Regulation of Fat Storage via Suppressed Thermogenesis: A Thrifty Phenotype That Predisposes Individuals with Catch-Up Growth to Insulin Resistance and Obesity
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Abstract
Catch-up growth during infancy and childhood is increasingly recognized as a major risk factor for later development of insulin-related complications and chronic diseases, namely abdominal obesity, type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease. As catch-up growth per se is characterized by insulin resistance, hyperinsulinaemia and an accelerated rate of fat storage (i.e., catch-up fat) even in the absence of hyperphagia, the possibility arises that suppressed thermogenesis in certain organs/tissues – for the purpose of enhancing the efficiency of catch-up fat – also plays a role in the pathophysiological consequences of catch-up growth. Here, the evidence for the existence of an adipose-specific control of thermogenesis, the suppression of which contributes to catch-up fat, is reviewed. Recent findings suggest that such suppression of thermogenesis is accompanied by hyperinsulinaemia, insulin resistance in skeletal muscle and insulin hyperresponsiveness in adipose tissue, all of which precede the appearance of excess body fat, central fat distribution and elevations in intramyocellular triglyceride or circulating lipid concentrations. These findings underscore a role for suppressed thermogenesis per se as an early event in the pathophysiology of catch-up growth. It is proposed that, in its evolutionary adaptive role to spare glucose for the rapid rebuilding of an adequate fat reserve (for optimal survival capacity during intermittent famine), suppressed thermogenesis in skeletal muscle constitutes a thrifty phenotype that confers to the phase of catch-up growth its high sensitivity to the development of insulin resistance and hyperinsulinaemia. In the context of the complex interactions between earlier reprogramming and a modern lifestyle characterized by nutritional abundance and low physical activity, this thrifty ‘catch-up fat phenotype’ is a central event that predisposes individuals with catch-up growth to abdominal obesity, type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease.

Catch-Up Growth: A Risk Factor for Chronic Metabolic Diseases

Catch-up growth, a physiological adaptation that re-establishes the genetically programmed growth trajectory, has long been viewed an essential feature of recovery from the deleterious effects of poor growth on development and health. During the past few years, however,
several large epidemiological studies have suggested that catch-up growth may also be a long-term health hazard. These studies have indicated that people who were born small for gestational age (SGA) and/or whose growth faltered during infancy and childhood, but who subsequently show catch-up growth, have a higher propensity to develop abdominal obesity, type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease later in life [1–5].

The mechanisms by which catch-up growth could lead to such chronic diseases remain obscure. Theories include early (fetal or neonatal) ‘programming’, which postulate that food deprivation, malnutrition or other insults, particularly during critical periods of growth and development, can lead to lasting alterations in structures and functions of tissues, and in the resetting of major neuroendocrine systems [6, 7]. Such programming or ‘imprinting’, although adaptive during a period of limited supply of nutrients, is thought to contribute to the increased risk of diseases during improved nutrition and catch-up growth later in life. Whatever the mechanisms by which such programming may predispose to chronic metabolic diseases, however, several lines of evidence point to the dynamic phase of catch-up growth per se as a state of insulin resistance.

**Catch-Up Growth: A State of Insulin Resistance**

A higher plasma insulin response to a glucose load during catch-up growth in infants and children born SGA is well established [8]. More recently, strong associations have been described between thinness during early infancy and an elevated plasma insulin concentration during catch-up growth later in childhood [9]. These findings, together with a recent prospective study from Chile indicating that reduced insulin sensitivity could be related to catch-up growth in infants born SGA as early as 1 year of age [10], highlight the fact that development of insulin resistance is an early feature of the mechanisms by which catch-up growth might confer increased risk of later diseases.

