Biostratigraphy of the Late Middle Pleistocene (Middle Neopleistocene) of the Southern Urals region
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Abstract

A summary of published and unpublished materials on the stratigraphy of the Late Middle Pleistocene (Middle Neopleistocene according to the Russian stratigraphic scheme) of the Southern Urals region is given. Deposits of different origin in the regional stratigraphic units are characterized. The results of mammalian investigations provide the basis for the stratigraphical subdivision. Mollusca and palynology are used for the reconstruction of the palaeoenvironments. The stratigraphical positions of the main Middle Neopleistocene localities and precise definitions of the stratigraphical scheme of the Southern Urals region are discussed. The Southern Urals subdivisions are correlated with the Western European stratigraphical schemes (Holsteinian–Saalian interval).

1. Introduction

During the Quaternary, the Southern Urals region is characterized by continental conditions and by slow tectonic activity. During the periods of cold climate, the region was a non-glacial area. Local permafrost basins existed on the plain, whilst small corrie glaciers formed in the mountains. Fluvial deposits are the main subjects of the palaeogeographical reconstructions and for the correlation of varying sediment units. These deposits characteristically occur in considerable thicknesses and contain organic remains. Deposits dating from the Middle Neopleistocene (a unit of the Russian stratigraphic scheme, equivalent to the late Middle Pleistocene subseries; time interval 0.43–0.135 Ma) are preserved in the local region. The systematic study of the Neopleistocene deposits of the Southern Urals region has been carried out for over 50 years. Over 18 key localities, that expose Middle Neopleistocene deposits, are described, four of which contain mammalian fossils. All these sites are located in the Belaya River valley in the terraces above the modern floodplain (Fig. 1).

2. Materials

Middle Neopleistocene deposits have been investigated and described from 18 sites. Sixteen key sections have been studied palaeobotanically (nearly 170 samples in total). Four of these localities have yielded mammalian fossils (over 4000 identifiable small-mammal remains). Five sites contain preserved ostracod shells (1944 identifiable specimens), and eight localities contain mollusc shells (nearly 758 mollusc shells or their identifiable fragments).

The mammal localities have yielded 10 species and 9 genera from the Belaya Horizon (equivalent to the Holsteinian Stage interval, Table 1), 17 species and 13 genera from a second warm interval and 13 species and 12 genera from the cooling in the middle of the Klimovka Horizon (Tables 1 and 5). Mollusc sites have yielded 29 species and 18 named genera from the Belaya Horizon, 3 species and 3 genera from the Larevka Horizon, 25 species and 19 genera from the Klimovka Horizon, and 14 species and 11 genera from the Elovka Horizon (Tables 1 and 4). The ostracod localities have yielded 40 species and 16 genera from the Belaya Horizon and 30 species and 11 named genera from the Larevka Horizon and 13 species and 6 named genera from the Klimovka Horizon and 20 species and 10 named genera from the Elovka Horizon (Tables 1 and 3).
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3. Methods

Traditional methods of dispersal of sediments in water, using of sieves (mesh size 0.8–1.0 mm), were used to recover the small-mammal and molluscan material (Zhadin, 1952; Agadjanian, 1987) and for the separation of plant remains (Guslitcer, 1979; Sinitskikh, 1982). Freshwater molluscs were identified following Zhadin (1952) and Gittenberger and Janssen (1998), and terrestrial molluscs were identified following Likharev and Rammelmeier (1952), Shileiko (1978, 1984), Shileiko and Likharev (1986), and Kerney and Cameron (1999). The large mammals were described according to Gromov and Baranova (1981), and the small mammals were described following Gromov and Erbaeva.
Table 1
Stratigraphic scheme of the Middle Neopleistocene of the Southern Urals region and correlation with schemes of other regions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>Series</th>
<th>Subseries, Stages</th>
<th>Division</th>
<th>Link</th>
<th>Horizon</th>
<th>SuperHorizon</th>
<th>Horizon</th>
<th>SuperHorizon/Horizon SuperHorizon Horizon</th>
<th>Stage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quaternary Pleistocene</td>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>Neopleistocene Middle</td>
<td>Elovka</td>
<td>Central Russian Plain</td>
<td>Moscow</td>
<td>Moscow</td>
<td>Lower Chozar</td>
<td>Middle Urals Leplinsky</td>
<td>Cold Interval with permafrost Bantega Interstadial Cold interval Hoogeveen Interstadial Cold interval with permafrost Holsteinian Interglacial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Klimovka</td>
<td>Gorkinsky</td>
<td>Chekalin</td>
<td>Nicinsky</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Larevka</td>
<td>Kaluga</td>
<td>Kaluga</td>
<td>Vilgortovsky</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Belaya</td>
<td>Likhvin</td>
<td>Likhvin</td>
<td>Sylviteky</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(1995). The principal morphotypes of M1 of *Lagurus lagurus* and *Microtus gregalis* were distinguished after Smirnov et al. (1990) and Rekovets (1994) with some changes. Processing of samples for palynological analyses followed the standard methods described by Grichuk and Zaklinskaya (1948) and Pokrovskaja (1950).

