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Abstract

The paleobiogeographical distribution of Oxfordian ammonites and coral reefs in northern and Central Europe, the Mediterranean area, North and East Africa, and the Middle East and Central Asia is compared with the distribution in time and space of the most important lithofacies. Interest in the Oxfordian is focused on changes in facies and in biogeographical patterns that can be interpreted as the results of climatic events. Paleotemperature trends inferred from oxygen isotopes and paleoclimatic simulations are tested against fossil and facies data. A Late Callovian–Early Oxfordian crisis in carbonate production is indicated by the widespread absence of Lower Oxfordian reefal formations. There is a gap (hiatus) in deposition on epicontinental platforms, with Middle Oxfordian deposits resting paraconformably on Upper Callovian, while shales accumulated in adjacent intracratonic basins. Simultaneously, in Mediterranean Tethys, radiolarites accumulated in deep troughs while Rosso Ammonitico facies formed on pelagic swells. However, deposition on swells was also discontinuous with numerous gaps (hiatuses) and sequences that are much reduced in thickness. Middle Callovian deposits are generally overlain by Middle Oxfordian limestones. The dearth of carbonates is consistent with a cooling event lasting about 1 My. By the middle Oxfordian a warming, leading to “greenhouse” type conditions, is suggested on the basis of both biogeographical (mostly coral-reef distribution) and geochemical data. Carbonates spread onto an extensive European platform while radiolarites reached a maximum development in the Mediterranean Tethys. Two distinct latitudinal belts, with seemingly different accumulation regimes, are therefore inferred. Similar latitudinal belts were also present in the late Oxfordian, when carbonates were widespread. The distribution of reefal facies in the late Oxfordian–early Kimmeridgian fits relatively well with GCMs simulations that imply low rainfall in the Tethyan Mediterranean area and slightly higher precipitation in central and northern Europe. Local salinity variations, reflecting more arid or humid conditions, may bias the paleotemperature signal inferred from
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1. Introduction

Biogeographical and geochemical research in Europe over the last two decades has provided evidence for important climatic fluctuations in the Jurassic period and despite some early assertions based on biogeographical data (e.g. Haug, 1908–1911), geological and paleontological data traditionally point to a warm, equable climate for at least the Late Jurassic. However, although geological evidence may be sparse or unconvincing (Price, 1999) simulations of climatic regimes using General Circulation Models (GCMs), do not rule out the seasonal formation of ice caps in particular periods of the Late Jurassic–Early Cretaceous (Moore et al., 1992a,b; Ross et al., 1992; Valdes and Sellwood, 1992; Sellwood and Valdes, 1997; Sellwood et al., 2000).

For the Late Jurassic, global biogeochemical models predict high $p\text{CO}_2$ levels (Berner, 1994) and increasing atmospheric $\text{CO}_2$ levels in the Oxfordian are supported by higher plant biomarker changes (van Aarssen et al., 2000). On the basis of paleotemperature values calculated on oxygen isotopes of skeletal material from tropical seawater, Veizer et al. (2000) proposed an icehouse mode for the Late Jurassic. To explain this apparent contradiction (high $p\text{CO}_2$ levels and cold climate), these authors suggested decoupling the relationship between atmospheric $\text{CO}_2$ and global climate, at least for this interval of the Phanerozoic.

However, because the raw data are sporadically distributed in time both kinds of models produce paleotemperature curves for very coarse chronologic intervals. Although climates in the Jurassic were generally characterized by “greenhouse” type conditions, with greater temporal resolution it appears likely that there were brief climatic fluctuations (“cold snaps”) of variable amplitude have probably occurred (Jenkyns et al., 2002; Dromart et al., 2003a).

Within Upper Jurassic strata, Oxfordian deposits are of particular value for testing paleoclimatic reconstructions based on GCMs and geochemistry against geological and paleontological records. Interregional facies variations, and changes in the biogeographical distribution of ammonites and reef corals from mid-latitudes of the Boreal region towards low latitudes of Tethyan areas, and vice versa, are the kinds of phenomena that might be expected to be controlled by paleoclimatic changes (Dromart et al., 2003a,b). The paleoclimatic control on both facies and biotic distributions have been advocated by Weissert and Mohr (1996) and by Bartolini et al. (1996) and is supported by stable isotopes ($\delta^{13}\text{C}, \delta^{18}\text{O}$) data, although high-resolution carbon and oxygen isotope data are still insufficient to provide trends for the Late Callovian–Early Oxfordian and most are from condensed sections (Norris and Hallam, 1995; Jenkyns, 1996; Cecca et al., 2001). A distinct, positive carbon isotope excursion, suggesting a major perturbation in the carbon cycle, has been identified in the Middle Oxfordian Transversarium Zone (Jenkyns, 1996; Weissert and Mohr, 1996; Bartolini et al., 1996; Wierzbowski, 2002). A contrasting negative excursion, within the upper part of the Transversarium Zone, has been interpreted as reflecting a sudden release of frozen methane hydrate along continental margins (Padden et al., 2001). This would have amplified the greenhouse climate of the middle Oxfordian, inducing “a thermal maximum” period, similar to that of the late Paleocene.

1.1. Aims

The aims of this paper are:

(1) to analyse biogeographical changes in distribution of coral reefs and ammonites during the Oxfordian on the basis of data from Tethyan and peri-Tethyan areas (northern and central Europe,
the Mediterranean, north and east Africa, the Middle East and Central Asia; Figs. 1 and 2); (2) to interpret biogeographical data and facies changes in time and space, in terms of climatic changes; (3) to test temperature trends, as deduced on the basis of oxygen isotope analyses, against facies and paleontological data.

