Structural characterization of suppressor lipids by high-resolution mass spectrometry
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RATIONALE: Suppressor lipids were originally identified in 1993 and reported to encompass six lipid classes that enable Saccharomyces cerevisiae to live without sphingolipids. Structural characterization, using non-mass spectrometric approaches, revealed that these suppressor lipids are very long chain fatty acid (VLCFA)-containing glycerophospholipids with polar head groups that are typically incorporated into sphingolipids. Here we report, for the first time, the structural characterization of the yeast suppressor lipids using high-resolution mass spectrometry.

METHODS: Suppressor lipids were isolated by preparative chromatography and subjected to structural characterization using hybrid quadrupole time-of-flight and ion trap-orbitrap mass spectrometry.

RESULTS: Our investigation recapitulates the overall structural features of the suppressor lipids and provides an in-depth characterization of their fragmentation pathways. Tandem mass analysis identified the positionally defined molecular species phosphatidylinositol (PI) 26:0/16:1, PI mannanside (PIM) 16:0/26:0 and PIM inositol-phosphate (PIMIP) 16:0/26:0 as abundant suppressor lipids. This finding differs from the original study that only inferred the positional isomer PI 16:0/26:0 and prompts new insight into the biosynthesis of suppressor lipids. Moreover, we also report the identification of a novel suppressor lipid featuring an amino sugar residue linked to a VLCFA-containing PI molecule.

CONCLUSIONS: Fragmentation pathways of yeast suppressor lipids have been delineated. In addition, the fragmentation information has been added to our open source ALEX lipid database to support automated identification and quantitative monitoring of suppressor lipids in yeast and bacteria that produce similar lipid molecules.

A meticulous effort to characterize the lipid molecules synthesized by SLC strains, using non-mass spectrometric approaches, resulted in the identification of six classes of suppressor lipids that supposedly keep these yeast strains alive.¹² These suppressor lipids were discovered to be very long chain fatty acid (VLCFA)-containing phosphatidylinositol (PI), lyso-PI (LPI), PI mannanside (PIM), lyso-PIM (LPIM), PIM-phosphoinositol (PIMIP) and lyso-PIMIP (LPIMIP) species (Fig. 1). Notably, the PI and LPI lipids have a polar head group identical to that of the yeast sphingolipid class inositolphosphoceramide (IPC), the PIM and LPIM lipids feature a head group identical to that of the mannosyl-inositolphosphoceramide (MIPC), and the PIMIP and LPIMIP lipids comprise a head group identical to that of mannosyl-diinositolphosphoceramide (M(MIP)₂C)₁²,¹²,¹³. Despite these structural resemblances it is today still unclear how suppressor lipids are exactly synthesized and what essential molecular function of sphingolipids can be taken over by the suppressor lipids. Functional insights into this can be facilitated by mass spectrometry (MS)-based lipidomics technology¹⁴,¹⁵ provided lipid databases used for processing of mass spectral data contain information on the ionization properties and fragmentation pathways of the suppressor lipids.
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Based on the structures of the suppressor lipids it has been suggested that their biosynthesis requires enzymes responsible for the production of fatty acid (FA) moieties into glycerophospholipids.[11,12,16,17] The synthesis of VLCFA-containing PI in SLC strains involves the mutated allele SLC1−1, encoding a sn2-acylglyceride acyltransferase with an altered substrate specificity that enables it to use VLCFAs instead of a long chain FAs (LCFAs) to acylate the sn-2 position of 1-acyl-2-hydroxy-sn-glycero-3-phosphate, thus producing phosphatidic acid (PA) with a sn-2-positioned VLCFA moiety.[11] The VLCFA-containing PA can be converted to PI by the PI synthase Pis1 and potentially also by the PI:IPC transferase Aur1. The VLCFA-containing PI can be converted to PIM and PIMIP by the MIPC synthase complex (Csg1/Csh1/Csg2) and the M(IP)2C synthase (Ipt1), respectively. Based on the original characterization it was suggested that the majority of suppressor lipid species contain a sn-1-positioned FA 16:0 moiety and a sn-2-positioned FA 26:0 (e.g. PI 16:0/26:0).[12] Notably, more recent MS-based studies, using wild-type yeast and the mutant lag1Δ lac1Δ LAG1, have reported detection of VLCFA-containing PI species primarily featuring a sn-1-positioned FA 26:0 moiety (e.g. PI26:0/16:1).[18,19]

Here we report, for the first time, the structural characterization of the yeast suppressor lipids using high-resolution hybrid quadruple time-of-flight (QqTOF) MS and ion trap-orbitrap MS. Our investigation recapitulates the overall structural features of the suppressor lipids, originally proposed using conventional chemical approaches. Notably, tandem mass analysis identified the positionally defined molecular lipid species PI 26:0/16:1, PIM 16:0/26:0 and PIMIP 16:0/26:0 as abundant suppressor lipids. This finding differs from the original study that only inferred the positional isomer PI 16:0/26:0. In addition, our investigation also revealed a novel suppressor lipid featuring an amino sugar residue linked to a VLCFA-containing PI molecule. The obtained fragmentation information has been added to our ALEX lipid database and can be queried online using our ALEX search engine.[20] Using our open source ALEX software,[21] this information can be harnessed for identification and quantitative monitoring of suppressor lipids in yeast and similar lipid molecules produced by pathogenic bacteria.[22,23]

EXPERIMENTAL

Chemicals

High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC)-grade chloroform, methanol and 2-propanol were obtained from Rathburn (Walkerburn, UK). All other chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Synthetic PI 17:0/20:4 was purchased from Avanti Polar Lipids, Inc. (Alabaster, AL, USA).

