Successful joint ventures of plants: arbuscular mycorrhiza and beyond
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Among the oldest symbiotic associations of plants are arbuscular mycorrhiza (AM) with fungi of the phylum Glomeromycota. Although many of the symbiotic signaling components have been identified on the side of the plant, AM fungi have long evaded genetic analysis owing to their strict biotrophy and their exceptional genetics. Recently, the identification of the fungal symbiosis signal (Myc factor) and of a corresponding Myc factor receptor, and new insights into AM fungal genetics, have opened new avenues to address early communication and functional aspects of AM symbiosis. These advances will pave the way for breeding programs towards adapted AM fungi for crop production, and will shed light on the ecology and evolution of this remarkably successful symbiosis.

Plants: symbiotic from the start

According to the endosymbiont hypothesis, the success of early eukaryotes was fostered by the acquisition of prokaryotic cells that evolved to cellular power plants, the mitochondria [1]. Such improvement of eukaryotes continued with the incorporation of photosynthetic prokaryotes, the ancestors of chloroplasts in plants [1]. After having radiated in aquatic environments, plants started to conquer the inhospitable expanses of the Ordovician approximately 475 million years (Myr) ago [2]. This transition coincided with the emergence of intracellular fungal interactions which might have been instrumental for early plants to cope with the harsh environmental conditions on land [3–5]. While mitochondria and chloroplasts are meanwhile regarded as integral constituents of plant cells, the fungal interaction is known as arbuscular mycorrhiza (AM), the most widespread symbiosis of plants [6]. Approximately 400 Myr later, the nitrogen-fixing root nodule symbiosis (RNS) with rhizobia (rhizobia) evolved in a subset of the dicotyledonous angiosperms (mostly legumes). Hence, cooperation has been a recurrent theme in the success story of plants and continues to enable them to inhabit various extreme environments [7,8].

Similar to mitochondria and chloroplasts, AM fungi and rhizobia are accommodated within the cytoplasm of their host, a condition which facilitates the exchange of nutrients and signal molecules, but requires specific adaptations [9]. The understanding of mechanisms involved in the establishment of AM and RNS has in recent years seen dramatic advances through genetic analysis of the plant host [6]. On the microbial side, the role of rhizobia in RNS has been characterized in considerable detail, whereas AM fungi have long evaded genetic analysis, owing to their strictly biotrophic lifestyle, their coenocytic organization, their heterokaryotic nature and their asexual mode of propagation [10]. A central open question has been how the apparent involvement of symbiotic communication in AM can be reconciled with the very low host specificity in this symbiosis [9]. Furthermore, it has been a challenge for evolutionary theory to explain how AM fungi as ancient asexuals could have persisted and thrived over hundreds of millions of years without negative effects on their own fitness and on the evolution of AM symbiosis [3,11]. In this opinion article, we discuss recent findings that provide a basis to address these questions and we consider how the exceptional genetics of AM fungi might have shaped the evolution and ecology of AM symbiosis. Despite the ancestral status of AM, we start with an overview over the better explored signaling mechanisms in RNS, which have much in common with signaling in AM.

Symbiotic signaling: insights from nodulation

For plants it is crucial to rapidly distinguish between the diverse microbes in the soil, potential symbionts from neutral epiphytes and pathogens. The RNS of most legumes is initiated by Nod factors (NFs) from rhizobia which are recognized as symbiosis signals by LysM-type Nod factor receptors (NFRs) in root hairs [12] (Figure 1). NFs are lipochitooligosaccharides (LCOs) that consist of an N-acetylglucosamine backbone with various substitutions, which are the basis for the wide diversity of NFs [13]. Each rhizobial strain produces one or several characteristic NFs, which require particular NFRs for recognition in the plant, thus contributing to the restricted host range in RNS [13,14]. A signaling cascade relates NF perception from the plasma membrane to the nucleus and triggers a symbiotic program that leads to intracellular accommodation of the endosymbiont [6,15,16]. A central component of symbiotic signaling is an oscillatory calcium signal (calcium spiking) which is thought to be perceived by a calcium and calmodulin-dependent protein kinase (CCaMK). CCaMK is a pivotal element in Symbiosis (SYM) signaling, since its activation is necessary and sufficient to trigger nodule development in legumes in the absence of any upstream signal [17–20]. AM symbiosis requires several of the SYM genes identified in RNS, which are therefore referred to as ‘common SYM genes’ (Figure 1).