As no precisely calibrated Oxfordian paleogeographical map is available, coral biogeographical data are plotted (Fig. 3) on the map used by Thierry (2000) to represent Early Kimmeridgian palaeoenvironments (Fig. 2), the distribution of which roughly match those of the Late Oxfordian.

The faunas analysed are chronologically distributed over an interval of about 5.5 My (Gradstein et al., 2004). The ages assigned to Jurassic ammonite zones are taken from Hardenbol et al. (1998) who used the time scale of Gradstein et al. (1994). These authors regarded zones as of almost equal duration despite the fact that their real duration is unknown and certainly extremely variable. However, this practice permits a semiquantitative approximation of evolutionary rates that is certainly biased but preferable to a lack of any kind of estimation. Correlation between the North American and NW European ammonite chronos (on which this work is based) is far from satisfactory, hence the choice of Hardenbol et al.’s (1998) scheme.

1.2. Paleogeography

The paleogeography of the areas considered here is characterized as Tethys, an oceanic domain including the Mesozoic Central Atlantic (here regarded as western Tethys). During the Oxfordian, Tethys separated Europe and Asia to the north from Africa, Arabia, and India to the south. Areas north of Tethys formed part of the Eurasian continent, whereas those to the south were parts of a Western continent (South America, Africa and Arabia) (Fig. 1 and 2) with the northern tip of Eastern Gondwana (Madagascar, India, Australia, Antarctica) separated by a proto-Mozambique sea (Ricou, 1994; Fig. 2). According to the plate positions indicated on the Middle Callovian and Early Kimmeridian maps of Thierry (2000), the latitudinal interval of the paleogeographic domain here considered ranges from roughly 30°S to 45°N (Fig. 2).
1.3. Paleobiogeographical framework

Two inclusive high rank biogeographic units are recognized: the Boreal Realm, in the high latitudes of the northern hemisphere, and the Tethyan Realm in low latitudes of both northern and southern hemispheres (Hallam, 1994; Cecca, 2002 and references therein). However, the limits of both Realms (and their constituent Provinces) fluctuated in response to eustatic, climatic and tectonic changes. In the cratonic seas of Central and Western Europe, well-documented range expansions and contractions of the two faunal
Fig. 3. Biogeographical and chronological distribution of coral reefs in the Oxfordian. The diagram below the map shows coral reef occurrences per substage or per time interval depending on uncertainties of age assignments. The paleogeographical positions of continental masses have been taken from the map of Thierry (2000). 1, England (Yorkshire); 2, England (Cambridgeshire); 3, England (Wiltshire and Oxfordshire); 4, France (Boulonnais); 5, France (Normandy); 6, France (Lorraine); 7, France (Burgundy); 8, France (North Jura); 9, Switzerland (Swiss Jura); 10, Germany (Hannover, Sünelt Mountains); 11, Poland (Holy Cross Mountains); 12, Czech Republic (Brno); 13, Eastern Carpathian; 14, Moldavia; 15, Romania (Dobrogea); 16, Ukraine (Donetz); 17, Ukraine (Crimea); 18, Georgia (Abkhazia); 19, Northeast Caucasus; 20, North Ossetia; 21, Azerbaijan; 22, Armenia; 23, Turkmenistan; 24, Uzbekistan; 25, Morocco (Cap Ghir); 26, Algeria; 27, Portugal (Ota, Algarve); 28, France (Corsica; Caporalino); 29, Italy (Sardinia); 30, Italy (Friuli); 31, Slovenia; 32, Montenegro (Rumija); 33, Greece (Beotia); 34, Lebanon; 35, Egypt (Richet Umm Werib); 36, Saudi Arabia; 37, Somalia; 38, Iran (Tabas). Data sources are reported in Table 1.
Realms caused repeated shifts of biogeographical boundaries. These have been documented in detail for the Oxfordian (Enay, 1980; Enay and Mangold, 1982).

In both Realms, ammonite-based provinces can be distinguished. These are not easily matched with the distribution of coral reefs that is controlled by factors related to climatic conditions and sea level, but clearly help to illustrate biogeographical changes in the Oxfordian. The Sub-Boreal Province includes faunas that lived in areas now represented by southern England, north and northwestern France, northern Germany, northern Poland and Russia. Tethyan ammonites reached these areas in the Middle Oxfordian (Enay and Mangold, 1982). The Mediterranean Province was characterized by ammonites living in Mediterranean areas on the southern margin of Tethys plus those from the Subbetic Cordillera (in Southern Spain), which was on the northern margin. The Sub-Mediterranean Province, recognized in localities on the northern margin of Tethys (the southern Eurasian platforms bordering the Mediterranean and Central Tethys), is identified by ammonite faunas displaying a lower diversity than those of the Mediterranean Province but characterized by repeated, brief sporadic arrivals of Boreal elements.

2. Paleoclimate and paleotemperatures: available data

2.1. Climatic reconstructions based on GCM simulations

A number of simulations of Late Jurassic climatic regimes have been made using contrasting GCM parameterizations (Moore et al., 1992a,b; Ross et al., 1992; Valdes and Sellwood, 1997; Sellwood and Valdes, 1997; Sellwood et al., 2000). Generally, average global temperatures for the Mesozoic Era have been regarded as higher than today. Sellwood and Valdes (1997) indicate that a warmer Earth would have been more humid, whereas during cooler phases it would have been drier and stressed the importance of cloud cover as a cause of the relative warmth at high latitudes in Mesozoic “greenhouse” type conditions. This seems to conflict with the widespread evidence of continental aridity during the Mesozoic (Hallam, 1985), but different phases have been recognized (Price, 1999) and it is now recognized that the climate was not warm and equable over the entire Mesozoic.