Yeast strains and culture conditions

The S. cerevisiae strain 4R3-17c (lys2 lcb1Δ::URA3 SLC1−1 ade1)[11,12] was used in this study. The strain was cultured in Lester Medium without phytosphingosine and tergitol.[24] Yeast cells, corresponding to 4000 OD600 units, were harvested by centrifugation, frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C.

Lipid extraction at 4°C

Collected yeast cells were dissolved in 35 mL 155 mM ammonium acetate, mixed with 10 mL glass beads (500 μm) and disrupted for 120 min using a Vortex-Genie 2 (Scientific Industries, Inc., Bohemia, NY, USA) operated at 3200 rpm. The cell lysate was subsequently subjected to two-step extraction.[14] The cell lysate (~35 mL) was transferred to a 1000 mL glass bottle, 17.5 mL methanol was added and mixed for 30 min using a magnetic stirrer. Subsequently, 350 mL chloroform was added and the mixture was mixed for 5 h. Next, the mixture (~400 mL) was transferred to a separating funnel and set to phase separate for 12 h. The lower organic phase with relatively
apolar lipids including sterols, triacylglycerols, phosphatidylcholines and phosphatidylethanolamines was discarded (after a quality control by MS analysis).

The aqueous phase was returned to the 1000 mL glass bottle, 35 mL 155 mM ammonium acetate, 10 mL methanol and 350 mL chloroform were added, and mixed for 2.5 h. Next, the mixture was transferred back to the separating funnel and set to phase separate for 12 h. The lower organic phase with relatively apolar lipids was again discarded (after a quality control by MS analysis).

The aqueous phase was again returned to the 1000 mL glass bottle, 140 mL methanol and 280 mL chloroform were added, and mixed for 5 h. The mixture was subsequently transferred back to the separating funnel and set to phase separate for 12 h. The lower organic phase now with relatively polar lipids was collected (after a quality control by MS analysis) in a distillation flask and evaporated to almost complete dryness by vacuum distillation.

The remaining aqueous phase in the separating funnel was again returned to the 1000 mL glass bottle, 17.5 mL 155 mM ammonium acetate, 105 mL methanol and 210 mL chloroform were added, and mixed for 2.5 h. The mixture was subsequently transferred to the separating funnel and set to phase separate for 12 h. The lower organic phase with relatively polar lipids was collected (after a quality control by MS) into the distillation flask with relatively polar lipids extracted in the previous step. The solvent in the distillation flask was evaporated to almost complete dryness by vacuum distillation. To this polar lipid extract was added 5 mL chloroform/methanol/H₂O (25:100:0.2 v/v/v) and the mixture was stored at −20°C until fractionation by preparative chromatography.

Isolation of suppressor lipids

Next the polar lipid extract was fractionated using preparative normal-phase chromatography. Separation was performed on an YMC-Pack PVA-Sil column (5 μm, 12 nm, 150 × 4.6 mm i.d.) (YMC Europe, Schembeck, Germany) using a Dionex P680 LC system equipped with an ASI-100 autosampler and fraction collector. A volume of 100 μL of polar lipid extract was loaded into an injection vial and 30 μL of the extract was injected onto the column using chloroform as a transport solvent. Lipids were separated using ternary gradient elution with the following solvents: chloroform (solvent A), 100 mM ammonium formate in methanol (solvent B) and 100 mM ammonium formate in water (solvent C). The gradient elution program was initiated with 100% A for 1 min, changed to 9% B after 2 min, changed to 22.6% B and 4.2% C after 9 min, changed to 32.4% B and 6% C after 16 min, changed to 34.5% B and 10% C after 19 min, changed to 60% B and 20% C after 21 min, changed to 80% B after 27 min, changed to 60% B after 32 min and changed to back to 100% A after 38 min. The flow rate was 1 mL/min, the column was at room temperature and fractions were collected every 0.5 min for 24 min (producing 48 fractions with a volume of 500 μL). Each fraction was analyzed by negative ion mode TOF MS analysis (see details below).

Analysis by QqTOF MS

Mass spectrometric analysis was performed in negative ion mode using a TripleTOF 5600 spectrometer (SCIEX, Concord, Canada) equipped with a TriVersa NanoMate ion source (Advion Biosciences Ltd, Ithaca, NJ, USA), as previously described.[13,14,25] In brief, 5 μL of collected fractions were loaded in 96-well plates (Eppendorf AG), 30 μL methanol containing 0.01% methylamine were added and covered with aluminum foil. Samples were infused using an ionization voltage of −0.96 kV and a gas pressure of 0.6 psi. All TOF MS and TOF MS/MS spectra were recorded using high-resolution mode, providing a mass resolution of 35,000 (full width at half maximum (FWHM)). For TOF MS/MS analysis the analytical quadrupole Q1 was operated at unit mass resolution and using collision energy (CE) settings as specified in the figure legends. Acquired TOF MS data was processed using LipidView software (SCIEX, Concord, Canada).

