Overexpression of plasminogen activators in the nucleus accumbens enhances cocaine-, amphetamine- and morphine-induced reward and behavioral sensitization
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Activity-dependent synaptic plasticity and remodeling of the mesolimbic dopaminergic system play a crucial role in the development of drug dependence (Nestler 2001). Changes in the synaptic morphology may be due, at least in part, to local extracellular proteolysis of cell adhesion and extracellular matrix molecules. Some extracellular serine proteases of the plasminogen activator family may modulate synaptic adhesion and associate with long-term potentiation and learning behavior. Tissue-type plasminogen activator (tPA) and urokinase-type (uPA) plasminogen activator are serine proteases, which both activate plasminogen into plasmin. Tissue-type plasminogen activator is abundantly expressed in the central nervous system (Bu et al. 1994; Davies et al. 1998; Hayden & Seeds 1996; Qian et al. 1993; Seeds et al. 1995) and participates in neurite outgrowth and neuronal development by cleaving proteins of the extracellular matrix and potentially forming a path for extending process (Jacovina et al. 2001; Wu et al. 2000). Tissue-type plasminogen activator contributes to the regulation of numerous aspects of synaptic plasticity and remodeling. It is synthesized by neurons of most brain regions and contributes also to adult central nervous system physiology, inducing neuronal plasticity and synaptic reorganization (Nakagami et al. 2000; Sappino et al. 1993). Tissue-type plasminogen activator is also induced in the brain by electrical activity leading to synaptic remodeling (Ripley et al. 1999). The role of uPA in the brain has also been described, but its function still remains poorly characterized. Its involvement in learning-related plasticity has been established in knockout mice, but these mice were impaired in tasks of spatial, olfactory and taste-aversion learning while showing normal sensory and motor capabilities (Meiri et al. 1994).

A single morphine treatment induced tPA mRNA and protein expression in neuronal cells of the nucleus accumbens (Nac) (Nagai et al. 2004). Morphine-induced conditioned place preference and hyperlocomotion were significantly reduced in tPA-deficient (tPA knockout mice) and plasminogen-deficient (plg knockout mice) mice, being accompanied by a loss of morphine-induced dopamine release in the Nac. The defect of both morphine-induced dopamine release and hyperlocomotion in tPA knockout mice was reversed by microinjections of either exogenous tPA or plasmin into the NAC. These findings suggest that the tPA–plasmin system is involved in the rewarding effects of morphine, by acutely regulating morphine-induced dopamine release in the NAc (Nagai et al. 2004). Furthermore, tPA is also induced in the prefrontal cortex by acute cocaine and appears to play a
specific role either in retention of information between sessions or in behavioral inhibition in cocaine self-administration. In addition, amphetamine also induces expression of tPA in prefrontal cortex and has been implicated in both behavioral sensitization to psychostimulants, and in drug self-administration (Schenk & Snow 1994). Chronic but not acute methamphetamine treatment dose dependently induced tPA mRNA expression in the frontal cortex, NAC, striatum and hippocampus. In the NAc, this effect could be blocked by pretreatment with dopamine D1 and D2 receptor antagonists, indicating that tPA is involved in rewarding effects as well as sensitization of the locomotor-stimulating effect of methamphetamine (Nagai et al. 2005a, 2005b).

In previous studies, we showed that uPA is strongly induced upon cocaine delivery (Bahi et al. 2004a, 2006). Cocaine induced two- to sixfold increase of uPA mRNA in the mesolimbic dopaminergic pathway, including the ventral tegmental area (VTA), the NAc and the hippocampus (Bahi et al. 2004a). Also local overexpression of uPA in these brain areas, by stereotaxic injection of a doxycycline-regulated uPA-expressing lentivirus (LV-uPA), showed almost a 6- to 10-fold increase in locomotor activity after cocaine treatment compared with saline injection, an effect completely abolished with doxycycline or when a dominant-negative form of uPA-expressing lentivirus had been delivered (Bahi et al. 2004a, 2006). Furthermore, using lentiviruses expressing small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) targeted against uPA mRNA, we clearly established that uPA induces strong behavioral changes associated with cocaine delivery (Bahi et al. 2006).

In the present paper, we compare behavioral changes induced upon uPA and tPA expression in the NAc on cocaine-, morphine- or amphetamine-induced behaviors. Clearly, uPA and tPA induce distinct behaviors, which may be interpreted according to their differential pattern of activation and downstream targets. Our data add further evidence for a significant function of extracellular proteases tPA and uPA in addiction and drug-related synaptic plasticity and suggest a differential role of plasminogen activators in this context.

**Materials and methods**

**Animal work**

Animals used in this experiment were male Wistar rats weighing 200–250 g. All animal experiments were carried out in accordance with the guidelines and regulations for Animal Experimentation, BAG, Bern, Switzerland. All rats were naive and were used in a single experiment only. They were housed in threes in clear plastic cages with wire grid lids. Access to food and water was unrestricted. The animals were kept in the animal facility maintained on a 12-h light:12-h dark cycle (light off at 7 a.m.).

