Thermodynamics of adiabatically loaded cold bosons in the Mott insulating phase of one-dimensional optical lattices

K.P. Schmidt^{1,a}, A. Reischl², and G.S. Uhrig³

¹ Institute of Theoretical Physics, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

² Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität zu Köln, Zülpicher Straße 77, 50937 Köln, Germany

³ Theoretische Physik, FR 7.1, Geb. E2.6, Universität des Saarlandes, 66123 Saarbrücken, Germany

Received 18 October 2005 Published online 10 January 2006 – © EDP Sciences, Società Italiana di Fisica, Springer-Verlag 2006

Abstract. In this work we give a consistent picture of the thermodynamic properties of bosons in the Mott insulating phase when loaded adiabatically into one-dimensional optical lattices. We find a crucial dependence of the temperature in the optical lattice on the doping level of the Mott insulator. In the undoped case, the temperature is of the order of the large onsite Hubbard interaction. In contrast, at a finite doping level the temperature jumps almost immediately to the order of the small hopping parameter. These two situations are investigated on the one hand by considering limiting cases like the atomic limit and the case of free fermions. On the other hand, they are examined using a quasi-particle conserving continuous unitary transformation extended by an approximate thermodynamics for hardcore particles.

PACS. 05.30.Jp Boson systems - 03.75.Kk Dynamic properties of condensates; collective and hydrodynamic excitations, superfluid flow - 03.75.Lm Tunneling, Josephson effect, Bose-Einstein condensates in periodic potentials, solitons, vortices and topological excitations - 03.75.Hh Static properties of condensates; thermodynamical, statistical and structural properties

1 Introduction

The design of tunable quantum systems with strong correlations has attracted much interest due to the fast experimental developments in the field of ultracold atoms loaded in optical lattices. It has become possible in experiment to vary the dimension of the optical lattices and the particle statistics of the involved ultracold atoms [1–6]. This opens the road to study fascinating quantum many-body effects like superfluidity or Mott localization in artificially created and thus tunable structures.

In order to investigate these quantum effects the temperature of the ultracold atoms in the optical lattice has to be small in units of typical model parameters. Experimentally it is an open problem to measure this temperature. We have addressed this question in a recent work [7] theoretically by analyzing spectroscopic experiments on bosons in one-dimensional optical lattices [3]. We found that the temperature in the Mott insulating phase is surprisingly large, namely of the order of the onsite Hubbard interaction U. In an analogous experiment the so-called Tonks-Girardeau regime (TG) was investigated [4]. From this experiment, a rather small temperature of the order of the hopping parameter t has been deduced. In the present work we provide a consistent thermodynamic picture of both experiments. The large difference in temperature can be understood solely from entropy considerations. In the undoped Mott insulator the ground state is unique and does not carry any entropy. It is separated from excited states by an energy gap which is determined by the interaction U. The entropy increases appreciably only at temperatures which are of the order of the gap. In a *doped* Mott insulator describing the TG regime the low-lying states produce a finite entropy and the temperature of the bosons is much smaller.

The paper is organized as follows. We will first introduce the model and our notation. In Section 3 we will study several analytically solvable limits. First, we calculate the entropy of non-interacting bosons in a trap relevant for the cold atoms before loading into the optical lattice. Then we consider the atomic limit and the limit of non-interacting fermions which corresponds to the TG case. In Section 4 a continuous unitary transformation (CUT) is used to study the Mott insulating phase of the Bose-Hubbard model at zero temperature. The results are extended to finite temperatures by an approximate formalism appropriate for hardcore particles. We first test the reliability of this approximation by comparison to exact results in the case of non-interacting fermions. Then the thermodynamic properties of the doped and

^a e-mail: kaiphillip.schmidt@epfl.ch

undoped Mott insulating phase of the one-dimensional Bose-Hubbard model are studied. The conclusions will summarize the essence of the paper. In the last section, we propose an experimental procedure to reach very low temperatures also for the Mott insulating phase.

2 Model

Bosonic atoms in an optical lattice are described by the Bose-Hubbard model [1]. The Hamiltonian reads

$$H = tH_t + UH_U + \mu H_\mu$$

= $-t\sum_i \left(b_i^{\dagger} b_{i+1} + b_{i+1}^{\dagger} b_i \right)$
+ $(U/2)\sum_i \hat{n}_i (\hat{n}_i - 1) - \mu \sum_i \hat{n}_i$ (1)

where the first term is the kinetic part tH_t and the second term the repulsive interaction UH_U with U > 0. The last term accounts for a chemical potential μ . The bosonic annihilation (creation) operators are denoted by $b_i^{(\dagger)}$, the number of bosons by $\hat{n}_i = b_i^{\dagger} b_i$. The average number of bosons is $n = \langle \hat{n}_i \rangle = N/L$ where N is the total number of bosons and L the total number of wells in the optical lattice. In the spirit of the tight-binding description we will call the wells henceforth 'sites'. On the same site, the bosons repel each other with interaction strength U.

In the limit $t/U \to 0$, a site with n bosons contributes the energy E(n) = (U/2)n(n-1). A deviation from uniform filling costs the energy E(n+1) + E(n-1)-2E(n) = U. Note that this excess energy results from the constant curvature of the parabola $\propto n^2$. Thus it is independent of n. For large U and integer filling n it is energetically favorable to distribute the bosons uniformly and to put just n bosons on each site. The system is in an insulating state with an energy gap of the order of U.

In the opposite limit of large t/U the bosons form a superfluid condensate for low enough temperatures.