Because the evolution of AM preceded the emergence of nodulation by several hundred million years, it appears that the common SYM pathway evolved in the context of AM and became secondarily involved in RNS [4,6].
Taken together, these observations suggest a stepwise absence of accommodation structures such as nodules [26]. bacteria in grasses can be hosted in root tissues in the intercellularly without the involvement of NFs or common components of the common SYM pathway (Figure 1), and exhibits relaxed signaling requirements compared to root hair infection [22]. Furthermore, it requires ethylene, which normally inhibits rizhobial infection through root hairs [23]. Interestingly, *Lotus japonicus* mutants with a defect in a common SYM gene can be infected by rhizobia through an ethylene-dependent intercellular pathway [24], consistent with the (re)activation of the crack entry pathway, which is regarded as a primitive infection mechanism that preceded the evolution of root hair infection [15]. In some cases, rhizobia can infect root or stem tissues intercellularly without the involvement of NFs or common SYM signaling [25]. In these cases, purine derivatives can serve as symbiotic signals for nodule primordium formation. In this context, it should be noted that nitrogen-fixing bacteria in grasses can be hosted in root tissues in the absence of accommodation structures such as nodules [26]. Taken together, these observations suggest a stepwise evolution of RNS [15,27]. Initially, nodule formation and intracellular accommodation of bacteria could have evolved from purely intercellular interactions that relied on crack entry. Subsequently, the intercellular infection pathway could have been replaced by the more efficient and more stringent intracellular infection pathway through root hairs [15]. This route of infection efficiently circumvents the epidermal barrier without the need for openings in the root surface. However, the older intercellular infection pathway is still operational, and can be used when signaling through the common SYM pathway is impaired [22,24]. Interestingly, growth of nodule primordia in the root cortex is already triggered during root hair infection, that is well before rhizobia have reached the cortex, pointing to a mobile signal between epidermis and cortex [12]. The relationship between the events in root hairs and the cortex has long remained unclear because they could not be studied separately, owing to their common linkage to NF signaling. Only recently, these two aspects of RNS became disentangled, owing to dominant gain-of-function mutants in CcAMK, which generate nodules in the absence of rhizobia, hence allowing to genetically separate primordium formation from root hair infection [18,19].

**Communication between plants and AM fungi and development of AM symbiosis**

AM fungi have long been known to release diffusible signal molecules (Myc factors) that trigger the expression of symbiosis-related genes such as *ENOD11* [28]. The Myc factor (MF) of *Glomus intraradices* has recently been shown to consist of LCOs that are closely related to NFs [29]. Considering the relatively recent evolution of RNS, this suggests that LCOs evolved first as Myc factors in *AM* and became secondarily 'copied' as NFs in rhizobia. This also suggests similar perception mechanisms in the plant host. Indeed, one single LysM receptor kinase mediates recognition of both, rhizobia and AM fungi, in *Parasponia andersonii* (order Rosales) [30], pointing to either a common LCO signal or to limited specificity of the *Parasponia* LysM receptor kinase. In this context, it is interesting to note that an *Arabidopsis* NFR1 homolog (CERK1) is a receptor for chitin [31,32], which corresponds to the undecorated backbone of MFs and NFs. However, the NFRs of legumes are specific to RNS, hence it remains to be seen whether in legumes other LysM receptors might be responsible for MF perception. The fact that LysM-type receptor kinases are generally encoded by large gene families in AM-competent plants [33] indicates that plants could potentially perceive multiple different MFs, which would explain the broad host range in AM (see below).

As in RNS, the common signaling pathway is required for successful intracellular colonization of epidermal cells by AM fungi (Figure 1). Mutations in common SYM genes generally lead to an early arrest of hyphal infection [6]; however, AM fungi can in many cases overcome this barrier and later form normal arbuscules in the cortex [24,34–36]. These observations indicate that SYM gene signaling is particularly crucial for epidermal infection. However, as in the case of RNS, downstream common SYM genes such
as CYCLOPS and CCaMK are indispensable for epidermal infection as well as for cortical infection and arbuscule formation (Figure 1). Whether colonization of the root cortex by AM fungi involves mobile signals as in RNS is unknown, but given the rapid intercellular progression of fungal hyphae from the epidermis towards the cortex such a signal might not be required.

