It is known that a gospel created in one of the scriptoria of Caesarea came down to Armenia in the 5th-6th centuries and became the archetype for the decoration of those gospels translated there. For this reason the old Armenian decorated gospels have preserved the initial forms of the canon tables of the so-called “Eusebian archetype”. Carl Nordenfalk argued that, among the surviving manuscripts, the Etchmiadzin Gospels stands closest to the Eusebian archetype. The Gospel was written in 989 by Stephanos at the monastery Bgheno Noravank, in the province of Syunik, eastern part of Great Armenia. At the end of the 19th century, the illumination of this manuscript was attributed to the Syrian context. Later, Kurt Weitzmann and Sirarpie Der Nersessian, separately have shown that the manuscript was illustrated by an Armenian artist, probably by the mentioned scribe Stephanos. Studying the connections between the illumination of the Etchmiadzin Gospels and the architectural ornaments, Stepan Mnaatsakanyan concluded that the decorative motifs of the manuscript correspond to the ornamental art of 5th to 7th century Armenian monuments. There are only a very few Armenian artworks from that period that have come down to our days, but their skillfulness denotes that, in that period, the artistic traditions were developed enough to attract the attention of the artists of later ages, as in the case of the Etchmiadzin Gospels. Hence, the miniatures of this 10th century manuscript must be copied from an example dating at least from the 7th century. It is quite possible that that early copy was one of the archetype-manuscripts which after the officialization of Christianity were produced in Caesarea under command of Constantine the Great and were sent to different parts of the Empire as the faithful examples of the Divine Scriptures.

Besides the Etchmiadzin Gospels, there are some other Armenian gospels of the 10th century which may have been produced on the basis of the mentioned earliest examples. In all of these manuscripts, just after the canon tables is represented the miniature of the tempietto-like structures, as illustrated on folio 5v of the Etchmiadzin Gospels (fig. 1), as well as in the Second Etchmiadzin Gospels (fig. 2), in MS 697 preserved at the Library of the Mekhitarist Congregation in Vienna (fig. 3), and finally in MS 9430 of Matenadaran in Yerevan (fig. 4). The mentioned gospels have come to be known as manuscripts classified within the group of the Etchmiadzin Gospels. There is also another Armenian gospel of the
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The 10th-11th centuries displaying the tempietto (fig. 5).

Apparently, the tempietto was also depicted after the set of canon tables in the archetype worked out by Eusebius of Caesarea. When speaking about this rotunda-like structures, art historians address them in the such terms as “tempietto” (small, circular temple), “ciborium”, “cubiculum”, “tholos (tholoi)”, “sanctarium”, “baldachin”, “tent”, “pavilion”, “fountain of life”, “paradise”, etc. Here, we shall mainly use the term “tempietto”, because in the history of the Armenian miniature painting it is most often labeled as such.

As it has been noticed by many specialists, the decorative part of the canon tables of the mentioned Gospels is associated with the tempietto-image, and the second part, i.e. the cycle of figurative illustrations, follows immediately after it.

Thus, in the Etchmiadzin Gospels, for example, the tempietto is illustrated after the ten canon tables, and the next pages are depicted with the following cycle of miniatures: the enthroned Christ between two saints (fol. 6r), the four evangelists (fols 6v-7r), the Virgin with Christ (fol. 7v), the sacrifice of Isaac (fol. 8r).

Comparing the reader of the Holy Scripture with the believer who enters into the temple of God, one can draw parallels between the gospel and the church. According to the hierotopical approach, it can be perceived as a visual location of the sacred space of a church. In other words, when entering the church, passing through the columns, the believer reaches the most sacred place, i.e. the altar. The middle part of the church naves is perceived as a path of the “process” that leads to the Sancta sanctorum (Holy of Holies), to Christ. In its manuscript context, similar associations are meant to obtain an analogous effect – that is, the canon tables are described as a visual preparation to reading the gospel text: when entering “the Temple of God” through the columns (the first canon table), the reader progresses down through the series of arches (the next set of the canon tables) and reaches the tempietto, the holiest part.

In its schematic outline, as that of the canon tables, the tempietto-image follows an old architectural prototype that is reminiscent of Greco-Roman architecture.