Analysis by ion trap-orbitrap MS

High-resolution Fourier transform MS (FTMS) and MS² analysis were performed in negative ion mode using an LTQ Orbitrap XL instrument (Thermo Scientific, San Jose, CA, USA) equipped with a TriVersa NanoMate ion source, as previously described.[13,14] Samples were infused as specified in the section above. FTMS spectra were acquired using a target mass resolution of 100,000 (FWHM at m/z 400) and FTMS² and FTMS³ spectra were acquired using a target mass resolution of 30,000 (FWHM at m/z 400). Precursor ion isolation width was 2.0 and 4.0 for MS² and MS³ analysis, respectively. Normalized CE settings used for MS² analysis are specified in the figure legends.

Annotation of lipid species

Lipid species are annotated as previously described[25,26] In brief, lipid species are annotated by sum composition when detected only by TOF MS or FTMS analysis. Lipid species annotated by sum composition are denoted as <lipid class> <sum of carbon atoms in the FA moieties><sum of double bonds in the FA moieties> (e.g. PIM 42:1). Lipid species detected by tandem mass analysis are annotated either by molecular composition or by positionally defined molecular composition. Lipid species annotated by molecular composition are denoted as <lipid class> <number of carbon atoms in the first FA moiety><number of double bonds in the first FA moiety > <number of carbon atoms in the second FA moiety><number of double bonds in the second FA moiety> (e.g. PI 16:1-26:0). Lipid species annotated by positionally defined molecular composition are denoted as <lipid class> <number of carbon atoms in the sn-1-positioned FA moiety><number of double bonds in the sn-1-positioned FA moiety>/ <number of carbon atoms in the sn-2-positioned FA moiety><number of double bonds in the sn-2-positioned FA moiety> (e.g. PI 26:0/16:1).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Isolation of yeast suppressor lipids by preparative chromatography

In order to delineate the fragmentation pathways of yeast suppressor lipids we isolated these from the S. cerevisiae SLC strain 4R3-17c[11,12] To this end, we used differential lipid extraction and preparative normal-phase chromatography (see Experimental section for details). Using this strategy we
obtained fractions enriched in different classes of suppressor lipids, and, additionally, chromatographic information showing the relative proportions of the different lipid classes (Fig. 2) and the stoichiometry between lipid species (see Fig. 7 and discussion below).

The separation showed that PI species containing LCFA and VLCFA moieties eluted primarily in fractions #36 and #35, respectively. The more polar LPI species with a single LCFA and VLCFA moiety eluted later in fractions #39 and #38, respectively. The chromatographic analysis also demonstrated that PIM, LPIM, and PIMIP species comprise primarily VLCFA moieties and were recovered in fractions #37, #39 and #41, respectively. Interestingly, the analysis also showed, in fraction #35, a potential lipid molecule matching a PI species containing an amino sugar residue (see discussion below). We note that we also detected LPIMIP 26:0 in fraction #43 (data not shown). Next, we undertook an in-depth study to characterize the fragmentation pathways of each of the suppressor lipids. To this end, we used a combination of hybrid QqTOF MS/MS and ion trap-orbitrap MSn analysis.

Structural characterization of VLCFA-containing PI species

Fragmentation pathways of PI species containing LCFA moieties have previously been reported.[15,27] In contrast, not much is known about the fragmentation pathways of PI species with VLCFA moieties (e.g. FA 26:0). Examining both TOF MS (data not shown) and FTMS spectra of fraction #35 showed that the most abundant VLCFA-containing PI species is PI 42:1, detected as a singly charged deprotonated ion with m/z 947.6593 (−0.1 ppm mass accuracy) (Fig. 3(A)). In addition, several other lower abundance VLCFA-containing PI species were detected including PI 42:0 with m/z 949.6747 (−0.4 ppm mass accuracy and overlapping with second isotope of PI 42:1), PI 44:1 with m/z 975.6905 (−0.2 ppm mass accuracy), PI 44:0 with 977.7061 (−0.3 ppm mass accuracy and overlapping with second isotope of PI 44:1) and PI 40:0 with m/z 921.6436 (−0.2 ppm mass accuracy).