4. Stratigraphical subdivision of the Middle Neopleistocene deposits and their correlation

Middle Neopleistocene units occur in alluvial and sub-aerial deposits in the Southern Fore-Urals region. Here, the subdivisions have local names and comprise the Middle Link of the regional stratigraphic scheme, in which the following horizons have been recognized: Belaya, Larevka, Klimovka and Yelovka. These units have been determined on the basis of their mammalian assemblages and they have been correlated with the Middle Pleistocene Holsteinian–Saalian stage interval in the western European timescale (Table 1). Correlation of Southern Urals and Eastern European faunas is shown in the Table 2.

4.1. Belaya Horizon

This horizon was distinguished and described by V.L. Yakchemovich in 1983. V.L. Yakchemovich, G.A. Danukalova and A.G. Yakovlev described these deposits from the stratotype section in 1983–1985. It is named after the Belaya River (Southern Fore-Urals, Bashkortostan Republic).

The Horizon is characterised by fluvial gravel, gravel with boulders and sand, lacustrine loam, clay and silt, subaerial loam with traces of palaeosols, including the first Chui-Atasevo soil (Chui-Atasevo III, 1 m thick), the first Sultanaevo soil (Sultanaevo, borehole 1, 0.7 m thick). The total thickness of these deposits is 1–3.9 m (average 2.45 m) and the maximum thickness is 18 m at Gurovka. The deposits rest on the eroded surfaces of Lower Neopleistocene (Early Middle Pleistocene), Eopleistocene (Early Pleistocene) and Permian sediments and are overlain by loam of the Larevka Horizon or by fluvial deposits of the Klimovka Horizon and loam of the Elovka Horizon with erosional intervals between them.

The key sites for the Belaya Horizon include fluvial deposits of the over-deepened valley of the Belaya River, near the city of Ufa, and of its tributaries; at sites including Starye Tukmakly, Gurovka; Gurovka; Gurovka; Krasny Yar; Chui-Atasevo I, II, III, V; Klimovka II, III; Sultanaevo (borehole 1, bore pit 1); Gornova II, III; Voevodskoe; Minzitarovo; Chatra; Iltenka III; Starokudashevo. Stratotype site: Gornova II (beds 25–32), and Gornova III (beds 4, 5) (Yakchemovich et al., 1981, pp. 54–55, 1987, pp. 29–30; 1988, pp. 13–17; Danukalova et al., 2002, pp. 29–31). The parastratotype site for this unit is the Sultanaevo, borehole 1 (beds 7–12), bore pit 1 (beds 11–16) (Yakchemovich et al., 1983, p. 17, pp. 22–24, 40–41; 1988, pp. 15–17).

The ostracods recovered from these localities consist of 40 species and 16 genera (1303 determined specimens). Popova-Lvova (1988) described ostracods from sites Gornova II (206 specimens) (Yakchemovich et al., 1983, 1985; Danukalova et al., 2002), Gornova III (499 specimens), Sultanaevo, borehole 1 and bore pit 1 (583 specimens), Chui-Atasevo I and V (12 specimens), and Minzitarovo (3 shells). The rich assemblage consists of freshwate lacustrine species (Table 3), including *Limnoctythere postconcava*, *L. manjtschensis*, *Denticulocythere dorsotuberulata* and *D. caspiensis* which occurred only in the Middle Neopleistocene deposits in the region.

The molluscan assemblages recovered from the Belaya unit consist of 29 species and 18 genera (514 determined specimens) (Table 4). A warm assemblage of freshwater and terrestrial molluscs (205 specimens) was found and determined by G.A. Danukalova and E.M. Morozova from the localities Gornova II and III. The 49 specimens recovered from the Sultanaevo site are those that typify flowing and stagnant waters (Yakchemovich et al., 1983; Sydnev, 1988). Lacustrine Mollusca from the Klimovka III site are represented by 90 specimens, lacustrine and terrestrial taxa from the Gruzdevka site by 19 specimens (identified by E.M. Morozova). Sydnev (1988) determined 147 shells from the Gurovka locality. From Chui-Atasevo V 2 shells of *Dreissena* sp. and *Lythoglyphus* sp. have been recovered, and from Voevodskoe rare small *Succinea* sp. are known.