**Construction of tPA-expressing lentivirus**

Using total RNA from rat brain cocaine treated, the tPA complementary (cDNA) was amplified and 6 His tagged by reverse transcription using M-MLV-RT (Invitrogen, Basel, Switzerland) following the manufacturer’s instructions. We performed polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification using this set of primers specific for rat tPA (forward primer with BamHI: CGC GGG ATC CAT GAA GGG AGA GCT GTT GTA C; reverse primer with XhoI: GCC GCT CGA GTT AAT GAT GAT GAT GAT GTT GCT TCA TGT TGT CTT TGA T. restriction enzyme sites are in bold). The cDNA was then digested with BamHI and XhoI and cloned into similar sites in the lentiviral system transfer vector pTK431. A control vector construct, in which a green fluorescent protein (GFP) expression is regulated by a tetracycline-inducible promoter, was generated by cloning a BamHI/BglII DNA fragment containing the GFP gene into a BamHI site in pTK431 (Bahi et al. 2004a, 2004b, 2005a, 2005b, 2006). Besides, LV-uPA and LV-uPA-Mut production were performed as described previously (Bahi et al. 2004a, 2006).

**Construction of tPA-specific siRNAs-expressing lentiviral vectors**

To silence tPA expression in vitro and in vivo, three targets were designed, according to the rat tPA mRNA sequence (GenBank accession no. M23697). The following targets within the tPA sequence were selected: first target, 2–25; second target, 1650–1673; and third target, 733–756. To each oligo, an XhoI restriction site was 3’ added. Using the psilencer 1.0-U6 (Ambion, Houston, UK) as a template and an U6 promoter-specific forward primer containing BamHI (in bold) restriction site GCG GAT CCC GCT CTA GAA GTA C TG C, each siRNA target was added to the mouse U6 promoter by PCR (each target contains a 3’-specific U6 promoter-specific primer). The PCR conditions were highly drastic to avoid mutations within the targets. The following PCR program was performed: 120 seconds at 98 °C (initial denaturation) followed by 94 °C for 45 seconds, 64 °C for 45 seconds and 72 °C for 45 seconds, repeated for 35 cycles. The PCR reaction contains 4% dimethyl sulfoxide (Sigma, Buchs, Switzerland). The PCR product was digested with BamHI and XhoI, cloned into similar sites in pTK431 and sequenced to verify the integrity of each construct.

After cloning and sequencing, all plasmids were CsCl2 purified. Vesicular stomatitis virus G pseudotyped lentiviruses were produced by the transient calcium phosphate cotransfection of HEK293T cells with pTKs vectors together with pMDG-VSV-G and pR8Nef as previously described (Bahi et al. 2004a, 2004b, 2005a, 2005b, 2006). Lentiviral vector quantifications were performed according to the p24 enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (KPL, Gaithersburg, MD, USA) in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions. Besides, uPA-specific siRNAs-expressing lentiviral vectors (LV-uPA-siRNAs) for (silencing uPA) have been described previously (Bahi et al. 2006).

**In vitro experiments**

For doxycycline regulation, HEK293T cells were infected with 0, 3, 6 or 9 µl from viral stock of tPA-expressing lentivirus (LV-TPA) together with 4 µl of LV-uPA (Bahi et al. 2004a, 2006). Culture medium was supplemented with 30 ng/ml of doxycycline. After 72 h, culture medium was removed and cells were used for total RNA extraction.

To test the knock-down effect of tPA expression with tPA-specific siRNAs-expressing lentiviral vectors (LV-TPA-siRNAs) in vitro, cells were infected with 3 µl of LV-TPA, together with 4 µl of LV-uPA; knock-down was performed by adding either 2 µl of LV-TPA-siRNA1, 2 µl of LV-TPA-siRNA2, 2 µl of LV-uPA-siRNA3 or 2 µl of the three targets together. After 72 h, culture medium was removed and cells were used for total RNA extraction.

**Real-time PCR and tPA mRNA quantification**

Total RNA was extracted from the HEK293T cells using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA was quantified by spectrophotometry, and its integrity was verified by agarose gel electrophoresis and visualized with ethidium bromide staining. First-strand cDNA was generated from 4 mg of total RNA and oligo(dT12–18) primer with the M-MLV-RT (Invitrogen) in a total volume of 20 µl according to the manufacturer’s protocol. To quantify the tPA mRNA level, quantitative real-time PCR was performed using this set of primers specific to rat tPA cDNA (forward primer: AAG GAG GCT CAC GTC AGA CTG TA; reverse primer: GCC GCT CGA GTT AAT GAT GAT GAT GAT GTT GCT TCA TGT TGT CTT TGA T. restriction enzyme sites are in bold). The cDNA was then digested with BamHI and XhoI and cloned into similar sites in the lentiviral system transfer vector pTK431. A control vector construct, in which green fluorescent protein (GFP) expression is regulated by a tetracycline-inducible promoter, was generated by cloning a BamHI/BglII DNA fragment containing the GFP gene into a BamHI site in pTK431 (Bahi et al. 2004a, 2004b, 2005a, 2005b, 2006). Besides, LV-uPA and LV-uPA-Mut production were performed as described previously (Bahi et al. 2004a, 2006).
TTG CT). For control, uPA and glyceraldehyde-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) sets of primers were described previously (Bahi et al. 2004a, 2006).

The quantification was performed using the real-time PCR iCycler (BioRad, Reinach, Switzerland). For PCR, 5 μl cDNA preparation, 0.5 mM of forward and reverse primers and 10 μl of IQ SYBR Green Supermix (Biorad) in a total volume of 20 μl were applied. The following PCR program was performed: 3 min at 95°C (initial denaturation); 20°C/second temperature transition rate up to 95°C for 45 seconds, 45 seconds 62°C, repeated for 40 times (amplification). The PCR reaction was evaluated by melting curve analysis following the manufacturer’s instructions and checking the PCR products on 2% agarose gel.

The PCR cycle number at which each assay target reached the threshold detection line was determined (Ct value). Complementary products on 2% agarose gel.

