steinbachodontidae and the Lonchidiidae.

HYBODONTOIDEA Incertae familiae

Paleozoic teeth assigned to *Lissodus* by Duffin (1985) and subsequent authors fall into two distinct morphologies. The affinities of these forms within the Hybodontoidae are poorly understood and, at present, they have to be left in open nomenclature.

PALEOZOIC GENUS 1

Description—These teeth have a non-ornamented crown with a prominent occlusal crest and a pointed, strongly labially inclined cusp. The teeth are symmetrical and lack cusplets. The labial protuberance is wide and the teeth are triangular to ‘diamond-shaped’ in occlusal view as some teeth have a lingual protuberance as well. The labial side of the crown is divided in two concave parts by the protuberance. Lateral teeth are more mesio–distally expanded but not wider than anteriors. Vertical folds are rarely present. The root is lingually projected and porous. Small, circular foramina are present close to the crown–root junction. The teeth are mainly composed of orthodentine, at least in “*L.*” *zideki* (Johnson, 1981).

Included Species—“*Lissodus*” *zideki* Johnson, 1981, from the Early Permian of Texas, U.S.A.; “*L.*” cf. *zideki* in Soler-Gijón (1993), from the Late Carboniferous of Spain; “*L.*” sp. in Tway and Zidek (1983) from the Late Carboniferous of Iowa, U.S.A.; and “*L.*” sp. in Hampe (1996) from the Early Permian of Germany. It is also likely that some teeth referred to “*L.*” *lacustris* by Gebhardt (1988:plate 2, figs. 1,2) belong in this genus.

Discussion—The labially inclined cusp and the lack of lateral cusplets, in combination with the heterodonty pattern, makes these teeth fall outside the range of *Lissodus* s.s.

PALEOZOIC GENUS 2

Description—The teeth referred to this group have a mesio–distally expanded crown with an extremely strong crown shoulder and often the presence of accessory cusplets. At least two pairs of lateral cusplets may be present but cusplets can also be completely lacking. The teeth are ornamented with coarse folds although the amount of ornamentation is highly variable. The labial protuberance is moderately to well developed and there are also often protuberances on the lateral cusplets. A labial root buttress is often present. The root is less porous than in other hybodontoids and there is a longitudinal shelf on the lingual side.

Included Species—“*Lissodus*” *wirkworthensis* Duffin, 1985, from southern England; “*L.*” *pectinatus* Lebedev, 1996, from western Russia; “*L.*” sp. in Ivanov (1996) from central

Russia. Stratigraphically, all three occurrences are from the Early Carboniferous.

Discussion—The combination of a mesio-distally expanded crown with a strong crown shoulder and accessory cusplets separates these teeth from teeth of *Lissodus* s.s.

OTHER SPECIES PREVIOUSLY REFERRED TO *LISSODUS*

The holotype of “*Lissodus*” *lacustris* Gebhardt, 1888, from the Late Carboniferous of Germany has a morphology quite close to that of the ctenacanthoid *Acronemus tuberculatus* Rieppel, 1982, from the Middle Triassic of Switzerland and this species may be a member of the latter genus. Other teeth referred to “*L.*” *lacustris* in the same paper (Gebhardt, 1988), more closely resemble teeth referred to Paleozoic Genus 1, described above. It is possible that the material described by Gebhardt (1988) represents more than one species.

“*Lissodus noncostatus*” Duffin and Thies, 1997, is here considered a nomen dubium as the species is based on only three poorly preserved teeth. The holotype is not possible to distinguish from a worn anterior tooth of *Parvodus curvidens* described in the same paper, and the two paratypes do not display any characters that separate them from other closely related hybodont sharks.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Dr. Mikael Siverson, Kävlinge, Dr. John Maisey, New York, Dr. Christopher Duffin, Morden, and Mr. David Ward, Orpington for their constructive criticism on the contents of this paper that improved the final version. David Ward is also thanked for providing specimens of *Lonchidion selachos*. Ms. Alison Longbottom, London, provided a photograph of P.17531, kept at the Natural History Museum, London, that was the basis of Figure 1.