The Gornova large-mammal assemblage includes six species and five genera. E.A. Vangengeim (Yakchemovich et al., 1987) described *Mammuthus chosaricus* Dub. from this site. B.S. Kozhamkulova (Danukalova et al., 2002) described *Bison priscus gijas* Boj., *Bison* sp., *E. caballus* fossilis, *Equus* sp., *Camelus* sp., *Mammuthus* sp. V.E. Garutt (Yakchemovich et al., 1983) determined the remains of *Mammuthus chosaricus* from the Sultanaevo site. Remains of *M. chosaricus*, *Bison* sp., *Cerus* sp. and wild horse were identified by V.E. Garutt (Shokurov, 1977) from the Starye Tukmakly locality.

The small-mammal assemblage from the Belaya Horizon sediments includes of four species and four genera (8 determined specimens). They were found and identified by A.G. Yakovlev (Danukalova et al., 2002) from the Gornova III site. Over one tonne of sediments was washed but mammalian remains were rare, but they included *Clethrionomys* sp. (1), *Lagurus* sp. (1), *Eolagurus* sp. (1), *Microtus oeconomus* (1) and *Microtus* sp. (4).

The very common plant macrofossil remains at the Gornova III site were identified by P.I. Dorofeev (Danukalova et al., 2002) as possibly of the Singil or Chozar floras (Table 1). The vegetation that occurred during the deposition of this unit was characterised by herb steppe with rare coniferous-birch-broad-leaves forests at the beginning of the period. The latter probably grew in river valleys. However, the role of forests increased during the second part of the time. At other localities, spore and pollen remains are not numerous but include *Picea, Pinus,*
Table 2
Correlation of the Southern Urals and the Eastern European mammal faunas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Central Russian Plain</th>
<th>Large-mammal assemblages</th>
<th>Small-mammal assemblages</th>
<th>Small-mammal Localities of Eastern European Plain</th>
<th>Horizons and mammal sites of the Southern Urals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Moscow (Dnieper) Glaciation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Horizon</th>
<th>Large-mammal</th>
<th>Small-mammal</th>
<th>Localities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moscow Stadial</td>
<td>CHOZARIAN</td>
<td>CHOZARIAN</td>
<td>Pavlovka-on-Desna, Kobyliaki, Zhukevichi, Alpatievo, Spasskoe, Volgino, Strigovo, Yagodnoe, Chekalin (fluviofl. layer)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kursk Interstadial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Klimovka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dnieper Stadial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Klimovka II</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Romny Warming**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Horizon</th>
<th>Large-mammal</th>
<th>Small-mammal</th>
<th>Localities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Priluki, Rasskazovo, Chernyi Yar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Elovka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krasnyi Yar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gruzdevka</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Orchik Cold Interval**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Horizon</th>
<th>Large-mammal</th>
<th>Small-mammal</th>
<th>Localities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Topka</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larevka</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Borisoglebsk Cold Interval**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Horizon</th>
<th>Large-mammal</th>
<th>Small-mammal</th>
<th>Localities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chekalin (gyttia), Guniki, Chigirin, Ver. Emancha, Naravai, Rybnaya Sloboda, Otkaznoe, Uzmari, Tiraspol (Inzh. soil), Mikhailovka 2, Smolenski Brod</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Belaya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gornova Sultanaevo Starye Tukmakly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Singilian-Gunkovian**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Large-mammal</th>
<th>Small-mammal</th>
<th>Localities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SINGILIAN</td>
<td>GUNKOVIAN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fraxinus, Betula, Chenopodiaceae, Poaceae, herbs and Artemisia (Voevodskoe site). The contemporaneous climate was moderately warm (Gornova site).

The age of Belaya Horizon is not certain. The palynological characteristics do not definitely indicate that it is definitely of Likhvin Interglacial age. The majority of the mammal species found in these deposits belong to the Chozarian mammal assemblage. The key species characteristic of the Singilian (= Gunkovian) mammal assemblage, such as Arvicola cantianus, were not found in Belaya.

