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ABSTRACT: Objective: Middle-latency somatosensory evoked potentials (SEPs) following median nerve stimulation can provide a sensitive measure of cortical function. We sought to determine whether the mechanical forces of whiplash injury or concussion alter normal processing of middle-latency SEPs. Methods: In a cross-sectional pilot study 20 subjects with whiplash were investigated (50% between 0.5-2 months and 50% between 6-41 months post injury) and compared to 83 healthy subjects using a standard middle-latency SEP procedure. In a subsequent prospective study subjects with either acute whiplash (n=13) or Grade 3 concussion (n=16) were investigated within 48 hours and again three months post injury. Results: In the pilot study the middle-latency SEP component N60 was significantly increased in the ten subjects investigated within two months after whiplash. In contrast, the ten subjects examined more than six months after injury showed normal latencies. In the prospective study N60 latencies were increased after whiplash and concussion when tested within 48 hours of injury. At three months, latencies were improved though still significantly different from controls post whiplash and concussion. Conclusions: Both whiplash injury and concussion alter processing of the middle-latency SEP component N60 in the acute post traumatic period. The acute changes appear to normalize between three-six months post injury. The SEP similarities suggest that the overlapping clinical symptomatology post whiplash and concussion may reflect a similar underlying mechanism of rotational mild traumatic brain injury.

Whiplash injuries resulting from rear-end collisions in motor vehicle accidents generally show good recovery, but persistent symptoms have been frequently reported in a subgroup of patients.1-4 Concussion injuries are also usually followed by good recovery, however, there does exist a subgroup of patients with persistent post concussion symptoms.5-8 Although the two conditions are rarely considered together, it is noteworthy that the constellation of post whiplash and post concussion symptoms are essentially identical (headache, memory impairment, poor concentration, sleep disturbance, anxiety, depression, vertigo, fatigue, irritability), apart from the more prominent component of neck pain with whiplash and the
transient loss of consciousness that defines the most severe, or Grade 3, concussions. It is thus possible that the acute and chronic clinical symptomatology of whiplash and concussion might reflect a similar mechanism of mild traumatic brain injury, with differences in the degree of presentation representing differences in the severity of applied mechanical force along a continuum of mild traumatic brain injury.

The pathophysiological changes underlying the cerebral symptoms of whiplash and concussion are unresolved. Standard laboratory investigations including high resolution magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the brain are typically unrevealing, even in patients with chronic, persistent symptoms. A laboratory test capable of identifying an objective neurophysiological marker for mild traumatic brain injury would be useful not only for clinical diagnostic and prognostic purposes, but also for understanding the underlying pathophysiological mechanisms and, for example, the differences or similarities between whiplash and concussion injuries. Preliminary studies have been reported showing some promising results in this regard using cognitive event-related potential (P300) testing in athletes with post concussion symptoms.

Knowing that components of the middle-latency somatosensory evoked potentials (SEPs) are generated in the primary somatosensory cortex and considering that severe rotational acceleration injury has been consistently shown to cause damage or dysfunction at the surface of the brain in parasagittal parietal zones in experimental studies of whiplash and concussion, we thought it may be promising to focus on middle-latency SEPs in the search for a neurophysiological marker for mild traumatic brain injury. There is much evidence indicating middle-latency SEPs to be a sensitive measure of cortical function. Various studies have reported their reliability for objective assessment and quantification of cerebral dysfunction and prognostic evaluation in patients with severe head injury, anoxic coma, liver failure, and other metabolic or infectious encephalopathies. Studies investigating the use of middle-latency SEPs in patients with whiplash injury or concussion have not been reported to our knowledge.