LITERATURE CITED

- Agassiz, L. J. R. 1833–1843. *Recherches sur les poissons fossiles* 3. Imprimerie de Petitpierre, Neuchâtel, 390 pp.
- Ansorge, J. 1990. Fischreste (Selachii, Actinopterygii) aus der Wealdentonscholle von Lobber Ort (Münchgut/Rügen/DDR). *Paläontologische Zeitschrift* 64:133–144.
- Antunes, M. T., J. G. Maisey, M. M. Marques, B. Schaeffer, and K. S. Thomson. 1990. Triassic fishes from the Cassange Depression (R. P. de Angola). *Ciências da Terra* (UNL), Número Especial 1990:1–64.
- Batchelor, T. J., and D. J. Ward. 1990. Fish remains from a temporary exposure of Hythe Beds (Aptian–Lower Cretaceous) near Godstone, Surrey. *Mesozoic Research* 2:181–203.
- Broom, R. 1909. The fossil fishes of the upper Karroo beds of South Africa. *Annals of the South African Museum* 7:251–269.
- Brough, J. 1935. On the structure and relationships of the hybodont sharks. *Memoirs and Proceedings of the Manchester Literary and Philosophical Society* 79:35–50.
- Candoni, L. 1995. Deux faunes inédites de séliaciens dans le Jurassique terminal Français—Premiers résultats stratigraphiques. *Bulletin Société Géologique Normandie et Amis Muséum de Havre* 82:29–49.
- Cappetta, H. 1987. Chondrichthyes II, Mesozoic and Cenozoic Elasmobranchii. *Handbook of Paleichthyology* 3B:1–193.
- , and G. R. Case. 1975. Contribution à l'étude des Séliaciens du Groupe Monmouth (Campanian–Maestrichtian) du New Jersey. *Palaeontographica Abt. A*, 151:1–46.
- , and ———. 1999. Additions aux faunes de séliaciens du Crétacé du Texas (Albien supérieur–Campanien). *Palaeo Ichthyologica* 9:5–111.
- Case, G. R. 1987. A new selachian fauna from the late Campanian of Wyoming (Teapot Sandstone Member, Mesaverde Formation, Big Horn Basin). *Palaeontographica Abt. A*, 197:1–37.
- Charlesworth, E. 1839. On the remains of a species of *Hybodus* from Lyme Regis. *Magazine of Natural History, new series* 3:242–248.
- Dalinkevicius, J. A. 1935. On the fossil fishes of the Lithuanian Chalk. I. Selachii. *Mémoires de la Faculté des Sciences de l'Université de Vytautas le Grand* 9:247–305.
- Delsate, D., and C. J. Duffin. 1993. Chondrichthyens du Sinémurien de Belgique. *Belgian Geological Survey Professional Paper* 264:103–136.
- , and ———. 1999. A new fish fauna from the Middle Triassic (Upper Muschelkalk) of Moersdorf (Grand Duchy of Luxembourg). *Travaux Scientifiques du Musée National d'Histoire Naturelle de Luxembourg* 32:5–53.
- Duffin, C. J. 1985. Revision of the hybodont selachian genus *Lissodus* Brough (1935). *Palaeontographica Abt. A*, 188:105–152.
- . 1993. Mesozoic chondrichthyan faunas 1. Middle Norian (Upper Triassic) of Luxembourg. *Palaeontographica Abt. A*, 229:15–36.
- . 2001. Synopsis of the selachian genus *Lissodus* Brough, 1935. *Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie, Abhandlungen* 221:145–218.
- , and D. Sigogneau-Russell. 1993. Fossil shark teeth from the Early Cretaceous of Anoual, Morocco. *Belgian Geological Survey Professional Paper* 264:175–190.
- , and D. Thies. 1997. Hybodont shark teeth from the Kimmeridgian (Late Jurassic) of northwest Germany. *Geologica et Palaeontologica* 1:235–256.
