ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVE: To assess the effect of ventilator-associated pneumonia on resource utilization, morbidity, and mortality.

DESIGN: Retrospective matched cohort study based on prospectively collected data.

SETTING: Medical intensive care unit of a university teaching hospital.

PATIENTS: Case-patients were all patients receiving mechanical ventilation for 48 hours or more who experienced an episode of ventilator-associated pneumonia. Control-patients were matched for number of discharge diagnoses, duration of mechanical support before the onset of pneumonia among case-patients, age, admission diagnosis, gender, and study period.

RESULTS: One hundred six cases of ventilator-associated pneumonia were identified in 452 patients receiving mechanical ventilation. The matching procedure selected 97 pairs. Length of stay in the intensive care unit and duration of mechanical ventilation were greater among case-patients by a mean of 7.2 days ($P<.001$) and 5.1 days ($P<.001$), respectively. Median costs were $24,727 (interquartile range, $18,348 to $39,703) among case-patients and $17,438 (interquartile range, $12,261 to $24,226) among control-patients ($P<.001$). The attributable mortality rate was 7.3% ($P<.001$). The attributable extra hospital stay was 10 days with an extra cost of $15,896 per episode of pneumonia.

CONCLUSION: Ventilator-associated pneumonia negatively affects patient outcome and represents a significant burden on intensive care unit and hospital resources (Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2004;25:1090-1096).

METHODS

Study Design

The University of Geneva Hospitals healthcare delivery system includes medical, surgical, pediatric, and neonatal ICUs. The study was conducted in the 18-bed medical ICU, to which 1,400 patients are admitted per year for a mean stay of 4 days. We performed a retrospective matched (1:1) cohort study using prospectively collected data from October 1995 to November 1997 to compare all patients with VAP (case-patients) with an individually matched cohort of patients receiving mechanical ventilation without pneumonia (control-
Estimation of Costs

The University of Geneva Hospitals has developed a system to compute patient costs to comply with Swiss legal requirements. The model is based on both hospital accounting data and patient activity data extracted from the hospital information system. A total cost for each hospital administrative structure (e.g., ICU, radiology department, or microbiology laboratory) is first computed by adding internal costs of the structure itself and imported costs from other hospital structures. Unit costs are then computed for each resource produced by this structure by dividing the total cost by the sum of produced activity. These unit costs are finally redistributed to patients according to their consumed resources, thus providing a precise allocation of costs are finally redistributed to patients according to their consumed resources, thus providing a precise allocation of the total cost by the sum of produced activity. These unit costs are then computed for each resource produced by this structure by dividing the total cost by the sum of produced activity. These unit costs are finally redistributed to patients according to their consumed resources, thus providing a precise allocation of costs.

Surveillance and Definitions

We conducted on-site prospective surveillance of all nosocomial infections. Briefly, one infection control nurse visited the ICU daily (5 days per week) and completed a dedicated surveillance chart for all patients who stayed in the unit for 48 hours or more. Surveillance was continued up to 5 days after ICU discharge to detect infections that were acquired in this setting, but clinically evident only after discharge. Nosocomial infections were defined according to Centers for Disease Control and Prevention criteria, except that asymptomatic bacteruria was not considered an infection and the definition of pneumonia was modified as described below.

Information was collected regarding all nosocomial infections, demographic characteristics, admission and discharge diagnoses, exposure to invasive devices, ICU and hospital survival status, and costs.

Selection of Control-Patients

A control-patient was a patient as similar as possible to a case-patient, but without VAP. Eligible control-patients were all patients who benefited from mechanical ventilation for 48 hours or more and who remained free of pneumonia during their entire ICU stay. Case-patients were individually matched with one control-patient on several variables, and a 20-point scale scoring system was determined to ensure optimal matching as follows: total number of discharge diagnoses ± 2 (6 points); duration of mechanical ventilation of the control-patient at least 10% (5 points); age ± 5 years (4 points) or ± 10 years (3 points); ICU admission diagnosis according to International Classification of Diseases, 10th edition (3 points); gender (1 point); and study period (admission before or after March 1, 1997) (1 point). All possible pairs were investigated and the best control-patient for each case-patient was retained. If several control-patients had the same score when matched for a particular case-patient, one was randomly selected. If a control-patient was the best for more than one case-patient, then the second best on the list was selected, and this procedure was repeated until each control-patient was used only once. Based on the matching criteria, only pairs with a score of 15/20 or greater were kept for analysis.

Statistical Analysis

The main measures of interest were the ICU length of stay, duration of mechanical ventilation, costs, and mortality rate. Secondary measures were hospital length of stay, costs, and mortality rate.