There is converging evidence suggesting that the insulin-resistant state of catch-up growth is intimately linked with a disproportionately faster rate of gaining body fat rather than muscle tissue [11]. This phenomenon of preferential ‘catch-up fat’ rather than catch-up of lean tissue, has long been reported in studies of rehabilitation in children after protein-energy malnutrition in developing countries (table 1), and has also been observed in children born SGA in developed countries. In a recent study conducted in Switzerland, prepubertal children born SGA were found to have more body fat and less lean tissue, as well as lower glucose oxidation rates, than weight-matched controls [25]. These differences in body composition, associated with impaired glucose metabolism, may persist into adulthood, as suggested by studies from Finland indicating that for the same BMI, elderly individuals born SGA have 3–5 kg less lean tissue and more fat than age-matched controls [26]. Also of particular interest in this context are recent findings from Denmark indicating that, relative to age-matched controls of similar BMI, healthy young men born SGA have slightly less lean tissue mass and slightly more body fat, but clearly higher abdominal fat mass [27]. They also show reduced forearm glucose uptake [28] and reduced muscle expression of key proteins involved in insulin signalling and glucose transport [29]. It is presently unknown whether these insulin-related impairments in humans born SGA precede or are

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Population showing catch-up fat during weight recovery/catch-up growth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kornfeld and Schüller [12]</td>
<td>Emaciated children recovering from tuberculosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debray et al. [13]</td>
<td>Concentration camp prisoners regaining weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keys et al. [14]</td>
<td>Men after experimental starvation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weyer et al. [15]</td>
<td>Men and women after 2 years of limited food supply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forbes et al. [16]</td>
<td>Anorexics regaining weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitchell and Truswell [17]</td>
<td>Anorexics regaining weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barac-Nieto et al. [18]</td>
<td>Adults after substantial weight loss due to protein-energy malnutrition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ashworth [19]</td>
<td>Infants and children recovering from protein-energy malnutrition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MacLean and Graham [20]</td>
<td>Infants and children recovering from protein-energy malnutrition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Castilla-Serna et al. [21]</td>
<td>Infants and children recovering from protein-energy malnutrition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>van Eys [22]</td>
<td>Cancer patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streat et al. [23]</td>
<td>Intensive-care patients with sepsicaemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kotler et al. [24]</td>
<td>Patients with AIDS undergoing parenteral nutrition</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Preferential Catch-Up Fat: A Ubiquitous Phenomenon of Weight Recovery

Of central importance to our understanding of the pathophysiology of catch-up growth, therefore, is the question of whether (and how) processes that regulate fat storage specifically during catch-up fat may lead to a state of insulin resistance. In addressing this issue, it is important to emphasize that this phenomenon of preferential catch-up fat is not limited to individuals born SGA or to the growth phase. As indicated in table 1, increases in the catch-up fat is not limited to individuals born SGA or to the growth phase. As indicated in table 1, increases in the ratio of fat mass to lean mass are well documented in adults recovering body weight after weight loss due to a variety of conditions, including war-related famine, poverty-related undernutrition, experimental starvation, anorexia nervosa and other pathophysiological ‘hypermetabolic’ conditions such as cancer, septic shock and AIDS (see [11] for a review). Thus, a common denominator in many situations where there are large decreases in body weight followed by weight recovery – whether during growth or in adulthood – is that body fat is recovered at a disproportionately faster rate than lean tissue.

Explanations for this phenomenon of preferential catch-up fat have, in the past, centred upon inadequate intake of dietary protein or other nutrients for optimal protein deposition. Alternatively, the absolute amount of food consumed may greatly exceed the energy requirements for maintenance, tissue synthesis and physical work, such that the extra energy ingested is deposited as fat. However, the fact that catch-up fat persists in patients on well-balanced diets, often low in fat content, and independently of the level of dietary energy, protein and mineral and vitamin supplementation [11], underscores an increase in metabolic efficiency directed at fat deposition as a fundamental physiological process operating to accelerate fat recovery after growth retardation or weight loss. In other words, the phenomenon of catch-up fat seems to be a normal physiological process characterized by an elevation in the efficiency of cellular energy utilization.