The leading question is whether the climatic regimes depicted by these simulations stand the test of geological and biogeographical observations. There are no available GCM simulations available for the Oxfordian, those of Moore et al. (1992a,b), Ross et al. (1992), and Valdes and Sellwood (1992) refer to the Kimmeridgian-Tithonian, and those of Sellwood et al. (2000) only refer to the Kimmeridgian. It is difficult to find among these simulations for the Late Jurassic one that fits the conditions for the Early and Middle Oxfordian indicated by the geological record. In fact, most refer to a younger interval characterized by “greenhouse” conditions. By contrast, Dromart et al. (2003a) concluded, on the basis of geological, geochemical and paleontological evidence, that there was a severe Late Callovian–Early Oxfordian cooling, with continental ice formation for about 2.6 My. Our observations support this interpretation and additionally suggest warm and humid conditions in the middle Oxfordian.

2.2. Long-term paleotemperature evolution as deduced from oxygen stable isotopes

Most of the work on Jurassic oxygen isotopes has been on skeletal material from belemnites. Belemnite $\delta^{18}O$ values show considerable scatter even from fossils from the same stratigraphical horizon and lacking overt evidence of diagenetic alteration. The scatter is probably due to vital effects and may relate to migration of the animals through the water column (Anderson et al., 1994). Nonetheless, reproducible long-term trends in $\delta^{18}O$ are discernible. Long-term temperature changes inferred from $\delta^{18}O$ values of belemnites from northern Germany, England, Scotland and Russia (paleolatitudes 30–45°N during the Late Jurassic) suggest a temperature minimum around the Callovian–Oxfordian boundary, and the onset of warm conditions in the Early Oxfordian (Podlaha et al., 1998; Riboulleau et al., 1998). The $\delta^{18}O$ values of aragonite in molluscs from England and NW Poland show a similar pattern (Malchus and Steuber, 2002), with relatively low paleotemperatures during the Late Callovian–Early Oxfordian and higher values during
the Late Oxfordian–Early Kimmeridgian (no data were presented for the Middle Oxfordian). These are consistent with palynological evidence from the southern North Sea region of a relatively low paleotemperature around the Callovian–Oxfordian boundary, followed by a distinct rapid warming in the basal part of the Middle Oxfordian (Abbink et al., 2001). Lécuyer et al. (2003) have shown that values of $\delta^{18}$O from shark teeth collected in localities of the Anglo-Paris Basin (25° to 35°N, Fig. 2) increase in the Late Callovian and remain high in the Early to Middle Oxfordian interval, suggesting low paleotemperatures in lower mid-latitudes. While these results may indeed reflect the expression of local conditions, they are based on analyses of teeth from taxa having different ecological requirements. The Late Callovian–Early Oxfordian data are mainly from teeth of Asteracanthus, while those for the Middle Oxfordian are from Sphenodus and pycnodontids (Dromart et al., 2003b). If only the $\delta^{18}$O values from Asteracanthus are selected, the low paleotemperature inferred for the Early Oxfordian would be followed by a warmer Late Oxfordian–Early Kimmeridgian period (no Asteracanthus data are available for the Middle Oxfordian) (Dromart et al., 2003a). Warming through the Oxfordian–Kimmeridgian interval has been suggested on the basis of oxygen isotopes from calcite and aragonite shells collected in mid- to high-paleolatitudes (55–60°S, Falkland Plateau; 80°S New Zealand) (Price and Sellwood, 1997; Price and Gröcke, 2002; Gröcke et al., 2003). Conversely, in low latitudes arid conditions may have caused an increase in sea surface salinity, resulting in an apparent decrease of paleotemperature. The $\delta^{18}$O value is heavier by 0.25‰ for each 1‰ increase in salinity, giving an apparent decrease in paleotemperature of apparent decrease of 1 °C.

To conclude, the available $\delta^{18}$O data suggest cooling in the latest Callovian–earliest Oxfordian and the onset of a warming phase almost at the end of the Early Oxfordian, or from the Early–Middle Oxfordian, lasting throughout the Middle–Late Oxfordian. Local variations in salinity can be superimposed on this general warming trend and this may explain the paradox of apparently conflicting paleotemperatures derived from $\delta^{18}$O data from low and high paleolatitudes.

3. Facies distribution during the Oxfordian

In the paleogeographic domain studied, the Oxfordian interval is characterized by important facies changes in both space and time.

