ESMO Minimum Clinical Recommendations for diagnosis, adjuvant treatment and follow-up of primary breast cancer

Incidence

• The crude incidence of breast cancer in the European Union is 109.9/100 000 females per year, the mortality 38.4/100 000 females per year, however with marked geographical variation.

Diagnosis

The diagnosis is based on clinical palpation, bilateral mammography and ultrasound. Pathologic diagnosis with fine needle aspiration or core needle biopsy should be obtained prior to any surgical procedure. Final pathological diagnosis should be made according to the World Health Organisation classification and the TNM (2002) staging system analyzing all tissue removed.

Staging and risk assessment

- TNM-staging based on H&E staining, standardized grading, description of histologic type, and of resection margins should be reported. Determination of estrogen receptor (ER) and progesterone receptor (PR) status is mandatory, preferably by immunohistochemistry [III, B]. Reports of immunohistochemical results for ER and PR should include the percentage of ER- and PR-positive cells. When available, immunohistochemical determination of HER2 receptor expression should be performed at the same time. When semi-quantitative results of immunohistochemistry are ambiguous (++), in situ hybridization (FISH or CISH) to determine HER2 gene amplification should be considered.
- Routine staging examinations include physical examination, full blood counts, routine chemistry including liver enzymes, alkaline phosphatase, calcium; assessment of menopausal status.
- In patients with higher risk (N2 with ≥4 pos. axillary nodes, or T4 tumors, or with laboratory signs or clinical signs or symptoms suspicious for the presence of metastases), chest X-ray, abdominal ultrasound and isotopic bone scan are appropriate [III, B].
- Explore the possibility of hereditary cancer and evaluate adequate counselling of relatives [IV, D]. For node negative patients the following risk stratification to guide adjuvant treatment is recommended [III, B]. Even tumors smaller than 1 cm can benefit from adjuvant treatment with chemotherapy and/or tamoxifen [II, B]. See Table 1.

Treatment plan

Multidisciplinary treatment planning should be used to integrate local and systemic therapies as well as their sequence [III, B].

Local therapy

Invasive carcinoma

• Generally, operable breast cancer is initially treated by surgery, using breast conserving surgery or mastectomy, both in combination with axillary dissection. Contraindications to breast-conserving surgery include multicentric tumors, large tumors (larger than 3–4 cm), tumor-involved margins after resection. The technique of sentinel lymph node biopsy may be used in centers with documented experience and accuracy. Breast radiotherapy is strongly recommended after breast conserving surgery [I, A]. Post-mastectomy radiotherapy has been recommended for patients with four or more positive axillary nodes [II, B] and is suggested for T3 tumors with positive axillary nodes [III, B].

Ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS)

When DCIS is treated by breast conserving surgery, all subgroups of patients benefit from adjuvant radiation as well as from adjuvant tamoxifen [I, B]. Adjuvant tamoxifen for DCIS reduces the recurrence rate of in situ and of invasive breast cancer.

Primary systemic therapy

• Primary systemic therapy is indicated for inoperable locally advanced breast cancer (stage IIIB: T4, N2-3, regional M1) [III, B]. If possible it should be followed by both surgery and radiotherapy and postoperative systemic treatment. Primary systemic therapy is an alternative for large operable breast cancer, to allow breast conserving surgery [I, A].

Adjuvant systemic therapy

 Before deciding whether to use adjuvant systemic therapy, the prognosis without adjuvant therapy should be estimated.
 For each individual, the choice of adjuvant therapy must take into account the potential benefits, possible side effects, and patient preference.

Table 1.

	Tumor size ER/PR ^a		Grading Age ^b		Comment
Low risk	<2 cm	positive	1	≥35	All factors must be present
Higher risk	≥2 cm	negative	2-3	<35	At least one factor present

^aUsing immunohistochemistry, ER/PR is usually considered positive, when ≥10% of cells are positive (weakly or strongly) for either ER or PR [IV, B]. Some endocrine responsiveness seems to remain even when only 1–10% of tumor cells are ER weakly positive [III, B]. ER and/or PR positive patients are considered "endocrine responsive".

Tamoxifen

• Patients with ER and/or PR positive tumors should receive tamoxifen 20 mg/d for 5 years [I, A]. Patients with ER and PR negative tumors [I, A] and/or HER2-positive tumors [II, C] should not receive it. Tamoxifen should be started when chemotherapy is completed [II, A]. Concurrent use of tamoxifen with radiation therapy is not recommended due to potentially increased risk of lung toxicity [III, C].