### Table 3

Ostracods from the Middle Neopleistocene deposits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Horizons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Belaya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illyocypris bradyi Sars,</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I. gibba (Ramd.)</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I. decipiens Masi</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I. bella Scharap.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I. bippicata (Koch)</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I. inermis Kauf.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I. aff. getica Kauf.</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I. lichinensis M. Popova</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclocypris laevis (O. Müll.)</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. serena (Koch)</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. triangula Neg.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. ovum (Jurine)</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cypria carefurcata Klie</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. tanbecensis Mandel.</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. longa Neg.</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. aff. ophialmica (Jurine)</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. aff. candonaeformis (Schw.)</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physocypris fadeevi Dubovskyi</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candonia candina (O. Müll.)</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. neglecta G. Müll.</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. rostrata Br. Et Norm.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. weltni Hartw.</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. parallela G. Müll.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. juv. ex gr. caudata Kauf.</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. halatonic&lt;br&gt;daday</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. sarsi Hartvig.</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. leanderi Hirschmann</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candonia juv. sp.</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eucypris horridus Sars</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. dulcifons Diebel et Pietrzeniuk</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. pijra (Fisch.)</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. clavata (Baird)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyprinotus? sp.</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scleroocypris? clavata (Baird)</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cypridopsis vidua (O. Müll.)</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notodromas monacha (O. Müll.)</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potamocypris sp.</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denticulocythere dorsotuberculata (Neg.)</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. caspiensis (Neg.)</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limnocythere postconcava Neg.</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. manjschensis (Neg.)</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. julata Dieb.</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. sanctipatrici Br. et Rob.</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. aff. habarovenisis M. Popova</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cypris puber (O. Müll.)</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytherissa lacustris Sars</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytherissa lacustriformis (M. Popova)</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyprideis torosa (Jones)</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paracyprideis naphtatscholana (Liv.)</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend: +, Gornova II; #, Chui-AtasevoV; *, Chatra 13; □, Minzitarovo; x, Sultanaevo.
## Table 4
Molluscs from the Middle Neopleistocene deposits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Horizons</th>
<th>Belaya</th>
<th>Larevka</th>
<th>Klimovka</th>
<th>Elovka</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Succinea pfeifferi Rossm.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>S. putris L.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>◊</td>
<td>◊ Θ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>S. oblonga Drap.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>◊</td>
<td>◊ Θ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Succinea sp.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>◊</td>
<td>◊ Θ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cochlicopa lubrica Müll.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>◊</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vallonia costata Müll.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>◊</td>
<td>◊ Θ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>V. tenualabris (Al. Br.)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>◊</td>
<td>◊ Θ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>V. pulchella Müll.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>◊</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vallonia sp.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>◊</td>
<td>Θ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vertigo antivertigo (Drap.)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>◊</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Columella sp.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pupilla muscorum L.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>◊</td>
<td>Θ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pseudotrichia rubiginosa Schm.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>◊</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nesovitrea cristallina Müll.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>◊</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>N. contracta West.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>◊</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ena sp.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chondrula tridens Müll.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>◊</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lymnaea stagnalis L.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>◊</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Radix acus Müll.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>◊</td>
<td>◊ Θ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Radix cf. pereger (Müll.)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>◊</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stagnicola palastris Müll.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>◊</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stagnicola sp.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>◊</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Galba truncata Müll.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>◊</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Planorbis planorbis Müll.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>◊</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Planorbarius corneus L.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>◊</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Anisus spirorbis L.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>◊</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>A. corticulus (Troschel.)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>◊</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gyraulus altus Müll.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>◊</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>G. laevis Alder.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>◊</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>G. rossmaessleri Auersw.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>◊</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>G. (Armiger) crista (L.)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>◊</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gyraulus sp.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>◊</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Volvata antiqua Sow.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>◊</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>V. piscinalis Müll.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>◊</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>V. naticina Menke</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>◊</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>V. pulchella Müll.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>◊</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>V. cristata Müll.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>◊</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bithynia troschelii (Paasch.)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>◊</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bithynia sp. (operculum)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>◊</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Viviparus sp.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>◊</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lithoglyphus sp.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>◊</td>
<td>#</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pisidium amnicum Müll.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>◊</td>
<td>#</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>P. casertanum Poli.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>◊</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sphaerium rivicola Lam.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>◊</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>S. corneum L.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>◊</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dreissena polymorpha Pall.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>◊</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dreissena sp.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>◊</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend: +, Gornova II and III; ◊, Klimovka III; ×, Sultanaevo; #, Chui-Atasevo V; ☒, Gurovka; =, Voevodskoe; Θ, Gruzdevka.
So it is possible that this horizon possible could be correlated with a later interval, or related to the end of Likhvin Interglacial.

4.2. Larevka Horizon

This horizon was defined and described by V.L. Yakchemovich in 1983. The deposits described from the stratotype sections at Larevka (borehole 129) and Golyennoi (borehole 133) are located to the south of Lake Chusovskoje. The horizon was named after the Larevka River and Larevka village (Northern Fore-URals, Perm district).

The characteristics of this Horizon on the Southern Fore-URals are that the deposits have been intensively eroded and are known only from within the Belaya river valley. The Horizon is represented by lacustrine and slope deposits of periglacial type, including clay, loam, sandy loam, sand and gravel. Traces of frost penetration have been observed at the Gornova II site. The total thickness of the unit is 0.4–5.6 m. The deposits overlie the Belaya valley. The Horizon is represented by lacustrine and slope deposits in the Borisoglebsk cold interval or even with later cold interval (Orchik cooling), described from the Russian Plain (Table 2) (Breslav et al., 1992, Velichko et al., 1992).