Length of stay, duration of mechanical ventilation, and costs were compared among the entire cohort and among pairs in which both the case-patient and the control-patient survived.

Continuous variables were expressed as medians and ranges or interquartile ranges. To respect the matching, attributable length of stay, duration of mechanical ventilation, and costs were expressed as the means of the individual case–control differences. Significance tests
TABLE 1
LENGTH OF STAY AND COSTS ACCORDING TO INFECTION STATUS FOR THE MEDICAL INTENSIVE CARE UNIT, UNIVERSITY OF GENEVA HOSPITALS, 1995 TO 1997*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Median Length of Stay (d)</th>
<th>Median Costs (U.S. Dollars)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total study population</td>
<td>1,068</td>
<td>5 (3-9)</td>
<td>8,228 (5,409-14,351)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients without ICU-acquired infection</td>
<td>787</td>
<td>4 (2-6)</td>
<td>6,691 (4,767-9,759)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients with ICU-acquired infection</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>11 (8-18)</td>
<td>19,032 (12,759-28,561)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients with ICU-acquired VAP</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>16 (11-25)</td>
<td>26,597 (18,662-40,756)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ICU = intensive care unit; VAP = ventilator-associated pneumonia.
*Interquartile ranges appear in parentheses.

were performed using the one-sample Student's t test, and 95% confidence intervals (CI95) based on the t distribution were reported.

The attributable mortality rate of VAP was the difference in case-fatality rate between case-patients and control-patients. We used the McNemar method to test whether the attributable mortality rate differed from zero and built confidence intervals using the normal approximation of the binomial distribution.

All tests were two-tailed, and P values of .05 or less were considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were conducted with STATA software (version 6.0; STATA Corp., College Station, TX).

RESULTS
Total Surveyed Population
During the study period, we surveyed 1,049 patients admitted to the medical ICU for 48 hours or more, accounting for 1,068 patient-stays. The median age was 63 years (range, 16 to 92 years), and the male-to-female ratio was 1:4. The median ICU stay was 5 days (range, 2 to 134 days). Device use was as follows: 88% of patients had a peripheral catheter, 67% had a central catheter, 83% had an arterial catheter, 70% had a urinary catheter, and 42% underwent mechanical ventilation. We detected 554 ICU-acquired infections in 281 patients (26.8%). Leading infections were pneumonia (28.7%), bloodstream (20.4%), skin and soft tissue (15.3%), catheter exit site (13.5%), and urinary tract (11.2%). Compared with patients without ICU-acquired infection, patients with any type of ICU-acquired infection and patients with VAP had a median length of stay and costs that were almost 3 times greater and 4 times greater, respectively (Table 1).

ICU and hospital mortality rates were 16.8% and 24.4%, respectively. Most ICU deaths occurred among patients receiving mechanical ventilation (140 [31.0%] of 452 patients receiving ventilation vs 39 [6.3%] of 616 patients not receiving ventilation, yielding a relative risk of death of 4.9 [CI95, 3.5 to 6.8]).

Overall, 452 patients benefited from mechanical ventilation. Among these, 11 were excluded because the episode of pneumonia occurred before or within 48 hours of the start of ventilatory support. Of 441 patients receiving mechanical ventilation, 106 (24%) developed VAP, resulting in an infection rate of 37.0 per 1,000 ventilation-days (CI95, 30.6 to 44.9).

Success of Matching
The cohort of eligible control-patients consisted of 335 patients who were matched with 106 case-patients. Nine pairs (8.5%) were excluded due to insufficient matching, leaving 97 pairs for further analysis. All pairs were matched for the number of discharge diagnoses, 92% for the duration of mechanical ventilation before the onset of VAP in case-patients, 73% for age ± 5 years, 92% for age ± 10 years, 85% for admission diagnosis, 85% for study period, and 71% for gender. Although pulmonary disease as an admission diagnosis was more frequent among case-patients, this trend did not reach statistical significance (P = .13). The median score was 19 points (range, 15 to 20 points); 26 pairs were perfectly matched. Eighty-seven percent of the variables (508 of 582) were successfully matched.

Of 97 episodes of VAP, 69 (71%) were diagnosed through quantitative or semiquantitative culture techniques. Seventeen episodes were polymicrobial. The leading pathogens were gram-negative microorganisms (54%), mainly *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (12%), *Enterobacter* species (9%), *Haemophilus influenzae* (7%), and *Escherichia coli* (6%). *Staphylococcus aureus* accounted for 10% of the pathogens identified.