Aromatase inhibitors

• The use of aromatase inhibitors in stead of or in sequence with tamoxifen significantly reduces the risk of recurrence compared with tamoxifen, but no survival benefit has been demonstrated so far [I, A]. Furthermore, the absolute difference in recurrence-free survival is <5%. The long-term cardiovascular and skeletal adverse effects associated with aromatase inhibitors are an issue of concern. Certain subgroups (ER-positive/PR-negative, HER2-positive) seem to have a more marked benefit by the use of an aromatase inhibitor [III, C].

Ovarian ablation

• Ablation of ovarian function is an alternative to CMF-based regimens for premenopausal patients with endocrine responsive tumors [I, A]. Bilateral ovarectomy and irradiation of the ovaries lead to irreversible ablation of ovarian function. LHRH analogs generally lead to reversible ovarian suppression. They should be given for at least 2 years [III, D].

Chemotherapy

• Adjuvant chemotherapy should use a combination regimen, as shown in Table 2 [I, A]. In node-positive and node-negative disease anthracycline-containing therapy has been shown to be slightly superior in efficacy to intravenous CMF [I, A]. However, there seems to be a threshold dose for adjuvant anthracyclines, below which efficacy becomes inferior [I, A]. 4 cycles of AC have been shown to be comparable in efficacy to 6 cycles of CMF [I, A]. At the St Gallen consensus conference 2003 4 cycles of AC or 6 cycles of CMF were considered adequate treatment for ER and/or PR positive patients, while ER and PR negative tumors were

considered candidates for more prolonged chemotherapy. Adding 4 cycles of paclitaxel to 4 cycles of AC improves outcome in node-positive patients [I, A]. It is possible that other more intensive anthracycline- and/or taxane containing regimens are equally effective. Encouraging results have been described with dose-dense therapy using hematopoietic growth factors in addition to AC and taxanes. This approach is not considered standard at the present time.

Follow-up

- History taking, eliciting of symptoms and physical examination every 3-6 months for 3 years, every 6-12 months for 3 years, then annually [A], with attention paid to long-term side effects, e.g. osteoporosis.
- Ipsilateral (after breast conserving surgery) and contralateral mammography every 1–2 years [D].
- Not routinely recommended for asymptomatic patients: blood counts, chemistry, chest X-ray, bone scan, liver ultrasound, CT-scans of chest and abdomen, and any tumor markers such as CA 15-3 or CEA [I, A].

Note

Levels of Evidence [I-V] and Grades of Recommendation [A-D] as used by the American Society of Clinical Oncology

Table 2.

Node Negative (N0) Patient				
Low risk, endocrine	Tamoxifen			
responsive	OR			
	Nil			
Higher risk, endocrine responsive:				
- Premenopausal	Chemotherapy, ovarian			
	ablation → tamoxifen			
	OR Chemotherapy → tamoxifen			
	OR			
	Ovarian ablation			
- Postmenopausal	Tamoxifen*			
-	OR			
	Chemotherapy → tamoxifen			
Higher risk, endocrine non-responsive:				
- Premenopausal	Chemotherapy			
- Postmenopausal	Chemotherapy			
Node Positive (N+) Patient				
Endocrine responsive:				
- Premenopausal	Chemotherapy → tamoxifen ± ovarian ablation			
- Postmenopausal	Chemotherapy → tamoxifen*			
	OR			
	Tamoxifen*			
Endocrine non-responsive:				
- Premenopausal	Chemotherapy			
- Postmenopausal	Chemotherapy			

^{*}In postmenopausal patients, aromatase inhibitors (anastrozole, letrozole, exemestane) are an alternative.

^bNo exact age threshold has been defined.

are given in square brackets. Statements without grading were considered justified standard clinical practice by the expert authors and the ESMO faculty.