3.1. Early Oxfordian

In epi-oceanic areas of Tethys sedimentation in troughs or basins was characterized by biplanites by radiolitites. By contrast, pelagic swell areas, here called Pelagic Carbonate Platforms (PCP) following Santantonio (1994), were typified by nodular, ammonite-rich condensed limestone sequences, the Rosso Ammonitico (Cecca et al., 1992). Lower Oxfordian deposits
are rarely represented on PCP and hiatuses are common (Checa and Sequeiros, 1990). Intraoceanic platforms characteristically lack reefal facies carbonates (Bartolini et al., 1996 and references therein). In the southern part of the European platform (the Ardèche region in France, the Iberian chain in Spain, and Southern Poland) there is a gap in deposition of Upper Callovian–Lower Oxfordian, with a paraconformable contact of Middle Oxfordian strata on Middle Callovian (Brochwicz-Lewinski et al., 1984; Norris and Hallam, 1995). Extremely condensed and discontinuous carbonate deposits occur in France, in Poitou and Burgundy regions, and in the Swabian Alb, Southern Germany, the Early Oxfordian Marie Zone lacks because of a gap, with shales of the Cordatum Zone resting paraconformably on nodular, discontinuous levels of the Late Callovian Lamberti Zone (Norris and Hallam, 1995). However, a thick shaly Lower Oxfordian succession, the “Terres Noires”, is widespread in basin settings of southeastern France. In the Sub-Boreal Anglo-Paris Basin and in Boreal areas (East Greenland, Scotland, Siberia) the Lower Oxfordian is again represented by siliciclastic facies. These reflect a reduction in carbonate production in the Early Oxfordian (Budyko et al., 1987; Bartolini et al., 1996; Dromart et al., 1996, 2003a). Calculations of carbonate accumulation rates on both sub-tropical (Western Europe) and intertropical (Arabian block) carbonate platforms show very low values in the Callovian–Early Oxfordian time interval (Dromart et al., 2003b). On a global scale, the deposits of epeiric seas became almost carbonate free around the Callovian-Oxfordian transition. Nevertheless, there are few exceptions in the Upper Callovian shallow-water limestones of the Middle East (Hirsch et al., 1998) and Lower Oxfordian limestones of southern Poland and Iran (Fantini Sestini, 1968; Dromart et al., 2003b; Fürsich et al., 2003; Wilmesen et al., 2003). The apparent decrease in carbonate production persists in Mediterranean areas until the Late Oxfordian but carbonate recovery is seen in the Middle Oxfordian shallow-water limestones of the Middle Coast (Hirsch et al., 1998) and Lower Oxfordian limestones of southern Poland and Iran (Fantini Sestini, 1968; Dromart et al., 2003b; Fürsich et al., 2003; Wilmesen et al., 2003). The interpretation of the gaps and condensed sequences in England, France, Spain and Germany is controversial. Whereas Norris and Hallam (1995) and Hallam (2001) consider that these features suggest of a highstand in eustatic sea level Dromart et al. (2003b) envisage a sea-level fall, with an amplitude of at least several tens of metres in the Late Callovian.

3.2. Middle Oxfordian

Coral reefs are reported from the Transversarium Zone and to a lesser extent the Plicatilis Zone of numerous European countries. Carbonates are generally widespread starting from the Plicatilis Zone onwards, although greater accuracy is needed in the biostratigraphic time scale (Glowniak, 2000; Wierzbowski, 2002). Condensed sequences in the Transversarium Zone suggest transgressive conditions (Dromart et al., 2003b). A Middle Oxfordian peak optimum in radiolarite sedimentation was expressed in both the basins of Mediterranean Tethys and on PCPs, where radioliters may be interbedded with Rosso Ammonitico (Baumgartner, 1987; Bartolini et al., 1996; Cecca et al., 2001). This relationship has been correlated with the well known positive shift of $\delta^{13}C$ usually attributed to the Middle Oxfordian ammonite Transversarium Zone (Jenkyns, 1996; Weissert and Mohr, 1996; Wierzbowski, 2002) that follows the Plicatilis Zone, and is interpreted in the context of a greenhouse climate with a trend towards the eutrophication of oceanic waters (Bartolini et al., 1996, 1999; Cecca et al., 2001). These features are consistent with the lack of coral reefs on the Bahamian type intraoceanic carbonate platforms of Mediterranean Tethys (Fig. 3).

3.3. Late Oxfordian

During the Late Oxfordian, the southern margins of Mediterranean Tethys saw a general recovery of carbonate factories both on carbonate platforms and in pelagic basins (Bartolini et al., 1996). Rates of deposition of biogenic silica were low and radiolarian associations were characterized by reduced species diversity and by domination of dissolution-resistant taxa with a parallel trend in decreasing $\delta^{13}C$ values (Bartolini et al., 1999). The fertility of surface waters and radiolarian production gradually decreased, allowing nannofossil communities to develop, but radiolarian preservation in sediments was still possible, probably due to the presence of silica-saturated pore waters in the sediment. A sponge megafacies that developed during the Middle Oxfordian is extensively
represented along the southern margin of the European platform (Gaillard, 1983; Leinfelder, 2001; Wierzbowski, 2002) in relatively deep, neritic environments. Coral reefs are also reported in a wide range of latitudes from Sub-Boreal to Gondwanan areas: from 33°N to the equator for the northern hemisphere.

4. Biogeography

The biogeographical distributions of the fossil groups examined are based on a literature survey and new data in the case of coral reefs and on semi-quantitative estimates of the relative abundance of Boreal invaders for ammonites.

4.1. Distribution of coral reefs

Coral reefs are part of a characteristic geological assemblage (Rosen, 1992) of carbonate platforms that allows warm shallow-water environments, generally limited to low latitudes, to be recognized. Due to the peculiar environmental requirements of most corals (light and nutrient availability, water temperature, salinity, and turbidity, see Harriott and Banks, 2002) their biogeographical distribution in space and time and the paleoecological characteristics of their assemblages are of major interest in the interpretation of paleoclimatic changes (Flügel et al., 1996; Kiesling, 2001).

Changes in the distribution of coral reef assemblages through time (expansion, contraction, disjunction or any other kind of distributional change) are interpreted as fossil signatures of the biotic response to perturbations or disruptions of environmental conditions. Rosen (1992) stressed that distributional change is only one of possible responses to loss of equilibrium. The organisms’ endogenous processes may themselves accommodate, perhaps genetically, a certain amount of environmental change. In such a situation, the distributional area could remain the same. Other responses include speciation or extinction.