**SUBJECTS AND METHODS**

**Pilot study**

The inclusion criteria for this pilot study were based on a history of whiplash injury. Whiplash was defined strictly according to previous research criteria, i.e. sudden acceleration and hyperextension of the neck occurring in all cases as a result of rear-end motor vehicle collisions. A detailed history with special emphasis on self-reported symptoms and on any drug-intake was obtained from all subjects using a structured interview before SEP recording (patients were specifically encouraged to abstain from the ingestion of sedative or analgesic drugs until SEP recordings were carried out). Subjects with additional impact trauma, traumatic loss of consciousness, previous injuries or diseases of the central nervous system were not included. All subjects underwent complete neurological examination before SEP recording. Brain computed tomography or MRI was not performed. Informed consent and approval from the local ethics committee (University Hospital Zurich) were obtained.

The study population consisted of two distinct groups that differed with respect to the time intervals between whiplash injuries and SEP recordings. The first ("acute") group consisted of ten subjects (seven women) with a mean age of 35.7 years (range 18 to 62 years) and a time lag between whiplash injury and SEP recording of 25.4 days (range 14 to 63 days). Subjects in this group were consecutively recruited from the emergency unit of the University Hospital Zurich. The second ("chronic") group consisted of ten subjects (three women) with a mean age of 36.6 years (range 22 to 62 years) and a time lag between whiplash injury and SEP recording of 15.6 months (range 5.9 to 41.3 months). Subjects in this group were consecutively recruited from the University Hospital Zurich neurological outpatient clinic. Eighty-three healthy subjects (47 women) with a mean age of 42 years (range 13 to 80 years), as previously published, served as controls.

**Prospective study**

In a subsequent prospective study, consecutive patients with acute whiplash were identified upon presentation to the emergency unit of the University Hospital Zurich. In addition, consecutive patients presenting to the same emergency unit with a diagnosis of concussion with loss of consciousness (i.e. Grade 3 concussion) were recruited over the same time period. As with the cross-sectional pilot study, whiplash injury resulted from rear-end motor vehicle collisions in all cases and subjects with additional impact trauma or traumatic loss of consciousness were not included. Concussions were incurred via a variety of mechanisms in the patients recruited, including work-related head injuries, sports-related head injuries, and direct blows to the head received during interpersonal conflicts. As with the pilot study, a detailed history with special emphasis on self-reported symptoms, using a visual analog scale, and on any drug-intake was obtained from all subjects using a structured interview before SEP recording. Whiplash subjects with additional impact trauma, traumatic loss of consciousness, previous injuries or diseases of the central nervous system were not included. Concussion subjects with previous injuries or diseases of the central nervous system were not included. All subjects underwent complete neurological examination before SEP recording. Neuroimaging with MRI was performed in all patients. These MRI scans were normal except in one patient with a small left subdural hematoma and associated temporoparietal contusion resulting from a punch to the head. Informed consent and approval from the local ethics committee (University Hospital Zurich) were obtained.

Sixteen concussion patients with a mean age of 35.4 years (range 19 to 64 years) and 13 whiplash patients with a mean age of 37.6 years (range 22 to 62 years) were simultaneously recruited over the same time period. All of these subjects were tested with SEP recording within 48 hours of injury, and again at three months post injury.

**SEP recordings**

The SEP recordings were performed in a quiet, semi-darkened room. Subjects were encouraged to relax and to keep their eyes closed. Square wave impulses of 0.2 ms duration were delivered at a rate of 2 Hz to the median nerve at the wrist. Stimulus intensity was slightly above motor threshold producing
a moderate thumb twitch. Silver-disc recording electrodes were placed over Erb’s point, over the second cervical vertebra (C2), over the contralateral parietal (2 cm posterior and 7 cm lateral to the vertex) and frontal scalp (at electrode positions F3 or F4 according to the international 10-20 system). All electrodes were referenced to linked earlobes. Electrode impedance was kept below 5 kΩ. Analysis time was 200 ms and filter bandpass was set at 1-1000 Hz (-3dB) for the scalp and at 50-2000 Hz (-3dB) for the Erb’s point and C2 recordings. Each examination included two series of at least 512 trials on both sides. The peak latencies of the cervical component N13, the parietal components N20, P45, N60 and the frontal component N30 were evaluated. The interpeak latencies N13-N20 (known as central conduction time, CCT) and N13-N60 were calculated.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analysis was performed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS 9.0 for Windows). Latency values are expressed as median ± standard deviation. Between-group and between-side differences were assessed using the Mann-Whitney U test. Pearson correlation was used for the assessment of correlation between SEP values and continuation of symptoms at three month clinical follow-up.