The meaning of the tempietto has been discussed in various ways, but most often by suggesting some parallels with the tomb of Christ (or the canopy of the tomb) or the Nativity church in Bethlehem, where Christ was born. Klaus Wessel lists a great many possible interpretations on the symbolism of the tempietto.
We see the image of the *tempietto* also in the Byzantine Gospels of the 10th-11th centuries, preserved in the Marciana Library in Venice. Weitzmann writes that the ornamental motives in this and the Etchmiadzin manuscripts are based on the old Syrian tradition which goes back to the Rabula-Gospels dating from 586. In Armenian miniature painting, this old tradition was mostly widespread, whereas in the capital Constantinople it existed only for a certain period of time. But also in Armenia, the “capital style” of the book illumination began to dominate already at the beginning of the 11th century, and as a result, a group of the manuscripts was created whose illuminations were close to Byzantine style and iconography.

Besides the above mentioned Armenian and Byzantine manuscripts, the *tempietto*-image is encountered in the Georgian Adysh Gospels dated to 897 (fig. 6), also in the Ethiopian Abba Gharima II Gospels (10th-11th centuries). As to the Christian West, we can assume that it was common to depict similar miniatures until the 11th century. Unlike the miniature art of the Christian East, the *tempietto* type encountered in Western manuscripts seems to hint at the Fountain of Life symbolizing the holy nativity of Christ. In Western miniature art, the Fountain of Life is associated with baptism, and more specifically with the Lateran Baptistery of Rome. Baptism, that represents the idea of rebirth by water, overlaps with concept of the Fountain of Life. One of the earliest examples in Christian manuscript production, displaying the Fountain of Life, is the well-known Godescalc Evangelistary produced in 781-783 in the royal scriptorium of Aachen by the Frankish master Godescalc.

It is beyond doubt that in Carolingian miniatures the ciborium in Paradise designates the Fountain of Life, what is not true with Armenian miniatures and other works of the Christian East. Particularly, in the Gospels of St. Médard of Soissons (beginnings of the 9th century), the ciborium and the hexagonal structure full of water indicates that the artist sought to illustrate the Fountain of Life. According to the book of Genesis, a river was flowing out of Eden to water the garden (Gn 2, 10). The source of the ciborium is the very source mentioned in the description of paradise in the first book of the Bible.

The investigation of this production enables us to remark that the ciborium was frequently used in the Carolingian era. In the Gospels of St. Médard of Soissons – for example, in the scene of Adoration of the Lamb of God (fol. 1v) – the architectural building, the curtains, the columns shape a *tempietto*-image above which the lamb of God symbolizing...
Christ occupies the highest and most important part. In the same manuscript, a symbolic temple is represented within the frontispiece of the canon table, in which the temple is worshipped by the symbols of the four Evangelists (fol. 11r). Analogous ideas were expressed also in other artistic media of the Carolingian era. A similar shape is encountered on the coins minted by Carolingian kings.

But no less important is the medieval literary heritage that has come down to our days from this period. In the commentary on the book of Genesis, the Carolingian theologian Hrabanus Maurus (8th-9th centuries) describes Paradise as «Ecclesia and the fluvius (river) as the image of Christ flowing from His Father's fountain, bearing Christ's teachings». It is clear that the idea of the Fountain of Life was more frequently adopted in the Christian West than in the Christian East.

In another Carolingian manuscript known as the Codex Aureus of St. Emmeram of Regensburg, the tempietto structure is encountered twice: on folio 5v, under the ciborium, is depicted the King of the West Franks (then the Holy Roman Emperor) Charles II the Bald, who was the patron of this sumptuous manuscript. As it is seen in the Gospels of St. Médard of Soissons, here, similarly, a small temple appears again within the frontispiece of the canon table, flanked by the symbols of the four Evangelists (fol. 11r).