Regulation of Fat Storage during Catch-Up Fat: A Role for Suppressed Thermogenesis

Evidence from experimental studies of prolonged starvation and refeeding in adult humans, as well as in actively growing animals, indicates that an elevated efficiency of fat deposition is a phenomenon that may occur at any age, and that it is a carry-over effect of the suppression of thermogenesis (i.e., energy conservation mechanisms) that occurred in the preceding period of food deprivation.

Reanalysis of longitudinal data on changes in basal metabolic rate (BMR) and body composition from the classic ‘Minnesota experiment’ of semi-starvation and refeeding [14] has suggested the existence of a control system linking depletion (or delayed expansion of fat stores) and suppressed thermogenesis [31]. In this study, 32 healthy men of normal body weight were subjected to 24 weeks of semi-starvation (during which they lost ~25% of their initial body weight), followed by 12 weeks of restricted refeeding on diets relatively low in fat (~20% fat by energy). As shown in figure 1, there is a positive relationship between the deviation in body fat and the change in adjusted BMR, an index of altered thermogenesis calculated from the change in BMR after adjusting for losses of fat-free mass and fat mass. In other words, the greater the degree of fat depletion during starvation, the greater the reduction in adjusted BMR and hence in the degree of suppression of thermogenesis. A similar relationship was also found after the 12-week period of restricted refeeding (i.e., the lower the degree of fat repletion, the greater the extent of reduction in residual BMR and hence the greater the degree of reduction in thermogenesis [31]). Taken together, the relationship between suppressed thermogenesis and fat depletion during phases of both weight loss and weight recovery indicates the operation of a control system with a negative feedback loop between thermogenesis and the state of depletion of fat stores. This has been referred to as ‘adipose-specific control of thermogenesis’ [32]; that is, a control system that has a slow time-constant by virtue of its response to signals arising only from the state of depletion/repletion of body fat stores. In this autoregulatory feedback system, signals from the depleted adipose fat stores exert a suppressive effect on thermogenesis.

More direct evidence for an adipose-specific suppression of thermogenesis, the role of which is to specifically accelerate body fat recovery, can be derived from studies of complete energy balance in growing rats regaining weight after semi-starvation (fig. 2). Under conditions
whereby the rehabilitated rats were pair-fed to weight-matched controls, the rate of protein deposition was found to be the same as in controls, but that of fat deposition was increased by more than twofold. This was shown to be the result of 10–15% lower energy expenditure during the first 2–3 weeks of isocaloric refeeding [32, 33]. A number of factors that could theoretically contribute to this difference in energy expenditure between refeed and control rats (e.g., age difference, physical activity, feeding pattern) have been evaluated and shown to have a minimal impact on the difference in energy expenditure between the two groups. Consequently, under the conditions of our refeeding study, the lower energy expenditure in the refeed rats is essentially a result of energy being spared due to sustained suppression of thermogenesis for the purpose of catch-up fat. These findings support the existence of an autoregulatory control system that participates in the regulation of catch-up growth by sustained suppression of thermogenesis, and suggest that energy thus conserved is directed specifically for the recovery of fat mass rather than that of lean tissue.

Redistribution of Glucose from Skeletal Muscle to White Adipose Tissue during Catch-Up Fat

As skeletal muscle is an important site for energy conservation during starvation, the control system underlying this ‘adipose-specific control of thermogenesis’ could
operate as a feedback loop between adipose tissue triglyceride stores and skeletal muscle metabolism. As depicted in figure 3, it could comprise a sensor(s) of the state of depletion of the fat stores, signal(s) dictating the suppression of thermogenesis as a function of the state of depletion of the fat stores and an effector system mediating thermogenesis in skeletal muscle [32]. At present, our understanding of the components of this system is fragmentary. However, as skeletal muscle is the major site for insulin-mediated glucose disposal, a reduction in the metabolic rate of muscle would therefore result in a reduction in glucose utilization, leading to hyperinsulinaemia. This in turn, would serve to redirect the spared glucose towards de-novo lipogenesis and fat storage in adipose tissue.