We will not address the inhomogeneity that is present in the experimentally realized traps, i.e., we treat the system as translationally invariant though there is the slowly varying external trap potential. This approach makes quantitative computations much easier and it is backed by the recent finding that the inhomogeneous system acts essentially like two (or several) independent systems of different average number of bosons [8].

Among the extensive literature on the bosonic Hubbard model there are mean-field treatments, e.g. references [1,9–11], other analytical investigations, e.g. references [12–15], and numerical treatments, e.g. references [7,16–19]. The phase diagram in the t- μ -plane consists of a series of lobes. The lobes of the Mott insulating phases correspond to an integer filling per site. The present paper is only concerned with the first lobe of the phase diagram where n = 1.

3 Limiting cases

Three limiting cases are investigated in order to understand the basic entropy properties of the cold atoms before and after adiabatic loading into the optical lattice. First, we calculate the entropy of non-interacting bosons in a trap. This entropy is present before turning on the optical lattice. It can be viewed as a function of the superfluid fraction. The same entropy has to be realized in the optical lattice once it has been turned on in an adiabatic fashion. Hence the adiabaticity of the loading determines the temperature of the bosons in the optical lattice [20]. We calculate the entropy as a function of the microscopic model parameters and of the doping in the atomic limit, t/U = 0 and in the limit of infinite U, the so-called TG regime. In one dimension [21–23], a Jordan-Wigner mapping to non-interacting fermions is appropriate.

3.1 Free bosons

The formula for the entropy of free bosons in a wide harmonic trap is derived. The Hamiltonian of the harmonic oscillator in d dimensions reads $(\hbar = 1)$

$$H = \omega_0 \sum_{j=1}^d a_j^{\dagger} a_j. \tag{2}$$

Many bosons loaded in the harmonic trap are considered. The energy ω_0 is small. Therefore, sums over multiples of ω_0 can be rewritten as integrals. The ground state is macroscopically occupied with a fraction

$$f_0 = N_0/N \tag{3}$$

where N is the total number of bosons and N_0 their number in the condensate. With the density of states $D(\omega)$ and the boson occupation $n_{\rm B}(\omega) = 1/(e^{\beta\omega} - 1)$ one has

$$N = N_0 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} n_{\rm B}(m\omega_0)D(m\omega_0)\omega_0$$
 (4a)

$$= Nf_0 + \int_0^\infty n_{\rm B}(\omega)D(\omega)d\omega.$$
 (4b)

The density of states $D(\omega)$ counts the number of states in a given energy interval. Let us consider intervals of length ω_0 centered around the energies $m\omega_0$, where *m* is a nonnegative integer. We consider the number of ways in which the energy $\omega = m\omega_0$ can be realized. One has to distribute *m* indistinguishable energy quanta over *d* oscillators. The number of possibilities is

$$D(m\omega_0)\omega_0 = \binom{m+d-1}{d-1}.$$
(5)

Using $m = \omega/\omega_0$, the leading power in ω reads

$$D(\omega) = \frac{1}{\omega_0 (d-1)!} \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_0}\right)^{d-1}.$$
 (6)

Only the leading order in $m = \omega/\omega_0$ is retained because a *wide* trap potential is considered which implies a small oscillator frequency ω_0 .

Specializing to our case of interest, a three-dimensional trap (d = 3), equation (4b) becomes $(k_{\rm B} = 1)$

$$N = Nf_0 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{T}{\omega_0}\right)^3 \int_0^\infty \frac{x^2}{e^x - 1} dx = Nf_0 + \left(\frac{T}{\omega_0}\right)^3 \zeta(3),$$
(7)

where $x = \beta \omega$ and $\zeta(z)$ is the Riemann ζ -function. Furthermore, we need the total entropy. The entropy of a single bosonic level ϵ reads ($k_{\rm B} = 1$)

$$S(\epsilon) = -\ln(1 - e^{-\beta\epsilon}) + \beta\epsilon n_{\rm B}(\epsilon).$$
(8)

The total entropy is given by

$$S^{\text{tot}} = \int_0^\infty S(\epsilon) D(\epsilon) d\epsilon = \frac{2\pi^4}{45} \left(\frac{T}{\omega_0}\right)^3.$$
(9)

The condensate at zero energy does not contribute to the entropy because it represents a single, pure quantum state. Combining equations (7) and (9) leads to

$$S/N = (1 - f_0) \frac{2\pi^4}{45\zeta(3)} \approx 3.60(1 - f_0).$$
 (10)

This relation between entropy and superfluid fraction will serve in the following as the initial entropy of the cold atoms before turning on the optical lattice.

3.2 Atomic limit

In this part, we calculate the entropy as a function of temperature and doping in the atomic limit, namely t/U = 0. Then, all excitation processes are completely local. For simplicity, we restrict the local Hilbert space to the state with occupation one and the two energetically adjacent states with occupation zero and two. The energy to create a site with two bosons is U. All states with an even larger number of bosons have a higher energy and are therefore not important for the entropy in the temperature range considered here.

The Gibbs energy of a single site is given by

$$g = -T \ln \left[1 + e^{\beta \mu} + e^{-\beta (U - 2\mu)} \right],$$
 (11)

where the terms in the argument of the logarithm refer to the empty, the singly occupied and the doubly occupied site. Introducing the doping $\delta := n - 1$ and using $\partial_{\mu}g|_{T} = -n = -(1 + \delta)$ we arrive at the following expression for the chemical potential at given doping δ

$$\mu = \frac{1}{\beta} \ln \left[\frac{\delta e^{\beta U}}{2(1-\delta)} \left(1 - \sqrt{1 + (4/\delta^2 - 4) e^{-\beta U}} \right) \right].$$
(12)

The entropy per particle is calculated as the derivative of the Gibbs energy with respect to temperature $S/N = S/(nL) = -\partial_T f|_{\mu}$. In Figure 1 the entropy is



Fig. 1. Entropy per particle as a function of temperature in the atomic limit t/U = 0 for various values of doping. The entropy per particle of the three-dimensional harmonic oscillator for condensate fractions $f_0 = 0.95$; 0.9; 0.8 is included as black horizontal lines.

shown for $n \in \{1; 0.99; 0.95; 0.9; 0.8\}$. Additionally, the entropy for free bosons with a superfluid fraction $f_0 = \{0.95; 0.9; 0.8\}$ according to equation (10) is given. All curves display an almost constant plateau at small temperatures T/U < 0.1, an uprise at about $T/U \sim 0.3$ and a saturation for large temperatures.