The PCR cycle number at which each assay target reached the threshold detection line was determined (Ct value). Complementary DNA samples were assayed on at least three dilutions to check for assay reliability using duplicate assay on each dilution. The deltaCt for each candidate was calculated as deltaCt = [Ct (tPA or uPA) − Ct (GAPDH)], where post- and pre-values were the difference in time spent in the drug-conditioning site in the postconditioning and preconditioning, periods, respectively.

**Gel zymography**

For sodium dodecyl sulphate–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS–PAGE) zymography, rats were killed at the end of locomotor activity monitoring, brains quickly removed and NAC regions rapidly dissected and used for protein extraction. The tissue was homogenized in 0.5 ml of cold extraction buffer that contained 50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 6.8, 0.05% Triton-X-100 and 2 mM ethylenediaminetetra-acetic acid. The tissue homogenate was incubated for 15 min on ice and vortexed. Then, the homogenate was centrifuged for 20 min at 10 000 g and the supernatant collected and stored at –80°C. Protein concentrations were measured using the Bradford method. Equal amounts of protein extracts (10 μg) were mixed with nonreducing SDS–PAGE sample buffer (62.4 mM Tris, pH 6.8, 20% glycerol, 2% SDS) and run in a 10% SDS–PAGE gel containing 0.1% casein (Sigma) and 12 mM/ml plasmogen (Calbiochem, Switzerland). After electrophoresis, the gel was washed four times for 30 min with 100 mM Tris–HCl pH 8.0, 50 mM MgCl2 and 2.5% Triton-X-100 solution. The gel was then incubated overnight in 100 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.1, and 15 mM MgCl2 solution at 37°C, stained with Coomassie brilliant blue (Sigma) and destained with solution containing 35% methanol, 7% acetic acid. Urokinase-type plasmogen activator and tPA activity were then observed in the gel as light bands against a dark background.

**Behavioral experiments**

For acute effects, rats were injected saline (1 ml/kg) or drugs (either morphine 10 mg/kg subcutaneously [s.c.], amphetamine 2 mg/kg, i.p. or cocaine 20 mg/kg, i.p.) once per day for 24 days from days 2 to 24, and drug-induced locomotor activity was measured every day for 180 min (morphine-injected animals) or 60 min (cocaine- and amphetamine-injected rats). Over this period of 24 days, rats were given normal water supplemented with 5% sucrose during the first 10 days (days 1–10) enabling to fully express the genes of interest. Then, during a second session (days 11–17), rats were fed 0.02% doxycycline in the drinking water (5% of sucrose) to inhibit expression of ectopic tPA, uPA or GFP. Thereafter, in a third session (days 18–24), ectopic gene expression was switched back by removing doxycycline from the drinking water (5% sucrose). During these sessions, drug was daily injected. Finally, drug was withdrawn for 2 weeks, and then animals were challenged with one single injection of drug (same doses) and the behaviors were measured (over 180 min for morphine-injected animals or over 60 min for cocaine- and amphetamine-injected rats) (Bahi et al. 2004a, 2004b, 2005a, 2005b, 2006).

Conditioned place preference was performed as previously described (Bahi et al. 2006). Briefly, in the preconditioning period, the rat was allowed to move freely between two boxes (consisting of either wire grid or mesh floor) daily for 20 min for 3 days (days 1–3). On day 3, the amount of time spent in each chamber was monitored and used to assess unconditioned preferences. Thereafter, during the conditioning phase, the rat was injected on days 4, 6 and 8 either morphine (10 mg/kg), amphetamine (2 mg/kg, i.p.) or cocaine (20 mg/kg, i.p.) and immediately confined in the floor-mesh box for 20 min. On days 5, 7 and 9, the rat was injected saline (1 ml/kg) and placed in the wire grid chamber for 20 min. During the conditioning phase, chambers were never communicating and they were blocked by a guillotine door. On day 10, the postconditioning conditioned place preference test was performed without drug treatment. Animals were placed between the two chambers with the guillotine door removed and allowed free access to the entire setup. The time the rat spent in each chamber was measured for 20 min. Drug-induced place preference behavior was expressed by post versus pre, which was calculated as: [(postvalue) − (prevalue)], where post- and pre-values were the difference in time spent in the drug-conditioning site in the postconditioning and preconditioning, periods, respectively.

**Immunohistochemistry**

Brain section staining was performed according to previously published procedures (Bahi et al. 2004a). Briefly, rat brains were rapidly removed and frozen in isopentane at −30°C for 3 min and kept at −25°C. Coronal sections were cut at 14 μm in a cryostat (Leitz, Lucern, Switzerland), placed on gelatinized glass slides and air dried at room temperature for 20 min. Antigens were localized using the avidin–biotin–peroxidase technique. Slices were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 min and washed three times with 1x phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). Endogenous peroxidase activity was quenched with 2% H2O2 for 15 min and washed three times with 1x PBS. Sections were then rinsed and incubated overnight with mouse anti-histidine antibody (MCA1396, 1:12 000; Serotec, Düsseldorf, Germany) diluted in 1x PBS containing 1% Triton-X-100 and 1% normal goat serum. Sections were then washed three times with 1x PBS and incubated with the biotinylated secondary antibody (goat anti-mouse immunoglobulin G, 1: 500; Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA) for 45 min at room temperature. Sections were rinsed three times for 5 min in 1x PBS at room temperature, followed by incubation in avidin–biotin complex (Vector Laboratories) in 1x PBS solution. After three rinses in 1x PBS, all sections were developed in 0.025% 3,3’-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride plus 0.02% H2O2 for 10–15 min. Sections were then observed in the gel as light bands against a dark background.
dehydrated, mounted in permanent medium (Eukitt) and examined with a Zeiss light microscope.