- Egerton, P. M. G. 1845. Description of a mouth of a *Hybodus* found by Mr. Boscawen Ibbetson in the Isle of Wight. *Quarterly Journal of the Geological Society London* 1:197–199.
- Estes, R. 1964. Fossil vertebrates from the Lance Formation. *University of California publications in Geological Sciences* 49:1–187.
- , and B. Sanchíz. 1982. Early Cretaceous lower vertebrates from Galve (Teurel), Spain. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* 2:21–39.
- Fraas, E. 1895. Ein fund von skeletresten von *Hybodus* (*Hybodus hauffianus* E. Fraas). *Bericht über die Versammlung des Oberrheinischen Geologischen Vereins* 28:24–26.
- Gebhardt, U. 1988. Taxonomie und Palökologie von *Lissodus lacustris* n. sp. (Hybodontidae) aus dem Stefan C (Oberkarbon) der Saalesenke. *Freiberger Forschungshefte C* 419:38–41.
- Glikman, L. S. 1964. Class Chondrichthyes, subclass Elasmobranchii; pp. 292–352 in D. V. Obruchev (ed.), *Fundamentals of Paleontology*. Akademii Nauk SSSR, Moscow.
- Gomez-Pallerola, J. E. 1992. Nota sobre los Tiburones hybodontos de las calizas litográficas del Cretácico Inferior del Montsec (Lerida). *Boletín Geológico y Minero* 103:3–33.
- Guenegues, S., and J.-P. Biddle. 1989. Recherches sur les Elasmobranches Jurassiques du Bassin de Paris. *SAGA information* 94:22–25.
- Hampe, O. 1996. Dermal Skelettelemente von *Lissodus* (Chondrichthyes: Hybodontidae) aus dem Unterperm des Saar-Nahe-Beckens. *Paläontologische Zeitschrift* 70:225–245.
- Herman, J. 1977. Les séliaciens des terrains néocrétacés & paléocènes de Belgique & des contrées limitrophes. *Éléments d'une biostratigraphie intercontinentale. Mémoires pour servir à l'explication de Cartes Géologiques et Minières de la Belgique. Service Géologique de Belgique* 15:1–401.
- Ivanov, A. 1996. The Early Carboniferous chondrichthyans of the South Urals, Russia; pp. 417–425 in P. Strogen, I. D. Somerville, and G. L. Jones (eds.), *Recent Advances in Lower Carboniferous Geology*. Geological Society Special Publication 107.
- Jaekel, O. 1889. Die Selachier aus dem Oberen Muschelkalk Lothringens. *Abhandlungen zur Geologischen Spezialkarte von Elsass–Lothringen* 3:275–332.
- . 1906. Neue Rekonstruktionen von *Pleuracanthus sessilis* und von *Polyacrodus* (*Hybodus*) *hauffianus*. *Sitzungsberichten der Gesellschaft naturforschender Freunde* 6:155–159.
- Johansson, A. K. 1992. The hybodont shark *Lissodus* from the Richmond Basin of Virginia (Late Triassic, Newark Supergroup). *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* 12(3, suppl.):35A.
- Johnson, G. D. 1981. Hybodontidae (Chondrichthyes) from the Wichita-Albany Group (Early Permian) of Texas. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* 1:1–41.
- Koken, E. 1907. Über *Hybodus*. *Geologische und Paläontologische Abhandlungen, neue folde* 5:259–276.
- Lebedev, O. A. 1996. Fish assemblages in the Tournaisian–Viséan environments of the East European Platform; pp. 387–415 in P. Strogen, I. D. Somerville, and G. L. Jones (eds.), *Recent Advances in*