Supposing that the bosons are loaded adiabatically, three different regimes can be identified for fixed f_0 . In the following, the experimentally relevant value $f_0 = 0.9$ is discussed. At zero doping, the temperature is approximately U/6, i.e., of the same order as deduced by analyzing recent spectroscopic experiments [3,7]. This seemingly large value for the temperature is needed because the ground state of the Mott insulating phase at zero doping is separated by a large gap from the first excited states. The entropy has to be produced by the excited states which have an energy of the order U.

A small amount of doping leaves this temperature almost unchanged. But the system develops a finite entropy at zero (and small) temperatures. The reason for this is the degeneracy of the ground state coming from the different possibilities to distribute N bosons over L lattice sites. The entropy at intermediate values of the temperature is only changed slightly. The temperature realized in the optical lattice is changed abruptly when the zero temperature entropy reaches the entropy value of the free bosons. Then the temperature immediately jumps to zero. Physically this corresponds to the situation where the whole entropy can be produced by the ground state degeneracy of the doped Mott insulator. Thereby the temperature is reduced to the scale t which is zero in the atomic limit. This is the mechanism which is most probably observed in the experiment in reference [4]. It is more closely examined in the next part.

In the third temperature regime, the entropy of the free bosons is smaller than the entropy of the adiabatically loaded bosons at any temperature. This means that an adiabatic loading of the bosons into the optical lattice is not possible. Instead, a non-ergodic mixture will be realized. We emphasize, however, that this feature results from the macroscopic ground-state degeneracy in the atomic limit which represents an extreme and idealized situation.

3.3 Tonks-Girardeau regime

The experiment performed in reference [4] probes the socalled Tonks-Girardeau (TG) regime [21–24]. This is the limit of large U. Only empty and singly occupied sites are present and the problem can be mapped in one dimension onto fermions without interaction if we consider nearestneighbor hopping only. If we relax the condition of nearestneighbor hopping the mapping to fermions can still be done but the resulting system is not without interaction. Hence we focus here on nearest-neighbor hopping so that we have a benchmark system which is simple to analyze.

In order to know which temperature is realized after adiabatic loading in the TG regime one needs to know the entropy of the non-interacting fermion gas. In one dimension the dispersion of tight-binding fermions with hopping -t reads

$$\epsilon(k) = -2t\cos(k). \tag{13}$$

The Gibbs energy per site is $(k_{\rm B} = 1)$

$$g = \frac{G}{L} = -\frac{T}{L} \sum_{k} \ln\left(1 + e^{-\beta\epsilon(k)}\right) \tag{14}$$

$$= -\frac{T}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \ln\left(1 + e^{-\beta\epsilon(k)}\right) dk \tag{15}$$

where L is the number of sites. The entropy per particle is calculated as

$$\frac{S}{N} = \frac{1}{n} \frac{S}{L} = -\frac{1}{n} \left. \frac{\partial g}{\partial T} \right|_{\mu}$$

$$= \frac{k_b}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left(\ln \left(1 + e^{-\beta \epsilon(k)} \right) + \frac{\epsilon(k)}{T \left(1 + e^{-\beta \epsilon(k)} \right)} \right) dk.$$
(16)

In the limit of high temperatures the entropy per site is

$$S/L = -n\log(n) - (1-n)\log(1-n)$$
(17)

which is just the entropy of one state with occupation probability n. The entropy per particle is accordingly

$$S/N = -\log(n) + (1 - n^{-1})\log(1 - n).$$
(18)

Figure 2 shows the entropy per particle versus T/t in the TG regime for fillings $n \in \{0.5, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8, 0.9\}$. After a steep increase the entropy reaches its saturation value of $-\log(n) + (1 - n^{-1})\log(1 - n)$. Filling n = 0.5 shows the largest saturation value. If the filling is increased further the saturation value decreases. Note that S/L is particle-hole symmetric, i.e., it does not matter whether we consider the filling of fermions n or the filling of holes 1 - n.



Fig. 2. Entropy of non-interacting tight binding fermions corresponding to the TG regime for various fillings. The entropy per particle of the three-dimensional harmonic oscillator for condensate fraction $f_0 = 0.95$; 0.9; 0.8 is included as black horizontal lines.

Additionally, the entropy per particle of the threedimensional harmonic trap is plotted for condensate fractions $f_0 = 0.95; 0.9; 0.8$ as horizontal lines. This allows to determine the temperature that results for these condensate fractions after loading into the optical lattice in the TG regime. For half filling n = 0.5, the temperatures are T/t = 0.17; 0.32; 0.61 for $f_0 = 0.95; 0.9; 0.8$. Also temperatures of multiples of the hopping parameter are possible, e.g., for $f_0 = 0.838$ and n = 0.8 the temperature is T/t = 2. Again, the temperatures are of the size of the microscopic system parameter t. This agrees well with the temperature has been determined from the measured momentum profiles.