The paleobiogeographical distribution of supposed zooxanthellate corals in the Oxfordian is based on the data in Table 1 shown in Fig. 3 (see also Figs. 5–7). The paleolatitude values are deduced from the map of Thierry (2000) that is built on the paleolatitude grid of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Region</th>
<th>Authors (Year)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yorkshire</td>
<td>Negus, 1991; Insalaco, 1999; Wright and Cox, 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normandy</td>
<td>Dugué et al., 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorraine</td>
<td>Beauvais, 1964; Geister and Lathuilière, 1991; Olivier et al., 2004; Lathuilière and Martin-Garin (personal unpublished data)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Jura</td>
<td>Beauvais, 1964; Habrant, 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uzbekistan</td>
<td>Saidusmanov, 1974a,b; Khusanov, 1983, 1984b, 1987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td>El-Asa’ad, 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorraine</td>
<td>Beauvais, 1964; Geister and Lathuilière, 1991; Olivier et al., 2004; Bertling and Insalaco, 1998; Lathuilière and Martin-Garin (personal unpublished data)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burgundy</td>
<td>Beauvais, 1964; Insalaco et al., 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swiss Jura</td>
<td>Koby, 1880–1889; Beauvais, 1963; Beauvais, 1964; Pümpin, 1965; Dupraz, 1999; Dupraz and Strasser, 1999, 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Bertling, 1993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>Roniewicz, 1966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donetz</td>
<td>Krasnov et al., 1977; Krasnov, 1983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uzbekistan</td>
<td>Saidusmanov, 1974a,b; Khusanov, 1983, 1984b, 1987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algeria</td>
<td>Benest et al., 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>Leinfelder, 1992; Bendukidze, 1982; Rosendahl, 1985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corsica</td>
<td>Beauvais and Rieuf, 1981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td>El-Asa’ad, 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>Eliášová, 1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Ossetia</td>
<td>Krasnov et al., 1977; Krasnov, 1983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>Alloiteau and Farag, 1964</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Besse and Courtillot (1991) and the kinematic parameters presented by Ricou (1994). Due to the difficulties of high-resolution dating of reefal rocks and correlation with ammonite biozones, three sub-stages of the Oxfordian have been plotted. Numerous uncertainties in age assignments are acknowledged. For example, Late Oxfordian and Early Kimmeridgian assemblages are difficult to differentiate. The accuracy in age assignments depends both on correlation and the geometrical relationships between the reefal formations and ammonite-bearing heteropic strata. Absence of the latter strata severely limits, or precludes, accurate dating and hampers a sound, quantitative estimation of diversity fluctuations through time. Nevertheless, to the left of the lower part of Fig. 3, are tentative plots of numbers of coral reef occurrences per substage, when age assignments were sufficiently reliable. Numbers to the right represent coral reef occurrences at chronological intervals whose extent depends on the degree of uncertainty of age assignments.

The distribution of coral reefs throughout the Oxfordian (Flügel and Flügel-Kahler, 1992; Martin-Garin et al., 2002) is limited to about 35°N (Negus, 1991; Insalaco et al., 1997; Insalaco, 1999), compatible with the present northern limits, where coral reefs occur around Iki Island (Japan) at 33°48′N (Yamano et al., 2001). However, the most southerly Oxfordian reef was close to 25°S (Thomas, 1935) and contrasts with the present 31°40′S of the Recent Lord Howe Island reef (Harriott et al., 1995).

The lower part of Fig. 3 indicates that there are no undoubted Early Oxfordian coral reefs represented in our data. By contrast, reefs were abundant in both the middle and the late Oxfordian. The apparent absence of Lower Oxfordian coral reefs is underlined by the seven occurrences plotted as “undifferentiated Middle–Upper Oxfordian”, seven plotted as “Upper Oxfordian and/or Lower Kimmeridgian” and the two occurrences plotted as “undifferentiated Middle–Upper Oxfordian and Lower Kimmeridgian”. Poor dating may affect this picture as many age assignments of reefal complexes in Eastern Europe and Central Asia need to be reassessed. Lower Oxfordian coral outcrops have been described from Sinai (Alloiteau and Farag, 1964), although their real reefal character remains to be established. Of course, Lower Oxfordian reef materials may be represented among the five occurrences plotted as “undifferentiated Oxfordian” and/or the three plotted as “undifferentiated Oxfordian and Early Kimmeridgian”, but Middle or Late Oxfordian ages for all these records are more likely. In conclusion, this rough assessment of our data strongly supports the absence of Lower Oxfordian coral reef formations in the area represented in Fig. 3 (see also Fig. 5). There may be an exception in corals from Crimea, but the stratigraphical and paleoecological status of these needs to be revised. For the moment no

---

**Table 1 (continued)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Author(s) and Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Somalia</td>
<td>Thomas, 1935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>Pandey and Fürsich, 2003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Author(s) and Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crimea</td>
<td>Krasnov et al., 1977; Krasnov, 1983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crimea</td>
<td>Ljuljeva and Permjakov, 1980; Bendukidze, 1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montenegro</td>
<td>Krkovic, 1965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>Pandey and Fürsich, 2003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Author(s) and Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Carpathian</td>
<td>Krasnov et al., 1977; Krasnov, 1983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crimea</td>
<td>Helm and Schulke, 1998, 2003; Helm et al., 2001, 2003a,b; Reuter et al., 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crimea</td>
<td>Papoyan, 1977, 1980</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Late Oxfordian and/or Early Kimmeridgian**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Author(s) and Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boulonnais</td>
<td>Schnyder et al., 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moldavia</td>
<td>Krasnov et al., 1977; Krasnov, 1983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romania</td>
<td>Roniewicz, 1976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeast Caucasus</td>
<td>Bendukidze, 1977; Krasnov et al., 1977; Krasnov, 1983; Bendukidze, 1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkmenistan</td>
<td>Khusanov, 1984a, 1985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morocco</td>
<td>Ambroeggi, 1963; Häussner, 1985; Ourirbane et al., 1999, 2000; Martin-Garin et al., 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sardinia</td>
<td>Russo and Sirna, 1986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>Insalaco et al., 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovenia</td>
<td>Turnsek, 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>Beauvais, 1972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lebanon</td>
<td>Felix, 1903</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Early Oxfordian coral reefs have been demonstrated and we must assume that reefs were at least scarce in this interval of time.