4.3. Klimovka Horizon

This is a new name for this unit, which was previously termed the Gornova Horizon. A.M. Nigmatullina (unpublished) first described the stratotype site for this unit in 1989, then it was redescribed by G.A. Danukalova and A.G. Yakovlev in 2000 (Danukalova and Yakovlev, 2004). The horizon is named from the village Klimovka in the Meleuz region of the Bashkortostan Republic.

The deposits of this horizon formed the middle-lower part of the third river terrace, above the floodplain, and are fluvial gravels (Klimovka II). Palaeosols from that time include the ‘second’ Sultanaevo soil (Sultanaevo, outcrop 107), the ‘first’ Voevodskoe soil and the ‘second’ Chui-Atasevo soil (Chui-Atasevo III). Their thickness is up to 1.5 m. The deposits occur on the eroded surfaces of the underlying Larevka Horizon and are overlain by sediments of the Elovka Horizon or of the Upper Neopleistocene.

Key sites for the Klimovka Horizon include Sultanaevo (outcrop 107); Krasnyi Yar; Voevodskoe; Chui-Atasevo III; Gruzdevka; Klimovka II, III and Chatra. The stratotype site is Klimovka II, bed 5 (Danukalova and Yakovlev, 2004, pp. 232–235) and parastratotype site is Sultanaevo, bore pit two, beds 9–12a (Yakchemovich et al., 1983, pp. 4–43).

Ostracod assemblages recovered from the unit consist of 30 species and 11 genera (526 determined specimens: Table 3). The assemblage of cold-resistant species was found from the Gornova II locality (418 specimens). The assemblage from the Chui-Atasevo V locality (85 specimens) consists of a small number of *Ilyocypris bradyi* Sars, *I. decipiens* Masi, *I. bella* Schirap., *I. bicipitata* (Koch) and numerous stenothermic cold-resistant *I. inermis* Kauf., *I. aff. getica* Masi, *Cyclocypris ovum* (Jurine), *Candona neglecta* Sars, *Candona juv.*, *Eucypris dulefons* Dieb. et Pietr. and *Denticulocythere dorsotuberculata* (Neg.). *Candona canina*, *C. rawsoni*, *Eucypris pigra*, *Potamocypris* sp. and *Cyprideis torosa* (Jones). Some of the *Limnoocythere* are cold-resistant species from the freshwater complexes (Popova-Lyova, 1988; Danukalova et al., 2002). Shells of *Ilyocypris bradyi* Sars, *Candona* sp., *Cytherissa lacustriformis* (M. Popova), *Cyprideis torosa* (Jones) are known from the Chatra 13 locality (Yakchemovich et al., 2000), whilst 9 ostracod shells are known from the Minzitarovo locality—*Ilyocypris bradyi* Sars, *Cypria candonaeformis* (Schw.) and *Candona* sp., together with some redeposited Pliocene species (Yakchemovich et al., 1985).

The molluscan assemblages from the Larevka Horizon consist of 3 species and 3 genera (25 determined specimens) of freshwater taxa from the Chui-Atasevo V locality: *Radix cf. pereger* (Müll.), *Gyraulus* sp. and *Valvata piscinalis* (Müll.) (described by E.M. Morozova, unpublished).

The cold-steppe vegetational communities from the beginning of the Larevka time, dominated by large numbers of Chenopodiaceae, changed gradually to associations that indicate an increased role of *Picea* taiga forest, with *Pinus*, *Tilia* and *Ulmus* (Gornova locality), under cold climatic conditions. This horizon could be correlated with the Borisoglebsk cold interval or even with later cold interval (Orchik cooling), described from the Russian Plain (Table 2) (Breslav et al., 1992, Velichko et al., 1992).
(Yakchemovich et al., 1987) including Ochotona sp. (11), Spermophilus sp. (15), Marmota aff. bobac Müll. (44), Alactaga sp. (1), Alactagulus sp. (1), Allactaga sp. (1), Sicista sp. (1), Spermophilus sp. (15), Marmota aff. bobac Müll. (44), Alactaga sp. (1), Alactagulus sp. (11), Allocricetulus eversmanni Brandt (1), Cricetulus sp. (2), Clethrionomys cf. glareolus (12), Clethrionomys sp. (1), Lagurus lagurus Pall. (2369, including 587M1), Eolagurus luteus Eversm. (156, including 39 M1), Arvicola cf. chosaricus Alexandreva (9, including 1 M1), Microtus gregalis Pall. (77 M1), Microtus ex gr. oeconomicus Pall. (9 M1), Microtus sp. (3 1 1) and Mustela nivalis L. (2). The age of the fauna has been determined on the basis of the water-vole tooth morphology. Enamel differentiation of the molars of the water voles is close to isometric. The average significance of the coefficient of the enamel differentiation for the water vole teeth is 1.14 (Yakovlev, 1988). These remains are of Arvicola cf. chosaricus, which characterise the first (?) Kaluga glaciation (Shik, 2004) of the Middle Neopleistocene. The significant part of the primitive morphotypes of the M1 of the Lagurus lagurus and Microtus gregalis is also an indirect evidence of the age of the remains (Tables 5 and 6). This fauna is younger than that from Chernyi Yar, the stratotype of the Chozarian mammal complex (Alexandrova, 1976; Markova, 1982, 2004a, b) and could be correlated with the cooling in the middle part of the Klimovka time. The absence of tundra species (lemmings) is a peculiarity of the Krasnyi Yar fauna.