RESULTS

Pilot study
The self-reported symptoms of the subjects with whiplash injury at the time of SEP recording included headache (3/10 “acute” and 5/10 “chronic”), neck pain (5/10 “acute” and 7/10 “chronic”), vertigo (1/10 “acute” and 4/10 “chronic”), forgetfulness and poor concentration (2/10 “acute” and 7/10 “chronic”), fatigue (1/10 “acute” and 3/10 “chronic”) and depression (0/10 “acute” and 4/10 “chronic”). Neurological assessment of the central and peripheral nervous system was normal in all subjects.

Middle-latency SEPs were easily recorded in all subjects. All subjects were examined whilst no neuroactive drugs were being prescribed, although one subject of the “chronic” group had taken a benzodiazepine (oxazepam 15 mg) about 18 hours before SEP recording. Figure 1A depicts the latencies of N60 in both groups in comparison to normative data. The latencies of the N60 components and the interpeak N13-60 latencies were significantly increased in the “acute” group but not in the “chronic” group (Table and Figure 1). No differences were found for other SEP components (N13, N20, frontal N30) or the CCT interpeak latencies in either group (data not shown).

Prospective study
Middle-latency SEPs were easily recorded in all subjects except in the case of the concussion patient with the left temporoparietal contusion and subdural hemorrhage, in whom middle-latency SEPs were not obtainable upon stimulation of the right median nerve in the acute setting. However, upon retesting this patient at three months the middle-latency SEPs were obtainable to stimulation of the right median nerve. The SEP testing, both within 48 hours and at three months, was performed with subjects receiving no prescribed neuroactive drugs. Figures 2 and 3 depict the latencies of N60 in the prospective whiplash and concussion groups, comparing the testing performed within 48 hours of injury with the follow-up testing performed at three months, all in comparison to normative data. The latencies of the N60 components and the interpeak N13-60 latencies were significantly increased after whiplash and concussion when tested within 48 hours of injury. These increases were significantly more pronounced in patients post concussion in comparison to patients post whiplash (p<0.001). At three months, N60 latencies and the interpeak N13-60 latencies were improved in subjects though still significantly different from controls post whiplash and concussion (Table). Figure 4 shows a representative example of the N60 latencies recorded at 48 hours and at three months in a subject whose concussion resulted from a high speed bicycling accident. No differences were found for other SEP components (N13, N20, frontal N30) or the CCT interpeak latencies in either group (data not shown).

Figure 5 depicts the self-reported complaints of the whiplash and concussion subjects in the prospective study, both acutely...
and at three month clinical follow-up. Despite the significant improvement in N60 latencies in both groups at three month follow-up, self-reported clinical symptomatology worsened over time in many domains in the whiplash group, whereas almost all complaints in the concussion group improved over time.

**DISCUSSION**

In the pilot study we found a significant increase in the N60 latency in subjects in whom the SEP recordings were performed within three months after whiplash injury. On the other hand, the latency of the N60 component was shown to be within normal limits if the recordings were performed after a period greater than three months post injury. It must be acknowledged that the two groups in the cross-sectional pilot study cannot be compared directly since subjects in the “acute” and “chronic” groups were recruited from two distinct collectives. However, the suggestive findings from the pilot study were strengthened by the findings of the prospective study, which extended the results to show similar acute changes in N60 latency after whiplash and concussion. Thus, both whiplash injury and concussion may alter processing of the middle-latency SEP component N60 in the early post traumatic period. In the concussion patients, the increase in N60 latencies was significantly more pronounced than in the whiplash patients, and the normalization of N60 latencies was less complete at three months in the post concussion group compared to the post whiplash group. This could be interpreted to reflect the greater severity of injury in the concussion patients.