Plants have evolved a cellular infection structure, the prepenetration apparatus (PPA), which guides AM fungi through epidermal cells [37] in a similar way to the infection thread in RNS [38]. Whether the rare infection events in the absence of SYM signaling involve a PPA is not clear at present. Arbuscule formation in cortical cells also involves PPA formation [39]. The fact that arbuscules can be formed in some sym mutants suggests that cortical PPA can be triggered independently of SYM signaling or that PPA formation is not an absolute requirement for arbuscule formation. Unfortunately, the separate analysis of epidermal infection and arbuscule formation in the cortex is more difficult than genetic separation of epidermal and cortical events in RNS, where nodule primordium formation can be studied in the absence of the microbe (see above).

Recently, VAPYRIN has been discovered as a new component required for intracellular accommodation of AM fungi and rhizobia in epidermal, as well as cortical cells [40–42]. VAPYRIN consists of a major sperm protein domain and an ankyrin domain, both known to mediate protein–protein interactions. The large ankyrin domain with 11 repeats is unique in plants [43], and closely resembles animal ankyrins which serve to connect integral membrane proteins to elements of the spectrin cytoskeleton [44]. Based on this structural similarity, VAPYRIN might promote intracellular accommodation of endosymbionts by interacting with membranes and/or with the cytoskeleton. Indeed, VAPYRIN protein associates with small membranous compartments, the function of which remains to be established [40,41]. Thus, VAPYRIN and the identification of its potential interaction partners will provide an entry point to the elusive molecular mechanisms involved in intracellular accommodation of endosymbionts.

### Genetic diversity of AM fungi: relevant for symbiotic signaling and functioning?

AM fungi are exceptional owing to their supposed ancient asexual nature and their coenocytic organization [10]. In addition, several lines of evidence suggest that AM fungi are heterokaryotic, that is that they contain genetically different nuclei [45,46] (Box 1). However, based on the observation that the degree of genetic heterogeneity remained unchanged over multiple generations, this view has been questioned and, instead, increased ploidy or gene duplication has been invoked to explain the genetic diversity within individual fungal isolates [47]. The apparent dilemma might result from hyphal fusions (anastomoses), which involve the exchange of nuclei (Box 1). Hence, in a heterokaryotic syncytium, the loss of genetic diversity through genetic drift could be countered by continuous reshuffling of the different nuclear variants [48] (Figure 2). In addition, maintenance of the heterokaryotic status might be enforced by selection for essential gene copies among the partially degenerated subgenomes, which are expected to result from prolonged clonal propagation. In practical terms, the unusual genetic organization of AM fungi makes forward genetic approaches and stable genetic transformation virtually impossible [49] and it renders the assembly of the Glomus intraradices genome an unprecedented challenge [50].

Genetically, prolonged clonal expansion of a syncytium should result in increasing genetic heterogeneity owing to the accumulation of mutations, the deleterious consequences of which are buffered by complementation from other nuclei. By contrast, increasing heterogeneity should be counteracted by uneven segregation and loss of nuclear variants in the individual hyphae of the expanding syncytium (genetic drift; Figure 2). AM fungi can reshuffle their genome by anastomosis, that is hyphal fusions that include the exchange of nuclei [71]. Anastomosis between genetically similar hyphae can potentially counteract the loss of nuclear variants, whereas anastomosis between genetically different hyphae generates new genetic diversity (Figure 2). Environmental factors can impose selection pressure on individual mycelia within a population of anastomosing AM fungi. In addition, selective promotion and sanctioning of the strictly biotrophic AM fungi by plants can potentially lead to selection of certain beneficial genotypes within a fungal population. Hence, the evolution of AM fungi might have been shaped to a considerable degree by their exceptional genetics.
the recognition in AM results in compatibility. Conceivably, recognition in AM confers a strong selective advantage to both symbiotic partners, providing a strong driving force for coevolution. Although the described scenario could explain the broad host range in AM, it remains to be seen whether AM fungi have the potential to produce more than a few MFs as in the case of *G. intraradices* [29]. Future biochemical analysis of MF composition in different AM fungal isolates (grown under various conditions) and functional analysis of LysM receptor kinases in plants will shed light on these issues.