Case-patients and control-patients did not differ in terms of the matching variables and nosocomial infections, other than VAP (Table 2). Hospital stay before ICU admission and ICU stay before initiation of mechanical ventilation were similar in the two groups. The total duration of mechanical ventilation was significantly greater among the case-patients.

In Table 2, control-patients selected by the matching process are compared with the remaining eligible control-patients. Illness tended to be more severe among selected control-patients as the number of discharge diagnoses, duration of mechanical ventilation, ICU stay, and proportion of other ICU-acquired infections were all greater. The median ICU length of stay was 10 days (interquartile range, 7 to 14 days) and 4 days (interquar-
TABLE 2
SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS OF THE STUDY POPULATION FROM THE MEDICAL INTENSIVE CARE UNIT, UNIVERSITY OF GENEVA HOSPITALS, 1995 TO 1997*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Case-Patients (N = 97)</th>
<th>Selected Control-Patients (N = 97)</th>
<th>P*</th>
<th>Eligible Control-Patients (N = 238)</th>
<th>P†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Matching variables</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of discharge diagnoses</td>
<td>6 (4–8)</td>
<td>6 (5–8)</td>
<td>.38</td>
<td>5 (3–6)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of ventilation-days at risk</td>
<td>7 (4–9)</td>
<td>7 (4–10)</td>
<td>.43</td>
<td>2 (1–3)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>65.6 (55.3–72.2)</td>
<td>63.1 (51.6–71.3)</td>
<td>.22</td>
<td>59.3 (41.4–72.7)</td>
<td>.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admission diagnosis, no. (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular disease</td>
<td>19 (19.6)</td>
<td>17 (17.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td>34 (14.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infectious disease</td>
<td>49 (50.5)</td>
<td>57 (58.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td>101 (42.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary disease</td>
<td>16 (16.5)</td>
<td>11 (11.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td>24 (10.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other disease</td>
<td>13 (13.4)</td>
<td>12 (12.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td>79 (33.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Period, no. (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before March 1, 1997</td>
<td>67 (69.1)</td>
<td>66 (68.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td>158 (66.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After March 1, 1997</td>
<td>30 (31.0)</td>
<td>31 (32.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td>80 (33.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender, no. (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>63 (65.0)</td>
<td>57 (58.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td>128 (53.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>34 (35.0)</td>
<td>40 (41.2)</td>
<td></td>
<td>110 (46.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other variables</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital stay before ICU admission, d</td>
<td>0 (0–1)</td>
<td>0 (0–1)</td>
<td>.27</td>
<td>0 (0–1)</td>
<td>.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICU stay before mechanical ventilation, d</td>
<td>0 (0–1)</td>
<td>0 (0–0)</td>
<td>.49</td>
<td>0 (0–0)</td>
<td>.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total duration of mechanical ventilation, d</td>
<td>10 (6–16)</td>
<td>7 (4–10)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>2 (1–3)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICU-acquired infections, no. (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary bloodstream infection</td>
<td>23 (23.7)</td>
<td>29 (29.9)</td>
<td>.38</td>
<td>14 (5.9)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catheter exit-site infection</td>
<td>9 (9.3)</td>
<td>14 (14.4)</td>
<td>.36</td>
<td>6 (2.5)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary tract infection</td>
<td>14 (14.4)</td>
<td>10 (10.3)</td>
<td>.48</td>
<td>8 (3.4)</td>
<td>.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other nosocomial infection</td>
<td>35 (36.1)</td>
<td>24 (24.7)</td>
<td>.998</td>
<td>14 (5.9)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any nosocomial infection other than VAP</td>
<td>55 (56.7)</td>
<td>47 (48.5)</td>
<td>.24</td>
<td>37 (15.6)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ICU = intensive care unit; VAP = ventilator-associated pneumonia.
*Continuous variables are summarized as medians (interquartile ranges). All P values are two-tailed.
†P values are for the comparison between case-patients and selected control-patients.
‡P values are for the comparison between selected control-patients and eligible control-patients.

The median costs were $20,941 (interquartile range, $15,273 to $32,947) among the total population and were significantly higher among case-patients, resulting in mean attributable costs of $10,450 (CI95, $5,521 to $15,380) per episode of VAP (Table 3), totaling $1,107,700 when considering all 106 episodes of VAP that occurred during the study period. The distribution of costs stratified by infection status according to the main categories is shown in the figure.

The ICU mortality rate was 28.4% (55 of 194). The case-fatality rate among case-patients and control-patients was 32.0% and 24.7%, respectively, resulting in an attributable mortality rate of 7.3% (CI95, 6.4% to 20.8%) and a relative risk of death of 1.3 (CI95, 0.8 to 2.0).