To examine the fragmentation behavior of the VLCFA-containing PI species we subjected PI 42:1 (m/z 947.6593) to TOF MS/MS analysis (Figs. 3(B) and 3(E)). This showed three abundant fragment ions at m/z 395.3888, 253.2171 and 241.0116 matching a FA 26:0 acyl anion (−1.7 ppm mass accuracy), FA 16:1 acyl anion (−0.8 ppm mass accuracy) and inositol-phosphate minus H2O (denoted as PI(241), −1.2 ppm mass accuracy). These fragment ions demonstrated that PI 42:1 is primarily composed of the molecular lipid species PI 16:1-26:0. The analysis also showed other structure-specific fragment ions. A subset of these fragment ions derive from neutral loss of the acyl moieties as a FA or as a ketene (KE), either alone or in combination with neutral loss of inositol (denoted as -PI(162)). The most abundant neutral loss fragments include m/z 711.4455 (-FA 16:1(KE), 0.2 ppm mass accuracy), 693.4348 (-FA 16:1(FA), -0.03 ppm mass accuracy), 551.2618 (-FA 26:0(KE), -1.6 ppm mass accuracy), 531.3811 (-FA 16:1(FA) -PI(162), -1.7 mass accuracy) and 389.2090 (-FA 26:0(FA) -PI(162), -2.2 ppm mass accuracy) (Figs. 3(B) and 3(E)).
Examining the stoichiometry between the different FA moiety-specific fragment ions showed that the acyl anion of FA 26:0 is more abundant than that of FA 16:1, and that fragments corresponding to the neutral loss of FA 16:1 are more abundant than the neutral loss of FA 26:0. To examine in further detail whether these fragment ion ratios are indicative of the sn-1/sn-2 position of the FA moieties we subjected the synthetic standard PI 17:0/20:4, with known positional information, to TOF MS/MS analysis. This analysis showed that the acyl anion of the sn-1-positioned FA 17:0 is more abundant than the sn-2-positioned FA 20:4 (Supplementary Fig. S1(A), Supporting Information). The
analysis also demonstrated that fragment ions derived from neutral loss of sn-2-positioned FA 20:4 are more abundant than fragments from neutral loss of the sn-1-positioned FA 17:0. Notably, the preferential neutral loss of the sn-2-positioned FA moiety has previously been reported in tandem mass analysis of PI species using other types of mass spectrometers.\textsuperscript{[18,25]} Based on this information, and that the most abundant fragment ions derived from PI 16:1-26:0 are the FA 26:0 acyl anion and neutral loss fragments of FA 16:1, we conclude that PI 16:1-26:0 is primarily composed of the positionally defined molecular lipid species PI 26:0/16:1. We note, however, that we cannot exclude the possibility that the positional isomer PI 16:1/26:0 is also present in lower amounts.\textsuperscript{[26]}

As an alternative strategy to investigate the fragmentation pathways of VLCFA-containing PI species we subjected PI 42:1 (m/z 947.6593) to multi-stage fragmentation analysis using the ion trap-orbitrap instrument. By high-resolution FTMS\textsuperscript{2} analysis we confirmed the detection of the aforementioned PI 16:1-26:0-specific fragment ions (with mass accuracy less than 1.6 ppm, Figs. 3(C) and 3(E)). We again noticed preferential detection of the sn-1-positioned FA 26:0-derived acyl anion and fragment ions derived from neutral loss of the sn-2-positioned FA 16:1. This observation was corroborated by low-resolution ITMS\textsuperscript{2} analysis of the synthetic standard PI 17:0/20:4 (Supplementary Fig. S1(B), Supporting Information). To further examine the fragmentation pathways of PI 26:0/16:1 we subjected the fragment ion m/z 693.4348 (derived from neutral loss of FA 16:1 as a KE) to ITMS\textsuperscript{3} analysis (Fig. 3(D)). This analysis showed detection of structure-specific fragments at m/z 531.5, 395.6, 297.1, 241.4, 223.1 and 153.2 (see Fig. 3(E) for annotation of fragment ions).

Based on the structural characterization of PI 26:0/16:1, using both QqTOF and ion trap-orbitrap MS, we conclude that VLCFA-containing PI species follow the same fragmentation pathways as LCFA-containing PI species (Fig. 3(E)). Hence, no special considerations are needed when populating lipid databases with information on fragmentation of VLCFA-containing PI species. Importantly, our finding that PI 26:0/16:1 is the most abundant suppressor PI molecule contradicts that of the original report. Lester et al.\textsuperscript{[22]} inferred that PI 16:0/26:0, and not PI 26:0/16:1, is the most abundant positional isomer based on radioactive labeling of PI molecules, purification of VLCFA-containing PI species, treatment with phospholipase A\textsubscript{2} to produce sn-1 LPI species, separation by chromatography and scintillation counting. Notably, the FA composition of the produced LPI species was not validated by chemical derivatization and gas chromatography or running a synthetic LPI 16:0 standard, but was merely ascribed to be sn-1 LPI 16:0. Notably, our observation corroborates findings of more recent studies reporting that PI 26:0/16:1 is synthesized by wild-type\textsuperscript{[18]} and mutant lag1\textDelta lac1\Delta LAG1 yeast.\textsuperscript{[19]}

We note here that the tandem mass analysis of PI 42:1 also detected low abundance intensities of acyl anions matching FA 16:0, FA 18:1, FA 18:0, FA 24:1, FA 24:0 and FA 26:1 (data not shown). These fragments identify the molecular lipid species PI 16:0-26:1, PI 18:1-24:0 and PI 18:0-24:1 that each represent approximately 1 mol% of the total PI 42:1 intensity.

**Structural characterization of PIM species**

Evaluating the PIM species distribution in fraction #37 using FTMS analysis showed that PIM 42:0 with m/z 1111.7278 (\(\pm 0.1\) ppm mass accuracy) is the most abundant PIM species (Fig. 4(A)). We also observed that PIM 42:1 with m/z 1109.7142 (1.8 ppm mass accuracy) is the second most abundant lipid species and that its second isotope is overlapping with the mono-isotopic peak of PIM 42:0. We note that this isotope interference is relatively minor given that the second isotope of PIM 42:1 only contributes to approximately 12% of the total intensity of m/z 1111.7278 (estimated using isotope distribution information, data not shown). Furthermore, the FTMS analysis also showed detection of other lower abundance PIM species including PIM 40:0 at m/z 1083.6986 (1.9 ppm mass accuracy), PIM 44:1 with m/z 1137.7448 (1.1 ppm mass accuracy) and PIM 44:0 at 1139.7579 (\(\pm 1.1\) ppm mass accuracy and overlapping with the second isotope of PIM 44:1).