A particular attention should be drawn to the depiction of the king within the ciborium. Since antiquity, the depiction of the kings under baldachins aimed to visualize their power and its heavenly origins. The fact that during the Carolingian age the portrait of such a powerful king as Charles II is depicted within the tempietto, makes us think that the ciborium was, in this case, not merely a decorative ornament: indeed, it played a role of an important and holy sacrament. In medieval art history, there are many known examples of monumental paintings with images of kings and queens drawn in those parts of churches that were intended for the images of saints. The artists who worked under the patronage of kings stressed their extraordinary status by depicting their images in the most important parts of the sacred space. In the same way, the brothers Liuthard and Beringer have displayed Charles II in the most sacred part of the gospel beneath ciborium. In this way, their portraits came to be associated with saints. Another similar example is the miniature of Henry II illustrated in the manuscript produced at Regensburg at the beginning of the 11th century. In Late Antiquity the domed ciborium symbolized Heaven and, usually, emperors,
high court officials or religious people who were representatives of God on earth took seat under it. Armen Khachatrian states that from the very beginnings, such pavilions served the kings of antique periods, being worshipped as “the sons of Heaven,” in other words, they received their royal power from heaven. Then, in the course of time, pavilion-like structures were used in Christian architecture, as well as in fine arts.

The same thought, more logically, is expressed by Judith McKenzie, when she states that the roots of ciborium-like circular buildings should have been originated in Late antique Alexandria, as this town happens to be located in the center of all those places where the tempietto-image emerges: Aachen from the west, Armenia and Syria (also Georgia) from the east, Ethiopia from the south. In Christian miniature art, the tempietto can be associated with the tholos-structures frequently used in the Alexandrian architecture centuries ago.

The tempietto-image (in the West, the Fountain of Life) emerges geographically in different places, but almost always at the very time when the rediscovery of Antique pictorial and iconographic forms occur in Christian culture. Thus the Carolingian Renaissance (8th-9th centuries) resulted in the production of the Godescale Gospels, while in Byzantine, Armenian, and Georgian manuscripts, artists depicted the tempietto, supposedly following the traditions derived from the remains of antiquity still visible in the 9th-11th centuries. Some of the ritual books created during the Carolingian Renaissance carry the notice «ex authentico libro», which means that the manuscripts were copied from the primary source. And the artists of the 10th-11th centuries of the Christian East employed the Eusebian archetype (or other manuscripts deriving from the archetype) as a primary source that was created in the Early Christian period, which in its “look” and symbolism was closer to Late Antique, Hellenic traditions. Notwithstanding their many similarities, the symbolism expressed in the tempietto-image was not interpreted in the same way in the East and the West. According to Günter Bandmann, the tempietto of the Etchmadzin Gospels may appear to be as “the Holy Sepulchre, the Fountain of Life, and Altar”, since the whole meaning of the depiction is expressed through these three associations, meanwhile, none of them is presented clearly. In the opinion of Judith McKenzie, the tempietto in the Eastern gospels possibly denotes “the tholos over the tomb of Christ.”

Here, we should indicate an important point that does not allow to associate the “eastern” tempietto-image with the Fountain of Life, as it is seen in the Carolingian manuscripts. In Armenian manuscripts the tempietto with its symbolism and form is closely related to the canon tables, and in order to understand its meaning, we need to study it along with the canon tables yet not as a single miniature. Moreover, in order to understand the symbolic meaning of the tempietto we need to view it together with the figurative miniatures following it. None of the Latin manuscripts contain the tempietto depicted after the canon tables. A number of specialists claim that the reason of the missing miniature of

6. Historical-Ethnographic Museum of Mestia, Georgia, MS 22, Adysh Gospels, fol. 5v, Tempietto, miniature.
tempietto is explained by “St. Jerome’s archetype” that was used as a model for Latin manuscripts. The archetype was created by Jerome under the patronage of Pope Damasus I to improve the old Latin version of the Bible, which, in its turn, paved the way to the Vulgate – the Latin translation of the Bible. Like the Eusebian archetype, St. Jerome’s archetype contained the canon tables, yet it lacked the miniature displaying the tempietto after the canon tables on a separate page. Anyway, it becomes obvious that ciborium-like images were meant to hint at the idea of eternity in different ways. In the West, eternity was perceived in life and the tempietto was presented as the Fountain of Life (or as the temple of Nativity of Christ). In the East, the idea of eternity was perceived in death and the tempietto symbolized the temple of Resurrection of Christ. Yet, even if the perception of eternity was different, “the last destination” was always seen in Paradise, the idea of which is constantly expressed in all kinds of tempietto-images.