Although AM fungal genetics are unusual and difficult to approach, genetic manipulation has been achieved using their parasexual mechanisms (Box 1). A considerable step ahead represents the recent characterization of crosses between genetically different strains of *G. intraradices* [52]. AM fungi cannot be grown in pure culture, but *G. intraradices* can be cultured in monoxenic systems with living roots as a substrate. Co-culturing genetically different fungal isolates resulted in new fungal hybrid lines that carried genetic markers of the two parent isolates [52]. Subsequent culture over several generations gave rise to segregating lines with new growth characteristics and, remarkably, with diverse symbiotic potential [53]. Hence, anastomosis can generate new diversity within AM fungal syncytia (Figure 2) and explains the occurrence of genetic recombination in natural AM fungal populations [54,55]. However, it should be pointed out that recombination in the context of AM fungi refers to the mixing and segregation of different nuclear types, although genetic recombination of chromosomes within the syncytium cannot be ruled out [10,55]. These results highlight a second level at which genetic diversity of AM fungi can impinge on AM symbiosis. Variability in the degree to which plants benefit from AM [56] is likely to depend on the genetic setup of both, the plant and its AM fungal partner. Conceivably, AM fungal genomes could encode a wide variety of functionally important proteins such as nutrient transporters. Such functional diversity could represent the substrate for adaptive evolution through selection by the plant host (see below).

**What keeps symbionts together?**

Mutual symbiosis has been predicted to be inherently unstable owing to conflict of interest [57]. For example, one partner can improve its own fitness by exploiting the other without returning any benefit (parasitism) or the interaction can simply decay if one partner ceases to interact and becomes free-living [58]. Unstable mutualism has indeed been observed in the case of ectomycorrhizal (ECM) fungi among the basidiomycetes. During their evolution, these ECM fungi have repeatedly gained and lost symbiotic capacity [59]. This observation is in line with the finding that ECM fungi have retained most genes required for saprotrophic life, except for cell wall-degrading enzymes [60]. Similarly, phylogenetic analysis of rhizobia showed that non-nodulating free-living strains were in several cases nested in clusters of nodulating strains, indicating that they had secondarily lost the ability to engage in RNS, whereas free-living strains have repeatedly gained symbiotic capacity [61]. This shows that in evolutionary terms, ECM fungi and rhizobia entertain more relaxed relationships with their hosts than AM fungi, which have never been reported to become free-living.

In contrast to RNS and ECM, AM symbiosis appears to have been remarkably stable throughout its long history of
Plants invest carbohydrate equivalents into AM fungi and gain fitness in terms of improved mineral nutrition and increased tolerance to biotic and abiotic stresses [9,72]. To avoid fungal proliferation to an extent where the costs of the interaction would potentially exceed its benefits, plants can limit the degree of root colonization by AM fungi [73], potentially through reduction of carbohydrate allocation to the fungus. In addition, plants reduce the levels of AM fungal colonization under conditions where the interaction is not beneficial, for example when the plant is well supplied with mineral nutrients and therefore does not profit from symbiosis [74,75], or under conditions when the symbiosis is rendered ineffective by mutation or downregulation of phosphate transporters [64,65]. These observations suggest that the plant has control over microbial growth in the root.