To examine the fragmentation pathways of PIM species we subjected PIM 42:1 (m/z 1109.7142) to FTMS\textsuperscript{2} analysis (Fig. 4(B)). We note that the lower abundance PIM 42:1 was chosen in order to minimize isotopic interference when matching fragment ions to structural features of the PIM species. The FTMS\textsuperscript{2} analysis showed two major fragment ions at m/z 389.2095, corresponding to the neutral loss of FA 26:0 and the PIM head group (denoted as -FA 26:0(FA)\textDash PIM(324), \(-1.0\) ppm mass accuracy), and at m/z 531.3816, matching the neutral loss of FA 16:1 and the PIM head group (FA 16:1 (FA)\textDash PIM(324), \(-0.8\) ppm mass accuracy). Moreover, several other FA moiety-specific fragment ions were detected corresponding to the neutral loss of FA 16:1 (m/z 855.4869, \(-FA 16:1(FA), -0.8\) ppm mass accuracy), the neutral loss of FA 26:0 (m/z 713.3150, \(-FA 26:0(FA), -0.6\) ppm mass accuracy), the acyl anion of FA 26:0 (m/z 395.3891, \(-1.0\) ppm mass accuracy) and the acyl anion of FA 16:1 (m/z 253.2170, \(-1.2\) ppm mass accuracy). In addition, a fragment ion at m/z 403.0642 corresponding to the PIM head group was also detected (denoted as PIM(403), \(-1.2\) ppm mass accuracy). In addition, we also performed ITMS\textsuperscript{3} analysis of the abundant fragment ion with m/z 389.2095 (denoted as -FA 26:0(FA)\textDash PIM(324)) and m/z 531.3816 (denoted as -FA 16:1(FA)\textDash PIM(324)). This analysis confirmed the assignment of FA 16:1 and FA 26:0 moieties in the precursor PIM 42:1 (data not shown). Based on the structural analysis we conclude that PIM 42:1 is primarily composed of the molecular lipid species PIM 16:1-26:0.

Evaluating the fragment ion stoichiometry derived from PIM 16:1-26:0 showed that (i) the acyl anion of FA 16:1 is slightly more abundant than that of FA 26:0 and (ii) that the intensity of fragments derived from neutral loss of FA 26:0 are more abundant than of fragments derived from neutral loss of FA 16:1. Given that structural characterization of PIM lipids from bacteria has previously shown that neutral loss of the sn-2-positioned FA moiety is more prominent than that of neutral loss of the sn-1-positioned FA moiety,\textsuperscript{[22]} we propose that the PIM 16:1-26:0 molecule is primarily composed of the positionally defined isomer PIM 16:1/26:0 (Fig. 4(D)). We note, however, that we cannot exclude the possibility that the positional isomer PIM 26:0/16:1 is also present in lower amounts. Interestingly, the observation that PIM 16:1/26:0 is the predominant positional isomer is opposite to that of the...
above described PI 26:0/16:1. This observation indicates that biosynthesis of PIM 16:1/26:0 can occur either by direct conversion of the metabolic precursor and positional isomer PI 16:1/26:0, or/and alternatively via PI 26:0/16:1 and FA remodeling to produce PIM 16:1/26:0 (see discussion in Conclusions).

As an alternative approach to examine the fragmentation behavior of PIM species we also subjected PIM 42:1 (m/z

Figure 4. Structural characterization of PIM species. (A) FTMS spectrum of PIM species recovered in fraction #37. Detected PIM species are annotated by sum composition. (B) FTMS* spectrum of m/z 1109.7 ([PIM 42:1-H] recorded using CID and CE at 35%. (C) TOF MS/MS spectrum of m/z 1109.7 ([PIM 42:1-H]) acquired using CE at 85 eV. (D) Tentative structures and predominant fragmentation pathways of PIM 16:1/26:0. Specified m/z values are calculated based on the chemical composition of depicted structures. (E) TOF MS/MS spectrum of m/z 1111.7 ([PIM 42:0-H]) acquired using CE at 85 eV.
(1109.7142) to tandem mass analysis using the QqTOF instrument (Fig. 4(C)). By TOF MS/MS analysis we confirmed the detection of structure-specific fragments that identified PIM 42:1 as the molecular species PIM 16:1-26:0 (with a mass accuracy less than 2.6 ppm). Moreover, we again noticed preferential detection of fragment ions derived from neutral loss of FA 26:0. This observation corroborates the abovementioned findings and substantiates the identification of PIM 16:1/26:0 (Fig. 4(D)) as being the predominant positional isomer. We note that the tandem mass analysis of PIM 42:1 also detected low abundance intensities of acyl anions matching FA 16:0, FA 18:1, FA 18:0, FA 24:1, FA 24:0 and FA 26:1. These fragments identify the molecular lipid species PIM 16:0-26:1, PIM 18:1-24:0 and PIM 18:0-24:1, which represents approximately 1.4 mol%, 0.6 mol% and 0.02 mol%, respectively, of the total pool of PIM 42:1.