If we take into consideration the chronological order of historical evidences, we may see that the symbol of tempietto is much older than the miniatures representing the temple of Resurrection, given that the Eusebian archetype containing the earliest tempietto-image may have been made before 331, whereas the Anastasis church in Jerusalem was consecrated only in 335. Admittedly, this does not rule out that the tomb of the Lord may have been worshiped already before 331. Paul Underwood came to think that miniatures of the Armenian tempietto were inspired by the ciborium on the tomb of Lord in Jerusalem. It does not actually state clearly that the tempietto-miniatures may represent the tomb of Christ as for example in many contemporary miniatures where the depictions of the tomb structure are quite far from the form of the tempietto-images. Besides, as it was said above, the temple of Resurrection did not exist in Jerusalem when the Eusebian archetype was created. There was only a tomb monument similar to a ancient funerary monuments. Thus, the tempietto of the manuscript group of the Etchmiadzin Gospels, copied from the Eusebian archetype, cannot be interpreted as the direct depiction of the Resurrection temple. More likely, the tempietto-miniatures represent a symbolic temple of God. It is not excluded that the Armenian miniaturists could have depicted “the temple of God” – tempietto, according to the textual descriptions available during that period.

There is also another viewpoint on the Armenian tempietto expressed by Rouzanna Amirkhanyan. She states that the ideas of Crucifixion and Resurrection are synthesized by the symbol of the tempietto. She illustrates her idea by commenting upon the miniature of MS 697 in Vienna, in which the vault and the roof of tempietto show two different buildings: the rotunda, like temple of Resurrection, and the schematic outline of the «architectural sanctuary of Holy Cross» are drafted within each other (fig. 3). Accordingly, it should be noticed that the artist of Georgian Adysh Gospel deliberately distinguished the whole building of tempietto from the roof as well (fig. 6).

Within all the viewpoints suggested on the symbolism of the Armenian tempietto we mostly support the idea discussed above, i.e. that the tempietto aims at evoking the Crucifixion and the Resurrection. The places, where these two events were happened – Golgotha, the place of Christ’s Crucifixion, and the Holy Sepulchre, the place of Resurrection – allegorically related to the idea of Paradise. These scenes are depicted most often together on the pilgrims’ ampullae of Monza and Bobbio which were produced in 6th-7th centuries in Palestine. At the same time, Golgotha is the place where four ends of the world meet – that is, it is considered to be as the center of cosmos. By this, the tempietto reminds us about the last moments of Christ’s life, thus, symbolizing hope and salvation. The eschatological meaning is clearly revealed by the representation of cypresses on both sides of the miniature. As they are growing in the garden of God (Ez 31, 8), they manifest death and eternal life. Apparently, it is not by accident that, in the Etchmiadzin Gospels, a cypress in the scene of the Sacrifice of Isaac is introduced to indicate death and sacrifice, as well as the cypresses depicted around the tempietto are associated with Christ, who undergoes sacrifice for the salvation of humanity. Here, we must mention again the symbolic relationship between the tempietto and the miniatures depicted after it. In the Etchmiadzin Gospels, the symbolic representations of four scenes illustrated after the tempietto (enthroned Christ, the portraits of the evangelists, the Virgin with Christ, the Sacrifice of Isaac) are explained by Sirarpie Der Nersessian in the following way: «The portrait of the hero of the book, that is Christ, the portraits of the authors, that is the evangelists (...) The orant Virgin with the infant Jesus is the symbol of incarnation, the Sacrifice of Abraham is the symbol of the crucifixion, of the redemption». Therefore, she comes to think that the tempietto stands for sacrifice/crucifixion as well: «The tempietto is probably also connected with the same idea, it may represent the sanctuary, the place where the sacrifice takes place». Until the 10th century, there is no scene of Sacrifice of Isaac seen in Armenian miniature art. The depiction of this Old Testament theme occurs only in those manuscripts of the 10th century that contain the tempietto such as the Etchmadzin Gospels (fol. 8r), the Second Etchmadzin Gospels (fol. 8v) and the Gospel of MS 697 of Vienna (fol. 6v). Unluckily, the Gospel MS 9430 of the Matenadaran has not preserved the pages following the tempietto-image but we can assume that the scene of sacrifice was certainly depicted there, since these gospels belong to the same so-
called “archaic” group of manuscripts. In the mentioned manuscripts, the presence of the Sacrifice of Isaac allows us to believe that there is, undoubtedly, a close relationship between the symbol of tempietto and sacrifice (death), which we will discuss later.