**Statistical analysis**

All data were expressed as the mean ± SEM and analyzed using SPSS v11 software. In the analysis of tPA mRNA expression, place conditioning and locomotor activity test, statistical analysis was performed using an analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by the Tukey test when F ratios were significant (p < 0.05). Statistical differences between groups were determined with the Mann–Whitney U test. In the analysis of the time-course for chronic locomotor sensitization, statistical differences between groups were determined by ANOVA with repeated measures.

**Results**

Previous studies have shown that cocaine induces uPA in different brain area upon different paradigms of drug administration (Bahi et al. 2004a). Under similar conditions, cocaine induced tPA mainly in chronic paradigms (Fig. 1). Under chronic drug delivery, a 4.8-fold mRNA increase is observed in the NAc (p < 0.05 compared with saline) and a 2.2-fold increase was further observed in the VTA. Changes in cocaine-mediated tPA mRNA induction were also very significant in the striatum (4.5-fold increase, p < 0.05). Statistical differences between groups were determined with the Mann–Whitney U test. In the analysis of the time-course for chronic locomotor sensitization, statistical differences between groups were determined by ANOVA with repeated measures.

**In vitro**

Results show that cocaine induces uPA in different brain area upon different paradigms of drug administration (Bahi et al. 2004a). Under similar conditions, cocaine induced tPA mainly in chronic paradigms (Fig. 1). Under chronic drug delivery, a 4.8-fold mRNA increase is observed in the NAc (p < 0.05 compared with saline) and a 2.2-fold increase was further observed in the VTA. Changes in cocaine-mediated tPA mRNA induction were also very significant in the striatum (4.5-fold increase, p < 0.05). Statistical differences between groups were determined with the Mann–Whitney U test. In the analysis of the time-course for chronic locomotor sensitization, statistical differences between groups were determined by ANOVA with repeated measures. Under similar conditions, cocaine induced tPA mainly in chronic paradigms (Fig. 1). Under chronic drug delivery, a 4.8-fold mRNA increase is observed in the NAc (p < 0.05 compared with saline) and a 2.2-fold increase was further observed in the VTA. Changes in cocaine-mediated tPA mRNA induction were also very significant in the striatum (4.5-fold increase, p < 0.05). Acute treatment, however, produced only slight but significant changes in the NAc and the striatum (1.5- and 1.2-fold increase, respectively), whereas in the other brain regions, tPA was not induced, in contrast with observation reported under similar conditions for uPA (Bahi et al. 2004a).

Lentiviruses expressing tPA or siRNAs targeted against tPA have been prepared and tested in vitro in HEK293T-infected cells (Fig. 2). Expression of tPA is titr dependent and doxycycline regulatable. Coinfection of HEK293T cells with various titters of LV-tPA and fixed levels of the doxycycline-regulatable LV-uPA (Bahi et al. 2004a) induces titr-dependent expression of tPA mRNA but does not influence expression of the related uPA mRNA (Fig. 2).

HEK293T cells were coinfected with LV-tPA at fixed levels and with three different LV-siRNAs, either alone or combined (Fig. 3). As a control, LV-uPA was also coinfected in all experiments. Control cells were infected with LV-uPA together with LV-tPA (Fig. 3). As a result, LV-siRNAs had no effects on uPA expression but strongly affected the expression of tPA, which was diminished to 78%, 43% and 62%, respectively, in presence of LV-siRNA1, LV-siRNA2 or LV-siRNA3 alone. Furthermore, when combined together, the mix of LV-siRNAs induced a 92% decrease in tPA mRNA (p < 0.05 compared with control cells), whereas uPA mRNA remains unaffected.

**In vivo studies**

Using these molecular tools and the tools previously described (Bahi et al. 2004a, 2006), several groups of animals were prepared, stereotaxically injecting lentiviruses into the NAc and their behavioral activity in response to drugs was measured. In an initial experiment (Fig. 4), one set of animals was fed doxycycline, the other animals were fed without doxycycline all through the experiments. Control groups were injected LV-GFP into the NAc.

**Effects of cocaine**

Animals overexpressing tPA in the NAc show a 1.5-fold increase in locomotor activity compared with GFP controls.
after acute cocaine injection \((P < 0.05)\). Doxycycline restores locomotor activity back to levels comparable with the GFP controls (Fig. 4A). However, when tPA has been silenced, in treated animals coinfected with LV-tPA-siRNAs — that also silences the endogenously, cocaine-induced tPA — animals show hypolocomotion and locomotor activity decreased to 0.7-fold the activity of the GFP control group \((P < 0.05)\); doxycycline had no effects on this group of animals (Fig. 4A).

In contrast, when uPA is overexpressed in the NAc while endogenous tPA is silenced, animals show highest locomotor activity, approximately 2.3-fold increase over GFP control group \((P < 0.05)\), but the effect was completely abolished by downregulation of uPA in the NAc in the same animals fed doxycycline (Fig. 4A). Injection of cocaine on these same animals was continued for 24 days. Then, the animals were submitted to a drug withdrawal period for 2 weeks and finally challenged again with cocaine. Challenge immediately recapitulated locomotor activity observed after acute drug treatment (Fig. 4A'). Locomotor activity directly reflects expression of uPA and tPA in the NAc (see later, Figs 6 and 8).