From the results of both studies, it would appear that the acute changes in N60 latency normalize between three to six months post injury. It is thus evident that the acute increase in N60 latency is related to reversible traumatic functional abnormalities in the brain of patients post whiplash or concussion injury. Middle-latency SEPs are considered to be a sensitive measure of
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**Figure 2:** Whiplash injury, prospective study. A) SEP N60 latencies in the acute setting (<48 hours, black squares) and at retesting 3 months (gray squares) after whiplash injury in comparison to normative data (small circles). B) Corresponding boxplots of both groups in comparison to normative data. (*) Only the values of patients aged 19 to 65 years were included for statistical analysis.

**Figure 3:** Concussion, prospective study. A) SEP N60 latencies in the acute setting (<48 hours, black squares) and at retesting 3 months (gray squares) after concussion in comparison to normative data (small circles). B) Corresponding boxplots of both groups in comparison to normative data. (*) Only the values of patients aged 19 to 65 years were included for statistical analysis.
Pfutscheller et al\textsuperscript{26} reported a clear correlation between the latency delay of middle-latency SEPs and the severity of coma and concluded that middle-latency components might be useful in the prediction of outcome and suggested that middle-latency SEP components might be even more sensitive in patients with mild head injury.

Considering the neural generators of the N60 component and the mechanisms of both whiplash and rotational acceleration head injury, an increase of the N60 latency in patients post injury is not necessarily surprising. Middle-latency SEP components are thought to be related to complex interactions between the thalamo-cortical, cortico-cortical and ascending reticular activation systems.\textsuperscript{26,42} Investigations using cortical-surface and transcortical recordings during neurosurgery have suggested that the N60 component is composed of two spatially distinct potentials being generated in area 1 and area 3b of the primary somatosensory cortex.\textsuperscript{14,15,43} Thus, mechanical forces sufficient to temporarily affect these neuronal structures (i.e. the superficial parietal cortex) in a direct or indirect way may be sufficient to produce the observed SEP changes. In this context, the results from experimental studies are informative. Various investigations in subhuman primates have demonstrated that severe rotational acceleration (without direct head impact) can produce consistent brain damage, as evidenced by marked hemorrhages or contusions at the surface of the brain including the parasagittal parietal zones.\textsuperscript{16-19} One interesting experimental study using a simple but convincing gelatin model to elucidate the consequences of rotational acceleration trauma to the brain revealed a clear predilection of the parasagittal parietal and superstitial orbitofrontotemporal regions to sustain injury.\textsuperscript{44} Besides these superficial lesions, many studies have demonstrated that closed head injury can result in diffuse axonal injury in the white matter of the brain.\textsuperscript{17,45,46} Diffuse axonal injury resulting in diffuse target deafferentation of cortical structures has been proposed as another possible mechanism to explain the effects of rotational head injury, and could possibly explain the observed increase of the N60 latency. However, evidence for diffuse axonal injury is lacking in mild traumatic