The distribution of Middle Oxfordian reef corals is noteworthy as very few are present in low latitudes. They are absent from the intraoceanic platforms of the Mediterranean Tethys and from the southern Eurasian platforms on the northern margin of Tethys. Their apparent occurrences on the southern margin correspond to poorly dated assemblages. Thus, Middle Oxfordian reef corals occur in Madagascar, SW Madagascar on their paleogeographic maps inexplicably quoting Pichon (1972) and Beauvais (1985), whose papers refer respectively to Plioquaternary and Bathonian–Callovian outcrops. However, a paper by Mette (2004) indicates a rich coral fauna in the Middle/Upper Oxfordian interval of the Dangovato section, Morondava basin. Because they lack sufficient age-diagnostic elements it is difficult to separate Upper Oxfordian reefal formations from those of the Lower Kimmeridgian. A Late Oxfordian recovery of coral reefs in low latitudes seems to have occurred on the intraoceanic platforms of the Mediterranean in Morocco, Algeria, and Lebanon; in these areas reefs span a latitudinal interval between 32°N and 10°S. However, problems of age assignment mean that their recovery may have been completed in the early Kimmeridgian. In many instances the reefal character of these coral assemblages is clear. The northernmost occurrences are in northern Germany (Bertling, 1993; Helm and Schülke, 1998) but, Helm and Schülke (2003), Helm et al. (2001, 2003a,b) and Reuter et al. (2001) indicate that these may be Middle Oxfordian. Interestingly, the reefal assemblages typical of the Middle Oxfordian in northern latitudes are found at lower latitudes in the Upper Oxfordian of eastern France (Lorraine) and Switzerland (Martin-Garin et al., 2002).

In conclusion, fluctuations in the biogeographical distribution of coral reefs during the Oxfordian suggest a latitudinal shift of climatic belts. The cooling event that started in the Late Callovian (Podlaha et al., 1998; Riboulleau et al., 1998; Abbink et al., 2001; Malchus and Steuber, 2002; Dromart et al., 2003a,b) continued into the Early Oxfordian and is indicated by the absence of reefal formations in the geographical domain considered here. This climatic event also explains the hiatus recognized in Central Europe because cooling hampered carbonate production. The recovery of coral reefs in the Middle Oxfordian is limited to the European epicontinental platform in a narrow latitudinal belt between 25° and 35°N (perhaps more). These reefal formations seem to indicate oligotrophic conditions at their climax stage, and hence scarce nutrient supply, suggesting an arid climate on the bordering landmass. No reef recovery is indicated for Mediterranean Tethys in this period (Fig. 3). The complete recovery of coral reefs...
throughout the whole geographic domain was in the Late Oxfordian. However, there are argillaceous intercalations in Upper Oxfordian European platform successions, and the reefal facies differ from those of the Middle Oxfordian and do not suggest oligotrophic conditions (Dupraz, 1999; Dupraz and Strasser, 2002; Carpentier et al., 2002; Olivier et al., 2004). The widespread occurrence of microbialites suggests mesotrophy and eutrophy, with arguably more nutrients and a local more humid climatic conditions in the Late Oxfordian (Pittet, 1996). At lower latitudes, in the Mediterranean Tethys, the low proportion of biogenic silica in sediments suggests dilution of radiolarites by peri-platform oozes. This is correlated with the recovery of high carbonate production on intraoceanic platforms.

Fig. 4. Fluctuation in time of the frequency of representatives of the Boreal family Cardioceratidae within ammonite assemblages in three selected paleogeographical regions: (1) Scotland/Yorkshire and Oxfordshire/Dorset in England, (2) Paris Basin, Burgundy and Jura mountains in France, (3) Southeast France. Data have been taken from varied sources (see text). The ages assigned to ammonite zones are taken from Hardenbol et al. (1998).
4.2. Ammonites

In the late Callovian, true boreal ammonites such as cardioceratids and kosmoceratids began to spread towards lower latitudes and to reach the southern margin of the European platform (the northern margin of the Tethys) although they never crossed this paleogeographical and paleoecological boundary (Enay, 1980; Enay and Mangold, 1982; Gygi and Marchand, 1982; Marchand, 1984, 1992; Cariou et al., 1985). Boreal ammonites consistently spread into southern France in the Late Callovian–Early Oxfordian, their movement coinciding with the absence of reefal formations. Thus, true Boreal ammonites (family Cardioceratidae) are present in Tethyan, Sub-Mediterranean assemblages and have been as considered paleotemperature markers. Their southward expansion has been taken to suggest cooling (Dromart et al., 2003a).

There has so far been no precise analysis of the relative frequency, or temporal fluctuation, of representatives of the Cardioceratidae in either sub-Boreal or Sub-Mediterranean assemblages. This reflects a lack of data on numbers of specimens of each ammonite taxon.

---

**Fig. 5.** Northern and southern limits of Tethyan (essentially Oppeliidae and *Peltoceratoides* (Tethyan forms)) and Boreal ammonites respectively during the Early Oxfordian. The paleogeographical positions of continental masses have been taken from the map (Thierry, 2000, modified). Extent of land areas and biogeographical data have been taken from Enay and Mangold (1982) and (DM, FC) authors’ personal data.
collected at each locality. However, new data, and numbers of specimens noted in paleontological monographs provide rough estimates of the relative frequency of cardioceratids in assemblages along a north–south transect (Fig. 4). This has only been possible for the interval from the Late Callovian Athleta zone to the Middle Oxfordian Plicatilis zone, as cardioceratids were only present sporadically during the rest of the Oxfordian. Three reference paleogeographical regions have been selected: (1) Scotland/Yorkshire and Oxfordshire/Dorset in England, (2) the Paris Basin, Burgundy and the Jura mountains in France, (3) Southeast France. Data have been taken from different sources: Arkell (1948), Wright (1973, 1986, 1996a,b, 1997), Sykes and Callomon (1979), Vidier et al. (1993), Callomon (1985) and Marchand (1986) for Scotland/Yorkshire and Oxfordshire/Dorset; Enay (1966), Marchand and Dardeau (1979), Gygi and Marchand (1982), Marchand (1986), Dommergues and Marchand (1988) and Courville et al. (2000) for the Paris Basin, Burgundy and the Jura mountains; Bourseau (1977), Dardeau and Marchand (1981) and Fortwengler and Marchand (1994), Fortwengler et al. (1997) for Southeast France.