Effects of amphetamine and morphine
When animals were expressing tPA in the NAc, locomotor activity after acute amphetamine administration increased...
4.0-fold, compared with GFP control animals, whereas animals expressing uPA in the NAc show only an approximately 2.2-fold increase in locomotor activity over the GFP control group (Fig. 4B, P < 0.01 and 0.05, respectively). Similarly, acute morphine administration induced highest locomotor activity in tPA-treated animals (1.8-fold increase compared with GFP control animals, P < 0.01) over uPA-treated animals (1.3-fold increase compared with GFP control animals, P < 0.05), in sharp contrast to observations made with cocaine administration (Fig. 4C). As above, drug administration on these same animals was continued for 24 days, and then the animals were submitted to a drug withdrawal period for 2 weeks and finally challenged again with the drugs. Challenge immediately recapitulated locomotor activity observed after acute drug treatment (Fig. 4B', C').

**Drug sensitization and effects of gene expression**

In another experiment (Figs 5 and 6), animals were fed without doxycycline for 10 days, then with doxycycline for 7 days and then again without doxycycline for another 7 days. Drugs were injected daily during these three sessions. Finally, drug was withdrawn for 15 days. At the end of that withdrawal period animals were challenged with a single injection of drug.

Under these conditions, animals show sensitization toward cocaine, which markedly affects locomotor activity (Fig. 5). When uPA is overexpressed in the NAc in presence of LV-tPA-siRNAs – that also silence expression of endogenous tPA under cocaine stimulation – locomotor activity is highest, approximately 10- to 11-fold higher than saline control animals (Fig. 5A'). Under these conditions, tPA-treated animals show lower locomotor activity, approximately 7.5-fold higher than saline controls (days 1–10). In all cases, suppressing tPA or uPA expression in the NAc by doxycycline would suppress the locomotor activity almost down to levels of the control GFP animals (days 11–17), whereas doxycycline removal – reinducing tPA or uPA expression in the NAc – reinstates the initially observed behavioral effects of the animals (days 18–24). Previous studies have shown that doxycycline suppresses uPA mRNA expression and affects uPA protein expression within 24 h, whereas removal of doxycycline reexpresses uPA mRNA and restores protein expression after 24 h (Bahi et al. 2004a). Animals treated with LV-tPA-siRNAs, – enabling silencing of both endogenous and ectopic tPA – co-injected either with LV-tPA or with LV-uPA showed little sensitization toward cocaine and their behaviors were similar to GFP controls (Fig. 5A').

Amphetamine-treated animals show a sharp drug-induced sensitization. Locomotor activity is markedly stronger when tPA is overexpressed compared with uPA (Fig. 5C'). Tissue-type plasminogen activator induces a 10- to 12-fold increase in locomotor activity, uPA a six- to eightfold, compared with saline treatment. Both effects are doxycycline regulatable in a reversible fashion (Fig. 5B'). In contrast tPA- or uPA-treated animals show no sensitization when administered morphine under chronic paradigm, whether tPA or uPA is overexpressed.

**Figure 5: Locomotor stimulating effect of repeated cocaine, amphetamine and morphine injections.** Rats (n = 9) were stereotaxically injected with 4 µl of the indicated lentiviruses; after recovery, open-field locomotor activity was achieved. Upper panels, effects of saline. Rats were daily injected saline for 24 days (1 ml/kg i.p.) (A, B and C) and placed in the monitoring cages for 30 min. Lower panels, effects of drugs. About 30 min after saline injections, animals were injected either cocaine (20 mg/kg i.p.) (A'), amphetamine (2 mg/kg i.p.) (B') or morphine (10 mg/kg s.c.) (C') and monitored for one more hour (3 h after morphine injection). Injections were repeated daily. From days 1 to 10, each group of animals was fed water supplemented with 5% sucrose. The same animals were then switched for 7 days to 0.02% doxycycline regimen in the drinking water supplemented with 5% sucrose (from days 11 to 17). Thereafter, animals were switched back to doxycycline-free water for the last 7 days (from days 18 to 24). Values indicate means ± SEM. Error bars have been omitted from the points for the sake of clarity.
or whether the exogenous protease is downregulated with doxycycline (Fig. 5C).

Behavioral effects and gene expression
The NAc of treated animals were dissected out at the end of series of behavioral measurements and mRNA levels were measured relative to GAPDH. Observed locomotor effects fully correlate with the levels of tPA and uPA mRNA expressed in the different conditions (Fig. 6).

Figure 6: mRNA expression changes of tPA and uPA after repeated cocaine, amphetamine and morphine injections. Rats (n = 9) were stereotaxically injected with 4 μl of the indicated lentiviruses. The NAc of treated animals were dissected out at the end of series of behavioral measurements and mRNA levels were measured relative to GAPDH. Animals were daily (for 24 days) injected saline (1 ml/kg i.p.), placed in the monitoring cages for 60 min and injected cocaine (20 mg/kg i.p.) (A and A'), amphetamine (2 mg/kg i.p.) (B and B') or morphine (10 mg/kg s.c.) (C and C') and monitored. Upper panels (A, B and C): after 10 days some animals were killed, the NAc was dissected out and mRNA prepared for quantitative real-time PCR. Middle panels (A', B' and C'): animals were daily (for 24 days) injected drugs as above. From days 1 to 10, each group of animals was fed water supplemented with 5% sucrose. Then, animals were switched to 0.02% doxycycline regimen in the drinking water supplemented with 5% sucrose for 7 days (from days 11 to 17) and then animals were killed, the NAc was dissected out and mRNA prepared for quantitative real-time PCR. Lower panel (A'', left): animals were daily (for 24 days) injected drugs as above. From days 1 to 10, each group of animals was fed water supplemented with 5% sucrose; then, animals were switched to 0.02% doxycycline regimen in the drinking water supplemented with 5% sucrose for 7 days. After that period, doxycycline was removed and animals were further injected cocaine for 7 more days and then submitted to a drug withdrawal period for 2 weeks and finally challenged again with cocaine (20 mg/kg), and killed. The NAc was dissected out and mRNA prepared for quantitative real-time PCR.
cocaïne injection (Fig. 6A, A’). Animals treated with uPA showed 1.8-fold higher uPA mRNA expression (compared with uPA in the GFP control group, \( P < 0.05 \)) in acutely injected animals (Fig. 6A); this was downregulated to basal level by doxycycline (Fig. 6A’). In all groups, uPA was induced upon cocaïne delivery and its endogenous expression in the GFP control group increased from approximately 5.5 after acute injection up to approximately 7.5 after challenge (\( P < 0.05 \)). Nevertheless, uPA induction in uPA-treated group after challenge was only 1.3-fold higher than in the GFP group, \( P < 0.05 \) (Fig. 6A’).