Support for this ‘glucose redistribution hypothesis’ can be derived from our recent studies of the rat model of catch-up fat due to suppressed thermogenesis per se (shown in fig. 2), which indicate the following: (i) In response to a glucose load administered intraperitoneally, plasma insulin concentrations were clearly higher in the refed animals than in controls [34]. (ii) During hyperinsulinaemic-euglycaemic clamps in vivo, insulin-stimulat-
ed glucose utilization in refed animals is lower in skeletal muscle (by 20–43%) but higher in white adipose tissue (by two- to threefold) (fig. 4). This suggests a state of insulin resistance in skeletal muscle and insulin hyperresponsiveness in white adipose tissue [35]. (iii) Fatty acid synthase activity is higher in white adipose tissue from refed animals than from controls, thereby indicating enhanced conversion of glucose to lipids in fat stores [35].

Of particular importance in these studies comparing refed and control animals is that this redistribution of insulin-stimulated glucose utilization away from skeletal muscle towards de novo lipogenesis and fat storage in adipose tissue can be demonstrated in the absence of between-group differences in energy intake, lean tissue mass, total body fat mass, regional fat distribution or circulating free fatty acid concentrations [34]. Similarly, the state of insulin resistance in the skeletal muscle of the refed animals cannot be attributed to excess lipid storage in muscle cells, as histological staining of muscles revealed that intramyocellular lipid content in muscles from refed animals was not higher than in controls [35]. Taken together, these data suggest that the muscle insulin resistance and adipose tissue insulin hyperresponsiveness in the refed animals are not related to an excess substrate (free fatty acid) supply or to increased body fat or ectopic fat storage, but can be linked to the state of suppressed thermogenesis per se.

**Suppressed Thermogenesis favouring Catch-Up Fat: A Thrifty Phenotype Turned Maladaptive**

The data presented here are consistent with the hypothesis that skeletal muscle, which is a major site for glucose disposal during adequate nutritional supply, is also important for energy conservation (and hence glucose sparing) for catch-up fat during weight recovery or catch-up growth. Within the context of weight recovery after growth retardation, the coordinated redistribution of glucose from skeletal muscle utilization to lipogenesis and fat storage in adipose tissue probably had survival value. It enables the rapid replenishment of fat stores (and hence, rapid restoration of survival capacity) without compromising blood glucose homeostasis under conditions of intermittent periods of food availability that prevailed during much of mammalian evolution.

Despite its ‘adaptive’ nature within the context of a lifestyle of famine-and-feast, this state may have deleterious consequences in the context of the modern lifestyle, characterized by low physical activity and energy-dense diets rich in fat and refined carbohydrates. In fact, a shift in diet from complex carbohydrates to animal fat and refined carbohydrates leads to an exacerbated suppression of thermogenesis, a more pronounced state of hyperinsu-
Molecular-Physiological Basis of Suppressed Skeletal Muscle Thermogenesis

Our animal model of catch-up growth [34, 35] has shown that diminished thermogenesis in skeletal muscle is an early event in the pathogenesis of insulin resistance and the hyperinsulinaemic state of catch-up fat. The concentrations of key ‘adiposity’ hormones that might be implicated in the link between glucose metabolism and thermogenesis in skeletal muscle (namely insulin and leptin) are rapidly restored to (or above) control levels upon transition from starvation to refeeding [36]. Our current working hypothesis, therefore, is that the suppression of thermogenesis and concomitant insulin resistance in skeletal muscle are brought about through the inhibition of mechanisms by which these hormones interact to activate thermogenesis in skeletal muscle [36]. In this context, we recently reported that leptin can act directly on mouse skeletal muscle to stimulate thermogenesis by mechanisms that require intracellular signalling by adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase (AMPK) as well as phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K), and that could involve an energy-dissipating substrate cycling between de novo lipogenesis and lipid oxidation [37]. The hypothesis that suppressed skeletal muscle thermogenesis, which underlies preferential catch-up fat during catch-up growth, is brought about through inhibition of intracellular signalling by AMPK and/or PI3K in skeletal muscle, is currently being tested.
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