For higher filling the entropy saturates at a lower value. For filling n = 0.8 it does not rise up to the value of the harmonic trap for $f_0 = 0.8$. Thus in a process of adiabatic loading one cannot achieve a filling of n = 0.8 when starting with a condensate fraction of $f_0 = 0.8$. Within the TG regime, even the highest temperatures are not sufficient to reach the necessary entropy. In practice this means that a system starting from too high an initial entropy will not end up in the TG regime. Doubly occupied sites will occur corresponding to temperatures of the order of the corresponding gap. This means that the description as non-interacting gas of fermions will no longer hold.

4 Continuous unitary transformation

After having discussed the atomic limit and the case of free fermions, we study the Bose-Hubbard Hamiltonian at finite t/U by means of a particle conserving CUT at zero temperature [7]. We reformulate the model in terms of new quasi-particles above the reference state with one boson per site. These particles obey hardcore statistics. Aspects of the method will be presented in the next section. The focus is again laid on thermodynamic, mainly entropy,

considerations. We adapt a formalism introduced for spin ladders [25] to approximate the hardcore statistics to obtain results at finite temperature [7]. In Section 4.2 this formalism is introduced for the case of the Bose-Hubbard Hamiltonian. In particular, we check the reliability of the approximate hardcore statistics by comparison to the results of non-interacting fermions in the TG regime. At the end of this part, we present results for the entropy and the temperature of the undoped and doped Mott insulating phase for finite t/U.

4.1 Method

In this part some technical aspects concerning the CUT are presented. For the general method we refer the interested reader to references [7,26–28]. To set up the CUT calculation the reference state has to be fixed. For $t/U \rightarrow 0$ and filling n = 1 the ground state of equation (1) is the product state of precisely one boson per site

$$|\mathrm{ref}\rangle = |1\rangle_1 \otimes |1\rangle_2 \dots \otimes |1\rangle_N,\tag{19}$$

where $|n\rangle_i$ denotes the local state at site *i* with *n* bosons. We take $|\text{ref}\rangle$ as the reference state. For finite t/U the kinetic part tH_t will cause fluctuations around the reference state. Deviations from $|ref\rangle$ are considered to be elementary excitations. Each site can be occupied by an infinite number of bosons. The local Hilbert space has infinite dimensions. Therefore, there are also infinitely many linearly independent local operators on this space. To set up a real space CUT, it is convenient to truncate the otherwise proliferating number of terms [7,27]. In the present work, the local bosonic Hilbert space is truncated to four states. Numerical studies using density-matrix renormalization group have shown that this does not change the relevant physics [16–18]. The CUT gives reliable results in a wide parameter regime up to $t/U \approx 0.2$, see reference [7]. Since the calculation is restricted to four local states including the reference state there are three local creation operators. Applied to the reference state $|ref\rangle_i = |1\rangle_i$ they create local excitations. A hole at site *i* is created by $h_i^{\dagger}|1\rangle_i = |0\rangle_i$, a particle by $p_i^{\dagger}|1\rangle_i = |2\rangle_i$, and $d_i^{\dagger}|1\rangle_i = |3\rangle_i$ induces another kind of particle at site *i*. The particle created by d_i^{\dagger} corresponds to a local occupation of a site by three bosons which is treated as an independent quasi-particle. The operators h, p and d obey the commutation relations for hardcore bosons. The local bosonic operator b_i^{\dagger} is thus represented by the 4×4 matrix

$$b_i^{\dagger} = h_i + \sqrt{2}p_i^{\dagger} + \sqrt{3}d_i^{\dagger}p_i \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \sqrt{2} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{3} & 0 \end{pmatrix}_i, \quad (20)$$

which acts on the vector of the coefficients of $\{|0\rangle, |1\rangle, |2\rangle, |3\rangle\}$. The CUT is implemented using this presentation of the Hamiltonian with finite local matrices [27]. The Hamiltonian (Eq. (1)) conserves the number of bosons. But if it is rewritten in terms of h, p, d it does

not conserve the number of these newly introduced particles. For example, the application of H_t to $|\text{ref}\rangle$ generates a particle-hole pair. To eliminate the terms that change the eigenvalue of H_U an infinitesimal generator of the CUT is chosen as

$$\eta_{i,j}(l) = \operatorname{sgn}\left(q_i - q_j\right) H_{i,j}(l) \tag{21}$$

in an eigenbasis of H_U ; q_i is the corresponding eigenvalue of H_U , see reference [28]. The choice of this generator leads to an effective Hamiltonian commuting with H_U which implies that the processes changing the number of quasiparticles are eliminated. For the bosonic Hubbard model a complete elimination cannot be achieved due to the occurrence of life time effects [7]. But this effect is of minor importance in the parameter regime under study.

The bottom line is that the CUT maps the complicated elementary excitations of the bosonic Mott insulator onto hardcore particles. The corresponding annihilation (creation) operators are $p^{(\dagger)}$, $h^{(\dagger)}$, and $d^{(\dagger)}$.

4.2 Finite temperatures

In order to discuss thermodynamic properties of the Bose-Hubbard model we have to extend the calculation to finite temperatures. The CUT yields an effective Hamiltonian. The particles involved obey hardcore commutation relations. The statistics of hardcore particles, however, is complicated and thus a direct evaluation of the partition function is not easily tractable. In order to obtain results at finite temperature we will adapt the calculation in reference [25] for hardcore triplet excitations, called triplons, to our present purposes.

The explicit derivation of the approximate Gibbs energy for hardcore bosons is presented in Appendix A. The resulting Gibbs energy per particle reads

$$g = -(T/L)\ln\tilde{Z} = -T\ln(1+z^p+z^h),$$
 (22)

where we use partition functions for the individual particles defined in equation (A.5).