**Amphetamine and morphine administration.** Animals treated with tPA showed 1.8- and 1.9-fold increase in tPA mRNA expression (compared with tPA expression in control groups, \( P < 0.05 \)), after acute administration of amphetamine or morphine, respectively (Fig. 6B, C), whereas in uPA-treated animals the levels of expression were identical to those found in GFP control groups. By contrast, uPA levels of expression after acute amphetamine or morphine administration were identical to levels found in the GFP control groups but were induced 2.5- and 1.9-fold, respectively, in the LV-uPA-treated animals (Fig. 6B, C, \( P < 0.05 \)). In all cases, doxycycline downregulated tPA or uPA expression back to levels similar to those of the control GFP groups (Fig. 6B’, C’).

**Gene expression and place preference**

Conditioned place preference was measured on animals infected with the different lentiviruses in the NAc. Animals expressing tPA show a 2.1-fold increase in place preference for cocaine (20 mg/kg; Fig. 7A, \( P < 0.05 \)). Silencing tPA in the NAc suppressed place preference under these conditions (Fig. 7A). Nevertheless, the observed effects were even much higher with uPA-treated animals, which showed a 3.6-fold increase in cocaine-induced place preference over GFP-treated control animals (\( P < 0.01 \); Fig. 7A). Both amphetamine and morphine induced the opposite effects: injection of 2 mg/kg amphetamine in tPA-treated animals induced a 2.9-fold increase in place preference over GFP-treated control animals, whereas in uPA-treated animals, amphetamine induced only a 1.9-fold increase in place preference over GFP-treated animals (Fig. 7B, \( P < 0.01 \) and 0.05, respectively). Similarly, injection of 10 mg morphine induced a 3.5-fold increase in tPA-treated animals and only a 2.2-fold increase in uPA-treated animals compared with GFP-treated controls (Fig. 7C, \( P < 0.01 \) and 0.05, respectively). Thus, tPA clearly induces stronger place preference than uPA when amphetamine or morphine is injected, whereas the reverse is observed with cocaïne.

To determine whether the observed induction of locomotor activity and drug-induced place preference were correlated with tPA and uPA protein expression, immunohistochemistry was performed using specific His-tag antibodies (Fig. 8). The tPA and uPA proteins were detected in cells of the NAc from either cocaine-, amphetamine- and morphine-treated animals (Fig. 8). No signal was detected in the brain sections of animals co-injected with LV-tPA and LV-tPA-siRNAs following drug administration (Fig. 8D, E, F). However, immunohistochemistry showed that uPA immunoreactivity was highly positive when LV-uPA lentiviral vectors were co-injected with tPA-siRNAs-expressing vectors, supporting the idea that the siRNAs used in this study were highly specific for the knock-down of tPA transcripts and did not affect uPA expression (Fig. 8G, H, I).

To determine whether observed behavioral changes are related to protein expression only or whether the active form of the enzymes are required, the enzymatic activities of tPA and uPA have been determined by SDS–PAGE zymography from tissue extracts after repeated amphetamine and cocaïne treatment. Overexpression of tPA and uPA results into enhanced enzymatic activity of these proteases in the NAc (Fig. 9) after repeated injections of cocaine and amphetamine. However, when gene expression of tPA and uPA was inhibited with specific siRNA-expressing lentiviral vectors, no enzymatic activity was observed. We observed also an inhibition of these enzymatic activity when animals were

---

**Figure 7:** Cocaine-, amphetamine- and morphine-induced place preference in tPA- and uPA-overexpressing animals after stereotaxic injections. Rats \( n = 6 \) were stereotaxically injected with 4 \( \mu l \) of the indicated lentiviruses; after recovery, drugs-induced place preference was acheived. Animals were conditioned using cocaine (20 mg/kg i.p.) (A), amphetamine (2 mg/kg i.p.) (B) or morphine (10 mg/kg s.c.) (C). After 3-day habituation, rats were conditioned using the indicated drugs during the fourth, sixth and eighth days and with saline during the fifth, seventh and ninth days. Rats were then tested for place preference in day 10 without any injection for 20 min. Values indicate means ± SEM. *\( P < 0.05 \), **\( P < 0.01 \) compared with LV-GFP-injected animals.
injected with the mutated form of uPA ‘uPA-Mut’ where the active site of the protease had been destroyed by site-directed mutagenesis (Bahi et al. 2004b). Inhibition was also detected when animals infected either with LV-uPA and LV-tPA had been fed doxycycline (Fig. 9). This clearly shows that the enzymes are active under normal conditions and suggests that active forms of the enzymes are related to behavioral changes. Furthermore, to check tPA-induced enzymatic activity, animals were injected with LV-tPA together with LV-uPA-siRNAs, but, as shown in Fig. 9, uPA-siRNAs, unlike tPA-siRNAs, did not block tPA activity.