Fig. 6. Northern and southern limits of Tethyan and Boreal ammonites respectively during the Middle Oxfordian. The coral reef occurrences have been taken from the data presented in Fig. 3. Only the occurrences of unambiguous age assignment have been taken here. See also caption to Fig. 5.
The arrival of Boreal ammonites in Sub-Mediterranean assemblages, indicated by both their relative frequency within the assemblages and the number of boreal species, occurred at the top of the Callovian from the Paris Basin to southern France. This faunal transgression (referred to as “unsuccessful recruitment” in Cecca, 2002) to the south continued into the Early Oxfordian (Fig. 4 and 5).

The Tethyan genus *Peltoceratoides* expanded its biogeographical range to the north (southern England, northern Germany), reaching Scotland during the Early Oxfordian (Cordatum Zone, Bukowski Sub-zone) (Marchand and Dardeau, 1979; Marchand, 1986). This does not conflict with the southward expansion of cardioceratids but simply reveals the eurythermal character of some Tethyan taxa (e.g. *Peltoceratoides*) and that northward extension had become possible (Fig. 5). This situation continued into the Early Oxfordian and in the Middle Oxfordian Plicatilis Zone, when other Tethyan ammonites reached Scotland (Fig. 6). However, in the Transversarium Zone there are fewer Boreal ammonites in the Paris Basin and they have almost disappeared in lower latitudes (Southeast France) (Fig. 4). In the Late Oxfordian deposits of this area only rare cardioceratids of the genus *Amoeboceras* are recorded (Atrops, 1983).

![Map showing the distribution of Tethyan and Boreal ammonites and coral reef occurrences during the Late Oxfordian.](image)

Fig. 7. Northern and southern limits of Tethyan and Boreal ammonites respectively, and coral reef occurrences during the Late Oxfordian. See also caption to Figs. 5 and 6.
et al., 1993), whereas to the north, in Yorkshire and Scotland, Cardioceratidae continued to dominate ammonite assemblages (Wright, 1973, 1996b, 1997; Sykes and Callomon, 1979; Callomon, 1985) because the northern limit of Tethyan ammonites shifted southward (Fig. 7).

The interpretation of ammonite paleobiogeography in terms of paleoclimatic change is not straightforward as it strongly depends on sea-level changes that may have had a considerable impact on the distributional pattern of marine faunas. The reconstruction of changes in sea level around the Callovian–Oxfordian boundary is controversial: Norris and Hallam (1995) recognized a transgression over western Europe; Jacquin et al. (1998) placed the top of a regressive cycle at the base of the Late Callovian Lamberti Zone and the top of the following transgressive cycle within the lower part of the Early Oxfordian Cordatum Zone; Dromart et al. (2003a,b) postulated an abrupt sea-level fall within the Lamberti Zone followed by a sea-level rise starting at the Callovian–Oxfordian boundary.

During a transgression “warm” Tethyan and “temperate” Boreal waters can be expected to mix. As a result, Tethyan and Boreal ammonite biotas should co-occur (as in southeastern France), with the southward expansion of the stenothermal forms (cardioceratids) and northward expansion of Tethyan eurytherms (for example Peltoceratoides). This scenario is consistent with the patterns described for the Early Oxfordian. However, morphological changes in cardioceratids of the Late Callovian–Middle Oxfordian (Gygi and Marchand, 1982; Marchand, 1986) may reflect adaptations to different environments and depth-habitats and require caution in drawing paleoclimatic conclusions.

5. Paleoclimatic interpretation

Our data demonstrate a number of expansions and contractions of bioprovinces. The temporal and spatial distribution of coral reefs, together with their facies characteristics, contrast with the wide distribution of radiolarites in Tethys but indicate that climatic conditions within the latitudinal belt considered were far from equable. At least three scenarios, roughly corresponding to Early, Middle and Late Oxfordian can be outlined.

The beginning of the Early Oxfordian was probably characterized by the persistence of cool conditions enhanced in the Late Callovian. A progressive warming in the Middle Oxfordian is demonstrated by a renewed growth of reefs and, with a lesser confidence, by ammonite biogeographical patterns. We interpret the lack of Lower Oxfordian reefal formations and the general absence of radiolarites as the result of this cooling event, which lasted about 1 My (accepting the time scale used here). The widespread hiatus and the common absence of Upper Callovian and Lower Oxfordian deposits (Brochwicz-Lewinski et al., 1984) may be tentatively explained in terms of crises of carbonate production. This is consistent with the cooling hypothesis of Dromart et al. (2003a,b), who envisaged a sea-level fall in the Late Callovian followed by a sea-level rise starting around the Callovian–Oxfordian boundary, but conflicts with the eustatic interpretation of Norris and Hallam (1995) and Hallam (2001) who considered that condensation due to transgression was a more plausible scenario. The ammonite biogeographical patterns for the Early Oxfordian are consistent with both eustatic interpretations. Personal observations and literature data show that around the Callovian–Oxfordian boundary real gaps are more common than condensations. In the basins of the northern margin of Tethys and in the small tectonic basins of the northeastern European platform, shaly successions accumulated during this interval and geochemical and palynological data confirm the cooling scenario outlined (Podlaha et al., 1998; Riboulleau et al., 1998; Collin, 2000; Abbink et al., 2001; Malchus and Steuber, 2002; Dromart et al., 2003a,b).