Figure 5. Structural characterization of PIMIP species. (A) FTMS spectrum of PIMIP species recovered in fraction #41. Detected PIMIP species are annotated by sum composition. Insert shows m/z range with doubly charged PIMIP species. (B) TOF MS/MS spectrum of m/z 675.4 ([PIMIP 42:1-2H]²⁺) acquired using CE at 45 eV. (C) Tentative structures and predominant fragmentation pathways of PIMIP 16:1/26:0. Specified m/z values are calculated based on the chemical composition of depicted structures. (D) TOF MS/MS spectrum of m/z 676.4 ([PIMIP 42:0-2H]²⁻) acquired using CE at 43 eV.
Having delineated the fragmentation pathways of a representative PIM species we next evaluated the fragment ions derived from the more abundant PIM 42:0 at m/z 1111.7278. TOF MS/MS analysis showed two abundant fragment ions at m/z 255.2329 and m/z 395.3888 matching acyl anions of FA 16:0 (−0.2 ppm mass accuracy) and FA 26:0 (−1.7 ppm mass accuracy), respectively (Fig. 4(E)). In addition, we detected a repertoire of lower abundance fragment ions corresponding to neutral losses of FA 16:0 and FA 26:0 as well as PIM head group specific fragments. Based the detected fragment ion we deduced that PIM 42:0 is primarily the molecular species PIM 16:0-26:0. When inspecting the intensities of fragments matching neutral losses of FA moieties we observed that neutral loss of FA 26:0 was more abundant than that of FA 16:0, indicating that PIM 16:0-26:0 is composed primarily of the positionally defined molecular lipid species PIM 16:0/26:0. We note that the tandem mass analysis of PIM 42:0 also showed low abundance intensities of acyl anions matching FA 14:0, FA 18:0, FA 24:0 and FA 28:0. These fragments identify the molecular lipid species PIM 18:0-24:0 and PIM 14:0-28:0, which represent approximately 0.3 mol% and 0.5 mol%, respectively, of the total intensity of PIM 42:0 (data not shown).

Structural characterization of PIMIP species

PIMIP species contain two phosphate groups that can be deprotonated (Figs. 1 and 5(D)). Hence, PIMIP species can be detected as both singly and doubly charged ions in negative ion mode. High-resolution FTMS analysis of fraction #41, containing PIMIP species, showed a singly charged ion at m/z 1353.7442 (−2.1 ppm mass accuracy) and a doubly charged ion at m/z 676.3685 (−2.0 ppm mass accuracy) matching the most abundant molecule PIMIP 42:0 (Fig. 5(A)). The FTMS analysis also showed PIMIP 42:1 with singly charged m/z 1351.7268 (−3.4 ppm mass accuracy) and doubly charged m/z 675.3608 (−1.9 ppm mass accuracy). We note that the second isotope of PIMIP 42:1 overlaps with the mono-isotopic peak of PIMIP 42:0. However, this isotope interference is relatively minor given that second isotope of PIMIP 42:1 only contributes a maximum of 3.6% to the total peak intensity at m/z 1353.6663 and 676.3410 (estimated using isotope distribution information, data not shown). Furthermore, the analysis also showed detection of other lower abundance PIMIP species including PIMIP 44:1 with m/z 1379.7579 (−3.5 ppm mass accuracy), PIMIP 44:0 with m/z 1381.7751 (−2.3 ppm mass accuracy and overlapping with second isotope of PIMIP 44:1) and PIMIP 40:0 with m/z 1325.7135 (−1.7 ppm mass accuracy, no major isotope interference from PIMIP 40:1 was observed).

To examine the fragmentation pathways of PIMIP species we subjected the doubly charged PIMIP 42:1 (m/z 675.3608) to TOF MS/MS analysis (Fig. 5(B)). We note that the lower abundance PIMIP 42:1 species was chosen in order to avoid isotopic interference when matching fragment ions to structural features in the PIMIP species. The TOF MS/MS analysis showed five abundant and singly charged fragment ions: m/z 955.3367 matching the neutral loss of FA 26:0 (-FA 26:0(FA), 2.2 ppm mass accuracy), m/z 565.1170 matching the PIMIP head group (denoted as PIMIP(565), −0.9 ppm mass accuracy), m/z 395.3888 matching the acyl anion of FA 26:0 (−1.8 ppm mass accuracy), m/z 253.2171 matching the acyl anion of FA 16:1 (−0.8 ppm mass accuracy), and m/z 241.0117 matching inositol-phosphate minus H2O derived from the PIMIP head group (denoted as PIMIP(241), −0.8 ppm mass accuracy). Moreover, several lower abundance fragment ions were detected matching a fragment ion of the PIMIP head group (m/z 719.1209, PIMIP(719), 0.4 ppm mass accuracy), neutral loss of FA 16:1 as a KE (m/z 557.2543(2), −FA 16:1(KE), −1.3 ppm mass accuracy), neutral loss of FA 16:1 as a FA (m/z 548.2494(2), −FA 16:1(FA), −0.7 ppm mass accuracy), neutral loss of FA 26:0 as a KE (m/z 486.1681(2), −FA 26:0(KE), −1.9 ppm mass accuracy), combined neutral loss of FA 26:0 and the PIMIP head group (m/z 389.2090, −FA 26:0(FA)-PIMIP(565), −2.3 ppm mass accuracy), and combined neutral loss of FA 16:1 and the PIMIP head group (m/z 531.3808, −FA 16:1(FA)-PIMIP(565), −2.3 ppm mass accuracy). This repertoire of fragment ions confidently identifies PIMIP 42:1 as primarily the molecular species PIMIP 16:1-26:0 (Fig. 5(C)). We note that the tandem mass analysis of PIMIP 42:1 also detected low abundance intensities of acyl anions corresponding to acyl anions of FA 16:0, FA 18:1, FA 24:0 and FA 26:1. These fragments identify the molecular lipid species PIMIP 16:0-26:1 and PIMIP 18:1-24:0, which represent approximately 2.8 mol% and 0.7 mol%, respectively, of the total PIMIP 42:1 pool (data not shown).