The small-mammal assemblage from the Klimovka unit consists of 17 species and 13 genera (565 determined specimens: Table 5). At the Klimovka II locality bone

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Belaya</th>
<th>Klimovka</th>
<th>Elovka</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cold Interval (the middle part)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warming (the end of the period)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ochotona sp.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>◊</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spermophilus sp.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>◊</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marmota sp.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marmota aff. bobac Müll.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alactaga sp.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alactagulus sp.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sicista sp.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allocricetulus eversmanni</td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cricetulus sp.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clethrionomys cf. glareolus</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>◊</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clethrionomys sp.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>◊</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lagurus lagurus</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>◊</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lagurus sp.</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>◊</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eolagurus luteus</td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eolagurus sp.</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arvicola cf. chosaricus</td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arvicola sp.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microtus (Stenocranius) gregalis</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>◊</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microtus oeconomicus</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>◊</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microtus ex gr. Oeconomicus</td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td>◊</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microtus ex gr. arvalis-agrestis</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>◊</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microtus ex gr. Arvalis</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>◊</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microtus sp.</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>◊</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mustela nivalis</td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mammuthus chosaricus</td>
<td>+ × Δ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mammuthus sp.</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equus caballus fossilis</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equus sp.</td>
<td>+ Δ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerbus sp.</td>
<td>Δ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bison priscus gigas</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biron sp.</td>
<td>+ Δ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camelus sp.</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend: +, Gornova III, ×, Sultanaveo; Δ, Starye Tukmakly; ◊, Krasnyi Yar; ◊, Klimovka II; ◊, Gruzdevka.
material (332 specimens) is concentrated mainly in the upper part of Klimovka deposits and includes *Ochotona* sp. (18), *Marmota* sp. (1), *Sicista* sp. (2), *Alactagulus* sp. (4), *Ellobius* sp. (11), *Cricetulus* sp. (2), *Clethrionomys* cf. *glareolus* Schreb. (5, including 1 *M1*), *Lagurus lagurus* Pall. (89, including 20 *M1*), *Eolagus luteus* Pall. (28, including 6 *M1*). *Mimomys* cf. *pusillus* Mehely (1 *M2*), *Arvicola* sp. (1), *Microtus gregalis* Pall. (32 *M1*), *M. oeconomus* Pall. (3 *M1*), *M. ex gr. arvalis-agrestis* (2 *M1*), *M. ex gr. malei* Hinton (1 *M1*) and *Microtus* sp. (132). The material is well preserved. Post-cranial bones, lower mandibles without teeth and teeth were all found together. The material is dirty-white and to light-yellow in colour. The *M2* of the tooth-rooted voles of the genus the *Mimomys* and *M1* of *Microtus ex gr. malei* Hinton have been reworked from the lower Horizons. The species composition of the Klimovka fauna is close to that of the Gruzdevka fauna. It is possible that the Klimovka fauna is older than that from Gruzdevka but it is younger than the fauna from Krasnyi Yar. This is based on the morphotype distribution of the *M1* of *Lagurus lagurus* Pall. and *Microtus gregalis* Pall. (*Tables 6 and 7*). This fauna is assigned to the Klimovka (Gorkinsky) interglacial (*Tables 1, 2*). The Gruzdevka locality was described by A.G. Yakovlev in 1986 and later was redescribed by G.A. Danukalova in 2005. A.G. Yakovlev found small-mammal material (233 specimens) from the Klimovka fluvial deposits that included *Ochotona* sp. (4), *Spermophilus* sp. (1), *Clethrionomys* sp. (17, including 1 *M1*), *Lagurus lagurus* Pall. (111, including 28 *M1*). *Microtus cf. oeconomus* Pall. (1 *M1*), *M. gregalis* Pall. (21 *M1*), *M. ex gr. arvalis* Pall. (1 *M1*) and *Microtus* sp. (77). These materials are poorly preserved and are white, yellow and light-brown in colour. The bones are sometimes dark in colour. The preservation of the molars is a result of redeposition in the secondary fluvial localities. The Gruzdevka fauna is younger than the mammal associations from the Krasnyi Yar (Larevka period) and Klimovka localities, on the basis of the morphotypes of *M1* of *Lagurus lagurus* Pall. and *Microtus gregalis* Pall. (*Tables 6 and 7*). The Gruzdevka fauna dates from the second half of the Middle Neopleistocene (probably Gruzdevka and Klimovka faunas could be correlated with the warming in the end of the Klimovka time Shik, 2004).