Table: Latency N60 and interpeak latency N13-N60

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pilot study whiplash</th>
<th>Prosp. study whiplash</th>
<th>Prosp. study concussion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“acute”</td>
<td>“chronic”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal N60 [ms]\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>58.6 ± 7.3</td>
<td>58.6 ± 7.3</td>
<td>58.6 ± 7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N60 [ms]\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>70.6 ± 12.5</td>
<td>62.2 ± 7.6</td>
<td>67.3 ± 10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mann-Whitney U</td>
<td>457</td>
<td>1268</td>
<td>875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>-4.98</td>
<td>-0.95</td>
<td>-4.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Normal N13-60 [ms]\textsuperscript{a}</th>
<th>Normal N13-60 [ms]\textsuperscript{a}</th>
<th>Normal N13-60 [ms]\textsuperscript{a}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45.4 ± 7.0</td>
<td>45.4 ± 7.0</td>
<td>45.4 ± 7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N13-60 [ms]\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>57.2 ± 12.5</td>
<td>47.1 ± 7.4</td>
<td>52.9 ± 9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mann-Whitney U</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>1325</td>
<td>893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>-4.88</td>
<td>-0.67</td>
<td>-4.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}Latency values are expressed as median ± standard deviation.
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**Figure 4:** Representative SEP recordings performed at 48 hours and at 3 months in a subject with concussion.
Since only one of our subjects (as seen in Figures 1-3 of this study).41 The influence of drowsiness on middle-latency SEP components is another factor commonly mentioned. In fact, increases in latency and amplitude of SEP components in sleep have been shown in several studies,6,52 but these changes are usually only moderate up to sleep Stage 2. In our study, we tried to overcome the bias of drowsiness by encouraging our subjects to keep awake during recordings and by talking with them after each run. Furthermore, the appropriate analysis of our data (comparison of the two repetitions of each run; see, for example, Figure 4) did not show any significant differences (data not shown). Another factor that is well recognized as affecting middle-latency SEP components is the influence of neuroactive drugs.53 Since only one of our pilot study subjects had taken a low dose of a short-acting benzodiazepine about 18 hours before SEP recording, and especially as this subject was not part of the “acute” group, we suggest that the influence of neuroactive drugs does not call into question the validity of our results.

Figure 5: Self-reported complaints (VAS) of the whiplash (A) and concussion (B) subjects in the prospective study, both acutely and at 3 month clinical follow-up. There was no significant correlation between SEP values and continuation of symptoms at 3 month clinical follow-up, for any of the self-reported complaints.

The similarity of the clinical symptomatology post whiplash and post concussion and the similarity of the rotational acceleration forces applied to the head in both injuries makes it reasonable to consider that the two conditions may arise from a common mechanism of injury, the presentations differing mainly in degree of severity. Indeed, it has been stated elsewhere that concussion can occur without a direct blow to the head if sufficient force is applied to the brain via a whiplash mechanism.4,52 Nevertheless, the two conditions are typically treated as separate entities. The SEP findings of our prospective study provide objective evidence that whiplash and concussion involve reversible pathophysiological changes affecting the same brain areas, providing support for the hypothesis that the overlapping clinical symptomatology post whiplash and concussion may reflect a similar underlying mechanism of rotational mild traumatic brain injury. However, it must be emphasized that our findings are preliminary, involving a small number of patients, and that we have not studied patients with milder, Grade 1 or 2 concussions, to confirm that these subjects would also lie along the same continuum, perhaps with a recovery trajectory midway between whiplash subjects and patients with Grade 3 concussions.

It is of interest to note that, in terms of self-reported clinical symptoms, subjects with whiplash injury actually tended to report a worsening of symptom severity with the passage of time, whereas the severity of self-reported symptoms diminished with time in the concussion patients. If indeed there is a common mechanism underlying both conditions, this may indicate that superimposed psychological factors, perhaps complicated by unresolved litigation and related disability claim issues, may play a greater contributory role to the persistence of chronic symptoms after whiplash injury.4,53 than concussion. After whiplash and concussion injuries, clinical symptoms clearly outlasted the middle-latency SEP changes in many subjects, suggesting that N60 latency measurements will not be useful as a measure to assess chronic symptomatology. Future clinical usefulness of N60 latency measurements – providing the results of these studies can be replicated and extended – may be limited to diagnostic testing in the acute stage, and even here larger numbers of subjects will need to be evaluated to obtain an accurate estimate of test sensitivity. At the very least, however,
the ability to record an objective physiological marker common to whiplash and concussion may form the basis for future investigations into the mechanisms underlying mild traumatic brain injury.
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