4.3 Test of the approximate thermodynamics

We check the validity of the approximate thermodynamics. The results for the TG regime from the previous section, Section 3.3, are used as a benchmark. In particular, the quality of the approximate statistics for low temperatures and fillings $n \neq 1$ is addressed.

For this purpose, we introduce a toy model which corresponds to the TG regime. The CUT calculation deals with the situation found for the bosonic Hubbard model for filling n = 1 and for values t/U in the Mott insulating phase. Above the ground state of singly occupied sites there exist particle- and hole-like excitations. The toy model includes only the hole-like particles. Its Gibbs energy in equation (22) simplifies accordingly (cf. Appendix A)

$$g_{\rm toy} = -T\ln(1+z^h)$$
 . (23)



Fig. 3. Comparison of entropies per particle at small temperatures calculated for the TG regime. (a) Entropy of free fermions is shown as dotted black curves. Results from the toy model calculation in gray (cyan). For fillings close to n = 1 the entropies show good agreement. But deviations increase with increasing doping and for decreasing temperature. (b) Relative deviation $\Delta_S = (S_{\text{Toy}} - S_{\text{TG}})/S_{\text{TG}}$ of the entropy of the toy model from the TG result. A color version of the figure is available in electronic form at http://www.eurphysj.org.

The dispersion contains only a single cosine-term

$$\omega^h(k) = -2t\cos(k) \tag{24}$$

corresponding to hardcore bosons with nearest-neighbor hopping only. With these definitions the toy model describes the TG regime.

The results for this toy model calculation are depicted in Figure 3a in comparison to the entropy from the exact formula for non-interacting fermions. Discrepancies are found to be increasing with doping. They appear especially at low temperatures.

Since the deviations are small the relative deviation $\Delta S/S = (S_{\text{toy}} - S_{\text{TG}})/S_{\text{TG}}$ is plotted in Figure 3b. For n = 0.8 the difference of the entropies has a maximum at $T/t \approx 0.08$, then it drops and becomes even negative at very low temperatures because S_{toy} becomes negative for $T \rightarrow 0$. This is an unphysical artifact of the approximate thermodynamics for hardcore bosons. For $n \rightarrow 1$ the maximum of the relative deviation is lower and shifted to lower



Fig. 4. The toy model calculation differs from the exact fermionic calculation at low temperatures. The temperatures T^{dev} and T^{min} characterizing the limit of the approximate thermodynamics are plotted versus $\delta^2 = (1-n)^2$. For comparison, T^{clas} resulting from the thermal de Broglie wave length λ is included. For further details see main text. A color version of the figure is available in electronic form at http://www.eurphysj.org.

temperatures. But artificial negative entropy behavior is still found for very low T.

To investigate systematically, below which temperature the approximate thermodynamics becomes significantly wrong, we define the temperature T^{dev} , at which the relative deviation $\Delta S/S$ exceeds 10%. It is displayed in Figure 4 as a function of $\delta^2 = (1 - n)^2$. In addition, the temperature T^{neg} is shown which is defined as the temperature where the entropy of the toy model becomes negative. The temperatures T^{dev} and T^{neg} characterize the limit of applicability of the approximate thermodynamics.

Both temperatures are compared in Figure 4 to the temperature T^{clas} which results from equating the thermal de Broglie wave length

$$\lambda_T = \hbar \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{mk_{\rm B}T}} \tag{25}$$

with the average distance $1/\delta$ between two hole excitations h. The inverse mass 1/m is given by 2t which is the value of the second derivative at the band minimum. The excellent agreement in the proportionality $T \propto \delta^2$ and the very good agreement of T^{clas} and T^{dev} clearly show that the approximate thermodynamics is a classical treatment, which is valid as long as the gas of excitations is dilute enough. This argument quantifies the limitations of the approximate thermodynamics. For very low temperatures the results become unphysical. On the other hand, it shows that the results obtained for intermediate and high temperatures agree very well with the exact behavior in the TG regime.



Fig. 5. Entropy per particle in the TG regime. For small temperatures, the results from the CUT and the free Fermion calculation agree. At higher temperatures there are deviations caused by the presence of double occupancies in the bosonic Hubbard model. A color version of the figure is available in electronic form at http://www.eurphysj.org.

4.4 Results

In Section 3, we have already learned that the temperature of the bosons in the optical lattice depends strongly on the regime of the system (Mott insulator or TG regime). The crucial point is whether the energetically low-lying states realize the initially present entropy or not. In the following, we investigate the entropy at finite t/U for the effective model obtained by the CUT calculation and evaluated at finite temperatures by the approximate statistics for hardcore bosons.

In the limit of small t/U, the CUT can be performed straightforwardly. The results for the Mott phase are obtained for commensurate filling n = 1. For fillings $n \neq 1$ the reference state used for normal ordering before truncation should ideally be the disordered state at the lower filling n [7,26]. This requires to redo the whole CUT for each n. But the effect of a different reference state is not very large if t/U is small. So our approach is to perform the CUT with the reference state (19) at n = 1 as described in detail in reference [7]. Note that this approach is exact as long as the CUT is performed without any approximation. So it does not represent an additional approximation in itself. The deviation from n = 1 is included only on the level of the approximate thermodynamics of hardcore bosons described in Section 4.2. By tuning the chemical potential in equation (A.5) the average number of holes can be changed. This way of accounting for doping works particularly well for $n \approx 1$.