When considering the stoichiometry between neutral loss fragments derived from PIMIP 16:1-26:0 we observed more abundant intensities of ions matching the neutral loss of FA 26:0 as compared to that of FA 16:1. Assuming that neutral loss of the sn-2-positioned FA moiety is favored from PIMIP species, as for PIs and PIMs and doubly charged phosphoinositides,[27] we deduce that the most abundant positional isomer of PIMIP 42:1 is PIMIP 16:1/26:0. We note, however, that we cannot exclude the possibility that the positional isomer PIMIP 26:0/16:1 is also present in lower amounts.

Having delineated the fragmentation pathways of a representative PIMIP species we next evaluated the fragment ions derived from the more abundant PIMIP 42:0 species at m/z 676.3699. TOF MS/MS analysis showed five abundant fragment ions with structural features similar to that obtained by fragmentation of PIMIP 42:1. In particular, we detected acyl anions matching FA 16:0 (m/z 255.2324, −2.4 ppm mass accuracy) and FA 26:0 (m/z 395.3884, −2.8 ppm mass accuracy) identifying PI 42:0 as the molecular species PIMIP 16:0-26:0 (Fig. 5(D)). Based on the stoichiometry between neutral loss fragments generated by the loss of FA moieties, and also acyl anions, we deduce that the predominant position isomer of PIMIP 42:0 is PI 16:0/26:0.

Structural characterization of PIN

The chromatographic separation of suppressor lipids also revealed a prominent singly charged ion with m/z 1110.7436 in fraction #35 (Figs. 2 and 6(A)). Given that the ion has an even nominal m/z suggests that it contains a nitrogen atom. To gain insights into the molecular structure of this putative lipid we subjected it to tandem mass analysis. TOF MS/MS analysis of m/z 1110.7436 showed two major fragment ions at m/z 395.3894 and 255.2339, corresponding to acyl anions of FA 26:0 (−0.3 ppm mass accuracy) and FA 16:0 (3.7 ppm mass accuracy),
respectively. Moreover, two fragment ions specific for inositol-phosphate and glycerol-phosphate were detected at m/z 223.0023 (4.5 ppm mass accuracy) and 152.9970 (7.6 ppm mass accuracy), respectively. Together, these fragment ions demonstrate that the lipid molecule at m/z 1110.7436 is a glycerophospholipid with FA 16:0 and FA 26:0 moieties, and an inositol-phosphate-containing head group. The tandem mass analysis also demonstrated three fragment ions at m/z 420.0911, 402.0808 and 384.0703, all featuring an even nominal m/z suggesting they contain a nitrogen atom. The mass difference between these ions is in average 18.0108 amu, corresponding to a difference of H2O.

An identical mass difference is observed for inositol-phosphate mannose fragments with m/z 421.0753 and 403.0647, derived from PIM lipids (Fig. 4(C)) and from MIPC lipids.[13] This similarity in fragmentation behavior and the observed even nominal m/z values suggest that the lipid molecule with m/z 1110.7436 contains an amino sugar residue. This prediction is supported by the mass differences between the PIM fragment with m/z 403.0647 (Fig. 4(D)) and m/z 420.0911 being 0.9839 Da, matching the exact mass difference between an OH and a NH2 group. This diagnostic difference is also evident in the mass difference between the PIM fragment with m/z 421.0753 and m/z 420.0911.

**Figure 6.** Structural characterization of PIN species. (A) TOF MS spectrum of PIN species recovered in fraction #35. Detected PIN species are annotated by sum composition. (B) TOF MS/MS spectrum of m/z 1110.7 ([PIN 42:0-H]+) acquired using CE at 95 eV. (C) Tentative structures and predominant fragmentation pathways of PIN 16:0/26:0. Specified m/z values are calculated based on the chemical composition of depicted structures.
Based on the structural features of the fragment ions we argue that the lipid molecule with \( m/z \) 1110.7436 is a PI molecule featuring an amino sugar residue linked to the inositol-phosphate head group. We annotate this lipid molecule as PIN 16:0–26:0, where ‘N’ indicates the amino sugar residue. Importantly, a lipid matching the mass of the novel suppressor lipid has previously been reported as the second intermediate in the biosynthesis of glycosphatidylinositol-anchors in yeast.\[28\] This lipid molecule contains the amino sugar glucosamine with linkage to an inositol-phosphate head group.

When considering the stoichiometry of neutral loss fragments derived from FA moieties we observed more abundant intensities of fragment ions matching neutral loss of the FA 26:0 (Fig. 6(B)). Assuming that neutral loss of the sn-2-positioned FA moiety is favored, as for PI and PIM lipids, we predict that the most abundant positional isomer is PIN 16:0/26:0. A tentative structure of PIN 16:0/26:0 and its fragment ions are presented in Fig. 6(C).

