

With $\sin A + \sin B + \sin C = \Delta / Rr$ (circumradius R , inradius r), some routine coordinate geometry leads eventually to the expected perpendicular distance r from the stationary point to any side. Furthermore, we have

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} > 0 \text{ and } \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} = 0$$

at I , so the Hessian, $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} - \left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} \right)^2$, is positive here and I is indeed a minimum point.

Finally, note two sharp inequalities. For circumcentre and orthocentre we have

$$\frac{1}{\sin B \sin C} + \frac{1}{\sin C \sin A} + \frac{1}{\sin A \sin B} \geq 4,$$

and

$$\frac{\cos^2 A}{\sin B \sin C} + \frac{\cos^2 B}{\sin C \sin A} + \frac{\cos^2 C}{\sin A \sin B} \geq 1,$$

respectively.

References

1. P. R. Allaire et al., Proving a nineteenth century ellipse identity, *Math. Gaz.* 95 (March 2012) pp. 161-165.
2. J. A. Scott, On the Lagrange method for constrained critical points, *Math. Gaz.* 91 (March 2007) pp. 81-85.

J. A. SCOTT

1 Shiptons Lane, Great Somerford, Chippenham SN15 5EJ

98.06 The relative size of the squares inscribed in a triangle

In this note, we consider a triangle ABC with area Δ and the square \mathcal{S}_c with two consecutive vertices on the line of c , a third vertex on a , and the last on b (Figure 1). The square erected outwardly on c is transformed into square \mathcal{S}_c by the homothety of similarity ratio $\frac{h_c}{h_c + c}$ centered at C , where h_c denotes the altitude perpendicular to c [1]. The side of \mathcal{S}_c is thus

$$s_c = \frac{h_c}{h_c + c} c = \frac{2\Delta}{c + h_c}.$$

References

1. F. van Lamoën, Inscribed squares, *Forum Geom.*, **4** (2004) pp. 207-214.
<http://forumgeom.fau.edu/FG2004volume4/FG200424index.html>
2. V. Oxman and M. Stupel, Why are the side lengths of the squares inscribed in a triangle so close to each other?, *Forum Geom.*, **13** (2013) pp. 113-115.
<http://forumgeom.fau.edu/FG2013volume13/FG201311index.html>

GRÉGOIRE NICOLLIER

University of Applied Sciences of Western Switzerland, Route du Rawyl 47,
CH-1950 Sion, Switzerland
e-mail: gregoire.nicollier@hevs.ch

98.07 The order of convergence of Newton's Method in special cases

It is well known that in the general case the Newton-Raphson method for solving non-linear equations is of convergence order 2. (Actually Simpson in 1740 was the first to write the method in its modern form using a derivative, so perhaps it should be called the N-R-S method). Note that 'convergence order p ' means that if an iteration method is of the form

$$x_{i+1} = \phi(x_i)$$

and is converging to a root ξ , then the order is defined as p if

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|x_{i+1} - \xi|}{|x_i - \xi|^p} = \frac{\phi^{(p)}(\xi)}{p!} \quad (1)$$

(or equivalently if $|e_{i+1}| \approx k|e_i|^p$ where $e_i = x_i - \xi$).

It follows that if

$$\phi'(\xi) = \phi''(\xi) = \dots = \phi^{(p-1)}(\xi) = 0$$

whereas

$$\phi^{(p)}(\xi) \neq 0$$

then the order is indeed p . (For proof see e.g. [1].)

Now for Newton's method

$$\phi(x) = x - \frac{f(x)}{f'(x)}$$

and hence

$$\phi'(\xi) = 0$$

(unless $f'(\xi) = 0$), while

$$\phi''(\xi) = \frac{f''(\xi)}{f'(\xi)} \neq 0 \text{ (usually).}$$