The entropy per site for the Bose-Hubbard system is calculated from the Gibbs energy (22) as $s = S/N = -\partial g/\partial T|_{\mu}$. First, the entropy in the TG regime is discussed. In Figure 5, the results for the entropy per particle from the CUT are compared to the results for the TG regime. The figure shows results for t/U = 0.01 and t/U = 0.02 corresponding to large values of the parameter $\gamma = U/t$ where the TG gas is realized [4,29]. The thick dotted curve shows the entropy per



Fig. 6. Entropy per particle as function of temperature for t/U = 0.02, 0.05, 0.1 at zero doping (black curves) and finite doping n = 0.9 (cyan/gray curves). In addition, the value for the entropy per particle of the three dimensional harmonic oscillator with condensate fraction $f_0 = 0.8, 0.9, 0.95$ is given. A color version of the figure is available in electronic form at http://www.eurphysj.org.

particle for t/U = 0.01 for filling n = 1. The increase of entropy with temperature is much slower for n = 1 than for fillings n = 0.9 (black curves) and n = 0.8 (gray/cyan curves). For filling n = 0.9, a very good agreement is found for low temperatures. The results based on CUT and on the approximate thermodynamics reproduce nicely the entropy in the TG regime. Good agreement is found up to temperatures of $T/t \approx 10$. For these temperatures, the TG result has already saturated at its high temperature limit. On the other hand, the CUT results begin to feel the presence of particle states (double occupancies in terms of the original bosons) which are not included in the TG description. Thus the CUT results deviate from the TG ones. The entropy is plotted versus T/t in Figure 5. A temperature of 10t corresponds to T/U = 0.1 for t/U = 0.01 but to T/U = 0.2 for t/U = 0.02. This is why the results for t/U = 0.02 show deviations already for $T/t \approx 5$. A similar behavior is seen for n = 0.8.

The small deviations discernible at low temperatures $(T \approx t)$ must be attributed to the difference between the effective model obtained from the CUT and the simple fermionic tight-binding model with nearest-neighbor hopping only in the TG regime.

Finally, Figure 6 displays the entropy from the CUT calculation as function of temperature in units of U. The entropy per site for the undoped case is shown in Figure 6 for three values of t/U (black curves). The entropy does not change significantly for the values of t/U that are shown because all of them are still deep in the Mott insulating phase.

The entropy per particle for condensate fractions $f_0 = \{0.95; 0.9; 0.8\}$ is included as horizontal lines in Figure 6. Assuming such condensate fractions and a hopping parameter t/U = 0.02 the corresponding temperatures are 0.12; 0.16; 0.27 in units of the interaction parameter U. These values are within the range of temperatures that were found by the analysis of spectral weights [7].

The influence of doping is shown in Figure 6 by cyan (gray) curves for n = 0.9. Clearly, several differences can be identified. At finite doping and small t/U, the constant entropy plateau seen for t/U = 0 in Figure 1 can still be recognized but there are changes for small temperatures of the order t. There is a finite dispersion at any finite t. Therefore, the entropy at zero temperature is zero because the macroscopic ground state degeneracy is lifted. For increasing t the plateau is washed out. At very low temperatures, there is a sharp uprise from zero entropy to a reduced entropy value comparable to the value realized at the plateau in the atomic limit. At higher temperatures, the entropy is dominated by the particle states (double occupancies) in analogy to what was found in the undoped case.

5 Conclusions

The main purpose of this work was to clarify the different orders of magnitude of temperature in recent experiments on the Mott insulating phase of ultracold bosons loaded adiabatically in one-dimensional optical lattices. On the one hand, temperatures of the order U are found for the undoped Mott insulating phase [3,7]. On the other hand, temperatures of the order t are found in the TG regime.

By thermodynamic considerations, we found a physically relative simple and consistent picture. In the undoped Mott insulator, the temperature has to be of the order U. The ground state is a single state and does not provide any entropy. The initially present entropy has to be realized by excited states. This requires a large temperature of the order of the gap. The situation is different for the doped Mott insulator. Already at the energy scale of the hopping an appreciable amount of entropy is possible due to the finite amount of holes or particles.

In comparison to experiment, an item still missing in our treatment is the inhomogeneity of the trap. So one might wonder whether our qualitative conclusions apply to the realistic systems. This question can be answered positively because it has been shown previously that the ground state displays constant density plateaus at small values of t/U [30,31]. For zero or low temperature, the realistic situation can be seen as an ensemble of homogeneous systems of various fillings [8]. Thus it is expected that our qualitative conclusions concerning the orders of magnitude of temperature remain valid even if the inhomogeneity of a wide trap is taken into account.

The results were obtained on the one hand by considering limiting cases where a purely analytic treatment is possible and on the other hand by using a particle conserving CUT at zero temperature extended by an approximate thermodynamics for hardcore bosons. For the CUT the Bose-Hubbard Hamiltonian is reformulated in terms of a reference state with exactly one boson per site and excitations above this state. This approach yields reliable results in a wide parameter regime of the Mott insulating phase. Results at finite doping were obtained by tuning the chemical potential in the approximate hardcore thermodynamics. The reference state was not adapted to finite doping, which may represent a way to improve the CUT calculation. But we do not expect significant changes.

We tested the reliability of the approximate thermodynamics for hardcore bosons by comparison to the exact results in the TG regime which are based on the mapping of the system to non-interacting fermions. We find that the approximation works well up to relatively low temperatures T > t/2. We found that the approximate hardcore statistics corresponds to a classical description of the gas of hardcore particles. This results from the observation that the temperature below which the approximate statistics fails can be estimated to be the temperature at which the thermal de Broglie wave length λ_T equals the interparticle distance.

Our considerations were restricted to one dimension because only in one dimension the hardcore bosons can be exactly mapped to fermions providing an easy-to-test benchmark. But apart from this generic one-dimensional feature used for the benchmark none of our arguments is restricted to one dimension. Thus we expect that the qualitative findings presented in this paper apply also to higher dimensions.