Discussion

Our data show that psychostimulants induce both tPA and uPA in acute and chronic delivery, but cocaine induces preferentially uPA, whereas amphetamine and morphine induce preferentially tPA. Consistent with these findings, animals overexpressing either tPA in the NAc show greater locomotor activity and behavioral sensitization upon morphine or amphetamine treatments, whereas uPA-overexpressing animals show higher activity upon cocaine treatment. These effects could be fully suppressed when tPA or uPA had been silenced or by suppressing ectopic enzyme expression. Furthermore, uPA-overexpressing animals show enhanced conditional place preference for cocaine compared with tPA-overexpressing animals. In contrast, tPA-overexpressing animals showed greater behavioral sensitization and locomotor activity when animals were administered amphetamine or morphine, compared with uPA-overexpressing animals and they showed greater preference for these drugs. Together these, data add further evidence for a significant function of extracellular proteases in addiction and clearly provide evidence for a differential role of plasminogen activators in this context. Clearly, uPA and tPA induce distinct behaviors, which may be interpreted according to their differential pattern of activation.

Plasminogen activators activate the conversion of plasminogen into plasmin, an active extracellular serine protease. The role of plasmin in brain plasticity has been described in development and also in the adult brain. Tissue-type plasminogen activator is involved in synaptic plasticity and remodeling directly by itself, or indirectly by converting plasminogen to plasmin. Tissue-type plasminogen activator is directly involved in long-term potentiation (LTP) by acting on NMDA receptors (Nicole et al. 2001; Shin et al. 2004) and it is induced as an immediate early gene upon stimulation of NMDA receptor (Qian et al. 1993). The tPA secreted in the extracellular space either functions in the extracellular matrix as a protease in synaptic plasticity (Baranes et al. 1998) or binds to its cell surface receptor LRP and activates synaptic potentiation via PKA (Zhuo et al. 2000). Furthermore, tPA is involved in the late phase of long-term potentiation (Baranes et al. 1998).
et al. 1998; Frey et al. 1996), learning and memory (Calabresi et al. 2000; Seeds et al. 1995), excitotoxic neurodegeneration (Siao et al. 2003; Tsirka et al. 1995), and regeneration or recovery from injury in the nervous system (Siconolfi & Seeds 2001). On the other hand, neurite outgrowth (Krystosek & Seeds 1981), cell migration (Moonen et al. 1982; Seeds et al. 1999) and tPA-induced amyloid-beta degradation (Melchor et al. 2003; Tucker et al. 2000) are mediated by plasmin. These findings indicate that tPA contributes to the regulation of numerous aspects of synaptic plasticity and remodeling. However, the role of uPA in these different paradigms has not yet been fully described. Furthermore, it is unclear whether the involvement in plasticity of uPA is dependent on plasin-related modification of extracellular matrix proteins, like tPA.

Exposure to amphetamine produces a long-lasting increase in the length of dendrites and the number of branched spines on medium spiny neurons (Koob & Nestler 1997; Robinson & Kolb 1997). Morphine increases dopaminergic neurotransmission in the NAc via the activation of dopamine cells in the VTA, an area that possesses a high density of μ-opioid receptors. This activation results mainly from the disinhibition of inhibitory GABAergic interneurons in the VTA (Bonci & Williams 1997; Tucker et al. 2000). Because synaptic plasticity is strongly activated by psychostimulants and may be a cause for long-term adaptive changes, it is reasonable to assume that active plasin plays a central function in addiction. Indeed, the tPA-plasmin system plays an important role in the rewarding and locomotor-stimulating effects of morphine by regulating morphine-induced dopamine release in the NAc (Nagai et al. 2004). Morphine treatment increases not only tPA in the NAc but also inhibitor-1 of plasminogen activator (PAI-1), a serine protease inhibitor that regulates plasminogen activation (Nagai et al. 2005a). Similarly, repeated methamphetamine treatment induces tPA expression in the frontal cortex, NAc, striatum and hippocampus, whereas single methamphetamine treatment does not affect tPA expression. In respect to cocaine, tPA knockout mice show cocaine-induced locomotor activity at lower doses than wild-type control mice and a greater degree of cocaine-induced locomotor activity following repeated administration (Ripley et al. 1999). Nevertheless, tPA knockout mice did not show facilitation of acquisition of cocaine self-administration, whereas the effects of behavioral sensitization in tPA knockout mice were reversed by microinjections of exogenous tPA into the NAc (Ripley et al. 1999).

Our study show that uPA and tPA respond very differently to psychostimulants. In agreement with findings by Nagai et al. (2004, 2005b), we found that tPA overexpression enhances amphetamine and morphine behavioral sensitization. With cocaine, however, according to Ripley et al. (1999), tPA knockout mice are not sensitized when tPA is absent and, if recombinant tPA is given back, they show a conditioned place preference score almost identical to wild-type mice; furthermore, at low doses of cocaine, tPA knockout mice showed enhanced locomotor activity when compared with their wild-type littermates and show increased behavioral sensitization than wild type (Ripley et al. 1999). Therefore, one would reasonably assume that if these mice were injected with our LV-tPA, they would show less locomotor activity to cocaine and less behavioral sensitization, which is
strikingly in contrast to our observations. Nevertheless, developmental compensatory mechanisms cannot be excluded in these processes and it is possible that uPA or other matrix proteases can take over the function of tPA in tPA knockout mice. Rescue experiments are planned with these mice to settle this controversy.