Having ascertained the identity of the PIN lipid we next evaluated whether other PIN species were detected. Examining the TOF MS spectrum of fraction #35 showed detection of also PIN 40:0 at \( m/z \) 1082.7126 (0.05 ppm mass accuracy), PIN 42:1 at \( m/z \) 1108.7278 (0.4 ppm mass accuracy) and PIN 44:0 at \( m/z \) 1138.7791 (3.4 ppm mass accuracy) (Fig. 6(A)). We note that the second isotope of low abundance PIN 42:1 species do not cause any major isotope interferences on the mono-isotopic intensity of PIN 42:0, the most abundant PIN lipid molecule.

**Profiling of suppressor lipids**

Having characterized the most abundant suppressor lipids we next revisited the chromatographic data in order to evaluate the molecular profile of the suppressor lipids produced by the 4R3-17c strain. This analysis recapitulated that PI 42:1 (26:0/16:1), LPI 26:0, PIM 42:0 (16:0/26:0), LPI 26:0, PIMIP 42:0 (16:0/26:0) and LPIMIP 26:0, PIN 42:0 (16:0-26:0) and LPIN 26:0 are the most abundant molecules within each class of suppressor lipid (Fig. 7). The analysis also showed a lipid class-dependent ratio between VLCFA- and LCFA-containing molecules; where PI lipids feature a very low proportion of VLCFA moieties, LPI and PIM lipids feature an intermediate proportion of VLCFA moieties, and LPI, PIMIP, LPIMIP, PIN and LPIN molecules comprise almost exclusively VLCFA moieties. This observation is potentially linked to biosynthesis and turnover of the suppressor lipids, and suggests that a previously unrecognized degree of substrate-specificity is involved in the biosynthesis of the yeast suppressor lipids (see discussion in Conclusions).

**Figure 7.** Profile of suppressor lipids. Fractions with suppressor lipid classes were isolated by preparative chromatography and analyzed by TOF MS (Fig. 2). Recorded peak intensities of lipid species are shown as function of sum composition. The main positionally defined isomers are indicated for lipid species characterized by tandem mass analysis (see Figs. 3, 4, 5 and 6).
CONCLUSIONS

In this study we revisited the structural characterization of suppressor lipids, described in 1993 to revive yeast that cannot make essential sphingolipids.[12] Our investigation was aimed at delineating the fragmentation pathways of the suppressor lipids using high-resolution MS technology, to profile the molecular composition of the suppressor lipids and to curate fragmentation information for enabling automated analysis of these lipid molecules. We note that the fragmentation information has been added to our ALEX lipid database and can be queried using our online search engine.[20] The ALEX lipid search engine also features fragmentation information on the mono-acyl suppressor lipids: LPI, LPM and LPMIP (see Supporting Information). Using our open source ALEX software[21] this information can be used for automated identification and quantitative monitoring of suppressor lipids in yeast and similar lipid molecules produced by certain pathogenic bacteria.[23,24]

In addition to recapitulating the overall structural features of suppressor lipids our investigation also discovered a new class of suppressor lipids featuring an amino sugar residue (supposedly glucosamine) linked to a VLCFA-containing PI molecule. The analysis also provided new and unexpected insight into the incorporation of VLCFA moieties into PI, PIM and PIMIP lipids. Our mass spectrometric analysis demonstrated that the most abundant positionally defined molecular lipid species are PI 26:0/16:1, PIM 16:0/26:0 and PIMIP 16:0/26:0. The positional assignments for PIM 16:0/26:0 and PIMIP 16:0/26:0 match what has previously been proposed, but not unambiguously verified, by Lester et al.[12]

In contrast, we identified the species PI 26:0/16:1, having the FA 26:0 moiety at the sn-1 position and not at the sn-2 position as originally suggested. This novel finding, taken together with the molecular species compositions of PIM, LPI and LPMIP (Fig. 7), suggests that VLCFA-containing PI species are made by at least two distinct pathways.

One pathway, using Slc1-1, produces PI molecules with a VLCFA moiety at the sn-2 position (e.g. PI 16:1/26:0) which are presumably rapidly converted into PIM species (e.g. PIM 16:1/26:0) by the MIPC synthase. This notion is supported by the fact that the sn-2-positioned VLCFA moiety in PI molecules is stereochemically similar to the C-2-positioned FA moiety of sphingolipid IPC molecules, the archetypal substrates of the MIPC synthase. Moreover, several lines of evidence show that the MIPC synthase displays a substrate-specificity for IPC molecules with C-2-positioned VLCFA moieties (ranging from FA 22:0 to FA 26:0),[14,29] which can explain the higher proportion of VLCFA moieties in PIM species as compared to the precursor PI species.

The alternative pathway produces PI molecules with a VLCFA moiety at the sn-1 position (e.g. PI 26:0/16:1). This pathway could potentially be attributed to remodeling of LCFA-containing PI species (e.g. PI 16:1/18:1) by the concerted action of a phospholipase (e.g. Plb3) and the sn-1-specific acytransferase Gst26 (A. Conzelmann, unpublished observations). It is tempting to speculate that PI molecules with a sn-1-positioned VLCFA moiety accumulate in SLC strains as these molecules are potentially relatively poor substrates of the MIPC synthase which prefers inositol-containing lipids with a VLCFA moiety at the C-2 atom. This would explain why we did not detect significant levels of PI 16:1/26:0 but instead found high levels of the opposite positional isomer PI 26:0/16:1. We note that additional studies are required to unravel the molecular mechanisms of suppressor lipid biosynthesis and that using high-resolution MS technology, as applied herein, is a powerful complementary tool for such investigations.
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