The obtained results provide a consistent picture of the role of temperature for bosons in one-dimensional optical lattices. We found that different physical situations can produce rather different temperatures. It is important in future experimental and theoretical works to take the influence of temperature into account in order to explore new quantum phenomena in the field of ultracold atoms.

6 Outlook

Our basic finding is that a restricted phase space at low energies leads inevitably to a relatively large temperature when the system is reached adiabatically from a Bose-Einstein condensate with a condensate fraction which deviates from unity. One obvious means to reach lower temperatures is to realize condensates with less entropy, i.e., with a higher condensate fraction f_0 at lower temperature.

Let us, however, suppose that this route cannot be used to reach the desired very low temperatures which are, for instance, necessary to perform reliable quantum computations. In such a case, we like to propose an alternative route. The system with very restricted phase space at low energies should be brought into weak thermal contact with another system in the optical trap which has a large phase space at low energies. Elementary thermodynamics tells us that the temperatures of both systems will finally become equal. This means that the system with large phase space cools the wanted system with small phase space down to low temperatures.

In the realm of bosons loaded in optical lattices this proposal means that one system should be realized which corresponds to a Mott insulator and which remains at relatively high temperatures in the adiabatic process because it does not have phase space below its gap. This Mott insulator can be cooled by a system which is in the TG regime, i.e., in the doped Mott insulating regime, with small hopping amplitude t. The TG system can accommodate for an appreciable amount of entropy even at low temperatures. Thus it is well-suited to cool the other system.

We cannot devise an experimental system which realizes the above idea. The use of two different laser systems in spatial vicinity for the same kind of atoms is certainly cumbersome. So it might be more promising to use two kinds of atoms in the same optical trap. The control parameters for the first kind of atoms should be such that it becomes Mott insulating upon loading into the optical lattice. The second kind should enter the TG regime upon loading. It is most challenging to see to how low temperatures a bosonic Mott insulating system can be pushed in such a way.

Fruitful discussions are acknowledged with A. Läuchli, T. Stöferle, I. Bloch, S. Dusuel, and E. Müller-Hartmann. This work was supported by the DFG in SFB 608 and in SP 1073.

Appendix A: Approximate thermodynamics for hardcore bosons

This appendix provides the derivation of the approximate Gibbs energy of hardcore particles used in Section 4. The calculation adapts the reasoning in reference [25] for triplets in a spin ladder to the present hardcore excitations. The relevant excitations are independent particle and independent hole excitations. Multiple correlated p and h excitations and excitations of d-type are less relevant because of their higher energy. Therefore, we will neglect d-excitations and correlated excitations of more than one particle or hole in the following.

To use the non-interacting boson statistics for hardcore particles is a bad approximation because non-interacting bosons have no restriction on the occupation number. An incorrect number of states would contribute in the partition sum. The idea is to reweight all contributions to the partition sum such that they contribute the correct amount of entropy. Therefore we have to calculate the correct number of states with particle and hole excitations.

The number of possibilities to distribute M truly bosonic excitations on 2L sites is

$$g_{\rm B}(L,M) = \binom{2L+M-1}{M}.\tag{A.1}$$

But the correct number of possibilities of M hardcore excitations of two kinds reads

$$g(L,M) = 2^M \binom{L}{M}.$$
 (A.2)

To obtain the correct entropy for each number of excitations the *M*-boson part in the partition sum is reweighted by the factor $g(L, M)/g_{\rm B}(L, M)$. By this means, the partition function displays the correct high and low temperature behavior. The boson partition function for a system with L sites and M bosons is

$$Z'(L,M) = \sum_{\substack{\{k_j,k_l\}\\M=M_p+M_h}} e^{-\beta \left(\sum_{j=1}^{M_p} \omega^p(k_j) - \mu^p + \sum_{l=1}^{M_h} \omega^h(k_l) - \mu^h\right)}.$$
(A.3)

The sum runs over all multi-indices $\{k_j, k_l\}$ of $M = M_p + M_h$ momenta for particles at the momenta $\{k_j\}$ and holes at the momenta $\{k_l\}$. Here, $\omega^p(k_j)$ is the energy of a particle with momentum k_j , $\omega^h(k_l)$ the energy of a hole with momentum k_l . The chemical potential μ is accounted for by $\mu^p = \mu$ and $\mu^h = -\mu$. The negative sign in the definition of the chemical potential μ^h for holes results from the fact that adding a hole means removing an original boson. The boson partition function equation (A.3) contains $(2L)^M$ terms. Thus the reweighted partition function reads

$$\tilde{Z} = \sum_{M=0}^{L} \frac{g(L,M)}{(2L)^{M}} Z'(L,M)$$
(A.4a)
$$= \sum_{M=0}^{L} L^{-M} {\binom{L}{M}}$$
$$\times \sum_{\substack{\{k_j,k_l\}\\M=M_p+M_h}} e^{-\beta \left(\sum_{j=1}^{M_p} \omega^p(k_j) - \mu^p + \sum_{l=1}^{M_h} \omega^h(k_l) - \mu^h\right)}$$
(A.4b)

$$= \left[1 + L^{-1} \sum_{k; \sigma \in \{p,h\}} e^{-\beta(\omega^{\sigma}(k) - \mu^{\sigma})}\right]^{L}$$
(A.4c)

$$= \left[1 + z^p + z^h\right]^L, \qquad (A.4d)$$

where we used the definition

$$z^{\sigma} := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} e^{-\beta(\omega^{\sigma}(k) - \mu^{\sigma})} dk$$
 (A.5)

for the partition function of the single modes with $\sigma \in \{p, h\}$. The chemical potential μ is determined by specifying a certain filling. For instance, for the filling n = 1 the chemical potential μ is determined such that as many particles as holes are excited.