Spore and pollen remains are common in these sediments. They include Poaceae, herbs, *Pinus*, and *Picea* (Klimovka II, Voevodskoe, Chui-Atasevo), and indicate that forest-steppe vegetation was widespread at the beginning of this interval, and this was later followed by the establishment of *Pinus–Betula* forests. Broad-leaved trees appeared in the forests in the middle of Klimovka interglacial. The role of the open woodlands increased towards the end of this interval (Sultanaevo, Chatra, Yakchemovich et al., 1983). The climate was moderately warm during this phase.

### 4.4. Elovka Horizon

This horizon was distinguished and described by V.L. Yakchemovich in 1983. This author described deposits from the stratotype section at Elovka (borehole 116) and Larevka (borehole 129). It was named after the Elovka River (Northern Fore-Urals, Perm district).

The deposits of this horizon form the upper part of the third terrace above the floodplain and comprise lacustrine-slope loam and sandy loam of the periglacial type (Minzitarovo, Chui-Atasevo III). They are 0.3–4.1 m thick. The deposits occur on the surfaces of the Klimovka Horizon and are overlain by loam and gravel of the Kushnarenkovo unit or by Tabulda sediments dating from

---

**Table 6**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sites</th>
<th>Morphotypes (%)</th>
<th>&quot;transiens&quot;</th>
<th>&quot;transies – lagurus&quot;</th>
<th>&quot;lagurus&quot;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Krasnyi Yar</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>18, 1</td>
<td>41, 4</td>
<td>40, 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klimovka</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>18, 75</td>
<td>31, 5</td>
<td>49, 75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gruzdevka</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>16, 6</td>
<td>16, 65</td>
<td>66, 75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 7**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sites</th>
<th>Morphotypes (%)</th>
<th>&quot;gregalis&quot;</th>
<th>&quot;gregalis – arvalis&quot;</th>
<th>&quot;arvalis&quot;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Krasnyi Yar</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>59, 7</td>
<td>27, 3</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klimovka</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>37, 1</td>
<td>44, 4</td>
<td>18, 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gruzdevka</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>38, 2</td>
<td>32, 4</td>
<td>29, 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the Upper Neopleistocene (a unit of the Russian stratigraphic scheme, equivalent to the Late Pleistocene Subseries, 0.135–0.10 Ma; Danukalova et al., 2002; Shik, 2004).

The key sites at which the unit is found include Klimovka II; Voevodskoe; Sultanaevo, bore pits 2, 3, outcrop 107; Chui-Atasevo III; Minzitarovo; Gruzdevka and Chatra. The parastratotype site is Sultanaevo, outcrop 107, beds 7–8 (Yakchemovich et al., 1983, pp. 36–43). Ostracod assemblage present in this unit consists of 20 species and 10 genera (47 determined specimens: Table 3). Ostracods (45 specimens) from the freshwater sediments at the Sultanaevo locality include Candonia juv. ex gr. neglecta, C. candida, C. balatonica, C. rawsoni and Eucypris pigra which are cold-resistant elements (Yakchemovich et al., 1983). Two shells of the ostracod Ilyocypris bradyi Sars are known from the Minzitarovo site (Yakchemovich et al., 1985).

The mollusca assemblages consist of 14 species and 11 genera (55 determined specimens: Table 4). Throughout mollusc shells are rare. Both terrestrial and freshwater molluscs have been identified from the Sultanaevo site (22 specimens: Yakchemovich et al., 1983) and from the Gruzdevka site (31 specimens), but only 2 specimens were found from Gurovka (Sydnev, 1988).

Palynological remains are also rare (Voevodskoe site); the pollen are dominated by herbs, Chenopodiaceae, Poaceae and Artemisia. The pollen of the trees Pinus, Betula and Picea also occur (e.g. at Sultanaevo and Chatra). The climate indicated by these taxa was cold during the beginning and middle part of the period.