A warming event in the Middle Oxfordian leading to “greenhouse” type conditions is indicated by both biogeographical (mostly coral-reef distribution, see Fig. 3) and geochemical data (Hoffman et al., 1991; Jenkyns, 1996; Weissert and Mohr, 1996; Price and Sellwood, 1997; Cecca et al., 2001; Price and Gröcke, 2002; Gröcke et al., 2003; Wierzbski, 2002, 2004). However, relatively arid conditions between 25 and 35° N (perhaps more) resulting in low run-off and depleted nutrient supply may account for the widespread development of reefal formations. In contrast, humid conditions, reflected in increased runoff and nutrient input are necessary to explain the maximum spread of radiolarites in the deep basins of the
Mediterranean Tethys (Bartolini et al., 1996). This apparent contradiction may simply reflect climatic zones with contrasting precipitation regimes in the two latitudinal belts, connected with their positions relative to atmospheric cells. In this “greenhouse” period the fossil record implies contrasting regional climates. This interpretation is consistent with GCMs simulations and with the UGAMP model of Sellwood et al. (2000) in particular. An alternative, complementary, explanation links ocean currents and possible upwellings to the paleorelief of pelagic platforms (see map in Leinfelder, 1993, p. 20). No reefs were developed on Italian PCPs during the Jurassic. The Friuli carbonate platform (Insalaco et al., 1997) and the Umbria-Marche Apennines PCPs (Santantonio et al., 1997; Gill et al., 2004) are characterized by a significant microbial component and by pennular mesotrophic corals lacking a reef growth framework.

Distinct latitudinal belts are also inferred for the Late Oxfordian. During this period reefs extended between 10°S and 32°N (implying aridity or isolation) but further north biofacies analyses suggest humid conditions on the European platforms (Dupraz, 1999; Dupraz and Strasser, 1999, 2002; Carpentier et al., 2002). The difficulties of dating reefal formations limit knowledge of either the northward extent of the humid belt or the existence of relatively less humid conditions in Mediterranean areas. High resolution sequence stratigraphy coupled with cyclostratigraphy from sections in the Peri-Tethyan basins of western Europe (paleolatitude of 20–30°N) show that during the Middle–Late Oxfordian, fluctuations and inversions of the high- and low-pressure atmospheric cells, driven by orbital insolation cycles, were superimposed on this general warming trend (Pittet and Strasser, 1998). The rainfall patterns and the distributions of corals, ooids, palynomorphs and siliciclastic sediments all followed the paleolatitudinal fluctuations of the atmospheric cells (Pittet and Strasser, 1998; Pittet et al., 2000). For example, during the Planula Zone, arid and oligotrophic conditions, and an important transgression, induced high carbonate production in the Jura Mountains and connected shallow platform areas (paleolatitude 26–27°N), with large amounts of carbonate mud exported towards the deep shelf of southern Germany (Pittet et al., 2000). Contemporary data from the Prebetic Zone of southern Spain (paleolatitude 23–24°N) suggest a more humid climate coupled with a dramatic decrease in carbonate deposition and condensed sedimentation allied to drowning of the platform (Pittet et al., 2000). The fluctuations of atmospheric cells may also have induced local variations in salinity, mirrored by excursions in the isotopic signal of the water mass (Bartolini et al., 2003; Wierzbowski, 2004).

The observed distribution of reefal facies during the Late Oxfordian–Early Kimmeridgian fits relatively well with the simulations of Sellwood et al. (2000): low rainfall in the Tethyan Mediterranean area (from 0.5 to 2.0 mm per day) and slightly higher rainfall (between 2.0 and 4.0 mm per day) in central and northern Europe. Unfortunately, detailed paleoecological studies of these peri-equatorial Oxfordian reefs are still lacking. A paleoclimatic model for the three epochs of the Oxfordian has yet to be realized taking into account paleotemperature values and paleogeographical maps with Oxfordian shorelines has yet to be established.

6. Conclusions

The cool climatic phase of the Late Callovian–Early Oxfordian proposed by Dromart et al. (2003a), and other potential cold phases reviewed by Price (1999), substantially weaken the old idea of a warm-equable climate in the Jurassic. Our data confirm the extent of the cold episode in the Early Oxfordian but also suggest climate changes later in the Oxfordian. The geographical distribution of coral reefs (and less clearly of ammonites) together with facies distributions around the Tethyan margins suggest a possible “thermal maximum” in the Middle Oxfordian with general warm conditions persisting throughout the Late Oxfordian.

Changes in the biogeographical distribution of coral reefs provide a powerful tool for testing paleotemperature and paleoclimatic reconstructions based solely on geochemical markers. The analyses of changes in biogeographical and facies distribution, related to δ18O variations, suggests paleolatitudinal patterns in precipitation regimes against a general background of a warm climate for the Middle and the Late Oxfordian. The consequent variations in salinity, linked to more arid or humid conditions, may bias the δ18O paleotemperature
signal. The icehouse mode for at least for Middle and Late Oxfordian is not supported by biogeographic and facies data. There is a need for a more accurate time resolution of paleoclimatic changes.

Geological and paleontological data (Crowley and Berner, 2001) reconcile the tropical records of Amoeboceras with the role of CO$_2$ in long-term climate change and no longer can be overlooked in testing paleoclimatic models.
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