We emphasize that equation (A.4) is only an approximation to the correct hardcore partition function because it contains contributions from states that are only allowed for non-interacting bosons, but are forbidden for hardcore bosons. The correct contribution of each subspace of fixed total number M of excitations is ensured only in an overall manner by reweighting the total subspace.

Using the final expression (A.4d) one can compute the Gibbs energy per site g to be

$$g = -(T/L)\ln\tilde{Z} = -T\ln(1+z^p+z^h).$$
 (A.6)

Equations (A.5, A.6) are the formulae used in Section 4.2.

The toy model discussed in Section 4.3 includes only holes as possible excitations. Thus the Gibbs energy depends only on one partition function of a single mode $g_{\text{toy}} = -T \ln(1 + z^h)$. The dispersion of the toy model contains one single cosine-term $\omega^h(k) = -2t \cos(k)$ which makes the analytical calculation of z^h possible

$$z^h = e^{\beta \mu^h} I_0(2\beta t), \qquad (A.7)$$

where $I_n(z)$ is the modified Bessel function of the first kind. The entropy per particle reads

$$\frac{S}{N} = -\frac{1}{n} \left. \frac{\partial g}{\partial T} \right|_{\mu} \tag{A.8}$$

$$= -\beta e^{\beta \mu^{h}} \frac{2t I_{1} (2\beta t) + \mu^{h} I_{0} (2\beta t)}{n \left(1 + e^{\beta \mu^{h}} I_{0} (2\beta t)\right)} + \frac{1}{n} \log \left(1 + e^{\beta \mu^{h}} I_{0} (2\beta t)\right).$$
(A.9)

References

- D. Jaksch, C. Bruder, J.I. Cirac, C.W. Gardiner, P. Zoller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 3108 (1998)
- M. Greiner, O. Mandel, T.W. Hänsch, I. Bloch, Nature 419, 51 (2002)
- T. Stöferle, H. Moritz, C. Schori, M. Köhl, T. Esslinger, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 130403 (2004)
- B. Paredes, A. Widera, V. Murg, O. Mandel, S. Fölling, I. Cirac, G.V. Shlyapnikov, T.W. Hänsch, I. Bloch, Nature 429, 277 (2004)
- T. Kinoshita, T. Wenger, D.S. Weiss, Science **305**, 1125 (2004)
- M. Köhl, H. Moritz, T. Stöferle, C. Schori, T. Esslinger, J. Low Temp. Phys. 138, 635 (2005)
- A. Reischl, K.P. Schmidt, G.S. Uhrig, Phys. Rev. A 72, 063609 (2005)
- G.G. Batrouni, F.F. Assaad, R.T. Scalettar, P.J.H. Denteneer, Phys. Rev. A 72, 031601(R) (2005)

- W. Krauth, M. Caffarel, J.-P. Bouchaud, Phys. Rev. B 45, 3137 (1992)
- K. Sheshadri, H.R. Krishnamurthy, R. Pandit, T.V. Ramakrishnan, Europhys. Lett. 22, 257 (1993)
- D. van Oosten, P. van der Straten, H.T.C. Stoof, Phys. Rev. A 63, 053601 (2001)
- M.P.A. Fisher, P.B. Weichman, G. Grinstein, D.S. Fisher, Phys. Rev. B 40, 546 (1989)
- 13. N. Elstner, H. Monien, Phys. Rev. B 59, 12184 (1999)
- 14. W. Krauth, Phys. Rev. B 44, 9772 (1991)
- D.B.M. Dickerscheid, D. van Oosten, P.J.H. Denteneer, H.T.C. Stoof, Phys. Rev. A 68, 043623 (2003)
- R.V. Pai, R. Pandit, H.R. Krishnamurthy, S. Ramasesha, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 2937 (1996)
- V.A. Kashurnikov, B.V. Svistunov, Phys. Rev. B 53, 11776 (1996)
- 18. T.D. Kühner, H. Monien, Phys. Rev. B 58, R14741 (1998)
- T.D. Kühner, S.R. White, H. Monien, Phys. Rev. B 61, 12474 (2000)
- 20. P.B. Blakie, J.V. Porto, Phys. Rev. A 69, 013603 (2004)
- 21. M. Girardeau, J. Math. Phys. 1, 516 (1960)
- 22. L. Tonks, Phys. Rev. 50, 955 (1936)
- 23. P. Jordan, E. Wigner, Z. Phys. 47, 42 (1928)
- 24. E.H. Lieb, W. Liniger, Phys. Rev. 130, 1605 (1963)
- M. Troyer, H. Tsunetsugu, D. Würtz, Phys. Rev. B 50, 13515 (1994)
- 26. C. Knetter, K.P. Schmidt, G.S. Uhrig, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 36, 7889 (2003)
- A. Reischl, E. Müller-Hartmann, G.S. Uhrig, Phys. Rev. B 70, 245124 (2004)
- 28. C. Knetter, G.S. Uhrig, Eur. Phys. J. B 13, 209 (2000)
- S. Wessel, F. Alet, S. Trebst, D. Leumann, M. Troyer, G. Batrouni, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn Suppl. 74, 10 (2005)
- G.G. Batrouni, V. Rousseau, R.T. Scalettar, M. Rigol, A. Muramatsu, P.J.H. Denteneer, M. Troyer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 117203 (2002)
- C. Kollath, U. Schollwöck, J. von Delft, W. Zwerger, Phys. Rev. A 69, 031601(R) (2004)