The tempietto-miniatures of the Armenian gospels denote a certain orientation in visual conventions that comes closer to the spirit of Late Antique period. Later, the depiction of the tempietto following the canon tables fades away. It is true that we can see many tempietto-miniatures over the history of Armenian miniature art, but they excellently appear to be marginal illustrations, and basically (particularly in the Late Middle Ages) carry decorative-ornamental character.

The only manuscript within those gospels produced after the 10th-11th centuries that has the miniature of the tempietto (or similar to it) is the Gospels dating from 1443, created in Aghjots monastery68 (fig. 7). Here the tempietto is illustrated after the canon tables. If previous Armenian manuscripts could be related to the Hellenic traditions reused in Christian East in 9th-11th centuries, then it becomes more ambiguous to understand how the miniature of tempietto could appear in this manuscript made in the midst of the 15th century. We can merely suppose, that as an archetype to decorate the gospels, the artist Stepannos used a gospel of earlier period, that contained the miniature of the temple, which, nevertheless, seems less possible, since this miniature acquired a completely new interpretation. Beneath the miniature, there is the following inscription in Old Armenian: «This is our new church enlightened with a three-branch candelabrum and lanterns» and above, where the cross is depicted, we read «And the raised cross». In the 15th century, the Christian world, of course, was far away from the early Christian, Late Antique roots but it gave a new meaning to the tempietto by introducing a novice into the idea as well. Now, the temple was already perceived as a “new church” which presented a designed image that, for its elements, reminded us of the tempietto. However, we are sure to think that this tempietto is not the same symbolic image as those depicted five centuries earlier. Here we do not see the obvious image of rotunda-like temple. Had the artist followed the traditions of the so-called “classical” tempietto iconography, he should have also included the curtains. It becomes clear, that as in the case of the 10th century gospels, here we mainly deal with an image that completes the set of canon tables and has a sacred meaning. Therefore, it points out the direction the believer has to follow during his life. Thus, if we consider the canon tables as the path that the believer passes through, then the tempietto depicted after them, can be the Heavenly Jerusalem.

It might be added that the miniature of tempietto appeared again in the 15th century in Ethiopian manuscripts69. Although, it should be indicated, that nei-
ther from the stylistic approaches, nor from iconographic perspective, there is no relationship between the miniature of MS 6342 of the Matenadaran and Ethiopian gospels. Unlike the Armenian manuscript, the Ethiopian manuscripts of the 15th century have the “classical” tempietto that, in its symbolic meaning, is closely related to the arcahie examples of the 10th century.

That the idea of tempietto was originated in the Late-antique world and was developed in the Early Christian period, is confirmed also by another fact. It is The Questions and Answers on Genesis and Exodus of Philo of Alexandria, which is one of his less-known works, since it has reached down to us only in Armenian translation.

The author’s perception of the image and his ability to express it through language enable us to better understand the illustrations of Old Testament stories along with their details. Philo of Alexandria subordinates language to image, since, at first, the image is perceived before its verbal description, that is the image is considered to be reality, whereas language works as knowledge about reality. In this part of the article, we will make efforts to analyze the Armenian tempietto iconography by relying on Philo’s interpretation of the theme.

Thus, in Philo’s Commentary on the Book of Exodus we read: «Question - Why does He order to hang a curtain on four columns behind the khoran [altar]?», «Answer - Four columns are firmly strengthened, and in the altar everything has terrestrial significance, while the celestial is beyond the columns [of altar]. (...) After knowing the true path, you will achieve the sensual vision».

How do these words pertain to the tempietto-image? We can suppose that the true path concerns the path of the believer. Passing through it “with dignity”, he is the one who achieves "the sensual vision" (heavenly vision). Eusebius of Caesarea who depicted the tempietto after the canon tables in the archetype manuscript sought to tell the gospel readers that «now you are going to holiness». As it was already mentioned above, when opening the gospel, the believer moves through the canon tables and at the end he reaches the most sacred place, the temple of God (tempietto). This last destination is described by Philo of Alexandria as “the sensual vision”. Joseph of Balai, a Syrian author of the 5th century, writes that the temple of God is not an ordinary temple, but it is heaven on the earth, because God lives in it. Within almost all the artworks discussed by us, there is an image of a curtain (figs 1-6) that plays a significant part in Philo’s Commentary. «Question - What is the curtain for?», «Answer - The curtain separates the interior from the external space because the interior is holy and divine, whereas the external space, which is sacred on its turn, has not the same origins which denotes the changing relics of the world, that is all the things that are under the moon [meaning the terrestrial] which accepts floods [i.e. it is subjected to tremors]. While the celestial is unchanging and permanent.», «Question - Where is the place for the curtain in the holy of holies?», «Answer - (...) the place is explained as the border for the curtain to separate the immaterial [spiritual] world from the material [physical] world».