5. Conclusions

The deposits of the Middle Neopleistocene (Late Middle Pleistocene) are only represented in the local areas owing to later erosion. These processes became active from the beginning of the Belaya time (Yakchemovich et al., 1981; Table 1) when uplift took place in the Urals (Yakchemovich et al., 1981) and the Singil regression began on the Caspian Sea basin territory (Yakchemovich et al., 1981; Shik, 2004; Table 1). Fluvial deposits of that time occurred in the overdeepened valleys which formed in accordance with the water level of the Caspian basin (base of erosion) (Gornova, Sultanaevo, Mynzitarovo, Krasnyi Yar). In the Fore-Urals region, early Middle Neopleistocene deposits (Belaya Horizon) can be studied in the boreholes or in the lower parts of the fluvial terrace sequences that now occur above the floodplain where local uplift occurred and along tributaries. In the Urals these deposits can be found in the lower parts of the terrace sequences. Towards the end of the Belaya period, erosional process decreased and lacustrine and floodplain deposits formed. Soil was formed on the subhorizontal surfaces (e.g. the first Chui-Atasevo soil, the first Sultanaevo soil), under a warm climate. The plant zonation of that period can be observed in mountains—woodland of coniferous trees occurred on the mountain tops and mixed woodland occupied the valleys. In the northern parts of the region forests existed, whilst in the southern parts forest-steppe grew. Swamps formed in the depressions. Rich associations of abundant ostracods and molluscs are known from the localities Gornova, Sultanaevo, Chui-Atasevo and Gurovka. The mammals present belong to the Chozar mammal assemble from Gornova, Sultanaevo and Starye Tukmakly. The Belaya deposits could possibly be correlated with the end of Likhvin Interglacial or may date from a slightly later time (Table 1).

The subsequent Larevka cold period is correlated with Kaluga period of the Russian Plain, when the Borisoglebsk loess Horizon was deposited (Table 2). Erosion processes during this time became weaker. Floodplain sediments accumulated in the river valleys, slope-lacustrine deposits accumulated in the freshwater lakes (e.g. at Krasnyi Yar, Klimovka, Sultanaevo, Voevodskoe, Minzitarovo and Chui-Atasevo). The region was unglaciated at this time, although small corrie glaciers did form in mountains above 2000 m. Processes of frost weathering were active in mountains and stone rivers (block-train) were formed. Forest-tundra and periglacial steppe vegetation dominated the landscape with tundra on the mountain tops. This vegetation was mainly represented by the periglacial steppes or by the sparse growth of coniferous trees. Faunal finds are rare from this time, although cold-resistant ostracods (Chui-Atasevo V, Gornova II and Minzitarovo) and molluscs (Chui-Atasevo V) are known.

A new erosional cycle began during the following Klimovka period (Yakchemovich et al., 1981). Because of the lowering of base level of erosion, snow thaw and increased discharge of waters in streams and probably slow tectonic uplift of the territory (Yakchemovich et al., 1981). Fluvial deposits from this period formed the middle-lower parts of the III terraces above the floodplain and were often overlain by the Belaya alluvium. Soil was formed on the watersheds (e.g. the second Chui-Atasevo soil, the second Sultanaevo soil, and the first Voevodskoe soil). Palaeontological data from Klimovka unit allow two different climatic intervals to be identified. The first is characterised by the palaeontological data which indicates periglacial climatic conditions of the cooling in the middle part of the Klimovka time. This interval probably could be correlated with the Orchik Cold Interval of the Russian Plain or with the beginning of the Dnieper glaciation. Taiga and mixed forest vegetation spread later, representing the warming in the end of the Klimovka time. This event could be correlated with the Romny Warming of the Russian Plain (Table 2).

The subsequent Elovka event is correlated with the Moscow glaciation. Mountain landscapes remained unchanged during this period, but slope processes were intensified. The fluvial sediments, which formed the upper parts of the III terrace deposits, were laid down (Sultanaevo, Minzitarovo and Chui-Atasevo). The frost basins covered significant areas of the Urals and Fore- Urals. Open treeless landscapes were dominant, only small forests of Picea, Pinus and Betula trees were able to grow on valley
slopes and in the mountains. Rare ostracods and molluscs occurred in the aquatic deposits. The small mammals from these deposits belong to the Chozarian complex.

Thus, the underlying studies allow the differentiation of the principal late Middle Pleistocene Horizons in the South Urals regions. The rich palaeontological materials allowed the reconstruction of the main environmental characteristics. However, there still remain many questions concerning the age of the horizons distinguished. It is hoped that future studies will improve the definition of the dates and duration of the horizons that occur in this critical region.

Acknowledgements

This research was carried out under the partial financial support of the Russian Foundation of Fundamental Investigations (RFFI); Grant no. 03-05-64693. The authors would like to thank Philip Leonard Gibbard (Cambridge, UK) for help with the English translation and Anastasia Markova (Institute of Geography, Moscow, Russia) for consultation and help during the preparation of the paper.

References