HOSPITAL LENGTH OF STAY, COSTS, AND MORTALITY

The median hospital length of stay among case-patients and control-patients was 33.5 days (interquartile range, 18.5 to 59 days) and 23.5 days (interquartile range, 15 to 43 days), respectively, yielding a mean attributable
TABLE 3
LENGTH OF STAY IN THE INTENSIVE CARE UNIT, DURATION OF MECHANICAL VENTILATION, AND COSTS ATTRIBUTABLE TO VENTILATOR-ASSOCIATED PNEUMONIA IN THE MEDICAL INTENSIVE CARE UNIT, UNIVERSITY OF GENEVA HOSPITALS, 1995 TO 1997*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of Pairs</th>
<th>Case-Patients*</th>
<th>Control-Patients*</th>
<th>Attributable to VAP (CI 95%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Length of stay, d</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total population</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>15 (11–24)</td>
<td>10 (7–14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survivors</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>15.5 (10–24)</td>
<td>11 (8–14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Duration of mechanical ventilation, d</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total population</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>10 (6–16)</td>
<td>7 (4–10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survivors</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>11 (6–17)</td>
<td>7.5 (4–10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Costs, U.S. dollars</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total population</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>24,727 (18,348–39,703)</td>
<td>17,438 (12,261–24,226)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survivors</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>26,015 (18,428–37,315)</td>
<td>18,498 (13,900–24,755)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAP = ventilator-associated pneumonia; CI^ = 95% confidence interval.
*Summary measures are medians (interquartile ranges).
†Summary measures are means of the difference between case-patients and control-patients.

FIGURE. Costs among patients with ventilator-associated pneumonia (cases), closely matched control-patients without pneumonia (controls), and patients who remained free of any intensive care unit-acquired infection (non-infected patients). Mean costs include costs of the ward (physicians and nurses), laboratory, and imaging. Costs are total costs and include overheads.

extra length of stay of 10.0 days (P = .06). Hospital costs among case-patients were higher than those among control-patients by a mean excess of $15,986. The in-hospital case-fatality rate was similar in case-patients and control-patients (37.1 vs 38.1%; P = 1.00).

DISCUSSION
Healthcare-associated infections in the ICU dramatically affect morbidity and the use of hospital resources. Our study showed that patients with any nosocomial infection and those with VAP had an ICU stay that was 3 and 4 times longer, respectively, than that of patients without nosocomial infection. Extra costs were also generated in the same proportions.

Patients receiving mechanical ventilation represent a large proportion of ICU patients, more than 40% in the current study, which was similar to other reports.2,3,20,23 They are at high risk of nosocomial pneumonia, as well as death, and we showed that most of the ICU deaths occurred in this group of patients. Investigating outcomes of VAP using a matched cohort study design makes case-patients and control-patients relatively similar apart from the presence of VAP so that differences in terms of morbidity, costs, and mortality can be attributed to the infection, provided that the selected matching variables adequately control for confounding. We found that VAP was associated with a significant excess of ICU length of stay and costs and a trend toward a higher case-fatality rate.

To our knowledge, 11 published matched cohort studies have investigated outcome attributable to VAP.13,16,18,21,34-40 Two are difficult to interpret because of insufficient matching38 or inclusion of nosocomial pneumonia other than VAP,37 and one was presented in abstract form only.39

Length of stay is a recognized surrogate marker of patient morbidity. It provides a good estimate of the burden on hospital resources, is not dependent on the accounting system or differences between countries, and is consequently widely used for comparison purposes. Whereas extra costs attributable to VAP estimate the direct economic loss, extra length of stay gives more insight into the impact of VAP on workload and loss of new admissions due to beds occupied by infected patients.31 We found that the mean ICU and hospital extra length of stay was 7.2 and 10.0 days, respectively. There is homogeneity of the results in most studies in the literature, as the median ICU extra stay ranged from 5 to 6 days.13,16,21,34,36 Hospital extra stay is also consistent across studies, ranging from 9 to 11.5 days.13,16,21,34 In our study, control-patients were tightly matched on the number of days of mechanical ventilation before the onset of pneumonia, making the estimate of the extra days of mechanical ventilation of great value. Prolongation of mechanical
ventilation was 5.1 days, similar to results reported in other studies that used the same matching variables. \(^{13,21,39}\)

Regardless of the matching procedure, case definition, patient profile, or microorganism involved, it appears clearly that VAP negatively affects patient morbidity. Consequently, we believe that there is enough evidence to support these findings and that the increased morbidity should be sufficient to stimulate prevention efforts. \(^{41,42}\)