Thus, according to Philo, the curtains depicted between columns appear to be as a border separating the inner world from the external one, i.e. visible from invisible, in other words, the saints are inside, behind the curtains, while the earthly bodies are outside of it. The tempietto was the medium for the artists «to turn the invisible into the visible», that is to make it comprehensible to people. Paradise is often described as the place, whose entrance is closed with curtains reaching up to clouds. In this context, it is worth considering the tempietto of the Adysh Gospels dating from 897, where cloud-like decorative ornaments are depicted behind the curtains, so marking the border of the heavenly dimension, which is inside (fig. 6). The Book of Exodus states: «Moses could not enter the tent of meeting because the cloud had settled on it, and the glory of the Lord filled the tabernacle» (Ex 40, 35). In all tempietto-miniatures, the curtains are depicted either tied with knots or hung around the columns. In such way, the miniature painters sought to show a hidden object behind the veil. In a similar way, the painter of the 3rd century Synagogue in Dura-Europos drew the curtains in the depiction of the Tabernacle. Ephrem the Syrian associates the Tabernacle with the Paradise, at the same time, drawing parallels between Paradise and the House of God, hinting also at the veil which separates the Tabernacle and Holy of Holies. Also in the text of Pseudo-Philo, the Tabernacle and the Garden of Eden are mentioned together.

An analogous use of curtains is encountered in the mosaic of the Basilica of Sant’Apollinare Nuovo in Ravenna displaying King Theodoric the Great’s palace (the 6th century). In the mosaic, the curtains are closed with knots and they are open only in the vaulted structure located in the middle. The central part of the building is gilded. It is possible to reach heaven «when one has knowledge of the true path» (that is, to be worthy of God). Only then the curtains will open for the believers and they will access the Heavenly Jerusalem. In the mosaic, the depiction of the opened curtains indicates that the temple of God is open for the king Theodoric. And the architectural buildings drawn above the palace must be an allegoric reflection of Jerusalem.

As it was noted, Philo of Alexandria calls the discussed space “Holy of Holies”. “Holy of Holies” is the expression used
to hint at the most interior part of Jewish Temple in Jerusalem where the Holy Ark, housing the Tables of the Law, was preserved. The Holy of Holies is covered with curtains and only the High Priest could enter there once a year, at the Day of Atonement, to serve the blood of sacrifice. It is significant to note that according to the liturgy of St. James – the oldest ritual of Jerusalem – right before the beginning the priest expresses his thankfulness to God for giving him authority to enter the tent of Holiness (of God), to stay behind the curtains and to watch Holy of Holies. It is interesting to note that the liturgy of St. James that was used at the end of the 4th century and at the beginning of the 5th century was widespread in the Armenian and Ethiopian churches, as well. In the clouds, the Lord appeared to the priest while the incense was burning during the Mass in the Holy of Holies. The perfume of the incense symbolized His presence. In miniature painting, the Christian artists used to limit the means of representation of the Holy of Holies. Only the details of the tempietto-images can explore the hidden and invisible idea of the Holy of Holies, which, in its turn, is identified with the House of God in Paradise.