Literature

- Bonadonna G, Valagussa P, Moliterni A et al. Adjuvant cyclophosphamide, methotrexate, and fluorouracil in node-positive breast cancer: the results of 20 years of follow-up. N Engl J Med 1995; 332: 901–906.
- Early Breast Cancer Trialists' Collaborative Group. Polychemotherapy for early breast cancer: an overview of the randomised trials. Lancet 1998; 352: 930–942.
- Early Breast Cancer Trialists' Collaborative Group. Tamoxifen for early breast cancer: an overview of the randomised trials. Lancet 1998; 351: 1451–1467.
- Goldhirsch A, Wood WC, Gelber RD et al. Meeting highlights: International Consensus Panel on the Primary Treatment of Early Breast Cancer. Ninth International Conference on Primary Therapy of Early Breast Cancer. J Clin Oncol 2003; 17: 3357–3365.
- Fisher B, Bryant J, Wolmark N et al. Effect of preoperative chemotherapy on the outcome of women with operable breast cancer. J Clin Oncol 1998; 16: 2672–2685.
- Early Breast Cancer Trialists' Collaborative Group. Ovarian ablation in early breast cancer: overview of the randomised trials. Lancet 1996: 348: 1189–1196.
- Early Breast Cancer Trialists' Collaborative Group. Favourable and unfavourable effects on long-term survival of radiotherapy for early breast cancer: an overview of the randomised trials. Lancet 2000; 355: 1757–1770.
- Recht A, Edge SB, Solin LJ et al. Postmastectomy radiotherapy: clinical practice guidelines of the American Society of Clinical Oncology. J Clin Oncol 2001: 19: 1539–1569.
- Fisher B, Dignam J, Wolmark N et al. Tamoxifen in treatment of intraductal breast cancer: National Surgical Adjuvant Breast and Bowel Project B-24 randomised controlled trial. Lancet 1999; 353: 1993–2000.
- Henderson IC, Berry DA, Demetri GD et al. Improved outcomes from adding wequential paclitaxel but not from escalating doxorubicin dose in an adjuvant chemotherapy regimen for patients with node-positive primary breast cancer. J Clin Oncol 2003; 21: 976–983.
- 11. Raimund Jakesz, Hubert Hausmaninger, Ernst Kubista et al. Randomized adjuvant trial of tamoxifen and goserelin versus cyclophosphamide, methotrexate, and fluorouracil: evidence for the superiority of treatment with endocrine blockade in premenopausal patients with hormone-responsive breast cancer-Austrian Breast and Colorectal Cancer Study Group Trial 5. J Clin Oncol. 2002; 20: 4621–4627.

- 12. The ATAC (Arimidex, Tamoxifen Alone or in Combination) Trialists' Group. Anastrozole alone or in combination with tamoxifen versus tamoxifen alone for adjuvant treatment of postmenopausal women with early breast cancer: first results of the ATAC randomised trial. Lancet 2002; 359: 2131–2139.
- Greene FL, Balch CM, Fleming ID, et al. (eds): In AJCC (American Joint Committee on Cancer) Cancer Staging Handbook: TNM Classification of Malignant Tumors, sixth edition. New York: Springer-Verlag 2002.
- Coombes RC, Hall E, Gibson LJ et al. A randomized trial of exemestane after two to three years of tamoxifen therapy in postmenopausal women with primary breast cancer. N Engl J Med 2004; 350: 1081–1092.
- Goss PE, Ingle JN, Martino S et al. A randomized trial of letrozole in postmenopausal women after five years of tamoxifen therapy for early-stage breast cancer. N Engl J Med 2003; 349: 1793–1802.
- Citron ML, Berry DA, Cirrincione C et al. Randomized trial of dosedense versus conventionally scheduled and sequential versus concurrent combination chemotherapy as postoperative adjuvant treatment of node-positive primary breast cancer: first report of Intergroup Trial C9741/Cancer and Leukemia Group B Trial 9741. J Clin Oncol 2003; 21: 1431–1439.
- Winer EP, Hudis C, Burstein HJ et al. ASCO technology assessment on the use of aromatase inhibitors as adjuvant therapy in postmenopausal women with hormone receptor-positive breast cancer: Status report 2004. J Clin Oncol 2005; 23: 619–629.

Coordinating authors for the ESMO Guidelines Task Force: B. C. Pestalozzi¹, E. Luporsi-Gely², L. M. Jost³ & J. Bergh⁴

¹Invited author, Div. of Oncology, Universitätspital, CH-8091 Zürich, Switzerland; ²Invited author, Centre Alexis Vautrin, Vandoeuvre-Les-Nancy Cedex, France; ³Invited author and member of the task force, Oncology, Kantonsspital, CH-4101 Bruderholz/BL, Switzerland; ⁴Assigned task force member, Clinical and Molecular Oncology, Radiumhemmet, Karolinska Institute & University Hospital, 171 76 Stockholm, Sweden

Approved by the ESMO Guidelines Task Force: May 2003, last update February 2005.

Correspondence to:
ESMO Guidelines Task Force
ESMO Head Office
Via La Santa 7
CH-6962 Lugano
Switzerland