At a time of cost containment, estimating the financial burden of nosocomial infection is a pivotal tool for infection control practitioners to compete for resources. However, cost estimation is not a straightforward exercise and some caveats may be difficult to avoid. \(^{33,43,45}\) Because of cost shifting between items and departments, charges do not equal costs (charges are usually larger). Costs represent a more reliable estimate of the financial burden and should be preferred to charges. \(^{31}\) To our knowledge, only four studies with a similar design have estimated costs attributed to VAP. ICU extra costs per episode were obtained by multiplying the number of ICU extra days by the mean costs per day in the ICU and reached \$8,800 \(^{26}\) and \$7,750. \(^{21}\) With the use of hospital charges, extra costs reached \$40,000 per episode in two other studies. \(^{18,34}\) The methodology we used to assess the financial burden of VAP differs from those used by previous studies and can be considered as the gold standard. \(^{31,43,46,47}\) First, our method is close to microcosting techniques, in which a complete list of all care items and services is used. This list allows for computing the total cost of each item and each patient, knowing the precise resource consumption of each patient. Our approach was possible due to the availability of online and daily updated data generated by the hospital information system. \(^{39,40}\) Second, we used costs instead of charges, which are a more accurate measure, independent of cost shifting. \(^{31,45}\) We found that total hospital costs attributable to VAP were more than \$15,000, with most of this amount being used while the patient was in the ICU. A recently published study found a similar figure, as the hospital cost attributed to VAP was estimated by multiple linear regression to be approximately \$12,000. \(^{50}\)

The case-fatality rate among patients with VAP ranges from 23% to 70%, \(^{11,13,16}\) but the extent to which VAP contributes to death remains controversial. Four matched cohort studies showed that VAP had a significant attributable mortality rate, ranging from 13.5% to 27.1%, \(^{16,35,39,40}\) but four others failed to demonstrate such an effect. \(^{13,18,21,34}\) In the current study, the case-fatality rate among case-patients was 32.0% and the attributable mortality rate was 7.3%. A reason why we were unable to show a significant difference in mortality may relate to the fact that matching on surrogates of severity of illness may have correctly controlled for the severity bias that falsely attributed ICU mortality to VAP in several of the previous studies. Another reason may be that our study was underpowered to detect a difference, which was the case with all of these studies except one. \(^{18}\)

Our study has some limitations. We used surveillance definitions that include both clinical signs and symptoms and results of invasive diagnostic procedures to define VAP, which is neither sensitive nor specific. \(^{51,52}\) Minei et al. illustrated, in a cohort of surgical ICU patients, that different definitions of VAP greatly affected the infection rate, showed little concordance between them, and identified different patients and, consequently, that risk factors for meeting one definition or the other were different. \(^{53}\) Predicting the direction of the bias resulting from this misclassification would be hazardous; however, the use of invasive diagnostic procedures did not add a prognostic value to the suspicion of VAP based on clinical criteria. \(^{34}\) Clearly, all studies dealing with VAP are to some extent limited by the issue of the case definition, and this calls for further research.

The best estimate of the attributable outcome requires that the matching process remove the effect of confounding factors. However, the ideal matching variables are a subject of debate, raising the issue of insufficient matching or over-matching. Unlike several matched cohort studies, \(^{15,16,18,21,40}\) our study did not match on a severity score such as the Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation, but achieved a close match on the number of days of mechanical ventilation before the onset of VAP and the number of discharge diagnoses, as suggested by Haley. \(^{31}\) Matching on a severity score recorded at admission poses two problems. First, it predicts mortality and may thus result in over-matching. Second, it does not take into account what happens between admission and onset of VAP. Matching on the number of ventilation-days provides a more dynamic measure and accounts for changes in the patient’s condition over time. Haley showed that the number of discharge diagnoses was a true confounder because it was associated with both nosocomial infections and length of stay and costs \(^{31}\) and that it can be used to account for severity of illness. Selected control-patients were extremely ill, more ill than the eligible control-patients who were finally not selected, and probably just as ill as the case-patients before the onset of VAP (Table 2). Furthermore, close matching also ensured that case-patients and control-patients experienced the same frequency of primary bloodstream infection, which is associated with high mortality and morbidity. \(^{39}\) Therefore, our matching procedure met its objective to render case-patients and control-patients as similar as possible apart from the presence of VAP.

Infections acquired in the ICU account for a disproportional high consumption of resources and negatively affect patient morbidity. In particular, the significant burden of VAP justifies the implementation of specific preventive strategies and the need for further research with the ultimate objective of improving patient safety.
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