Let us see what Jewish artworks witness about it. In the synagogue of Dura Europos, a number of scenes from the Old Testament are represented against a blue background in the upper part of the western wall encircling the Torah-niche: they are the Seven-branched menorah, the Sacrifice of Isaac by Abraham, and the building with columns that is of interest to us (fig. 8). As in Armenian gospels, it is no coincidence that the Sacrifice of Isaac is depicted next to the heavenly temple. As the Bible states, Abraham accepted to sacrifice his only son Isaac to show his endless faith in God (Gn 22, 1-18). Many scenes of the Sacrifice of Isaac can be seen in Early Christian art. Abraham stood for the perfect faithful, who witnessed his faith to God and, thus, was saved. The Dura Europos
murals suggest that mankind can reach the kingdom of Heaven by having faith in God. There is another interpretation of the association between Isaac’s sacrifice and the Temple on the mural of Dura Europos: Abraham should have sacrificed Isaac on one of the mountains in the region of Moriah (Gn 22, 2) upon which the Temple was later built. The symbolic temple drawn in the center is the illustrative reflection of “Holy of Holies” of the Jewish Temple in Jerusalem, which is evidenced by Philo. Most probably this mural was unknown to Armenian artists of the 10th century, but they were probably well-aware of Philo’s text, which may have been used as a source of inspiration for the tempietto-images. On the other hand, it should be also taken into account that in the tempietto-images of Christian manuscripts, the symbols of Holy of Holies are given indirectly, since the Christians believed in Heavenly Jerusalem and “rejected the idea that the Temple of Jerusalem was to be restored on earth.”

The Sacrifice of Isaac in the manuscripts of the Etchmiadzin Gospels-group is closely associated with the symbolism of the tempietto, exactly in the same way as the images of the sacrifice and the Holy Temple in the frescoes of the Dura Europos, or as in the same scenes of Exodus Chapel of El-Begawat. The latter has been interpreted by Matthew Martin in the following way: “That the gabled tempietto represents the Jerusalem Temple is indicated by the Sacrifice of Isaac immediately above it. The Temple, here, must represent the Promised Land to which Moses led the Israelites. At the heart of this identification lies the understanding that, whereas Mt. Sinai was the place where the Law was given, the Temple of Jerusalem in the Promised Land was where the Law was enacted, and central to that enactment was the Temple’s sacrificial worship prefigured by Abraham’s sacrifice of Isaac.” In the depiction of Dura’s Torah Shrine, the three parts of composition – the Temple, Menorah and the sacrificial scene – are associated with the events happened in Jerusalem and represent “man’s reconciliation with God.” Here, in Armenian manuscript gospels as well, with the depiction of Isaac’s sacrifice next to the Heavenly Temple, it seems to suggest the solution for receiving God’s forgiveness. In the liturgy of St. James, the High Priest prays for God to have mercy on people who, in fear and shivering, have come closer to the holy altar of God to use the bloodless sacrifice as an atonement for their sins.

In the Book of Exodus, God says to Moses: “Set up the tabernacle, the tent of meeting (...) Place the Ark of the Covenant law in it and shield the ark with the curtain. Bring in the table and set out what belongs on it. Then bring in the lamp stand and set up its lamps. Place the golden altar of incense in front of the Ark of the Covenant law and put the curtain at the entrance to the tabernacle. Place the altar of burnt offering in front of the entrance to the tabernacle, the tent of meeting (...) Then anoint the altar of burnt offering and all its utensils; consecrate the altar, and it will be most holy” (Ex 40, 1-14; 16-33). In Philo’s Commentary on the Book of Exodus we read: “Question - Why does He order to take the table and the candelabrum away from the curtains?” This question is in keeping with the Dura Europos mural, where the candelabrum and the table (on which Abraham shall have sacrificed Isaac) are depicted on the both sides of the Holy Temple (fig. 8). Let us see how the candelabrum and table are analyzed by Philo: “Answer - As it was said from the beginning, the terrestrial nature is expressed through the table, and the terrestrial heaven is expressed through the candle. They are put outside the curtain, since all the things inside the curtain are invisible and spiritual, and all the things outside the curtain are visible and physical.”

The Armenian tempietto-miniatures lack candelabra, but the presence of jugs filled with oil may be interpreted as lighting implements visually hinting at the Biblical menorahs. The seven branched lamp, i.e. the menorah in the Temple, represented the perfumed oil which was produced from the tree of life. The oil and the lamp were symbols of the Holy Wisdom. Anything anointed with oil became itself holy and divine. The perfumed oil «transformed mortals back into angels» and «changed the human mind and gave Wisdom». In one of the commentaries of the canon tables, the 8th century author Stepanos Syunetsi writes that the oil symbolizes the eternal clearness of the just (saints). Philo of Alexandria records: “And the oil was especially created for light [lamp?] which is the most clear and the most sacred in its nature.”