Given the strict biotrophy of AM fungi and the fact that plants can limit the extent of fungal colonization, are AM fungi at the mercy of their plant host? At the level of individual plants in pot cultures (‘one-plant-one-fungus’ situation), this might indeed be the case. However, over 400 Myr [3,11], The vast majority of plants form AM and all Glomeromycota are obligate symbionts [9]. What might have stabilized the association through evolution? In theory, mutualistic interactions can persist if one partner can preferentially chose the most beneficial partner and impose sanctions on cheaters. Indeed, such sanctions have been documented in RNS and in AM that had been rendered ineffective experimentally, either by mutation or by manipulation of the environment (Box 2). When rhizobia were prevented from fixing atmospheric nitrogen (N2) either by mutation of Nif genes [62] or by replacing N2 by argon [63], nodules were smaller and bacterial reproduction was reduced. Analogously, rendering AM ineffective by downregulation or mutation of symbiosis-specific phosphate transporters of the plant causes significant reduction of AM colonization [64,65]. In all these cases, the plant appears to detect the lack of benefit and responds with suppression of microbial proliferation in the root. Notably, in a natural setting, plants that are colonized by spatially separated AM fungi can distinguish them based on their symbiotic performance and deliver resources preferentially to the better mutualist [66]. Considering the great functional variability in AM associations [56], plants are faced with a broad spectrum of potential interactors among which they can preferentially select effective mutualists and sanction ineffective ones, which provides a positive feedback mechanism that stabilizes symbiosis. A rather prosaic explanation for the persistence of AM fungi in symbiosis would be their strict biotrophy, which could be related to partial degeneration of the genome as a consequence of prolonged clonal growth (Box 1). This scenario would be reminiscent of some bacterial endosymbionts of insects, which have undergone reductive genome evolution and, as a consequence, are strictly biotrophic, including the irreversible loss of a free-living state [67]. Deciphering the genome of G. intraradices and other AM fungi will address this question and will provide a starting point to understand the relevance of genetic diversity for evolution and ecology of AM.

Concluding remarks

The recent progress discussed here opens new directions to address fundamental aspects of AM symbiosis such as the determinants of host range and specificity, mechanisms involved in intracellular accommodation of the endosymbiont and the genetic basis of its mutualistic potential. Although these issues seem at first to have little in common, they are linked. The genetic setup of AM fungi is likely to influence communication with their hosts and functionality of the established symbiosis. Further studies on the genetics and genomics of G. intraradices and other AM fungi will provide the tools to elucidate the significance of their genetic diversity for AM symbiosis. This knowledge can be used to breed new AM fungal strains adapted to crop plants under field conditions. In addition, it will provide insights into the factors that influence AM fungal ecology in natural settings and into the mechanisms that have allowed AM fungi to live their ‘scandalous’ life as ancient asexuials since hundreds of millions of years [68].
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Box 2. With a carrot and a stick: are plants dominating AM fungi?

Plants invest carbohydrate equivalents into AM fungi and gain fitness in terms of improved mineral nutrition and increased tolerance to biotic and abiotic stresses [9,72]. To avoid fungal proliferation to an extent where the costs of the interaction would potentially exceed its benefits, plants can limit the degree of root colonization by AM fungi [73], potentially through reduction of carbohydrate allocation to the fungus. In addition, plants reduce the levels of AM fungal colonization under conditions where the interaction is not beneficial, for example when the plant is well supplied with mineral nutrients and therefore does not profit from symbiosis [74,75], or under conditions when the symbiosis is rendered ineffective by mutation or downregulation of phosphate transporters [64,65]. These observations suggest that the plant has control over microbial growth in the root.

Given the strict biotrophy of AM fungi and the fact that plants can limit the extent of fungal colonization, are AM fungi at the mercy of their plant host? At the level of individual plants in pot cultures (‘one-plant-one-fungus’ situation), this might indeed be the case. However, natural conditions are rather different. When a seed germinates in its natural habitat, AM fungi are already present and established in symbiosis with older plants; hence, they do not need the new seedling for survival. By contrast, the young plant is subject to intra- and interspecific competition and can suffer from drought stress and nutrient starvation, conditions that can be alleviated by AM fungi [76,77]. In addition, in arid regions, young plants can profit from hydraulically lifted water from older plants, which is transferred through AM fungi [78].

Interestingly, AM colonization can result in a reduction of direct phosphate uptake capacity in the plant which is (over)compensated by fungal phosphate delivery [79,80]. This phenomenon might represent a mechanism by which the fungus renders the plant dependent on its services, thereby stabilizing compatibility. Taken together, depending on plant age, environmental conditions and the scale of observation, the plant might be at the mercy of the fungus, rather than vice versa.
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