On the other hand, the gene encoding tPA is regulated in a cell-type-specific manner by a complex array of transcription factors that include Sp-1, AP-2, TPACRE and CREB (Costa et al. 2004). The specific roles of these transcription factors in addiction remain to be described in more details to better characterize the regulation of plasminogen activators in this paradigm. Changes in tPA (and uPA) mRNA expression in the brain reward pathway after repeated drug treatments may be due at least in part to the alteration in the ratios of these transcription factors. It is well established that CREB levels are changed in the NAc after chronic drug treatments (Widnell et al. 1996).

The tPA- and uPA–plasmin systems degrade several extracellular matrix proteins (Schnaper 1995), including laminin (Goldfinger et al. 2000). Laminin in the synaptic cleft localizes calcium channels to the sites of active zones (Sunderland et al. 2000) and may induce significant increase in calcium levels (Bixby et al. 1994). Accordingly, it is possible that psychostimulant-mediated induction of the tPA–plasmin system may result in a malfunction of calcium channel activity, leading to the reduction of dopamine release, because the tPA–plasmin system is involved in the regulation of dopamine release in the NAc (Ito et al. 2006). Activation of the tPA–plasmin system stimulates protease-activated receptor-1 (PAR1; Kuliopulos et al. 1999), which in turn increases intracellular calcium mobilization, leading to a potentiation of depolarization-evoked dopamine release in the NAc (Dery et al. 1998). Microinjection of either tPA or plasmin significantly potentiates dopamine release without affecting basal dopamine levels (Ito et al. 2006). Dopamine release in the NAc was markedly diminished in tPA knockout mice compared with wild type, whereas microinjections of either exogenous tPA or plasmin into the NAc of tPA knockout mice restored dopamine release as observed in wild-type mice (Ito et al. 2006). Furthermore, microinjection of a PAR1 antagonist, [tyr(-1)]-thrombin receptor activating peptide 7, into the NAc significantly reduced morphine-induced dopamine release in the NAc and hyperlocomotion although the treatment had no effect on basal dopamine release and spontaneous locomotor activity, suggesting that PAR1 is a target for the tPA–plasmin system in the regulation of acute morphine-induced dopamine release in the NAc (Ito et al. 2007).

Another pathway is also very relevant to drug-mediated tPA and uPA expression. It has been shown that laminin converts the precursor pro-brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) to mature BDNF in vitro and that this conversion is critical for the expression of late-phase LTP in the mouse hippocampus (Pang et al. 2004). Most of BDNF secreted by neurons appears to be in the precursor form and the secretion of pro-BDNF is activity dependent (Chen et al. 2004). Brain-derived neurotrophic factor is released upon neuronal depolarization and triggers rapid intracellular signaling and action potentials in neurons (Poo 2001), because BDNF promotes the depolarization-evoked release of dopamine from mesencephalic neurons (Blochl & Sirrenberg 1996). Therefore, the tPA– and uPA–plasmin system, through the maturation of BDNF, may regulate BDNF-mediated depolarization-evoked dopamine release. Potentiation of excitatory synapses triggers the release of glutamate, followed by evoked expression of BDNF, which stimulates the expression and activation of the proteolytic activity of plasminogen activators, tPA and uPA. Either directly or indirectly via plasmin formation, this system may act to cleave extracellular matrix molecules or cell adhesion molecules, allowing structural changes associated with neuronal development or synaptic plasticity. Thus, the neurotrophic activity by BDNF may manipulate long-lasting structural plasticity through tPA. It is well established that BDNF, administered directly into the VTA or NAc, causes a profound increase in cocaine-induced locomotor activity and in cocaine reward in several behavioral paradigms (Hall et al. 2003; Horger et al. 1999).

Our study shows that uPA and tPA respond very differently to psychostimulants. We found that tPA overexpression enhances cocaine, amphetamine and morphine behavioral sensitization, which is in agreement with findings by Nagai et al. (2004, 2005b) concerning amphetamine and morphine in tPA knockout mice. According to their data, tPA knockout mice are not sensitized when tPA is absent, but if recombinant tPA is given back, they show a conditioned place preference score almost identical to wild-type mice.

Furthermore, our data suggest that the active forms of the enzymes are required for drug sensitization. Nevertheless additional studies, using dominant inactive constructs will be needed. As a matter of fact, both the active and the inactive forms of uPA may bind to its receptor uPAR and the complexes may activate cellular transduction and intracellular cytoskeletal arrangement. Similarly, the inactive and the active forms of tPA bind different extracellular matrix molecules. In addition, uPA appears to have protein-binding characters different from tPA, because tPA but not uPA binds fibrin.

Our findings raise several questions that cannot be fully answered yet. Further studies will be required to determine at which stage of addiction the genes are involved and whether they are involved in the acquisition/learning phase or in the expression/retrieval phase of drug preference. In addition, psychostimulants induce a large cluster of gene expression changes in the mesolimbic pathway. It is therefore well possible that the observed differential behaviors may be correlated with drug-mediated coexpression of several other specific partners, either of uPA or of tPA, for example, uPAR, PAI-1, PAI-2, PAR1, and so forth, besides components of several intracellular signal transduction cascades. The identification of these partners will be important for elucidation of the different mechanisms. Nevertheless, the observed differences may be of pharmacological relevance. We have shown that inhibition of uPA by B428, a highly specific uPA inhibitor, fully blocks cocaine-mediated locomotor activity (Bahi et al. 2006). A similar tPA-specific inhibitor would be highly useful to prevent amphetamine and morphine reward. Therefore, this study opens new paths to better understanding the molecular basis of addiction and possibly toward its treatment.
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