According to the Jewish text Zohar, spatial oil is associated also with the Fountain of Life: “The Fountain of life is the supernal oil which flows continuously and is stored in the midst of the most high Wisdom, from which it never separates. It is the source which dispenses life to the supernal tree, and kindles the lights. And that tree is called the tree of life because it is planted on account of that source of life” (Zohar, Leviticus 34b). In the tempietto-image of MS 697 dating from the 10th century, preserved in the Mekhitarist Congregation of Vienna, the artist has depicted the blue oil in two juggs with red fire jets on the upper layer of it, by which, apparently, he has sought to create an illusion of the perfumed oil and the lamp preserved in the temple (fig. 3).

In the central part of fresco of the Dura Europos, the Holy Temple comprises the synthesis of two architectural buildings: the rectangular part colored in light pink and the “cupola” gilded in gold symbolizing holiness (fig. 8). As discussed above, the tempietto structure can convey the principle of «Temple of revival and Golgotha of Crucifix». The difference between the fresco and miniatures is that in the Dura Europos mural we see the synthesis of cupola-shaped and rectangular buildings, and in the miniatures we
see the synthesis of cupola-shaped and triangular models. It seems that either the rectangular or triangular images hint at the same architectural building by selecting one of its parts and viewing it from different viewpoints: if the building is represented on one side, it is attributed a rectangular shape, whereas a triangular form, including the pediment, appears if the opposite or back sides are represented. It is interesting that Philo of Alexandria discusses even this viewpoint: «As it is known in general, there are fifty columns. Let us maintain all those columns that cover two corners, since their strength are the triangular parts». According to Philo, the rectangular building has fifty columns, that compose right-angled gables on both ends, and the power of the building is right there. One can see a correspondence between the mural of the Exodus Chapel of the Jerusalem Temple and the building described by Philo: it is a rectangular building with many columns, and the “right-angled triangular” – pediments rest on both of the Temple corners. In the center, another building is depicted with vaulted pediments leaning a little bit forward. Actually, this mural shows a double view of two sides of the Temple, whereas in the Armenian gospels, they are synthesized by combined the vaulted part (rotunda-like building) and the side marked by triangular pediments (figs 1-6).

As to the columns of the tempietto, we can notice that the columns are depicted in different quantity in miniatures. The representation of domed buildings with columns is often dependent on the precise drafting of the circular building on the flat surface, which is actually a difficult task, for this reason the artists had to employ the method of «projection and volume decrease». In the tempietto-images and in the Fountain of Life, as well as in the fresco of the Jerusalem Temple of El-Bagawat, there are the images of birds and vegetal ornaments that symbolize the heavenly Paradise. Philo explains why birds live in paradise in these terms: «The internals – the birds and the rest, are much closer to God in their essence, since the physical never flies alike celestials (birds, angels)». As a rule, a couple of peacocks is illustrated on the both sides of the cross. According to the interpretation put forward in Late Antiquity, the body of peacocks does not decay, and in the Christian period it continues to symbolize immortality, resurrection and rebirth. Therefore, the peacock is most notably depicted in the allegories of Paradise. Stepanos Syunesti (8th century) and Vanakarn Varapet (12th-13th centuries) state that the two peacocks on the vault are symbols of the prophets and the wisdom of the Old Law.

It is beyond doubt that for medieval artists the tempietto conveyed this very symbolism explained by Philo of Alexandria in the 1st century. Certainly, Philo meant the Holy of Holies of Jewish Temple, which, in miniature painting of Christian East, is allegorically represented as Heavenly Temple, since the Christians believed that the salvation would have come in heavenly life.

The rotunda-like images of pilgrims’ ampullae and on the Early Christian murals of Dura Europos and El-Bagawat, the tempietto-images in Armenian, Georgian, Ethiopian, Byzantine manuscripts can be considered to be visual interpretations of the same theme. This symbolic image that we call tempietto designates the path that the Christian has to pass through in order to reach the temple of God (Heavenly Jerusalem, the kingdom of Heaven, Paradise) and, thus, to attain eternal life. In the art of the Christian West, the Fountain of Life represents the destination of the believer’s path, i.e. the eternal life in Paradise, while in the Armenian miniatures discussed previously, by help of the canon tables and tempietto, the artists expressed both their path and their destination.
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