- Lower Carboniferous Geology. Geological Society Special Publication 107.
- Maisey, J. G. 1983. Cranial anatomy of *Hybodus basanus* Egerton from the Lower Cretaceous of England. *American Museum Novitates* 2758:1–64.
- . 1986. Anatomical revision of the fossil shark *Hybodus fraasi* (Chondrichthyes: Elasmobranchii). *American Museum Novitates* 2857:1–16.
- . 1987. Cranial anatomy of the Lower Jurassic shark *Hybodus reticulatus* (Chondrichthyes: Elasmobranchii), with comments on hybodontid systematics. *American Museum Novitates* 2878:1–19.
- . 1989. *Hamiltonichthys mapesi*, g. & sp. nov. (Chondrichthyes; Elasmobranchii), from the Upper Pennsylvanian of Kansas. *American Museum Novitates* 2931:1–42.
- Murry, P. A. 1981. A new species of freshwater hybodont from the Dockum Group (Triassic) of Texas. *Journal of Paleontology* 55:603–607.
- Nessov, L. A., and M. H. Kaznyshkin. 1988. Late Jurassic cartilaginous fishes from northern Fergana. *Annual Journal of the All-state Palaeontological Society* 31:160–178. [Russian]
- Owen, R. 1846. Lectures on the comparative anatomy and physiology of the vertebrate animals, delivered at the Royal College of Surgeons of England in 1844 and 1846. Part 1. Fishes. Longman, London, 308 pp.
- Patterson, C. 1966. British Wealden Sharks. *Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History)* 11:251–350.
- Rees, J. 1998. Early Jurassic selachians from the Hasle Formation on Bornholm, Denmark. *Acta Palaeontologica Polonica* 43:439–452.
- . 1999. Late Cretaceous hybodont sharks from the Kristianstad Basin, southern Sweden. *Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie, Monatshefte* 1999:257–270.
- Reif, W.-E. 1980. Tooth enameloid as a taxonomic criterion: 3. A new primitive shark family from the lower Keuper. *Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie, Abhandlungen* 160:61–72.
- Rieppel, O. 1981. The hybodontiform sharks from the Middle Triassic of Mte. San Giorgio, Switzerland. *Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie, Abhandlungen* 161:324–353.
- . 1982. A new genus of shark from the Middle Triassic of Monte San Giorgio, Switzerland. *Palaeontology* 25:399–412.
- Schlosser, M. 1918. Pisces; pp. 5–162 in K. A. v Zittel (ed.), *Grundzüge der Paläontologie, Abteilung II. R. Oldenbourg, München-Berlin*.
- Seilacher, A. 1943. Elasmobranchier-Reste aus dem oberen Muschelkalk und dem Keuper Württemburgs. *Neues Jahrbuch für Mineralogie, Geologie und Paläontologie Monatshefte B*, 1943:256–271.
- Soler-Gijón, R. 1993. Presencia del género *Lissodus* (Chondrichthyes, Selachii) en el Carbonífero Superior de Puertollano (Ciudad Real, España): consideraciones paleoecológicas. *Revista Española de Paleontología*, No Extraordinario:118–129.
- Stensiö, E. A. 1921. Triassic fishes from Spitzbergen Part I. A. Holzhäusern, Vienna, 307 pp.
- Teixeira, C. 1956. Sur un Hybodontoidé du Karroo de l'Angola. *Revista da faculdade de Ciencias, Lisboa, series C, Ciencias Naturais* 5:135–136.
- Thurmond, J. T. 1971. Cartilaginous fishes of the Trinity Group and related rocks (Lower Cretaceous) of north central Texas. *South-eastern Geology* 13:207–227.
- Tway, L. E., and J. Zidek. 1983. Catalog of Late Pennsylvanian Ichthyoliths, Part II. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* 2:414–438.
- Underwood, C. J., and J. Rees. 2002. Selachian faunas from the earliest Cretaceous Purbeck Group of Dorset, southern England. *Special Papers in Palaeontology* 68.
- Welton, B. J., and R. F. Farish. 1993. The Collector's Guide to Fossil Sharks and Rays from the Cretaceous of Texas. *Before Time*, Lewisville, 204 pp.
- Werner, C. 1989. Die Elasmobranchier-Fauna des Gebel Dist Member der Bahariya Formation (Obercenoman) der Oase Bahariya, Ägypten. *Palaeo Ichthyologica* 5:1–112.
- Woodward, A. S. 1887. Notes on some post-Liassic species of *Acrodus*. *Geological Magazine* 4:101–105.
- . 1889. Catalogue of the Fossil Fishes in the British Museum (Natural History). 1. Elasmobranchii. British Museum, London, 474 pp.
- . 1896. Note on the affinities of the English Wealden fish-fauna. *Geological Magazine*, decade 4, 2:69–71.
- . 1916. The fossil fishes of the Wealden and Purbeck formations. *Palaeontological Society Monograph* 69:1–48.

Received 20 February 2001; accepted 25 September 2001.